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Case Report
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We describe a case of isolated primary laryngeal leishmaniasis in an immunocompetent Italian patient with a previous medical history negative for visceral or cutaneous leishmaniasis, presenting with hoarseness. We also summarize the epidemiological, clinical, and diagnostic features and the therapeutic management of other cases of laryngeal leishmaniasis in immunocompetent subjects, described in the literature. Considering the insidious and nonspecific clinical presentation, the increasing number of different forms of mild or underestimated immunosuppressive conditions, and the number of people travelling in endemic zones, along with the ability of Leishmania amastigotes to survive for a long period in the body, we believe it is important for pathologists and clinicians to be aware of this unusual form of leishmaniasis in order to avoid delayed recognition and treatment. The rarity of the presentation and the lack of guidelines on mucosal leishmaniasis may contribute to the potential undiagnosed cases or delayed diagnosis, the possible relapses, as well as the correct pharmacological and/or surgical therapeutic approach.

1. Introduction

Leishmaniasis is a zoonosis caused by protozoa of the genus Leishmania [1] and normally transmitted by the bite of the female Phlebotomus (and Lutzomyia) sandfly [2]. Extent and localization of lesions depend both on parasite characteristics and host immune response. Leishmaniasis can be clinically classified into three forms: cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), visceral leishmaniasis (VL), and mucosal/mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (ML) [3].

In leishmaniasis, larynx is more often involved during ML, when mucosal lesions, firstly localized in the nose and/or oral cavity, may progressively descend the upper respiratory tract and sometimes involve the laryngeal mucosa. This is typical of Leishmania (L) braziliensis infection, which is common in South America [4]. However, some cases of primary laryngeal leishmaniasis, without previous or contemporary involvement of other sites, have been reported. These reports have been associated with L. donovani and L. infantum infection, which are typical of the Mediterranean Basin, India, and Sub-Saharan Africa [2].

Here, we present a case of primary isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis in an immunocompetent Italian patient and a review of the literature.

2. Case Presentation

In July 2010, a 64-year-old Italian man presented to the Division of Infectious Diseases of the Garibaldi-Nesima Hospital of Catania with an 8-month history of hoarseness and discomfort. He lived in Sicily and he had not travelled outside Europe. His medical history was notable for chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diagnosed 20 years before but never treated with corticosteroids, and hypertension. He also referred hypersensitivity to some unspecified nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and to be a former smoker (25 cigarettes/day).

On physical examination, no skin lesions were noted; there was neither hepatosplenomegaly nor lymphadenopathy. Laboratory tests were unremarkable. Results of HIV serological tests were negative.

In December 2009, before coming to our institute, the patient had already undergone fibroscopy and a laryngeal biopsy because of his symptoms. Histological examination had revealed mucosal inflammation and hyperplasia. On the basis of these results, he had been treated with amoxicillin. In July 2010, because of lack of clinical improvement, a second laryngeal biopsy had been performed. The samples were taken from both vocal cords. Laryngostroboscopic examination showed two focal hard and whitish lesions of the true vocal cords, which had marked attitude to hyperadduction and defect of mucosal wave (Figure 1(a)). Histological examination showed the presence of chronic inflammatory tissue with a wide amount of histiocytes, containing a large number of round parasites, which were referable to amastigotes belonging to *Leishmania* spp. (Figure 2). The results of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis were positive for *L. donovani*. Finally, the patient was treated with liposomal amphotericin B at a dose of 3 mg/kg/per day for 7 days, after which he continued liposomal amphotericin B (3 mg/kg once a week) for 5 weeks. At the end of the therapy, the patient reached complete recovery.

In June 2011, the patient was readmitted to our institution because of increasing dysphonia. A laryngeal biopsy, done in May 2011, still showed the presence of intracellular *Leishmania* amastigotes, as such the patient was treated with a liposomal amphotericin B-based regimen, at a dose of 3 mg/kg/per day for seven days and, subsequently, 3 mg/kg once a week for 5 weeks. At the end of this treatment, the patient had resolution of dysphonia and, at present, he is in good health. Repeat laryngoscopy showed a significant improvement of vocal cord lesions (Figure 1(b)).

