

2009

Does Intraoperative Radiation Therapy Improve Local Tumor Control in Patients Undergoing Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity Score Analysis

Timothy Showalter MD

Thomas Jefferson University, timothy.showalter@jefferson.edu

Atul Rao

Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, atul.rao@jefferson.edu

Rani Anne MD

Thomas Jefferson University, rani.anne@jefferson.edu

Francis E. Rosato

Department of Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, francis.rosato@jefferson.edu

Ernest L Rosato

*Thomas Jefferson University, Ernest.Rosato@jefferson.edu*Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bodinejournal>*See next page for additional authors* Part of the [Oncology Commons](#)[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Showalter, Timothy MD; Rao, Atul; Anne, Rani MD; Rosato, Francis E.; Rosato, Ernest L; Andrel, Jocelyn; Hyslop, Terry; Xu, Xia; and Berger, Adam C. (2009) "Does Intraoperative Radiation Therapy Improve Local Tumor Control in Patients Undergoing Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity Score Analysis," *Bodine Journal*: Vol. 2 : Iss. 1 , Article 4.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29046/TBJ.002.1.003>Available at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bodinejournal/vol2/iss1/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in *Bodine Journal* by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Does Intraoperative Radiation Therapy Improve Local Tumor Control in Patients Undergoing Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity Score Analysis

Authors

Timothy Showalter MD, Atul Rao, Rani Anne MD, Francis E. Rosato, Ernest L Rosato, Jocelyn Andrel, Terry Hyslop, Xia Xu, and Adam C. Berger

Does Intraoperative Radiation Therapy Improve Local Tumor Control in Patients Undergoing Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity Score Analysis

Timothy N. Showalter,¹ Atul S. Rao,³ P. Rani Anné,¹ Francis E. Rosato,³ Ernest L. Rosato,³ Jocelyn Andrel,² Terry Hyslop,² Xia Xu,¹ Adam C. Berger³

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, ²Division of Biostatistics, and ³Department of Surgery Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Annals of Surgical Oncology, Volume 16, Edition 8, August, 2009, pages 2116-22, "Does intraoperative radiation therapy improve local tumor control in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma? A propensity score analysis". Authors: Showalter TN, Rao AS, Anné PR, Rosato FE, Rosato EL, Andrel J, Hyslop T, Xu X, Berger AC. Reprinted with kind permission of Springer Science and Business Media.

Abstract

Background: Locoregional recurrence (LRR) is an important factor after pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) for pancreatic cancer. IORT administered to the resection bed may improve local tumor control.

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of patients who underwent PD at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital (TJUH) between 1995 and 2005 to identify patients who underwent resection with and without intraoperative radiation therapy (IORT). Data collected included age, gender, complications, margin status, stage, survival, and recurrence. Unadjusted analyses of the IORT and non-IORT groups were performed using Fisher's chi-square method for discrete variables and Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for continuous variables. To account for biases in patient selection for IORT, a propensity score was calculated for each patient and adjusted statistical analyses were performed for survival and recurrence outcomes.

Results: Between January 1995 and November 2005, 122 patients underwent PD for peripillary tumors, including 99 pancreatic cancers. Of this group, 37 patients were treated with IORT, and there was adequate follow-up information for a group of 46 patients who underwent PD without IORT. The IORT group contained a higher percentage of Stage IIB or higher tumors (65%) than in the non-IORT group (39.1%), though differences in stage did not reach significance ($p = 0.16$). There was a non-significant decrease in the rate of LRR in patients who had IORT (39% non-IORT vs. 23% IORT, $p = 0.19$). The median survival time of patients who received IORT was 19.2 months, which was not significantly different than patients managed without IORT, 21.0 months ($p=0.78$). In the propensity analyses, IORT did not significantly influence survival or recurrence after PD.

Conclusions: IORT can be safely added to management approaches for resectable pancreatic cancer, with acceptable morbidity and mortality. IORT did not improve loco-regional control and did not alter survival for patients with resected pancreatic cancer. IORT is an optional component of adjuvant chemoradiation for pancreatic cancer. In the future, IORT may be combined with novel therapeutic agents in the setting of a clinical trial in order to attempt to improve outcomes for patients with pancreatic cancer.

