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IFN-γ receptor and STAT1 signaling in B cells are central to spontaneous germinal center formation and autoimmunity

Phillip P. Domeier, Sathi Babu Chodisetti, Chetna Soni, Stephanie L. Schell, Melinda J. Elias, Eric B. Wong, Timothy K. Cooper, Daisuke Kitamura, and Ziaur S.M. Rahman

Germinal centers (GCs) are specialized microenvironments formed in the secondary lymphoid organs that generate high-affinity, long-lived antibody (Ab)-forming cells (AFCs) and memory B cells (Nutt and Tarlinton, 2011). GCs can spontaneously develop (spontaneously developed GCs [Spt-GCs]) without purposeful immunization or infection (Luzina et al., 2001; Cappione et al., 2005; Vinuesa et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2014). We previously showed that in nonautoimmune B6 mice, Spt-GCs contribute to steady-state Ab production while maintaining B cell tolerance (Wong et al., 2012; Soni et al., 2014). Dysregulation of Spt-GC formation in human and mouse systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) generates pathogenic autoreactive Ab (ANA)-specific IgG AFCs that lead to high titers of ANAs, the hallmark of SLE disease (Diamond et al., 1992; Cappione et al., 2005; Wellmann et al., 2005; Vinuesa et al., 2009; Tiller et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011). Autoreactive B cells in Spt-GCs arise because of poor maintenance of B cell tolerance at the GC checkpoint, a factor that is an integral component of SLE disease initiation (Vinuesa et al., 2009; Rahman, 2011). However, the pathway that promotes the aberrantly regulated Spt-GC response in SLE is not clear.

In human and mouse SLE, IFN-γ expression strongly correlates with disease severity (Pollard et al., 2013). IFN-γ deficiency or blockade reduces auto-Ab production and ameliorates renal disease in both MRL/MpJ-Fas−/− and NZW/NZBF1 lupus mice (Jacob et al., 1987; Ozmen et al., 1995; Balomenos et al., 1998; Haas et al., 1998; Schwartz et al., 1998; Lawson et al., 2000), whereas excessive T cell–intrinsic IFN-γ signaling caused by decreased Il10 mRNA decay drives the accumulation of follicular T helper cells (Thf cells) and subsequent Spt-GC and auto-Ab formation in mice homozygous for the san allele of Roquin (Sanroque-Rc3h1ms; Lee et al., 2012). Increased production of IFN-γ has been reported in SLE patients (Csizsár et al., 2000; Harigai et al., 2008). Polymorphisms in the IFNG gene that drive increased IFN-γ expression are associated with SLE susceptibility (Kim et al., 2010). Also, blockade of IFN-γ has been shown to normalize IFN-regulated gene expression and serum CXCL10 in SLE patients (Welcher et al., 2015), highlighting the importance of IFN-γ receptor (IFN-γR) signaling in SLE de-
IFN-γ signaling is involved in Spt-GC development. We found that B6 mice deficient in IFN-γR1 (B6.IFN-γR1−/−) had significantly reduced percentages of B220+PNAhiFashi GC B cells and CD4+PD1hiCXCR5hi Tfh cells than wild-type B6 mice (Fig. 1, A and B). Immunohistochemical labeling of IgD+PNA+ GC B cells revealed an absence of Spt-GC formation in the spleens of B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice (Fig. 1 C). Additionally, the B220+ B cell population in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice also had a significantly lower number of IgG-producing AFCs than wild-type B6 mice, but IgM-producing AFCs were not significantly different between the two groups (Fig. 1, E and F). Similar results were seen in IFN-γ−deficient B6 mice (not depicted). The reduction in Spt-GC and IgG AFC responses translated to decreased levels of total IgG (Fig. 1 G), IgG2b (Fig. 1 I), and IgG2c (Fig. 1 J) in sera from B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice compared with control B6 mice. No significant differences were observed in IgG1 (Fig. 1 H) or IgM (Fig. 1 K) serum titers. Together, these data indicate that IFN-γR signaling is required for Spt-GC development and for the production of IgG2b and IgG2c Abs.

IFN-γR deficiency does not alter primary B cell development or foreign antigen–induced GC (iGC), Tfh cell, or Ab responses

Next, we evaluated whether the absence of Spt-GCs and significantly reduced IgG2b and IgG2c Ab titers in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice could be attributed to global defects in primary B cell development and/or the ability of B cells to mount an immune response. To determine whether the lack of IFN-γR expression altered BM B cell development, we analyzed subpopulations of the early B cell progenitors and found no significant difference in fractions A–F (Hardy et al., 1991) between the two groups (Fig. 2 A and B). We also did not observe any significant differences in peripheral immature B cells, including transitional type 1, type 2, and type 3 as well as mature B cell populations, including follicular and marginal zone B cells in the spleen (Fig. 2 C and D; Allman et al., 2001). B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice did not show an alteration in surface expression of B cell maturation markers IgD, MHCCI, IgM, or CD23 compared with B6 control mice (Fig. 2 E–H).

To study whether IFN-γR signaling is involved in foreign antigen iGC, Tfh cell, and AFC responses, we evaluated the B cell immune response against the T cell–dependent antigen NP-CGG (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenylacyl–conjugated chicken γ-globulin) 14 d after immunization. We observed not a lower but rather a higher proportion of NP-specific GC B cells in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice than B6 control mice (Fig. 3 A). We also observed no differences in NP-specific IgG and IgG1 AFCs (Fig. 3 C and D) nor anti-NP IgG and IgG1 Ab responses (Fig. 3 E and F) between B6 and B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice. The percentage of Tfh cells in B6 mice was also not different from B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice (Fig. 3 B). Together, these data indicate that IFN-γR signaling is not involved in the foreign antigen–driven GC, Tfh cell, and AFC responses nor primary B cell development.

