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Supplementary Issue: Heart Failure: An Exploration of Recent Advances in Research and Treatment (A)

ABSTRACT: Heart function fails when the organ is unable to pump blood at a rate proportional to the body’s need for oxygen or when this function leads to elevated cardiac chamber filling pressures (cardiogenic pulmonary edema). Despite our sophisticated knowledge of heart failure, even so-called ejection fraction–preserved heart failure has high rates of mortality and morbidity. So, novel therapies are sorely needed. This review discusses current standard therapies for heart failure and launches an exploration into emerging novel treatments on the heels of recently-approved sacubitril and ivabradine. For example, Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide (VIP) is protective of the heart, so in the absence of VIP, VIP knockout mice have dysregulation in key heart failure genes: 1) Force Generation and Propagation; 2) Energy Production and Regulation; 3) Ca2+ Cycling; 4) Transcriptional Regulators. VIP administration leads to coronary dilation in human subjects. In heart failure patients, VIP levels are elevated as a plausible endogenous protective effect. With the development of elastin polymers to stabilize VIP and prevent its degradation, VIP may therefore have a chance to satisfy the unmet need as a potential treatment for acute heart failure.
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Introduction

Heart function fails when the organ is unable to pump blood at a rate proportional to the body’s need for oxygen or when this function leads to elevated cardiac chamber filling pressures (cardiogenic pulmonary edema). Despite our sophisticated knowledge of heart failure, even the so-called ejection fraction–preserved heart failure has high rates of mortality and morbidity. So, novel therapies are sorely needed. With over a million hospitalizations annually – up 175% over the past 25 years – and costs of nearly $15.4 billion dollars, acute heart failure is a critically important health concern. Furthermore, half of the patients discharged from the hospital are readmitted within half-a-year. In-hospital mortality remains high between 4% and 7%.1,2 Heart failure is a significant problem as the population ages. The prevalence is 2.5% of the US population or 5 million patients (from the National Health and Nutrition Education Survey).2

Common etiological mechanisms of heart failure include coronary ischemia, valvular disease, hypertension, and diastolic dysfunction. Yet, other causes include: postpartum cardiomyopathy; postinfecious, chronic tachycardia; metabolic dysregulation; adverse medication side effects (particularly adriamycin chemotherapy); orphan disease Duchenne’s muscular dystrophy; infiltrative diseases (such as amyloidosis); and inflammatory/connective tissue diseases (such as systemic lupus erythematosus). When known causes of heart failure are excluded, then heart failure is classified as idiopathic. Less often studied versus chronic heart failure, acute decompensated heart failure is associated with abrupt-onset symptoms associated with hospitalization. Nearly half of the admitted patients with heart failure have preserved ejection fraction.2,3

In order to appreciate the physiology of heart failure, a review of fundamental principles is in order. The Frank–Starling Law states that the stroke volume of the heart increases in response to an increase in the volume of blood filling the heart (the end
diastolic volume) when all other factors remain constant. The increased volume of blood stretches the ventricular wall, causing cardiac muscle to contract more forcefully (the so-called Frank–Starling mechanism). The stroke volume may also increase as a result of greater contractility of the cardiac muscle during exercise, independent of the end-diastolic volume. The Frank–Starling mechanism appears to make its greatest contribution to increasing stroke volume at lower work rates, and contractility has its greatest influence at higher work rates.4

While the Frank–Starling mechanism may contribute at lower work rates, cardiac injury at lower work rates may activate additional mechanisms. Cardiac injury in heart failure leads to not only reduced left ventricular (LV) dysfunction but also activation of the renin angiotensin system and sympathetic nervous system, ultimately causing LV thickening, remodeling, vasoconstriction (with pulmonary hypertension and cell dysregulation), and, possibly, apoptosis or programmed cell death. This sequence culminates in clinical heart failure—with attendant mortality and morbidity. Severity is graded by the New York Heart Association Class, with increasing severity of classes I to IV. Another grading system is the American Heart Association Staging System with stage C, classified as the symptomatic stage. This stage C is a result of known structural heart diseases and is associated with shortness of breath, fatigue, and reduced exercise tolerance. The obvious goal is to prevent progressive LV dysfunction to end-stage D, resulting in LV assist device implantation, cardiac transplant, or palliative care.5