Surgical resection is an essential component in the therapeutic approach to patients with localized pancreatic cancer. Despite refinements in surgical technique, local and distant recurrences are common. Long-term survival rates are low for patients with resectable tumors, with 15-20% 5-year survival reported among patients who undergo pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) alone.^{1,2} In a review of resection margins of 72 patients who underwent PD, Willett *et al.* detected a positive margin in 51% of cases; this factor was associated with inferior survival and local control when compared with those patients with negative surgical margins.² Although there is controversy regarding the appropriate components of adjuvant management of resected pancreatic cancer,³⁻⁷ outcomes achieved after surgery alone continue to be poor; therefore, the need remains for adjuvant therapy to improve local control and survival.³⁻⁸ In the United States, adjuvant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is performed as part of the standard therapeutic paradigm, based on the recurrence patterns of pancreatic cancer after surgery.^{8,9}

Intraoperative radiation therapy (IORT), the delivery of a single, large dose of irradiation at the time of surgery, was developed in order to administer higher doses of irradiation while displacing or shielding adjacent normal tissue structures from radiation exposure.¹⁰ In pancreatic cancer, IORT has been offered for unresectable tumors to provide local tumor control and palliation of pain,¹¹⁻¹⁶ and for resectable tumors in an effort to improve local control and survival after PD.¹¹⁻¹⁹ Although a definitive survival benefit has not been observed, improvement of local control by IORT at the time of PD for resectable pancreatic cancer is supported by retrospective data, as well as by a prospective, randomized trial conducted at the National Cancer Institute (NCI).¹⁹⁻²¹

At our institution, IORT has been offered since 1986 in a dedicated operating suite located in the radiotherapy department for patients with either resectable or unresectable pancreatic cancer. IORT was considered for all patients undergoing PD at our institution until 1998. Since then, IORT has been reserved for patients with larger tumors with higher risk of positive margins, as visualized by the surgeon on preoperative imaging. Prior reports from our institution have described outcomes of patients who received IORT for resectable and unresectable pancreatic cancer prior to 1995.^{13,22} The current study evaluates outcomes for patients who received treatment for resectable pancreatic cancer from 1995 to 2005. As a result of physician bias for the use of IORT in more advanced-stage pancreatic cancer at our institution, it was not possible to identify a comparative group of patients for use in a matched pair analysis. Therefore, in order to account for the biases inherent in the nonrandom treatment assignment for patients in the current study, analyses of survival and recurrence were adjusted using propensity scoring.²³ In this way, we attempted to minimize the influence of confounding patient- and tumor-related variables in order to assess the contribution of IORT to local tumor control and survival of patients with localized, resectable pancreatic cancer treated at our institution.

Materials & Methods

A prospective tumor registry database was searched to identify all patients who underwent PD at Thomas Jefferson University during 1995-2005. The study was performed with approval of the institutional review board at Thomas Jefferson University. These patients were further divided into those who did and did not receive IORT. We collected data regarding age, gender, margin status, stage, survival and recurrence. Loco-regional recurrence (LRR) was defined as recurrence within the tumor bed or regional lymph nodes. Systemic recurrence (SR) consisted of recurrence in the liver, peritoneum, lungs, bone, or other distant site. Overall survival (OS) and time to LRR were measured from the date of surgery. Given the institutional bias towards IORT for larger tumors during much of the study period, a difference between treatment groups was anticipated in the statistical analysis. A propensity score, a statistical method to adjust for nonrandom treatment decisions in observational studies, was also calculated for each patient using a logistic regression model.²³

Treatment Policy

All patients were treated according to institutional treatment policies during 1995-2005. As a general rule, IORT was considered for all patients prior to 1998 and subsequently for patients with larger tumors based on review of preoperative imaging by the attending surgeon. For these patients, surgery was performed in an operating room located in the radiation oncology department, an arrangement selected to facilitate IORT delivery. IORT was delivered using 6-15 MeV electrons and cone sizes selected in order to deliver a dose of 10-20 Gy to a field encompassing the pancreatic tumor bed within the 90% isodose line. Regional lymph nodes were not included in the target volume for most cases. The cone size, treatment set-up, and immobilization were selected in order to treat the target volume while minimizing exposure of adjacent normal tissue structures. The standard dose, 15 Gy, was reduced to 10 Gy for either large treatment volumes or margins that were clearly negative. For larger tumors, 20 Gy was often prescribed. In cases where adjuvant external beam radiation therapy (RT) was also delivered, a dose of 45-50.4 Gy was prescribed using a conformal, four-field radiation technique.