RESULTS
IFN-γ–IFN-γR signaling is essential for Spt-GC B cell and Tfh cell development

Given the role of IFN-γ signaling in promoting IgG Ab production (Pollard et al., 2013), we asked whether IFN-γ signaling is involved in Spt-GC development. We found that B6 mice deficient in IFN-γR1 (B6.IFN-γR1−/−) had significantly reduced percentages of B220+PNAhiFashi GC B cells and CD4+PD1hiCXCR5hi Tfh cells than wild-type B6 mice (Fig. 1, A and B). Immunohistochemical labeling of IgD+PNA+ GC B cells revealed an absence of Spt-GC formation in the spleens of B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice (Fig. 1 C). Additionally, the B220+ B cell population in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice also had a significantly lower number of IgG-producing AFCs than wild-type B6 mice, but IgM-producing AFCs were not significantly different between the two groups (Fig. 1, E and F). Similar results were seen in IFN-γ−deficient B6 mice (not depicted). The reduction in Spt-GC and IgG AFC responses translated to decreased levels of total IgG (Fig. 1 G), IgG2b (Fig. 1 I), and IgG2c (Fig. 1 J) in sera from B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice compared with control B6 mice. No significant differences were observed in IgG1 (Fig. 1 H) or IgM (Fig. 1 K) serum titers. Together, these data indicate that IFN-γR signaling is required for Spt-GC development and for the production of IgG2b and IgG2c Abs.

IFN-γR deficiency does not alter primary B cell development or foreign antigen–induced GC (iGC), Tfh cell, or Ab responses

Next, we evaluated whether the absence of Spt-GCs and significantly reduced IgG2b and IgG2c Ab titers in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice could be attributed to global defects in primary B cell development and/or the ability of B cells to mount an immune response. To determine whether the lack of IFN-γR expression altered BM B cell development, we analyzed subpopulations of the early B cell progenitors and found no significant difference in fractions A–F (Hardy et al., 1991) between the two groups (Fig. 2 A and B). We also did not observe any significant differences in peripheral immature B cells, including transitional type 1, type 2, and type 3 as well as mature B cell populations, including follicular and marginal zone B cells in the spleen (Fig. 2 C and D; Allman et al., 2001). B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice did not show an alteration in surface expression of B cell maturation markers IgD, MHCCI, IgM, or CD23 compared with B6 control mice (Fig. 2 E–H).

To study whether IFN-γR signaling is involved in foreign antigen iGC, Tfh cell, and AFC responses, we evaluated the B cell immune response against the T cell–dependent antigen NP-CGG (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenylacyl–conjugated chicken γ-globulin) 14 d after immunization. We observed not a lower but rather a higher proportion of NP-specific GC B cells in B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice than B6 control mice (Fig. 3 A). We also observed no differences in NP-specific IgG and IgG1 AFCs (Fig. 3 C and D) nor anti-NP IgG and IgG1 Ab responses (Fig. 3 E and F) between B6 and B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice. The percentage of Tfh cells in B6 mice was also not different from B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice (Fig. 3 B). Together, these data indicate that IFN-γR signaling is not involved in the foreign antigen–driven GC, Tfh cell, and AFC responses nor primary B cell development.
IFN-γR signaling drives Spt-GC development in a B cell–intrinsic manner

To determine whether the requirement of IFN-γR signaling in the formation of Spt-GCs is B cell intrinsic, we first compared IFN-γR expression between B cells and T cells and found that IFN-γR surface expression was higher in B cells than in T cells (Fig. 4 A), with IFN-γR expression being significantly higher in B220⁺ PNA⁺ Spt-GC B cells than in B220⁺ non-GC B cells (Fig. 4 A). In contrast, IFN-γR expression on Tfh cells was significantly lower than naive T cells and B cells (not depicted).
Figure 2. IFN-γR signaling in B cell development. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of isolated BM populations for B cell developmental fractions A (B220+CD43−HSA−BP-1), B (B220+CD43−HSA+BP-1), and C (B220+CD43−HSA−BP-1−). HSA, heat-stable antigen. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of isolated BM populations for B cell developmental fractions D (B220+CD43−IgM−CD93−), E (B220+CD43−IgM+CD93−), and F (B220+CD43−IgM−CD93−) with plotted values for each fraction shown. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of isolated splenocyte populations for B cell developmental stages T1 (B220+AA4.1−CD23−IgM+), T2
Next, combinations of mature naive B cells and T cells were transferred from B6 or B6.IFN-γR−/− mice into Rag1−/− mice (Fig. 4, B and C). Rag1−/− mice that received IFN-γR−/− deficient B cells and B6 T cells failed to develop Spt-GCs as evaluated by histology (Fig. 4, B and C, second column). These mice also had significantly reduced numbers of IgG + AFCs compared with B6 B cell and B6 T cell combinations as controls (not depicted). In contrast, Spt-GCs were formed in Rag1−/− mice that received IFN-γR−/− deficient T cells and B6 B cells (Fig. 4, B and C, third column), highlighting the importance of IFN-γR expression on B cells in Spt-GC development. To confirm the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in Spt-GC formation, we generated mixed BM chimeras by reconstituting lethally irradiated B6.μMT mice, which lack mature B cells, with a mixture of BM cells (80% derived from B6.μMT mice and 20% derived from either B6 or B6.IFN-γR−/− mice). BM-reconstituted mice were reared for 3 mo before analysis. Mice reconstituted with IFN-γR−/− deficient BM cells exhibited significantly reduced percentages of B220+ PNAhiFashi GC B cells and CD4+PD1hiCXCR5hi Tfh cells than mice reconstituted with B6 BM cells as measured by flow cytometry (Fig. 4, D and E). Consistent with the flow cytometry data, spleens from recipients of IFN-γR−/− deficient BM cells exhibited a significantly reduced number and size of IgD−GL7+ Spt-GCs (Fig. 4 F). These data demonstrate that IFN-γR expression on B cells is required for Spt-GC development.

