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MiR-103a-3p targets the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A in pancreatic cells

HONGLEI ZHOU and ISIDORE RIGOUTSOS
Computational Medicine Center, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107, USA

ABSTRACT
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short noncoding RNAs that regulate the expression of their targets in a sequence-dependent manner. For protein-coding transcripts, miRNAs regulate expression levels through binding sites in either the 3′ untranslated region (3′ UTR) or the amino acid coding sequence (CDS) of the targeted messenger RNA (mRNA). Currently, for the 5′ untranslated region (5′ UTR) of mRNAs, very few naturally occurring examples exist whereby the targeting miRNA down-regulates the expression of the corresponding mRNA in a seed-dependent manner. Here we describe and characterize two miR-103a-3p target sites in the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A, a gene that acts as a tumor suppressor in some cancer contexts and as an oncogene in other cancer contexts. In particular, we show that the interaction of miR-103a-3p with each of these two 5′ UTR targets reduces the expression levels of both GPRC5A mRNA and GPRC5A protein in one normal epithelial and two pancreatic cancer cell lines. By ectopically expressing “sponges” that contain instances of the wild-type 5′ UTR targets we also show that we can reduce miR-103a-3p levels and increase GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels. These findings provide some first knowledge on the post-transcriptional regulation of this tumor suppressor/oncogene and present additional evidence for the participation of 5′ UTRs in miRNA driven post-transcriptional regulatory control.
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INTRODUCTION
MiRNAs comprise a group of short noncoding RNAs that post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression in multicellular organisms in a sequence-dependent manner (Bartel 2004). The “seed” region of a miRNA, defined as the sequence spanning bases 2 through 8 inclusive from the 5′ end of the miRNA, determines a miRNA’s spectrum of targets (Miranda et al. 2006; Bartel 2009; Rigoutsos and Tsirigos 2010; Xia et al. 2012). So far, more than 17,000 mature miRNA sequences from 140 different species have been identified (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones 2011). With regard to target cardinality, a single miRNA can simultaneously target multiple mRNAs, thus degrading, to varying degrees, the abundance of the corresponding protein (Miranda et al. 2006; Baek et al. 2008; Selbach et al. 2008).

MiRNA research began more than 20 years ago (Lee et al. 1993; Wightman et al. 1993; Hamilton and Baulcombe 1999; Reinhart et al. 2000) and efforts since then have revealed that the identification of miRNA targets is an inherently difficult problem (Rigoutsos and Tsirigos 2010). Nonetheless, the field has made great advances during this time and numerous miRNA targets have been described in the literature to date with the majority of these targets being located in the 3′ UTR of the targeted mRNAs (Bartel 2009). In recent years, others and we have shown that miRNAs can also target mRNAs within their CDS and decrease the corresponding protein’s abundance (Duuersma et al. 2008; Forman et al. 2008; Lal et al. 2008; Shen et al. 2008; Tay et al. 2008; Rigoutsos 2009; Brest et al. 2011; Hao et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2011; Sauna and Kimchi-Sarfaty 2011; Gartner et al. 2013; Hausser et al. 2013; Radhakrishnan et al. 2013; Shabalina et al. 2013).

In contrast, identifying targets in the 5′ UTR of miRNAs has proven more difficult. In early work, use of artificial constructs containing multiple copies of known miRNA targets showed that from a mechanistic standpoint miRNAs can repress mRNAs through 5′ UTR binding just as efficiently as through 3′ UTR binding (Lytle et al. 2007; Devlin et al. 2010; Moretti et al. 2010). For naturally occurring targets, two subsequent studies reported examples whereby 5′ UTR targeting by the miRNA did not down-regulate the mRNA but instead enhanced protein translation and increased protein levels (Henke et al. 2008; Orom et al. 2008; Tsai et al. 2008; Da Sacco and Masotti 2012). Four subsequent reports...
described a few examples of 5′ UTR binding sites that led to the down-regulation of the targeted transcript (Jopling et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2009; Grey et al. 2010; Dewing et al. 2012). More recently, a C. elegans study discussed the possibility of a miRNA target in the 5′ UTR of CBP-1’s mRNA (Vora et al. 2013).