Statistical Analysis

Simple descriptive statistics were generated, and unadjusted associations with IORT were determined using Fisher's Chi Square test for categorical variables and using the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. The propensity score, which was calculated for all patients included in the analysis using a logistic regression model, included resection status, AJCC Stage, differentiation, age, race, and sex. Propensity scores were incorporated as a categorical variable in the statistical analyses based on quartiles. The association of IORT with the primary outcome of survival was determined using a Cox proportional hazards model. The Cox proportional hazards model included IORT, the propensity score (by quartile), adjuvant chemotherapy, and adjuvant radiotherapy. Association of IORT with the secondary outcomes of any recurrence, loco-regional recurrence, and systemic recurrence was determined using logistic regression models. Included in the logistic regression models for adjusted analyses of recurrence were IORT, the propensity score (by quartile), adjuvant chemotherapy, and adjuvant radiotherapy.

Results

From January 1995 to November 2005, 122 patients underwent PD for periampullary tumors, including 99 pancreatic cancers. We identified 37 patients with pancreatic cancer who were treated with IORT. Among the remaining 62 patients with pancreatic cancer treated without IORT, adequate follow-up data could be obtained for 46 patients, who comprised the non-IORT group used in the statistical analyses. The median patient age in the IORT group was 64 years (inter-quartile range, 55-70 years); in the non-IORT group, the median age was 67 years (inter-quartile range, 59-74 years). The IORT group demonstrated non-significant trends toward more advanced stage tumors ($p=0.16$) and a higher rate of positive margins ($p=0.26$). A higher proportion of patients received adjuvant chemotherapy after PD with IORT than after PD alone ($p=0.05$) (Table 1). There were 2 perioperative deaths in the IORT group (5.4%) and none in the non-IORT group ($p=0.20$). Rates of perioperative complications were similar, 46% in the IORT group versus 40% in the non-IORT group. The median follow-up among surviving patients was 21 months.

Recurrence and Survival

Recurrence data were available for 80% of all patients, including 30 IORT patients (81%) and 36 non-IORT patients (78%). Rates of loco-regional recurrence (LRR) (Figure 1) or any recurrence (Figure 2) were not significantly different between the IORT and non-IORT groups. Among non-IORT patients, there was loco-regional recurrence (LRR) in 39%, systemic recurrence (SR) in 50%, and any recurrence in 69%. In the IORT group, LRR in 23%, SR in 57% of patients, with, and recurrence was observed in 67% (Table 2). Liver metastases were the most common form of SR. LRR in the absence of SR was observed in 2 patients (7%) in the IORT group and in 7 patients in the non-IORT group (19%). LRR was not significantly different between the IORT and non-IORT groups ($p=0.20$). The median survival time of patients undergoing IORT was 19.2 months, which was not significantly different than patients managed without IORT, 21.0 months ($p=0.49$) (Figure 3).

Propensity Score Analysis

In the adjusted, propensity score analysis of the association of IORT with survival, IORT was not associated with significant improvement of survival time (Table 3). The Cox regression model for survival included IORT status with propensity score (by quartile), as well as adjuvant RT and chemotherapy. The propensity score-adjusted analyses of the association

Table 1. Tumor- and treatment-related characteristics for 37 IORT patients and 46 non-IORT patients with resected pancreatic cancer.