IFN-γ–mediated T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by B cells are critical for Spt-GC development

Previous studies have identified the role of T-bet in IFN-γ production by these cells. Altogether, our data highlight the significance of T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by B cells in driving Spt-GC development.

To ask whether IFN-γ signaling drives T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by GC B cells, we used a 40LB fibroblast–based culture system (Nojima et al., 2011) to induce GC B cells ex vivo (see Materials and methods section In vitro GC B cell development assay). When these ex vivo–generated GC B cells were cultured further for 4 d, their GC B cell phenotype could be maintained in the presence of either IL-4 or IFN-γ (Fig. 5 F). Treatment of these GC B cells with IFN-γ increased T-bet expression (Fig. 5, G and H) and IFN-γ production (Fig. 5 I), highlighting the role of T-bet and IFN-γ in maintaining the in vitro GC B cell phenotype. T-bet was not induced in and IFN-γ was not produced by these GC B cells in the presence of IL-4 (Fig. 5, G–I).

Finally, to evaluate the role of T-bet in Spt-GC formation in vivo, we analyzed T-bet−/− deficient B6 (B6.T-bet−/−) mice. B6.T-bet−/− mice had significantly reduced Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses than B6 mice at 3 mo of age (Fig. 6, A and B). Immunohistochemical labeling of IgD+GL7+ GC B cells revealed a significantly reduced size of Spt-GCs in the spleens of B6.T-bet−/− mice compared with B6 mice (Fig. 6 C). T-bet deficiency also strongly correlated with reduced IgG, IgG2a, and IgG2b serum Ab titers in B6.T-bet−/− mice (Fig. 6, D–F). By treating ex vivo–generated GC B cells from B6.T-bet−/− mice with IFN-γ, we further observed that the maintenance of the GC B cell phenotype (Fig. 6 G) and IFN-γ production by GC B cells (Fig. 6 H) were dependent on T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by these cells. Altogether, our data highlight the significance of T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by B cells in driving Spt-GC development.

IFN-γR–mediated Spt-GC formation is STAT1 dependent

To determine mechanistically how IFN-γR signaling in B cells controls Spt-GC formation, we measured pSTAT1 (pY701) and pSTAT3 (pY705) levels in GC B cells and found that B220+ PNAaFashi GC B cells had increased levels of STAT1 and STAT3 phosphorylation in the presence of IL-4 (Fig. 5, G–I). Treatment of these GC B cells either IL-4 or IFN-γ (Fig. 5 F). Treatment of these GC B cells with IL-4 or IFN-γ increased T-bet expression (Fig. 5, G and H) and IFN-γ production (Fig. 5 I), highlighting the role of T-bet and IFN-γ in maintaining the in vitro GC B cell phenotype. T-bet was not induced in and IFN-γ was not produced by these GC B cells in the presence of IL-4 (Fig. 5, G–I).

Finally, to evaluate the role of T-bet in Spt-GC formation in vivo, we analyzed T-bet−/− deficient B6 (B6.T-bet−/−) mice. B6.T-bet−/− mice had significantly reduced Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses than B6 mice at 3 mo of age (Fig. 6, A and B). Immunohistochemical labeling of IgD+GL7+ GC B cells revealed a significantly reduced size of Spt-GCs in the spleens of B6.T-bet−/− mice compared with B6 mice (Fig. 6 C). T-bet deficiency also strongly correlated with reduced IgG, IgG2a, and IgG2b serum Ab titers in B6.T-bet−/− mice (Fig. 6, D–F). By treating ex vivo–generated GC B cells from B6.T-bet−/− mice with IFN-γ, we further observed that the maintenance of the GC B cell phenotype (Fig. 6 G) and IFN-γ production by GC B cells (Fig. 6 H) were dependent on T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by these cells. Altogether, our data highlight the significance of T-bet expression and IFN-γ production by B cells in driving Spt-GC development.

IFN-γR–mediated Spt-GC formation is STAT1 dependent

To determine mechanistically how IFN-γR signaling in B cells controls Spt-GC formation, we measured pSTAT1 (pY701) and pSTAT3 (pY705) levels in GC B cells and found that B220+ PNAaFashi GC B cells had increased levels of STAT1, pSTAT1, and pSTAT3 compared with B220+ non-GC B cells (Fig. 7 A). Several studies using T cells have described STAT1–independent expression of T-bet and production of IFN-γ (Ramana et al., 2002; Johnson and Scott, 2007). Activation of both STAT1 and STAT3 by IFN-γ was previously reported (Sato et al., 1997). Therefore, we first determined whether IFN-γR signaling in B cells drove only STAT1 or both STAT1 and STAT3 phosphorylation. We treated purified naive B cells with IFN-γ in vitro and found a significant increase in pSTAT1 (Fig. 7 B) but not pSTAT3 (Fig. 7 C) within 5–15 min of IFN-γ treatment. By treating B cells with IFN-γ alone, anti-IgM alone, or IFN-γ plus anti-IgM, we...
further observed that the IFN-γ–induced STAT1 phosphorylation in naive B cells was independent of B cell receptor (BCR) stimulation (Fig. 7 D).