3. Review And Discussion

3.1. Case Definition. We report a case of isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis in an immunocompetent patient, who presented to our Infectious Diseases Unit. We also review 15 previously reported cases from 14 articles (Table 1). Other articles were discarded because they did not meet the following criteria: (1) full description of the case; (2) absence of any other lesion related to *Leishmania* infection; (3) absence of local or systemic immunodeficiency; (4) access to English full text or English abstract. Patients were considered immunocompromised in presence of known immune compromising factors, such as viral infections, corticosteroid therapy, or tumors.

4. Review of Published Works and Discussion

Sixteen cases [5–18] of laryngeal leishmaniasis are reviewed, including the present report and fifteen previously published cases. The median patient age was 53.5 years (interquartile range 41.5–64 years), and 94% were men. Seven patients (45%) lived in Italy, three (19%) in France, two (12%) in
Table 1: Features of 16 cases of isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis in immunocompetent subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nation</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Lesion site</th>
<th>Lesion description</th>
<th>Signs and Symptoms</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Differential diagnosis</th>
<th>Leishmania spp.</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Thyroid nodules</td>
<td>Subglottic region and vocal cords</td>
<td>Pinkish-white mass</td>
<td>Hoarseness, dyspnea, cough, and noisy breathing</td>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Unknown, probably L. donovani</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericine B daily for 14 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery, no relapse after 8 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Right epiglottis and pharyngo-laryngeal wall</td>
<td>Whitish fungating region</td>
<td>Dysphonia, dyspnea, and odynophagia</td>
<td>Histological (Giemsa)</td>
<td>Histoplasmosis</td>
<td>L. infantum (PCR)</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericine B (3 mg/kg/day), for 5 days, repeated after 10 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery, no relapse after a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Right vocal cord</td>
<td>Ulcerative nodular mass</td>
<td>Dysphonia and difficult breathing</td>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Diabetes, previous heavy smoker</td>
<td>Left vocal cord</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Dysphonia</td>
<td>Histological antileishmania antibodies</td>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericine B (0.5 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>Partial clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Vocal cords</td>
<td>Ulcer</td>
<td>Dysphonia, dysphagia</td>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Meglumine antimoniate (0.1 g/day) for 3 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>Dysphonia (Giemsa)</td>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Meglumine antimoniate for 20 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Right vocal cord</td>
<td>Polypoid lesion</td>
<td>Hoarseness</td>
<td>Histological (Giemsa)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>L. donovani (immunoperoxidase)</td>
<td>Microsurgery</td>
<td>Clinical recovery, no relapse after a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Vocal cords</td>
<td>Nodular lesion</td>
<td>Dysphonia</td>
<td>Histological antileishmania antibodies</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Meglumine antimoniate (850 mg/day) for 21 days</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Vocal cords</td>
<td>Ulcerative lesion</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Histological antileishmania antibodies</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Amphoteranicine B (3 mg/kg/day) at day 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Hoarseness</td>
<td>Histological antileishmania antibodies</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>L. donovani or L. infantum or L. tropica (PCR)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nation</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Lesion site</th>
<th>Lesion description</th>
<th>Signs and Symptoms</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Differential diagnosis</th>
<th>Leishmania spp.</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>Subglottic region</td>
<td>Polypoid lesion and erythema</td>
<td>Cough, mucus production</td>
<td>Histological (Giemsa) antileishmanian antibodies</td>
<td>Toxoplasmosis Histoplasmosis</td>
<td>L. donovani (PCR)</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericin B (0.5 mg/kg/day) for 10 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>Polypoid lesion</td>
<td>Hoarseness</td>
<td>Histological antileishmanian antibodies</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Left vocal cord, arytenoid cartilage, and epiglottis</td>
<td>Tumor-like lesion</td>
<td>Dysphonia</td>
<td>Histological (haematoxylin and eosin, Giemsa)</td>
<td>Bacterial, fungal, and mycobacterial infections</td>
<td>L. infantum (culture and isoenzyme analysis)</td>
<td>Meglumine antimoniate (850 mg/day) for 28 days</td>
<td>Clinical recovery, no relapse after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Smoker, alcohol drinker</td>
<td>Left vocal cord and subglottic region</td>
<td>Vegetant ulcerated lesion</td>
<td>Dysphonia, dyspnea, and weight loss</td>
<td>Histological (haematoxylin and eosin)</td>
<td>Tuberculosis neoplasia</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Meglumine antimoniate (10 mg/day) for 2 months</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>COPD, former smoker</td>
<td>Left vocal cord</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Hoarseness and dysphagia</td>
<td>Histological (haematoxylin and eosin, Giemsa)</td>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>L. donovani (PCR)</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericin B (3 mg/kg/day) at day 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14, and 21</td>
<td>Clinical recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported here</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>COPD, hypertension, former smoker</td>
<td>Vocal cords</td>
<td>Inflammation and mucosal hyperplasia</td>
<td>Hoarseness and discomfort</td>
<td>Histological (haematoxylin and eosin)</td>
<td>Neoplasia</td>
<td>L. infantum (PCR)</td>
<td>Liposomal amphotericin B (3 mg/kg/day) for 7 days, then once a week for 5 weeks</td>
<td>Clinical recovery, relapse after 3 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---: not reported/unknown.
COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PCR: polymerase chain reaction.
Spain, one (6%) in India, Pakistan, United Kingdom, and Denmark, respectively. Three patients (19%) had a travel history, respectively, around Europe, Spain, and France.