Factor		PD + IORT [n (%)]	PD (No IORT) [n (%)]	p value
Stage	I	7 (19)	16 (35)	0.16
	IIA	6 (16)	12 (26)	
	≥IIB	24 (65)	18 (39)	
Margin Status	RO	21 (57)	32 (70)	0.26
	R1/R2	16 (43)	14 (30)	
Grade (n=81)	Well	7 (19)	8 (18)	0.91
	Moderate	21 (58)	25 (56)	
	Poor	8 (22)	12 (27)	
Adjuvant Chemotherapy (n=79)	Yes	26 (84)	27 (63)	0.05
	No	5 (16)	16 (37)	
Adjuvant EBRT (n=75)	Yes	23 (74)	29 (66)	0.44
	No	8 (26)	15 (34)	

of IORT with LRR, with SR, and with any recurrence were conducted using logistic regression models (Table 4). After adjusting for propensity score quartile and for adjuvant therapies, IORT did not influence recurrence rates after PD for pancreatic cancer. A non-significant trend towards higher rates of any recurrence was noted for propensity scores in the third (OR 9.66, $p = 0.14$) or fourth quartile (OR 9.64, $p = 0.15$).

Discussion

Local control was not significantly different between the two groups, IORT and non-IORT, evaluated in the current series. Although the current study is limited by its retrospective design and institution bias towards treating more advanced tumors with IORT, an attempt was

Table 2. Location of first recurrence. Thirty patients in the IORT group and 36 patients in the non-IORT group were included in the recurrence analysis, based on availability of data to determine site of recurrence.

Site of First Recurrence	PD + IORT [n (%)]	PD (No-IORT) [n (%)]
Locoregional	7 (23)	14 (39)
Tumor Bed	6 (20)	8 (22)
Lymph Node	4 (13)	10 (28)
Locoregional-Only	2 (7)	7 (19)
Systemic	17 (57)	18 (50)
Liver	11 (37)	11 (31)
Lung/Pleura	4 (13)	7 (19)
Systemic-Only	14 (47)	11 (31)

made to account for nonrandom allocation of patients into the IORT and non-IORT groups by using propensity score values in adjusted statistical analyses of the association of IORT with survival and recurrence. Adjuvant chemotherapy was administered to more patients in the IORT group. Although information concerning decision-making was not available, the increased rate of chemotherapy may be related to the presence of more advanced tumors in the IORT group. Despite the trends toward more advanced-stage tumors and positive resection margins in the IORT group, similar local control rates were observed.

The disparities in stage and margin status in the current study may have obscured any potential local control benefit of IORT, as these factors have been reported to negatively influence survival for patients with resected pancreatic cancer.^{24, 25} Prior retrospective, single-institution reports suggest that IORT improves local control after PD by approximately 30%.^{19, 21} In this study, LRR was 50% less in the IORT group. In the prospective, randomized trial conducted at the NCI, local control improved from 0% to 33% with the addition of IORT.²⁰ In a recent series




Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plot of locoregional failure for patients treated with (solid line) and without (dashed line) IORT ($p = 0.20$).




Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier plot of recurrence (any site) for patients treated with (solid line) and without (dashed line) IORT ($p = 1.0$).

Table 2. Location of first recurrence. Thirty patients in the IORT group and 36 patients in the non-IORT group were included in the recurrence analysis, based on availability of data to determine site of recurrence.

Site of First Recurrence	PD + IORT [n (%)]	PD (No-IORT) [n (%)]
Locoregional	7 (23)	14 (39)
Tumor Bed	6 (20)	8 (22)
Lymph Node	4 (13)	10 (28)
Locoregional-Only	2 (7)	7 (19)
Systemic	17 (57)	18 (50)
Liver	11 (37)	11 (31)
Lung/Pleura	4 (13)	7 (19)
Systemic-Only	14 (47)	11 (31)

from the City of Hope National Medical Center, isolated local recurrences were reduced from 33% to 5% with the addition of IORT, which is comparable to the rate of isolated LRR in the current report (7%).²⁴ Reni *et al.* reported a similar alteration of recurrence patterns, with 15% local-only recurrences with IORT versus 33% without IORT.²⁶ The cumulative evidence, including one prospective randomized trial and a few prospective studies, supports a local control benefit for IORT in resectable pancreatic cancer. An improvement in local control has not been shown to translate into a clinical benefit in survival outcomes, including in this study. Although a propensity score analysis was performed in the current study to evaluate the influence of IORT on recurrence rates after PD, the limited size of the patient population may have restricted our ability to detect a significant positive effect.