Finally, to study the role of STAT1 in Spt-GC development, we analyzed B6 mice deficient in STAT1 (B6.STAT1−/−) for Spt-GC formation at 6 mo of age. B6.STAT1−/− mice had significantly reduced percentages of Spt-GC B cells and Tfh cells (Fig. 7 E) and had no Spt-GC formation in the spleen compared with B6 control mice (Fig. 7 F). To further study a B cell–intrinsic requirement of STAT1 in Spt-GC development, we conditionally deleted STAT1 in B cells by crossing B6.STAT1fl/fl mice with CD19Cre mice (CD19CreSTAT1fl/fl). We found significantly lower GC and Tfh cell responses in B6.STAT1flox/flox control mice compared with B6.STAT1fl/fl mice (Fig. 7 G). Our histological analysis showed a lack of Spt-GC formation in CD19CreSTAT1fl/fl mice and well-formed GCs in B6.STAT1flox/flox control mice (Fig. 7 H), highlighting the significance of B cell–intrinsic IFN-γR and STAT1 signaling in Spt-GC development. By treating ex vivo–generated STAT1-deficient GC B cells with IFN-γ, we observed that the maintenance of the GC B cell phenotype (Fig. 7 I), T-bet expression (Fig. 7 J), and IFN-γ mRNA expression (Fig. 7 K) by GC B cells were dependent on STAT1.

The critical B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in IFN-γR–mediated Spt-GC and auto-Ab responses

IFN-γR signaling is implicated in ANA production and Ab-mediated pathology in SLE disease (Pollard et al., 2013). Spt-GCs are likely a major source of autoreactive B cells, leading to auto-Ab production and the development of autoimmune immunity (Diamond et al., 1992; Cappone et al., 2005; Wellmann et al., 2005; Vinuesa et al., 2009; Tiller et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011). To determine the role of IFN-γR signaling in the elevated Spt-GC response in SLE-prone mice, we crossed B6.IFN-γR1−/− mice to autoimmune-prone B6.Sle1b mice (named B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/−). B6.Sle1b mice carry lupus-associated polymorphic signaling lymphocyte activation molecule family genes (Wandstrat et al., 2004). Correspondingly, B6.Sle1b female mice exhibit an increased number of ANA-producing AFCs and high serum titers of ANAs as a result of significantly higher numbers of Spt-GC B cells and Tfh cells than wild-type B6 mice (Wong et al., 2012, 2015). We found that B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice had a significantly reduced percentage of B220+PNAhiFashi GC B cells (A and B) B6 (black circles) and B6.IFN-γR1−/− (gray circles) mice were immunized with NP-CGG. Flow cytometric analysis was performed on splenocytes 14 d after immunization to obtain the percentages of B220+FAS+PNAhi NP-specific GC B cells (A) and CD4+CXCR5+PD-1hi Tfh cells (B). [C and D] Quantification of NP-specific IgG+ (C) and IgG1+ (D) AFCs by ELISPOT assay in splenocytes obtained from these mice 14 d after immunization. [E and F] Anti-NP IgG (E) and IgG1 (F) Ab titers are measured in sera obtained from mice described in A–D. These data represent seven to nine mice per group for all panels. Data are the cumulative results of two independent experiments. Statistical values were determined using an unpaired, non-parametric, Mann–Whitney Student’s t test. *, P < 0.05.
Figure 4. **B cell–intrinsic requirement of IFN-γR signaling in Spt-GC and Tfh cell development.** (A) Flow cytometric analysis of surface expression of IFN-γR on CD4+ T cells, B220+PNA−Fas− non-GC B cells, and B220+PNAhiFas+ GC B cells. The mean IFN-γR surface expression (mean fluorescence intensity ± SD) in these three cell types from five mice of each genotype is shown in the right panel. Filled gray histogram indicates isotype control. (B) Representative images of spleen sections from Rag1−/− mice (five mice per group) that received combinations of naive B and T cells are shown. Sections were stained with anti-B220, anti-CD4, and GC B cell marker GL-7. Bottom panels show only GC B cell staining with GL-7. (C) Quantification of the number of GCs observed per 10× field from similar spleen sections shown in B (n = 5 mice per group). (D and E) The percentages of B220+PNA−Fas− CD4+CXCR5hiPD-1hi Tfh cells and B6+IFN-γR1−/− mice described in D and E are shown (left) and the number of GCs observed per 10× field from these mice (n = 3–4) is shown in the right panel. These data are representative of two independent experiments. In C, statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA with a follow-up Tukey multiple-comparison test. In A, B, and D–F, statistical values were determined using an unpaired, nonparametric, Mann–Whitney Student’s t test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001. Bars, 150 µm.
exhibited significantly reduced percentages of GC B cells (Fig. 8 F, left) and Tfh cells (Fig. 8 F, right) than mice reconstituted with B6.Sle1b BM cells. Consistent with the flow cytometry data, spleens from recipients of IFN-γR–deficient B6.Sle1b BM cells exhibited a significantly reduced number and size of IgD−GL7+ Spt-GCs (Fig. 8 G). Together, these data suggest the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in the dysregulated Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses in B6.Sle1b mice, leading to the accumulation of auto-Abs.

To determine the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in driving the proliferation and differentiation of autoreactive B cells into GCs, we used a well-characterized adoptive transfer system that involves the transfer of p-azophenyl arsonate (Ars) and DNA dual-reactive heavy chain knock in (named HKIR) B cells into syngeneic CD45.1/CD45.2 recipients preimmunized with Ars–keyhole limpet hemocyanin 1 wk before B cell transfer (Rahman et al., 2007; Vuyyuru et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2012). We performed a competition assay in which a mixture of Cell Trace–labeled 2 × 10⁵ CD45.1/Cy5.1 HKIR B cells and 2 × 10⁵ CD45.2*Cy7.1 HKIR B cells deficient in IFN-γR1 (HKIR, IFN-γR1−/−) were transferred i.v. into Ars–keyhole limpet hemocyanin–immunized B6.CD45.1/45.2 recipients. 4 d after cell transfer, we analyzed HKIR B cell proliferation and GC B cell differentiation into the GC B cell phenotype. On average, 20% of transferred HKIR B cells actively proliferated as measured by Cell Trace dye dilution (Fig. 8 H). Notably, the proliferation of transferred HKIR.IFN-γR1−/− B cells was significantly lower than competing HKIR B cells in the same recipients (Fig. 8 H, right). In addition, a greater percentage of HKIR B cells differentiated into GC B cells (B220+PNAhiFashi) compared with HKIR.IFN-γR1−/− B cells (Fig. 8 I).