Current Standard Therapies
Medication management of acute heart failure often entails diuretics to reduce preload, vasodilators to reduce preload and/or afterload, and inotropes to augment contractility. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and aldosterone antagonists also aim to reduce preload and afterload.6 One adverse side effect (usually nonallergic antibody, non-IgE–mediated) of ACE inhibitors is angioedema (risk up to 15.5%). The risk of angioedema from ARBs is 4.4%. For ACE inhibitors, this angioedema results from increased bradykinin production, which can occur at any time while taking these medications due to interruption of the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II. Angioedema from ACE inhibitors and ARBs may lead to life-threatening swelling of the throat, requiring critical interventions, ie, intubation or tracheostomy. For ARBs, the mechanism of action of angioedema is not due to direct production of bradykinin and is unclear. Some chronic persistent angioedema may occur despite cessation of these medications. Some evidence suggests that treatment with a bradykinin B2 receptor blocker (icatibant) may attenuate angioedema attacks early.7-9 Patients with hereditary angioedema (deficiency of C1 esterase inhibitor) and spontaneous attacks of swelling should not take ACE inhibitors. Clearly, it would be prudent to design a heart failure drug that does not incur risk of angioedema.

Other medications used for heart failure include inotropes—such as dopamine and dobutamine. While inotropes increase cardiac output, they often do so at the expense of increasing myocardial oxygen demand, predisposition to arrhythmias, and neurohormonal activation. Some patients may need invasive monitoring. Furthermore, inotropes do not improve mortality. There is 50% survival at 6 months and nearly 100% mortality at 1 year for those heart failure patients who are dependent on inotropes.10

Long-term survival among heart failure patients may be improved with β-blockers,11 ACE inhibitors,12 aldosterone antagonists,13 electrophysiology devices such as automatic implantable cardiovascular defibrillators, and vasodilators. Other drugs such as digoxin and diuretics do not alter death rates—digoxin reduces hospitalizations, while diuretics (furosemide or lasix) improve symptoms.

Another aspect of heart failure is diastolic dysfunction with preserved LV ejection fraction, accounting for half of the hospitalizations. Pathophysiologically, there is concentric remodeling and increased LV end diastolic pressure from a stiff left ventricle, thereby preventing relaxation. Medications for diastolic dysfunction are similar to systolic dysfunction: ACE inhibitors, ARBs, diuretics, and β-blockers.14

Emerging Novel Therapies
LCZ696. In August of 2014, the first new drug for heart failure in many years, called LCZ696, was shown to prevent deaths and hospitalizations in heart failure patients—better than the gold standard enalipril, which is an ACE inhibitor. In the PARADIGN-HF study, LCZ696, which is comprised of two drugs—an ARB and a neprilysin inhibitor called sacubitril—reduced the risk of cardiovascular-related death to 13.3%, versus the ACE inhibitor cohort rate of 16.5%. This use of sacubitril is an example of utilizing new or different pathways to treat heart failure.15

Sacubitril is a prodrug that is activated to LBQ657 by de-ethylation via esterases.16 LBQ657 inhibits the enzyme neprilysin,17 which is responsible for the degradation of atrial and brain natriuretic peptide, two blood pressure–lowering peptides that work mainly by reducing blood volume. As a result of reduced degradation of helpful peptides, these heart failure patients on sacubitril have less circulating blood volume and have done well in terms of prevention of deaths and hospitalizations (supra vide).

Ivabradine. On April 15, 2015, the Food and Drug Administration approved Corlanor (ivabradine) to reduce hospitalizations from worsening heart failure. The indications for ivabradine are specific: symptoms of heart failure that are stable and a normal heartbeat with a resting heart rate of at least 70 beats per minute and patients must already be taking β-blockers at the highest dose they can tolerate. Ivabradine is thought to work by decreasing heart rate and represents the first approved product in this drug class. It works via the Ifunny channel and does not affect other cardiac ionic currents (not beta receptor mediated).
Heart rate lowering with ivabradine reduces myocardial oxygen demand, simultaneously improving oxygen supply. There are no negative inotropic or lusitropic (myocardial relaxation) effects from ivabradine. Ventricular contractility is preserved. In clinical trials of 6,505 participants, hospitalizations for heart failure were reduced compared to placebo. The most notable side effects were bradycardia, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, and temporary visual disturbance entailing seeing flashes of light. The bradycardia may be severe.

Vasoactive intestinal peptide. Other novel therapeutic agents for heart failure may consequently have plausible efficacy on the heels of sacubitril and ivabradine. For example, Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), discovered by Drs Sami I. Said and Victor Mutt in the 1970s, is a 28–amino acid peptide found in nerve terminals and in mast cells. VIP increases cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) intracellularly to cause cardiac contraction in an analogous fashion to glucagon. VIP also is a smooth muscle relaxant, which may be beneficial in pulmonary hypertension associated with heart failure and VIP inhibits the proliferation of smooth muscle cells, attenuating vascular remodeling.