The survival rates were not different between the IORT and non-IORT groups in the current series, which is consistent with the results of the prospective NCI study.²⁰ Given the propensity of pancreatic cancer towards distant metastatic recurrence, it is not surprising that a measurable increase in local control did not produce a corresponding improvement of survival.²⁷ Although some authors report a survival benefit from IORT for resectable pancreatic tumors at their institutions, the literature does not consistently support this claim, and detection of a

Table 3. Cox proportional survival hazard model for the association of IORT, propensity score, and other factors with survival time in months.

	Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Hazard Ratio	<i>p</i>
IORT	-0.34	0.35	0.94	0.71	0.33
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.51	0.73	0.48	1.66	0.49
Adjuvant Radiotherapy	-1.05	0.68	2.39	0.35	0.12
Propensity Score (vs. 1st Quartile)					
2nd Quartile	-0.68	0.45	2.35	0.50	0.13
3rd Quartile	0.55	0.49	1.26	1.73	0.26
4th Quartile	0.58	0.47	1.56	1.79	0.21

Table 4. Logistic regression models of the association of IORT, propensity score, and other factors, with the outcomes of any recurrence, locoregional occurrence, and systemic recurrence.

	Odds Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i>
Any Recurrence			
IORT	0.77	(0.19, 5.20)	0.72
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	1.69	(0.15, 19.29)	0.67
Adjuvant Radiotherapy	1.11	(0.11, 11.68)	0.93
Propensity Score (vs. 1st Quartile)			
2nd Quartile	1.22	(0.33, 4.52)	0.76
3rd Quartile	9.66	(0.47, 197.40)	0.14
4th Quartile	9.64	(0.46, 203.31)	0.15
Locoregional Recurrence			
IORT	0.41	(0.10, 10.30)	0.23
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.49	(0.02, 11.37)	0.65
Adjuvant Radiotherapy	1.74	(0.86, 35.51)	0.72
Propensity Score (vs. 1st Quartile)			
2nd Quartile	0.39	(0.02, 7.47)	0.53
3rd Quartile	5.13	(0.73, 36.03)	0.10
4th Quartile	3.32	(0.46, 23.93)	0.23
Systemic Recurrence			
IORT	0.99	(0.28, 3.52)	0.99
Adjuvant Chemotherapy	0.40	(0.03, 6.42)	0.52
Adjuvant Radiotherapy	1.30	(0.10, 16.96)	0.84
Propensity Score (vs. 1st Quartile)			
2nd Quartile	1.11	(0.23, 5.40)	0.90
3rd Quartile	0.91	(0.16, 5.13)	0.91
4th Quartile	0.59	(0.10, 3.50)	0.56

potential small survival benefit would require a large trial.^{19, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29} Regardless of the absence of improved survival, the problem of locoregional control does leave open a place for radiation therapy after PD, and IORT is an effective technique to boost radiation dose around the resection bed while displacing sensitive adjacent organs.^{8, 10, 17, 30} A recent analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database revealed a survival benefit to the addition of adjuvant radiotherapy after PD, and radiation therapy remains an important component of adjuvant strategies in the United States.²⁵ Results recently published from the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) trial 9704, the first cooperative group study to require prospective quality assurance of radiotherapy, suggest a benefit to the addition of gemcitabine to adjuvant CRT after PD. The rates of first relapse in local and regional sites in the experimental arm of RTOG 9704 were 23% and 7%, respectively.³¹

Importantly, the addition of IORT after PD did not increase peri-operative complication rates significantly in the current series, which is consistent with the earlier experience from our institution.²² Although late complications have been reported after IORT for pancreatic cancer, our results and other reports suggest that IORT may be delivered safely in combination with surgical resection.^{24, 26, 28, 32, 33-37} Selection of




Figure 3. Cox proportional hazards model for overall survival of patients treated with (solid line) and without (dashed line) IORT after PD ($p = 0.49$).

radiation doses for IORT was influenced by seminal, preclinical canine experiments performed at the National Cancer Institute that provided an understanding of normal tissue tolerances, including surgically-manipulated tissues, for IORT.^{38,39} These studies created a foundation for the rational delivery of IORT in humans, so it should not be surprising that clinical studies have shown these RT doses to be safe and feasible.