IFN-γR signaling promotes ANA development through the Spt–GC pathway in B6.Sle1b mice

Given the role of IFN-γR signaling in driving ANA-specific HKIR B cell proliferation and differentiation into the GC B cell phenotype (Fig. 8, H and I), we asked whether decreased Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses in B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice resulted in reduced ANA reactivity in these mice. Compared with B6.Sle1b control mice, we found that B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice had significantly lower numbers of double-stranded DNA–, histone–, and nucleosome-specific AFCs in both spleen (Fig. 9 A) and BM cells (long-lived AFCs; Fig. 9 B). Consistent with the AFC data, we observed a significant decrease in serum ANA positivity in B6.Sle1b.
IFN-γR1−/− mice compared with B6.Sle1b mice as indicated by the fluorescence intensity of the nuclear staining on HEp-2 slides (Fig. 9 C). In addition, we observed a decrease in nuclear antigen–specific seropositivity in B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice compared with B6.Sle1b mice (Fig. 9 C). To confirm the findings of the ANA positivity measured by Hep-2 assay and to measure isotype- and subclass-specific serum ANA titers, we performed ELISA for specific nuclear antigens. B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice had a significant reduction in serum titers of IgG2b and IgG2c Abs specific for nucleosome, histone, and dsDNA than B6.Sle1b controls (Fig. 9, D–F). Reduced auto-Ab titers in B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice correlated with a reduced glomerular nephritis score (Fig. 9 G). We also observed a significantly reduced number of total IgG AFCs (Fig. 9 H) as well as histone- and dsDNA-specific AFCs (Fig. 9, I and J) in chimeric mice in which B6.Sle1b B cells lacked IFN-γR compared with chimeric mice that received B6.Sle1b BM cells. Consistent with the AFC data, we found diminished ANA reactivity in μMT mice receiving B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− BM cells compared with B6.Sle1b control mice (Fig. 9 K). Together, these results suggest the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γ signaling in Spt-GC development and subsequent auto-Ab production, leading to kidney pathology in B6.Sle1b mice.

**DISCUSSION**

B cells play a central role in SLE disease pathogenesis (Sanz, 2014; Jackson et al., 2015). Therefore, understanding the
mechanisms that drive autoreactive B cell development would help specifically target these cells in treating SLE patients. Given the recently appreciated positive association of IFN-γ in SLE pathogenesis, in the current study, we determined the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in promoting Spt-GC development and autoimmunity. Although it is not clear what triggers the development of Spt-GCs, our published data indicate that both nonautoimmune B6 mice and autoimmune–prone B6.Sle1b mice develop Spt-GCs (Wong et al., 2012, 2015; Soni et al., 2014). We believe that the factor that triggers Spt-GC formation in B6 mice is identical to that observed in B6.Sle1b mice and is the basis for the dysregu-
lated Spt-GC response caused by autoimmune susceptibility genes in B6.Sle1b mice and other lupus mice. Therefore, we first determined the B cell–specific role of IFN-γR signaling in Spt-GC formation and Tfh cell development without the confounding effects of any autoimmune susceptibility genes by using the B6 model. We further demonstrated that IFN-γR and STAT1 signaling drive Spt-GC development through the expression of T-bet and the production of IFN-γ by B cells. Subsequently, we showed the B cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses in autoimmune-prone B6.Sle1b mice, leading to autoimmunity.

Spt-GCs can form in response to endogenous or self-antigens. In SLE-prone mice and SLE patients, altered regulation in the Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses helps generate somatically mutated pathogenic auto-Abs (Diamond et al., 1992; Cappione et al., 2005; Wellmann et al., 2005; Vinuesa et al., 2009; Tiller et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011). We have previously shown that B cell–intrinsic, but not T cell–intrinsic,
Figure 9. *B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/−* mice exhibit reduced ANA titers and ANA–specific AFCs. (A and B) Quantification of dsDNA– (left), histone– (middle), and nucleosome– (right) specific AFCs in total splenocytes (A) and in BM cells (B) from indicated mouse strains (n = 7–10 mice per group). (C) ANA detection by fluorescent HEp-2 assay using sera from 6-mo-old mice (n = 5 mice per group). Representative images from five mice of each genotype are shown. Fluorescence intensity (gray values) of staining (right) and pie charts summarize the distribution of serum samples exhibiting nonreactivity (non–HEp-2.
expression of the lupus susceptibility locus Sle1b leads to elevated Spt-GC, Tfh cell, and auto-Ab responses in B6.Sle1b mice (Wong et al., 2012). Mutations in the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (Was) gene, a disease-associated allelic variant of protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor 22 (Ptpn22) and Lyn deficiency, have also been shown to promote Spt-GC, Tfh cell, and autoimmunity responses in a B cell–intrinsic manner (Dai et al., 2013; Hua et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2014). Alternatively, Lee et al. (2012) have reported that dysregulated Spt-GC and autoimmunity responses in sanroque mice result from an accumulation of Th9 cells in a T cell–intrinsic manner. Using the sanroque lupus model, Lee et al. (2012) showed that excessive IFN-γ production by T cells and T cell–intrinsic IFN-γ signaling profoundly increases Th9 cell accumulation and subsequent elevation of Spt-GC and auto-Ab responses. In this model, B cell–intrinsic IFN-γR deficiency did not significantly affect the Spt-GC, Th9 cell, and auto-Ab responses. However, we find a B cell–intrinsic requirement of IFN-γR signaling in the formation of Spt-GCs and Th9 cells. This differential B cell– and T cell–intrinsic role of IFN-γR signaling in Spt-GCs, Th9 cells, and autoimmunity may be attributed to two different models with distinct mechanisms for the loss of B cell tolerance, leading to SLE disease pathogenesis.