Inasmuch as sometimes vascular remodeling is a compensatory mechanism for dysfunction in heart failure, VIP has promise to treat this deleterious component. Enhanced peripheral vascular tone is a major factor in determining deterioration of clinical heart failure. Nakamura has reviewed peripheral circulatory failure in heart failure and notes that it is caused “not only by simple arterial muscle constriction, but also by structural and functional changes, including receptor and post-receptor levels in the vasculature.” Thus, vascular remodeling potentially may be an important mechanism underlying vasodilatory failure in both limb conduit and intraskeletal muscle vessels, contributing significantly to LV dysfunction and exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure.

What is compelling about VIP as a novel therapeutic agent for heart failure is evident in mice lacking the gene for VIP. These mice have dilated cardiomyopathy. Human heart failure gene programs are overexpressed in VIP knockout mice. So, the plausibility of VIP as both a treatment for heart failure in patients and a modifier of one’s biology in a pharmacogenomic fashion may have traction.

Four categories of genes triggering heart failure are increased in mice without heart-protective VIP: (1) force generation and propagation; (2) energy production and regulation; (3) Ca\(^{2+}\) cycling; and (4) transcriptional regulators. Force generation gene mutations encoding proteins of the muscle sarcomere have been associated with both hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy. Overexpression of sarcomere protein expression in the setting of a dilated cardiomyopathy may suggest a compensatory mechanism. Upregulated expression of other force generation genes such as sarcoglycan and caveolin in VIP knockout mice supports the concept of increased force to the extracellular matrix, with ventricular hypertrophy, for apparent release of protein products of these genes in heart failure.

Regarding energy production and regulation genes, it has been increasingly recognized that nuclear-encoded metabolic gene mutations are key regulators of hypertrophic cardiac remodeling and heart failure. For example, mutations in genes encoding the lysosome-associated membrane protein are associated with heart failure in patients. In the absence of VIP in VIP knockout (KO) mice, upregulation of PRKAG2, for example, would support a compensatory response to thinning of the cardiac wall. Another category of gene program alteration in VIP knockout mice supports the concept of increased

Table 1. Gene alterations related to hypertrophic/dilated cardiomyopathy in VIP KO mice compared to wild-type (WT) mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE SYMBOL</th>
<th>GENE NAME</th>
<th>KO/WT (FOLD CHANGE)</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>casq1</td>
<td>Caldesmon</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Calsequestrin is a major modulator of Ca(^{2+}) released from the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Overexpression of these proteins induces cellular hypertrophy in cardiac myocytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>casq2</td>
<td>Caldesmin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>Loss of titin homeostasis in cardiomyocytes results in myocardial stiffness observed in patients with hypertensive heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ttn</td>
<td>Titin (connectin)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>CD59 proteins regulate assembly of the membrane attack complex. Loss of function for both CD59a and CD59b variants result in a hemolytic phenotype. Elevated levels of expression may result as a molecular response to prolong erythrocyte longevity and improve oxygen distribution in the setting of heart failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cd59a</td>
<td>CD59a</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>“Lumican is increased in experimental and clinical heart failure, and its production by cardiac fibroblasts is induced by mechanical and proinflammatory stimuli.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cd59b</td>
<td>CD59b</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>Mutations that lead to dysregulation of this thin filament protein are associated with hypertrophic cardiomyopathies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lum</td>
<td>Lumican</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>Mutations of cardiac thin filament proteins eg, ACTC1 result in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, particularly LV hypertrophy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM1</td>
<td>Alpha tropomysine</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Upregulated in various forms of human heart failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>Desmin</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>Mutations of cardiac thin filament proteins eg, ACTC1 result in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, particularly LV hypertrophy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Heart failure–related genes are upregulated in VIP knockout mice.
− Ca\(^{2+}\) cycling − is critically important, since protein and RNA levels of key calcium modulators are altered in acquired and inherited forms of heart failure.