Based on recent practice changes at our institution, IORT will be offered infrequently for patients with localized pancreatic tumors, in favor of alternate adjuvant strategies after surgical resection. Novel adjuvant therapy combinations tested in recent institutional and cooperative group trials have focused on systemic treatments aimed at reducing metastatic recurrences after PD, as distant dissemination is a dominant cause of mortality for patients with pancreatic cancer.^{27, 40} However, adjuvant external beam radiation therapy remains an important component of management, based on recognition of the parallel importance of preventing local recurrence.^{2, 25} Although the current study does not support its continued use, it is reasonable that IORT is considered as a potential component of adjuvant RT strategies. Should adjuvant systemic therapies for resected pancreatic cancer improve in the future, LRR may become a more significant concern. IORT may become a more important tool for maximizing loco-regional control in that situation.

In summary, the current study demonstrates that IORT is a safe addition to PD and standard adjuvant therapies, with the intention of improving local control after PD for patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. Although local control was not significantly improved with the addition of IORT in the current study, the significantly higher number of more advanced stage tumors and a trend towards more positive surgical margins in the IORT patients may have influenced the results of our comparative analyses. We cannot currently recommend routine use of IORT in the adjuvant setting for patients with resected pancreatic cancer. Future clinical trials with novel therapeutic agents may include IORT in combination with resection, adjuvant external beam radiotherapy, and systemic agents in order to improve outcomes for patients with pancreatic cancer.

References

- Sohn TA, Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, et al. Resected adenocarcinoma of the pancreas-616 patients: Results, outcomes, and prognostic indicators. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2000;4:567-579.
- Willet CG, Lewandrowski K, Warshaw AL, et al. Resection margins in carcinoma of the head of the pancreas. *Ann Surg* 1992;217:144-148.
- Kaiser MH, Ellenberg SS. Pancreatic cancer: adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection. *Arch Surg* 1985;120:899-903.
- Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group. Further evidence of effective adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection for pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 1987;59:2006-2010.
- Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, et al. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:1200-1210.
- Stocken DD, Buchler MW, Dervenis C, et al. Meta-analysis of randomised adjuvant therapy trials for pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2005;92:1372-1381.
- Klinkenbil JH, Jeekel H, Sahmoud T, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and 5-fluoruracil after curative resection of cancer of the pancreas and periampullary region: Phase III trial of the EORTC Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Cooperative Group. *Ann Surg* 1999;230:776-784.
- Kennedy EP, Yeo CJ. The case for routine use of adjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2007;95:597-603.
- Zuckerman DS, Ryan DP. Adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer: A review. *Cancer* 2008;2007 Nov 29 [Epub ahead of print].
- Willet CG, Czito BG, Tyler DS. Intraoperative radiation therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:971-977.
- Willet CG, Del Castillo CF, Shih HA, et al. Long-term results of intraoperative electron beam irradiation (IOERT) for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 2005;241:295-299.
- Ma H-B, Di Z-L, Wang X-J, et al. Effect of intraoperative radiotherapy combined with external beam radiotherapy following internal drainage for advanced pancreatic carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004;10:1669-1671.
- Mohiuddin M, Regine WF, Stevens J, et al. Combined intraoperative radiation and perioperative chemotherapy for unresectable cancers of the pancreas. *J Clin Oncol* 1995;13:2764-2768.
- Shiple WU, Wood WC, Tepper JE, et al. Intraoperative electron beam irradiation for patients with unresectable pancreatic carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 1984;200:289-294.
- Tepper JE, Noyes D, Krall JM, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy of pancreatic carcinoma: a report of RTOG-8505. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1991;21:1145-1149.
- Tuckson WB, Goldson AL, Ashayeri E, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy for patients with carcinoma of the pancreas: The Howard University hospital experience, 1978-1986. *Ann Surg* 1988;207:648-653.
- Valentini V, Balducci M, Tortoreto F, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy: current thinking. *EJSO* 2002;28:180-185.
- Willet CG, Warshaw AL. Intraoperative electron beam irradiation in pancreatic cancer. *Frontiers in Bioscience* 1998;3:e207-213.
- Zerbi A, Fossati V, Parolini D, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy adjuvant to resection in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 1994;73:2930-2935.
- Sindelar WF, Kinsella TJ. Studies of intraoperative radiotherapy in carcinoma of the pancreas. *Ann Oncol* 1999;10:S226-S230.
- Alfieri S, Morganti AG, Di Giorgio A, et al. Improved survival and local control after intraoperative radiation therapy and postoperative radiotherapy: A multivariate analysis of 46 patients undergoing surgery for pancreatic head cancer. *Arch Surg* 2001;136:343-347.
- Farrell TJ, Barbot DJ, Rosato FE. Pancreatic resection combined with intraoperative radiation therapy for pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 1997;226:66-69.
- D'Agostino RB, Jr. Propensity score methods for bias reduction in the comparison of a treatment to a non-randomized control group. *Stat Med* 1998;17:2265-2281.
- Schwarz RE, Smith DD, Keny H, et al. Impact of intraoperative radiation on postoperative and disease-specific outcome after pancreatoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma: A propensity score analysis. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2003;26:16-21.
- Hazard L, Tward JD, Szabo A, et al. Radiation therapy is associated with improved survival in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma: Results of a study from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Registry data. *Cancer* 2007;110:2191-2201.
- Reni M, Panucci MG, Ferreri AJM, et al. Effect on local control and survival of electron beam intraoperative irradiation for resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;50:651-658.
- Hishinuma S, Ogata Y, Tomikawa M, et al. Patterns of recurrence after curative resection of pancreatic cancer, based on autopsy findings. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2006;10:511-518.
- Kokubo M, Nishimura Y, Shibamoto Y, et al. Analysis of the clinical benefit of intraoperative radiotherapy in patients undergoing macroscopically curative resection for pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000;48:1081-1087.
- Nishimura Y, Hosotani R, Shibamoto Y, et al. External and intraoperative radiotherapy for resectable and unresectable pancreatic cancer: Analysis of survival rates and complications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997;39:39-49.
- Newman EA, Simeone DM, Mulholland MW. Adjuvant treatment strategies for pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2006;10:916-926.