Using the B6.Sle1b model, we recently reported a B cell–intrinsic requirement of TLR7 in the formation of Spt-GCs and class-switched auto-Abs (Sonie et al., 2014). Other groups have confirmed the essential role of TLR7 in promoting Spt-GC, Th9 cell, and auto-Ab responses (Walsh et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2014). Based on the role of BCR and TLR dual receptor signaling in autoimmunity B cell activation (Leadbetter et al., 2002; Viglianti et al., 2003), synergistic BCR and TLR7 signaling are likely promoting Spt-GC, Th9 cell, and auto-Ab responses in lupus-prone mice. Interestingly, the absence of IFN-γR and STAT1 signaling also abrogates the development of well-formed Spt-GCs and auto-Ab generation in B6.Sle1b mice. The deficiency of TLR7 or IFN-γR signaling, however, does not significantly affect the GC, Th9 cell, or Ab responses against T cell–dependent foreign antigens. Together, these data indicate that TLR7 and IFN-γR signaling in the development of Spt-GCs and autoimmunity are mechanistically distinct from foreign antigen–driven GC (iGC) and Tfh cell responses.

IL-21 secreted by GC Tfh cells is the major cytokine that controls GC and Tfh cell responses (Tellier and Nutt, 2013). Previous studies showed a B cell–intrinsic role of IL-21 signaling in regulating GC and Ab responses elicited by protein immunization (Linterman et al., 2010; Zotos et al., 2010). Spt-GC responses were also significantly reduced in IL-21R–deficient MRL/MpJ-Faslpr mice (Rankin et al., 2012). Recently, Bessa et al. (2010) described the B cell–intrinsic role of IL-21 and TLR7 (TLR7/8) signaling in the GC and Ab responses against virus-like particles loaded with bacterial RNA. We and other groups recently showed a B cell–intrinsic requirement of TLR7 and MyD88 signaling in the Spt-GC and Tfh cell responses (Walsh et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2014; Sonie et al., 2014). TLR7 stimulation has been shown to be associated with increased IFN-γ production in T cells and myeloid cells (Chalifour et al., 2004; Caron et al., 2005). Our published data on the role of TLR7 (Sonie et al., 2014) and current findings about the role of IFN-γR signaling in the Spt-GC, Tfh cell, and auto-Ab responses in B6.Sle1b mice suggest that IFN-γR signaling may function downstream of TLR7 signaling, as TLR7 signaling can drive T-bet expression (Berland et al., 2006) and IFN-γ production in B cells. Although further studies are required to definitively determine the role of IFN-γR and IL-21R signaling at different stages of Spt-GC development, our data suggest that IFN-γR signaling in B cells is important in initiating Spt-GC formation.

Although the role of STAT1 and STAT3 signaling in B cell activation has recently been described in both mouse and human studies (Su et al., 1999; Xu and Zhang, 2005; Fornek et al., 2006; Avery et al., 2010), the role of STAT1 and/or STAT3 in IFN-γR–mediated Spt-GC development is not clear. We observed higher levels of pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 in Spt-GC B cells than non-GC B cells. By treating B cells ex vivo with IFN-γ, we showed only phosphorylation of STAT1 and not of STAT3. These data indicate that STAT3 phosphorylation is driven by a different pathway, such as by IL-21R, IL-6R, and IFN-γR signaling. Interestingly, we show an absolute requirement of B cell–intrinsic STAT1 expression for Spt-GC development, indicating that STAT1 may be required for initiating the Spt-GC formation. STAT1 was previously shown to be required for IgG auto-Ab production and B cell expression of TLR7 in pristane-induced lupus mice (Thibault et al., 2008). STAT1 expression in MRL/MpJ-Faslpr mice was also associated with increased kidney nephritis and SLE-like disease (Dong et al., 2007). Recently, a lupus risk variant that
is associated with decreased ETS1 and a high incidence of SLE has been shown to have increased pSTAT1 binding to DNA near the risk variant, spanning ETS1 gene in B cells (Lu et al., 2015). These data suggest that B cell–intrinsic IFN-γR and STAT1 signaling are crucial for Spt-GC development and autoreactive B cell selection in the GCs.

A combination of BCR, TLR7, and IFN-γR signaling has been proposed to drive T-bet expression, which was shown to drive the expression of a recently described age-associated B cell phenotype and class switching to IgG2a and IgG2c Abs (Rubtsov et al., 2013; Rubtsova et al., 2013, 2015). TLR7 signaling in RNA-specific 564Igi B cells has also been shown to drive T-bet expression and production of IgG2a and IgG2c Abs (Berland et al., 2006). How a synergy in BCR, TLR7, and IFN-γR signaling and T-bet expression in B cells may shape the Spt-GC response has not been described. In this study, we found that T-bet expression is significantly higher in Spt-GC B cells, which is, in turn, required for IFN-γ and IgG2c Ab production. By analyzing T-bet–deficient mice, we further showed that T-bet is critical for Spt-GC development. Although Spt-GC B cells and age-associated B cells share some common properties (i.e., increased expression of Fas and low expression of IgD), their lineage relationship remains to be defined. It will be interesting to determine whether one population serves as a precursor for the other population or whether they are two distinct B cell lineages with different functions.