Below, we report on our validation of two human miR-103a-3p targets in the 5′ UTR of the human GPRC5A gene (ENSG0000013588/ENST0000014914). GPRC5A encodes an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor that was originally reported to be overexpressed in normal lung tissue and underexpressed in lung cancer; since then, GPRC5A’s dysregulation has been associated with multiple cancer types: in some cancers, GPRC5A can act as a tumor suppressor whereas in others it can act as an oncogene (Tao et al. 2007; Acquafreda et al. 2009; Cheng et al. 2012). MiR-103a-3p is a notable miRNA in that it is evolutionarily conserved and involved in regulating multiple cellular processes such as cell division, cellular metabolism and stress, angiogenesis, etc. (Finnerty et al. 2009; Cheng et al. 2012). MiR-103a-3p dysregulation has been associated with many human diseases including several cancers, Alzheimer’s disease, and diabetes (Martello et al. 2010; Yao et al. 2010; Trajkovski et al. 2011).

RESULTS

We studied the interactions of miR-103a-3p and GPRC5A, both of which are endogenous to pancreatic cell lines and tissue (both normal and cancer). We focused on two candidate miR-103a-3p targets in the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A. The first putative miR-103a-3p MRE (site S11) is located between nucleotides 117 and 140 inclusive, whereas the second putative MRE (site S12) is located between nucleotides 330 and 355 inclusive (Fig. 1A).

Increase in miR-103a-3p abundance reduces both GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels

We transiently transfected MIA PaCa-2 cells with Pre-miR-103a-3p or Anti-miR-103a-3p at a concentration of 50 nM for 48 h. MIA PaCa-2 Cells transfected with only a scrambled sequence, either Pre-miR-scramble or Anti-miR-scramble, were examined in parallel as controls. Transfection with Pre-miR-103a-3p enhanced the expression of mature miR-103a-3p 900 ± 132-fold (P < 0.001) — see Supplemental Figure 1A— whereas transfection with Anti-miR-103a-3p reduced the expression of mature miR-103a-3p 11.9 ± 2.6-fold (P < 0.001) — see Supplemental Figure 1B. In comparison to Pre-miR-scramble, transfection of MIA PaCa-2 cells with Pre-miR-103a-3p resulted in a 30% (P < 0.001) decrease of GPRC5A mRNA (Fig. 1B). Notably, the decrease in protein levels (50%, P < 0.01) was much higher than the decrease of mRNA levels (Fig. 1D). In addition, transfection of MIA PaCa-2 cells with Anti-miR-103a-3p resulted in up-regulation of GPRC5A mRNA and an increase in GPRC5A protein levels, compared with Anti-miR-scramble treatment group (Fig. 1C,E).

MiR-103a-3p directly interacts with both S11 and S12 in the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A

We constructed separate reporter expression vectors containing the wild-type (WT) or mutant (MT) binding sites, in turn placing them downstream (S11WT-3′Luc, S12WT-3′Luc, S11MT-3′Luc, and S12MT-3′Luc) from and upstream (S11WT-5′Luc, S12WT-5′Luc, S11MT-5′Luc, and S12MT-5’Luc) of the luciferase gene (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. 2A). MIA PaCa-2 cells were cotransfected with Pre-miR-scramble or Pre-miR-103a-3p and a reporter expression vector containing wild-type or mutant binding site, respectively. The S11 site was more responsive to miR-103a-3p treatment than the S12 site: Indeed, pre-miR-103a-3p reduced luciferase activity by 27% ± 6% (P < 0.01) in cells transfected with S11WT-3′Luc and 17% ± 4% (P < 0.05) in cells transfected with S12WT-3′Luc (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. 2B). Repeating the experiments with the 5′ luciferase constructs gave similar results: In cells transfected with S11WT-5′Luc pre-miR-103a-3p reduced luciferase activity by 24% ± 6% (P < 0.01), whereas the reduction was 17% ± 4% (P < 0.05) in cells transfected with S12WT-5′Luc (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. 2D). Introduction of disruptive mutations in each of the two miR-103a-3p sites rescued the inhibitory effect of Pre-miR-103a-3p on luciferase activity and for both the 5′ and the 3′ luciferase constructs (Fig. 2B,D; Supplemental Fig. 2B,D). MIA PaCa-2 cells were also cotransfected with Anti-miR-scramble or Anti-miR-103a-3p and a reporter expression vector containing the WT or MT binding site (separately for the 5′ and 3′ luciferase constructs). Transfection with Anti-miR-103a-3p increased luciferase activity by 45% ± 18% (P < 0.05) and 18% ± 12% (P = 0.06) in cells transfected with S11WT-3′Luc and S12WT-3′Luc, respectively (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. 2C) and by 17% ± 3% (P < 0.01) and 30% ± 6% (P < 0.001) in cells transfected with S11WT-5′Luc and S12WT-5′Luc, respectively (Fig. 2E; Supplemental Fig. 2E). Notably, for each of the two sites S11 and S12, the observed increase in luciferase activity in the presence of anti-miR-103a-3p was concordant with the decrease of luciferase activity in the presence of miR-103a-3p; i.e., the S11 site was more responsive to miR-103a-3p/anti-miR-103a-3p than the S12 site. Lastly, mutations in the two miR-103a-3p sites impaired the induction effect of Anti-miR-103a-3p on luciferase activity (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. 2C).