31. Regine WF, Winter K, Abrams RA, *et al.* Fluorouracil vs gemcitabine chemotherapy before and after fluorouracil-based chemoradiation following resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2008;299:1019-1026.
32. Shimizu Y, Yasui K, Fuwa N, *et al.* Late complication in patients undergoing pancreatic resection with intraoperative radiation therapy: Gastrointestinal bleeding with occlusion of the portal system. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;20:1235-1240.
33. Spitz FR, Abbruzzese JL, Lee JE, *et al.* Preoperative and postoperative chemoradiation strategies in patients treated with pancreaticoduodenectomy for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:928-937.
34. Coquard R, Ayzac L, Gilly F-N, *et al.* Intraoperative radiotherapy in resected pancreatic cancer: feasibility and results. *Radiother Oncol* 1997;44:271-275.
35. Dobelbower RR, Merrick HW, Khuder S, *et al.* Adjuvant radiation therapy for pancreatic cancer: A 15-year experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997;39:31-37.
36. Evans DB, Termuhlen PM, Byrd DR, *et al.* Intraoperative radiation therapy following pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:54-60.
37. O'Connor JK, Sause WT, Hazard LJ, *et al.* Survival after attempted surgical resection and intraoperative radiation therapy for pancreatic and periampullary adenocarcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;63:1060-1066.
38. Kinsella TJ, Sindelar WF. Intraoperative radiotherapy for pancreatic carcinoma. Experimental and clinical studies. *Cancer* 1996;78:598-604.
39. Sindelar WF, Kinsella TJ, Tepper JE, *et al.* Experimental and clinical studies with intraoperative radiotherapy. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1983;157:205-219.
40. Regine WF, Abrams RA. Adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer: current status, future directions.