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ABSTRACT

Ethnicity has been shown to be an important determinant of behavior during illness, particularly when a painful condition is present. Studies have shown that pain may be undertreated among different ethnic groups of patients. Whereas individual variations in the reaction to pain occur, available data do not support racial and/or ethnic differences in the perception of pain, leaving no justification for this discrepancy in treatment.

Regardless of ethnicity, inadequate treatment of pain has been known for some time and has been referred to in recent literature as “oligoanalgesia.” Lack of understanding of different ethnic and cultural groups can lead to inaccurate pain assessment and has been
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repeatedly shown to result in suboptimal pain control. Additional research is needed to
determine the reasons for discrepancies in pain treatment between ethnic groups. The
purpose of the present article is to increase awareness among anesthesiologists about
ethnic and cultural issues that may influence their assessment and treatment of pain.

Culture influences the interactions between patients and health care providers. Ethnicity
has been shown to be an important determinant of behavior during illness, particularly
when a painful condition is present. 1

Numerous clinical studies have compared pain responses and treatments in various
cultural groups. 2-4 However, this topic has received little attention in the anesthesiology
literature. We present information concerning the influence of ethnicity on the treatment
of acute pain and suggest areas in need of improvement when treating a diverse
population.

For some time, differences in the interpretation of and reaction to pain in patients of
different cultures have been known. Numerous investigations have revealed cultural
differences regarding stoicism, expression, beliefs, and attitudes with respect to pain. 5-7
Studies show that pain is undertreated in some ethnic groups of patients. 2,8 Considering
that the available data do not support racial and/or ethnic differences in the perception of
pain, 9-11 there is no justification for this discrepancy in treatment.
Algesimetry studies use techniques such as electromyography, pupillometry, and heart rate to measure pain objectively. However, pain is generally measured subjectively in the clinical arena, making pain-related research particularly challenging. This underscores the importance of effective communication in the patient-physician relationship. Cultural and language barriers affect the evaluation of pain, making it difficult for health care providers to understand and treat patients.

When particular studies are discussed in this paper, the terminology describing race and ethnicity is that used in the original articles.

DEFINITIONS

Pain, as defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain, is “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage.” Three terms commonly used by investigators to describe different aspects of the pain experience are pain threshold, pain tolerance, and pain response. These terms are defined as follows: 1) pain threshold is the neurophysiologic detection of pain determined by verbal report; the point when the subject would define the stimuli as painful if asked; 2) pain tolerance refers to a request for cessation or withdrawal from the stimulus; the moment when action is taken by the subject to stop the stimuli; the point at which he or she can no longer withstand the stimuli, and 3) pain response is the subjective feelings
about the pain and the displayed behavior. This broadly includes attitudes, emotions, attentiveness, and physical manifestation during a painful sensation.  

RELATED RESEARCH

Culturally and ethnically distinct groups interpret and react to pain differently. In 1952, Zborowski \(^5\) reported that there are differences in the ways Irish, Jewish, and Hispanic patients and American patients of European origin differed in their attitudes and beliefs about pain. He presented general observations concerning these groups and speculated on the influence of ethnicity and culture on an individual’s overt expression of his or her physical pains. Whereas this study included only 100 subjects and no modern statistical analysis, it opened the door for discussion on this topic.

The following year, True \(^17\) described how Mojave Indian women avoided expressing their suffering during childbirth for fear of social ridicule. These same women, when questioned, reported experiencing a great deal of labor pain. Meehan and colleagues, \(^9\) 1 year later, conducted a study of American Indians, Eskimos, and caucasians and found no significant differences in pain tolerance. This work triggered more questions than answers, resulting in additional research.