Previous in vitro studies by Lund and co-workers have identified the molecular mechanisms that regulate IFN-γ production by B effector 1 (Be1) cells (Harris et al., 2005). IFN-γ production by Be1 cells is dependent on expression of the IFN-γR and the T-box transcription factor T-bet. Lund and co-workers also identified Be1 cells in mice infected with pathogens (i.e., Toxoplasma gondii or Heligmosomoides polygyrus), which preferentially induce a type 1 immune response. All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines approved by the PSUHMC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometric analysis of mouse splenocytes or BM cells was performed using the following Abs: Pacific blue–anti-B220 (RA3-6B2), Alexa Fluor 700–anti-CD4 (RM4-5), PE-anti–PD-1 (29F.1A12), Cy5–anti-CD86 (GL1), PeCy7–anti-CD95 (FAS, J02), PeCy7–anti–MHC II (M5/114.15.2), APC–anti-CD24 (HSA; M1/69), biotin–anti-Ly5.1 (AA4.1), and FITC–anti-F4/80 (BM8) were purchased from BD. The development of B6 mice congenic for the Sle1b sublocus (named B6.Sle1b) and B6.HKIR mice were described previously (Wong et al., 2012). All animals were housed in the Pennsylvania State University Hershey Medical Center (PSUHMC) in a specific pathogen–free animal facility. All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines approved by the PSUHMC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. C57BL/6j (B6), B6. Ifng1−/− (B6.IFN-γR−/−), B6.129S–Stat1tm1Div/J (B6.STAT1−/−), B6.129–Stat1tm1Mum/J Mmjax(B6.STAT1fl/+), B6.Cd19Cre/Cre, B6.129S7–Rag1tm1Mom/J (Rag1−/−), B6.129S2–Ighmmtm1Cgn/J (B6.μMT), B6. Tbx21−/− (B6. T-bet−/−), and B6. Il2−/− (B6.IFN-γ−/−) mice were originally purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and bred in house. The development of B6 mice congenic for the Sle1b sublocus (named B6.Sle1b) and B6.HKIR mice were described previously (Wong et al., 2012). All animals were housed in the Pennsylvania State University Hershey Medical Center (PSUHMC) in a specific pathogen–free animal facility. All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines approved by the PSUHMC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical labeling of mouse spleen sections was performed using the following Abs and reagents. For immunofluorescence, PE–anti-CD4 (SK1.5), FITC–GL7, and APC–anti-IgD (11–26c.2a; all from BD) were used. For visible histology, HRP–PNA (Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-IgD-biotin (11–26; SouthernBiotech) were used. Biotinylated Abs were detected by streptavidin–alkaline phosphatase (Vector Laboratories). Ab binding was detected using a blue alkaline–phosphatase substrate kit and the NovaRed kit for peroxidase (both from Vector Laboratories). 5–6-μm spleen cryostat sections were prepared as previously described (Rahman et al., 2003).

Quantification of GC frequency and size by histology. Immunohistochemistry was performed using the aforementioned Abs. Images of stained spleen sections were captured using a fluorescence microscope (DM4000; Leica Biosystems) and Leica Biosystems software. GC area was measured using an area measurement tool (LAS AF; Leica Biosystems). For GC frequency, total GC numbers were quantitated in five separate 10× field areas per spleen section in a blinded manner.

ELISPOT analysis. In brief, splenocytes in RPMI containing 10% FBS were plated at a concentration of 10^6 cells per well onto anti-IgM–, anti-IgG–, salmon sperm dsDNA– (Invitrogen), calf thymus histone– (Sigma-Aldrich), or nucleosome– (histone plated on a layer of dsDNA coating) coated multiscreen 96-well filtration plates (EMD Millipore). Serially diluted (1:2) cells were incubated for 6 h at 37°C. IgM-producing AFCs were detected using biotinylated anti–mouse IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) and streptavidin–alkaline phosphatase (Vector Laboratories). IgG-producing AFCs were detected using alkaline phosphatase–conjugated anti–mouse IgG (Molecular Probes). dsDNA–, histone–, and nucleosome–specific AFCs were detected by biotinylated anti-κ Abs (Invitrogen) followed by streptavidin–alkaline phosphatase (Vector Laboratories) or alkaline phosphatase–conjugated anti–mouse IgG (Molecular Probes). Plates were developed using a blue alkaline phosphatase substrate kit (III; Vector Laboratories). ELISPOTs were enumerated and analyzed using a computerized ELISPOT plate imaging/analysis system (Cellular Technology).

ELISA. For IgG or IgM detection, ELISA plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with anti-IgM or anti-IgG (both from Invitrogen) capture Abs and detected using biotinylated anti–mouse IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) or alkaline phosphatase–conjugated anti–mouse IgG (Molecular Probes). Total IgG auto–Ab titers were measured in ELISA plates coated with salmon sperm dsDNA (Invitrogen), histone (Sigma-Aldrich), or nucleosome (histone plated on a layer of dsDNA coating) and detected with biotinylated anti-κ Abs (Invitrogen). IgG subtype–specific auto–Ab titers were detected by biotinylated IgG1, biotinylated IgG2b, and alkaline phosphatase IgG2a, Abs (SouthernBio-tech). Biotinylated Abs were detected by streptavidin–alkaline phosphatase (Vector Laboratories). The plates were developed by the PNPP (p-nitrophenyl phosphate, disodium salt; Thermo Fisher Scientific) substrates for alkaline phosphatase and read at 405 nm.

Immunizations. Mice were immunized i.p. with 200 μg/mouse NP-CGG (Biosearch Technologies) in inject alum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by a booster immunization with 100 μg/mouse NP-CGG in alum 7 d later. Splenocytes were analyzed 14 d after initial immunization by flow cytometry.