Overexpression of FKBP\(^{30}\) in mice supports the concept that these mice would have aberrant excessive release of calcium during the relaxation/diastolic phase of the cardiac cycle, enhancing the opportunity to generate hypertrophy. Cardiac hypertrophy is attenuated in murine models with overexpression of Caveolin-3, a potent upstream inhibitor of T-type Ca\(^{2+}\) current,\(^{18}\) and rats treated with Calhex231, an inhibitor of calcium-sensing receptor.\(^{31}\) Similarly, deleterious LV hypertrophy may therefore plausibly be suppressed with exogenous VIP administration. The fourth category of genetic alteration is transcriptional regulators. Mechanisms which activate or repress cardiac gene transcription may induce key molecules, which directly or indirectly lead to cardiac remodeling. Lack of VIP in VIP KO mice with the phenotype of biventricular dilated cardiomyopathy and primary pulmonary hypertension is concurrent with strong overexpression of cardiac muscle genes, supporting the concept of VIP in vivo as a maestro conductor maintaining homeostasis of the heart.\(^{26}\)

Table 1 shows on the next page multiple heart failure gene programs which are overexpressed in VIP KO mice. An accompanying bar chart (Fig. 1) in blue demonstrates progressive right ventricular hypertrophy in these mice with age. MicroMRI (Fig. 2) additionally shows right ventricular dilation in VIP KO mice. These physiological abnormalities are associated with premature death compared to the wild type (Fig. 3). Compensatory and pathogenic gene programs are displayed in Figure 4, with overexpression of leptin as a compensatory reaction for cardiac cachexia and low body weight along with proinflammatory interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-1. VIP signal transduction is shown in Figure 6. VIP increases intracellular cAMP and acts via protein kinase A to activate transcriptional promoters.\(^{32,33}\) VIP upregulates IL-10, which is anti-inflammatory.\(^{34}\)

Kupari et al found that VIP levels in serum from healthy subjects and patients with aortic stenosis and heart failure were released into the coronary sinus. VIP levels were higher in heart failure patients. These authors concluded that, although VIP was marginally elevated systemically in heart failure, it is the major neuroendocrine contributor to...
Novel therapies for heart failure.

Smitherman infused VIP into the left coronary artery of four other men at four levels. The maximum decline in coronary vascular resistance was 46% and was not associated with an increase in myocardial oxygen uptake. He concluded that “1) intravenous administration of low to intermediate doses of VIP in humans is associated with substantial coronary vasodilation, 2) the coronary bed appears to be at least as responsive as other vascular beds, 3) the coronary vasodilation is due to both direct and indirect effects, and 4) the coronary vasodilation does not appear to be mediated by prostaglandins.”

So, the potential of an exogenous, sustainable VIP drug would be to help relax coronary arteries. Lucia et al also found that VIP plasma levels were higher in heart failure patients with dilated cardiomyopathy compared to healthy subjects and was higher in older subjects. Heart failure patients with higher New York Heart Association severity had lower levels of VIP. These data support a putative restorative role of VIP in treating disease. These data also suggest that heart failure patients with worse disease have an inability to produce VIP. The capacity of the elderly to produce VIP is heartening, so that low levels are not due to aging itself.

PhaseBio Corporation recently conducted a phase 1, single ascending dose (SAD) study in patients with essential hypertension. PB1046 was administered subcutaneously and was found to be well-tolerated and demonstrated a prolonged, dose-dependent effect on blood pressure, which was used as a biomarker for activity in this study. (See http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01523067 for further details.)

With this demonstration of safety and tolerability at efficacious doses, PhaseBio conducted a second phase...
SAD study in patients with essential hypertension, with the product administered intravenously to support the use of PB1046 in an acute setting (http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01873885). Subsequent phase 2 clinical studies will examine the efficacy of multiple ascending doses of PB1046 in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension and heart failure.

**Conclusion**

Current therapies do not control progression of heart failure well and must often be used in combinations to optimize results. Novel emerging therapies show promise with improved outcomes but many still have limitations. LCZ696 utilizes a novel pathway to treat heart failure and improves mortality in comparison to the current standard of care, enalapril. It is important to remember that as a combination therapy utilizing an ARB, it carries a risk of inducing life-threatening angioedema. Ivabradine reduces rates of heart failure–related hospitalization, but the indications for use are strict and this medication carries undesirable side effects that potentiate etiologic mechanisms of heart failure. VIP, an endogenous peptide, shows widespread cardiovascular benefits as a vasodilator, an attenuator of smooth...
Novel therapies for heart failure

muscle proliferation, and a homeostatic regulator of heart failure. Use of an elastin polymer to protect and stabilize VIP provides the most benefit for patients. Further research is warranted. Use of an elastin polymer to protect and stabilize VIP as a therapeutic agent shows the interface between engineering and medicine, and it bodes well for the influence of technology on society, particularly since heart failure will continue to grow as a prevalent problem as the population ages. VIP may therefore have a chance to satisfy the unmet need as a treatment for acute heart failure, thus fulfilling discoverer Dr Sami I. Said’s dream to promote human health.
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