Kosko and Flakerud \(^7\) found significant differences between Mexican Americans and the predominant American cultural groups in Los Angeles as to beliefs regarding chest pain. Larkins \(^18\) details the cultural impact on one woman’s experience in the hospital showing
behavior consistent with the stoic denial of pain that McCabe\textsuperscript{19} described 17 years earlier for the “Southern Negro.” In another study, older Italian Americans were found to report pain more frequently than Anglo-Americans. This study showed that age and sex mediate ethnic differences. The authors theorized that the effect of Italian ethnicity, which contains a tradition of expressing and dramatizing pain, may be accentuated in older women because their sex has “social permission” to express pain.\textsuperscript{20} Research on American Indians, Eskimos, and whites showed no significant differences in pain tolerance.\textsuperscript{9} Another study of 75 women from five ethnic groups (black, Italian, Jewish, Irish, and Anglo-Saxon) reported no significant difference in pain perception after vaginal delivery with episiotomy.\textsuperscript{11} Black and white parturients, matched for demographic parameters, were also found not to differ in pain responses or estimated degrees of pain.\textsuperscript{10} A study showed that the Nepalese, when compared with Occidentals, showed higher pain tolerance but no significant differences in threshold for the perception of the pain stimuli.\textsuperscript{21} Pain responses of various ethnic groups within a single racial category may also vary significantly.\textsuperscript{22,23} This confuses the issue when one compares the significance of different studies, especially if there is difficulty in identifying culturally and ethnically specific groups within the same racial category.\textsuperscript{9,20,22,24} In the present article, we have sued the terminology describing race and ethnicity that was used in the original studies.

The similarity of the pain experience between different ethnic groups was described by Lipton and Marbach\textsuperscript{1} as “interethnic homogeneity.” Their research in a diverse racial and ethnic population showed that there were similar responses to pain among black, Irish,
Italian, Jewish, and Puerto Rican individuals. However, different ethnic and cultural factors influenced the responses for each group. For black patients, it was the degree of medical acculturation; for Irish patients, the degree of social assimilation; for Italian patients, the duration of pain; and for Jews and Puerto Ricans, the level of psychological distress. It was concluded that the differences between groups occurred in the area of expression.

Many studies report differences in the way culturally and ethnically distinct groups react to and express pain, but there is ample data support the fact that there is no difference in the pain threshold.\textsuperscript{9-11,21}

A study on how patients react to chest pain found that twice as many blacks as whites did not seek medical attention after chest pain. This difference could not be accounted for when controlling for demographics, health status, and access to care. It was suggested that this difference was a result of the way blacks and whites interpret and react to chest pain.\textsuperscript{25} Although stoicism or apathy about pain may cause problems for patients who do not seek medical care, difficulties may also arise for patients already within the health care system.

**OLIGOANALGESIA**

Regardless of ethnicity, the inadequate treatment of pain has been known for some time and in recent literature as been referred to as “oligoanalgesia.”\textsuperscript{26-29} The inadequate
treatment of pain after trauma and surgery reached such proportions that in 1992, the Department of Health and Human Services issued a clinical practice guideline on acute pain management addressing the problem.\textsuperscript{30}

In a review, Calvillo and FlasKerud\textsuperscript{8} indicate that both physicians and nurses tend to underestimate and undertreat pain. In a study of 78 women, 56\% reported intolerable pain of labor but the midwives reported that they did not have painful deliveries.\textsuperscript{31} The differences in pain response, which, as stated, include verbal and physical expression of emotions and opinions about the pain, or a lack of these expressions, by patients from varied cultural backgrounds, have led to undertreatment of pain for some groups compared with the established majority group.\textsuperscript{2,8} This places minority groups at particular risk beyond the already reported oligoanalgesia seen in the general population.

A study using the McGill Pain Questionnaire found no significant differences between Anglo Americans and Mexican-American women for any of the measurements of pain. However, nurses judged the patients’ pain to be less than the patients did. Furthermore, nurses evaluated the two ethnic groups’ pain responses differently; more pain was assigned to Anglo-American women than to Mexican-American women.\textsuperscript{32}

Lack of understanding of different ethnic and cultural groups leads to inaccurate pain assessment and has been repeatedly shown to result in suboptimal pain control.\textsuperscript{2,4,32} In Norway, a study showed that women of Pakistani origin were three times as likely not to receive analgesia for labor as Norwegian women.\textsuperscript{33} Ng and colleagues\textsuperscript{4} studied the ethnic
differences in analgesic consumption for postoperative pain after the open reduction and internal fixation of limb fractures. They reported that whites received significantly more analgesics than did blacks or Hispanics. These ethnic differences persisted after controlling for confounding factors. Although in their study they could not identify Hispanics who did not speak English, they did not consider this the reason for undertreatment, because blacks also received less analgesia than did whites.