As for comorbidities, a history of smoke was reported in six cases (37.5%); three patients (19%), including the one in the present report, had COPD, one (6%) had type 2 diabetes mellitus, another patient (6%) had thyroid nodules. The patient described here also had hypertension.

In eleven cases (69%) laryngeal lesions were localized in vocal cords, in two subjects with a consensual involvement of the subglottic region (12%), and in two others of arytenoid cartilage (12%). Subglottic region was the exclusive localization in a case (6%), as well as epiglottis (6%). In three cases (19%) the exact site was not specified.

The most common symptom (8/16 patients, 50%) was dysphonia, isolated (37.5%) or accompanied by dyspnea (37.5%) or dysphagia (25%). Six subjects (37.5%) complained of hoarseness, in one case associated with dyspnea and cough, in another with dysphagia. Less common symptoms (6% in each case) were oedynophagia and weight loss. When reported, duration of symptoms ranged between two and eight months.

Histological exam was successful in all cases. Giemsa stain was performed in six cases (37.5%), haematoxylin and eosin staining in four cases (25%). Antileishmania antibodies were positive in six cases (37.5%).

In differential diagnosis, neoplasia was considered in five cases (31%), histoplasmosis in two cases (12%); tuberculosis, toxoplasmosis, and unspecific infection were each considered in one case (6%).

Identification of Leishmania spp. was made in seven cases (45%): L. infantum was identified in three cases, by culture and isoenzyme analysis or PCR, in four others L. donovani was isolated by PCR or immunoperoxidase analysis. In a case, a generic diagnosis of infection by Leishmania spp. was made by PCR.

In seven cases (45%), therapy was performed with liposomal amphotericine B, in five cases (31%) with meglumine antimonials, and in two cases (12%) with surgery. After treatment, twelve patients (75%) clinically recovered and one (6%) only partially recovered.

Isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis has been related with L. donovani and L. infantum infection [2, 19, 20]. Indeed, to our knowledge cases of isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis associated with L. braziliensis infection have not been reported so far. It could mean that only some strains belonging to L. donovani complex are able to adapt themselves to electively live in the laryngeal tissue. Otherwise, the final site of lesions may be determined by the effectiveness of the host immune response against Leishmania. In this case, laryngeal lesions would mirror the capability of macrophages to confine the disease.

Leishmaniasis is transmitted by sandfly bite [1], but it appears unlikely to hypothesize a direct injection of protozoa in the laryngeal mucosa. More probably, Leishmania (free or carried by macrophagic cells) may reach the laryngeal tissue by lymphatics and bloodstream, after being inoculated in more accessible sites. Unfortunately, the site of injection is generally undetectable.

The localization of Leishmania in the laryngeal mucosa is promoted by local or systemic immunodeficiency, for example, HIV infection [21] and corticosteroid therapy [22]. In immunocompetent patients, the absence of any documented immunodeficiency, such as in our case report and reviewed cases, suggests the need to speculate about other risk factors. Aliaga and colleagues supposed that the lower temperature of the upper aerodigestive tract might help the survival of adapted Leishmania strains [3]. Inflammation of the upper respiratory tract, associated with smoke [8, 11, 16–18] or chronic respiratory diseases [14, 18], could also play an important role. Infected macrophages may localize to the larynx as a result of local constant inflammation.

In isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis, laryngeal lesions are not specific, as well as symptoms. In fact, they may mimic many inflammatory and neoplastic diseases. Diagnosis is usually further complicated by the absence of any documented history of CL. Furthermore, comorbidities are often misleading for physicians because, at first glance, symptoms may be easily referred to comorbidities, such as in the case described by Guddo and colleagues, who attributed patient’s symptoms to COPD [14]. As a consequence, also considering the low incidence of this atypical localization, laryngeal leishmaniasis is hardly evaluated in differential diagnosis by physicians. However, the spread of Leishmania vectors in nonendemic zones [19] and the increasing number of people travelling in endemic zones suggest to suspect leishmaniasis as a possible explanation for laryngeal symptoms. Moreover, because of the ability of protozoal amastigotes to survive for a long time in human body [1, 4], leishmaniasis has to be suspected even if the patient had visited or lived in endemic zones many years before. Grant and colleagues described a case of isolated mucosal leishmaniasis diagnosed sixteen years after the probable infection [23].

Suspected leishmaniasis needs laboratory tests to be confirmed. Histological evaluation of biopsies is usually able to confirm diagnosis [20]. Nevertheless, our case report shows that it sometimes fails to detect Leishmania amastigotes. Probably, before performing a histological examination, the physician should inform the pathologist about his suspicion in order to help him in identifying protozoa. Other exams, like leishmanin skin test (LST) and the detection of antileishmania antibodies, can only support diagnosis. Instead, PCR is a highly sensitive and specific molecular method in detecting the presence of Leishmania DNA and identifying the species. Unfortunately, PCR is not always available [20].

Pentavalent antimonials (sodium stibogluconate and meglumine antimoniate) and liposomal amphotericine B are the most used drugs for leishmaniasis; for VL, their recommended doses are, respectively, 20 mg/kg/day for 28 days and 3–5 mg/kg/day over a 3–6 days period, up to a total dose of 18–21 mg/kg [24]. Unfortunately, little evidence is available for the treatment of laryngeal leishmaniasis, because of the limited number of reported cases, which makes it difficult to establish the most appropriate therapeutic approach. The role of surgery for laryngeal leishmaniasis is currently undefined, because, again, available evidence does not allow to evaluate if the surgical option (alone or together
with medical treatment) may adequately work for laryngeal leishmaniasis.

Timing of followup is another controversial point; all but one of the cases were characterized by clinical recovery and no relapses, but timing of followup was not always reported, and it is unclear if the absence of relapses 8–12 months after treatment is enough to rule out the risk of reactivation. In fact, after treatment for leishmaniasis, relapses may occur [4]. In two cases of isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis, immuno-suppressed patients developed VL after successful treatment [12, 21]. Our case report shows that relapses are possible also in immunocompetent patients maybe because of the inability of the immune system to effectively control infection and eliminate protozoa.

5. Conclusion
There are some important clinical lessons that can be drawn from the existing case literature and are reinforced by our case report; the first message is that, even if rare, primary isolated laryngeal leishmaniasis may occur in immunocompetent subjects, even in the absence of previous visceral, cutaneous, or mucocutaneous leishmaniasis, and represent an underestimated cause of laryngeal symptoms. Physicians should include leishmaniasis in their diagnostic schedule, especially if the patient has lived or travelled in endemic zones. Early diagnosis is crucial, as leishmaniasis is a treatable disease, whose natural history may be heavily changed by proper recognition and treatment. PCR is the gold standard to confirm the presence of protozoa but, unfortunately, it is not routinely available. Accessibility to PCR testing should be implemented, because it represents the most sensitive and specific diagnostic tool in our hands.

Considering the insidious and nonspecific clinical presentation, the increasing number of different forms of immunosuppression, and the number of people travelling in endemic zones, along with the ability of Leishmania amastigotes to survive for a long period in the body, we believe it is important for physicians to consider leishmaniasis as a possible cause of laryngeal symptoms, even in immunocompetent subjects.

Finally, more research is needed to shed some light on the mechanisms behind immunity to Leishmania in order to explain how laryngeal leishmaniasis may occur even in the absence of evident immune compromising risk factors. More importantly, a therapeutic algorithm, pharmacological, surgical, or combined, has never been investigated, as well as the first or second line regimen, doses, and duration of treatment. Furthermore, the possibility of relapses has to be kept in consideration.
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