Adoptive transfer. Magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS)–purified T cells and B cells were mixed at a 3:1 ratio (B cells/T cells) and i.v. transferred into Rag1−/− recipients. Recipients were analyzed after 2 mo for Spt-GC and Tfh cell development.

Generation of BM chimeric mice. 10–12-wk-old female B6.129 mice (B6.129.Sle1b or B6.129.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/−) were depleted BM cells isolated from 8–10-wk-old female donor mice with 80% of cells from B6.129 mice and 20% from B6 or B6.129.IFN-γR1−/− mice. In a similar experiment, each B6.129I.FN-γR1−/− recipient mouse i.v. received 10⁷ T cell–depleted BM cells isolated from 8–10-wk-old female donor mice with 80% of cells from B6.129 mice and 20% from B6.Sle1b or B6.Sle1b.IFN-γR1−/− mice. Recipients were analyzed after 3 mo for Spt-GC and Tfh cell development.

DNA/RNA preparation for real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA from different populations of B cells (naive B cells, Spt-GC B cells, iGC B cells, and ex vivo–generated GC B cells) was isolated as described previously (Wong et al., 2015). In brief, total RNA was isolated using a TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT of the RNA was performed using a high-capacity RT kit (Applied Biosystems). Taqman PCR Master Mix kit or Power SYBR green PCR Master Mix kits were used to quantify gene expression (Applied Biosystems) using a real-time PCR system (StepOne Plus; Applied Biosystems). Primers for the bcl-6, Ifng, and tbx21 genes were synthesized by IDT Technologies. Primer sequences were as follow: Bcl-6, forward (5′-CTAGCGTCTGACCAGGATCCA-3′) and reverse (5′-AGGTCACGGCTCAAGGTGGTG-3′); Ifng, forward (5′-CGGCACAGTCTAACCAGCC-3′) and reverse (5′-TGCATCTTTTCGGCTTTGTC-3′); Tbx21, forward (5′-AGGGACCGGCTTATATG-3′) and reverse (5′-GACGATCAGTGGCTACGAGTAT-3′); and actin (Actb), which was used as the reference gene for sample normalization, forward (5′-ATGTTGAGTCAGCAGCGGGA-3′) and reverse (5′-AAGGGTGTTAACAGCAGCTCA-3′). cDNA was amplified under the following conditions for all primer sets: one
cycle at 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

**In vitro B cell isolation, culture, and stimulation.** Isolated splenocytes were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) containing 10% FBS. To assay for cytokine production, splenocytes were cultured in GolgStop (BD) and stimulated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) and ionomycin for 4 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After stimulation, B cells were processed for intracellular cytokine staining as described in the Flow cytometry section.

**In vitro GC B cell development assay.** Ex vivo GC B cells were generated according to a protocol described previously (Nojima et al., 2011). In brief, 5 × 10⁵ MACS-purified naive B cells (Miltenyi Biotec) were cultured in a 6-well plate (BD) over an adherent layer of 3–4 × 10⁶ γ-irradiated (120 Gy) 40LB fibroblast cells. 40LB fibroblasts express CD40L and BAFF to stimulate B cell differentiation in the presence of IL-4. The culture media contained RPMI 1640 medium (Corning) supplemented with 10% FCS, β-ME (5.5 × 10⁻⁵ M), 10 mM Hepes, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 µg/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco), and 5 ng/ml rIL-4 (PeproTech). On the fourth day of culture, cells were harvested, washed, and analyzed for surface marker expression to confirm GC B cell phenotype and plated on another feeder layer of fibroblasts supplemented with media alone, rIL-4, or 10 ng/ml rIFN-γ (PeproTech) for another 4 d. On the eighth day of culture, cells were harvested and analyzed for surface marker expression by flow cytometry or processed for RNA preparation.

**Phosphoflow analysis.** For pSTAT kinetics experiments, MACS-purified B cells were activated with 5 µg/ml anti-IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) and/or 10 ng/ml rIFN-γ (PeproTech) for the indicated time intervals at 37°C. After activation, cells were fixed with a lyse/fix buffer (BD) for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were permeabilized with a perm/wash buffer (BD) for 30 min at room temperature. After two washes with a perm/wash buffer, cells were stained with anti-B220 and phosphoflow Abs (described in the Materials and methods section Flow cytometry; BD) for 1 h at 4°C. For analysis of STAT staining in GC B cells, total splenocytes were fixed, permeabilized, and stained with anti-B220, anti-PNA, anti-CD95, and phosphoflow Abs.

**Hep-2 ANA detection.** For the detection of ANA seropositivity, sera from mice were incubated at a 1:50 dilution in PBS on Hep-2 ANA detection slides (Antibodies Inc.) and detected with FITC–rat anti-κ (H139-52.1; SouthernBiotec).

**Kidney histopathology.** Kidneys from 6-mo-old females were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Kidney sections were cut at 3-µm thickness and periodic acid–Schiff stained. Pathology scores were obtained with a microscope (BX51; Olympus) and cellSens standard 1.12 imaging software (Olympus). A veterinary pathologist blinded to the genotype of the mice evaluated one kidney section per mouse. Each individual glomerulus was examined at 400× magnification and scored from 0 (normal) to 4 (severe) based on glomerular size and lobulation, presence of karyorrhectic nuclear debris, capillary basement membrane thickening, and the degree of mesangial matrix expansion/proliferation.

**Statistical analysis.** Comparisons of two groups were analyzed using an unpaired, nonparametric, Mann–Whitney test. One-way ANOVA, with a follow-up Tukey multiple–comparison test, was used to compare more than two groups. Prism 6 (GraphPad Software) was used for all analyses. Wherever indicated, P-values are as follows: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001. Bars reflect mean values, and error bars reflect standard deviation of the mean unless indicated otherwise.
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