Variance in postcholecystectomy narcotic requirements was investigated in Hawaii. Whereas all groups were notably undertreated for pain, whites and Hawaiians received significantly more analgesics than did Filipinos, Japanese, or Chinese. The authors explain that the postoperative analgesic orders, which were written mostly as PRN (as needed), were remarkably uniform for all patients, regardless of race. In this study, the difference appears to be related to the nurse-patient interaction rather than the doctors’ orders. The authors concluded that some cultural styles might be more susceptible to undertreatment than others.  

Ng and colleagues postulated that postoperative analgesia with PRN medication orders is dependent on the interaction between the patient and nurses. In addition, it has been difficult to determine whether ethnic differences in the amount of medication received are due to the patient’s pain-related behavior or to the staff’s perception of the patient’s pain. To address this problem, they studied patients treated with patient-controlled analgesia, thus avoiding the interactions with medical staff. They found that patients’ ethnicity has a greater impact on the amount of narcotic prescribed by the physician than on the
amount of narcotic self-administered by the patient. In this study, the self-administered narcotics were not significantly different among the various ethnic groups.

DISCUSSION

Pain is one of the primary reasons people seek medical attention. To offer individualized optimal service, health care providers must be aware of the cultural differences in the population. Physicians must acknowledge their own cultural and ethnic perspectives when treating patients. They must also recognize that patients may react differently based on the physicians’ ethnicity. For instance, pain tolerance markedly increased for Jewish subjects with the presence of a non-Jewish, as compared with a Jewish, investigator.

Todd and colleagues reported that Hispanic patients with isolated long-bone fractures were medicated much less than non-Hispanic patients although the lesions were comparable. This study was criticized for not determining the role of the physicians’ ethnicity in the treatment of patients with pain and for using the term Hispanic to encompass a wide variety of people. However, considering that ethnicity is frequently difficulty to define, it is remarkable that self-rated ethnicity can have a significant influence on the treatment of pain by health care personnel.

Differential treatment of ethnic groups may constitute a subtle prejudice that exists in our society. The stereotypic differences pointed out by Zborowski in the fifties may have
served, to some extent, to provide justification for this discrepancy. He pointed out that certain ethnic groups tend to exaggerate their pains, whereas others tend to be more stoic. Portrayal of various cultural and ethnic groups in the media may also feed stereotypes and prejudice. Over the next 20 years, there will be large increases in minority populations, making it essential to understand cultural differences. It becomes evident that we must direct our clinical skills to seek clarification when communicating with an ethnically diverse patient population. Stereotyping may exacerbate the problem of oligoanalgesia. Allport defines stereotyping as “an exaggerated belief associated with a category. It acts as a justificatory device for categorical acceptance or rejection of a group and as a screening or selective device to maintain simplicity in perception and in thinking.” This may occur when patients and practitioners come from different ethnic backgrounds. Under such circumstances, the clinician may resort to a simplistic exaggerated impression of behavior and response expected from a person of that ethnic group. Anecdotal information must be offset with accurate data to allow open-minded evaluation and treatment of people from all cultures. It has been suggested that the answer is not to possess a detailed knowledge of each and every culture, but to be aware of the differences between individuals, and how they might affect one’s practices. Health care personnel must focus both on the pain experience and on its expression in their patients. Cultural variability in the expression of pain must not be a reason for significant discrepancies in treatment.
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In summary, there are individual variations in the reaction to pain but not in the perception of pain; culture and ethnicity influence the relationship between patient, physician, nurse, and allied health care providers; and multiple studies show that minority populations are at risk for the undertreatment of pain. More research may elucidate issues regarding ethnic variability in the treatment of pain. Nurses frequently have significant discretion in executing PRN analgesic orders; one way of minimizing possible biases is to use patient-controlled analgesia. Additional work is certainly needed to educate health care students and providers regarding manifestations of pain and adequacy of pain treatment among all ethnic groups. Anesthesiologists who are involved in pain management play an important role in the education process at many levels. Finally, physicians and nurses must be aware of their own ethnicity, as well as their patients’, and how this interaction may blur the evaluation of pain and its treatment.
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