MiR-103a-3p targeting of the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A mRNA decreases GPRC5A protein levels

We next sought to determine whether the interaction of miR-103a-3p with the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A affected GPRC5A protein levels. To this end, we constructed two expression vectors...
vectors. The first vector, labeled GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS, contained GPRC5A’s wild-type 5′ UTR and CDS regions only (Supplemental Fig. 3A,B); i.e., the vector lacked GPRC5A’s 3′ UTR. The second vector, labeled GPRC5A-CDS, lacked both untranslated regions and comprised only GPRC5A’s wild-type CDS region (Supplemental Fig. 3C). We cotransfected MIA PaCa-2 cells with a control vector containing GFP open frame region (ORF), Pre-miR-scramble or Pre-miR-scramble and Anti-miR-103a-3p inhibitors increases GPRC5A protein expression. (F) Quantification result of D. (G) Quantification result of E. All numerical data are mean ± SD. (**) P < 0.01; (***) P < 0.001, n = 3. GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, GAPDH and Actin are internal controls.
miR-103a-3p, and either the GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS or the GPRC5A-CDS expression vector. Pre-miR-103a-3p reduced GPRC5A expression in cells transfected with the GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS vector but did not have an inhibitory effect on the GPRC5A-CDS vector (Fig. 3A). This demonstrated that miR-103a-3p down-regulates GPRC5A protein by binding to the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A’s mRNA.

Overexpression of the 5′ UTR MRE can increase GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels

To further corroborate the targeting of GPRC5A’s 5′ UTR by miR-103a-3p we made use of the concept of “sponging” or “decoying” (Ebert and Sharp 2010a,b; Poliseno et al. 2010; Tay et al. 2011), which was recently demonstrated to be able
to induce observable functional effects (Karreth et al. 2011; Tay et al. 2011; Ala et al. 2013). We focused on the first of the two 5′ UTR MREs (i.e., site S11), which was more responsive to miR-103a-3p/anti-miR-103a-3p treatment than the site S12, and assessed the ability of a sponge comprising 10 tandem copies of the S11 MRE to act as a decoy for site S12, and assessed the ability of a sponge comprising 10 tandem copies of the mutant miR-103a-3p MRE, transfected with the control sponge GPRC5A-S11MTL (Supplemental Fig. 5A–C). We also tested with a luciferase reporter construct containing the second (S12WT) 5′ UTR binding site of miR-103a-3p and found that a single copy of it suffices to up-regulate GPRC5A mRNA (23%–P < 0.001)—see Supplemental Figure 5D.

We repeated the above experiments, this time cotransfecting MIA PaCa-2 and HPNE cells with Pre-miR-103a-3p in addition to cotransfecting with GPRC5A-S11WTL or GPRC5A-S11MTL. The level of miR-103a-3p decreased in MIA PaCa-2 cells that were cotransfected with GPRC5A-S11WTL by 43% ± 2.1% compared with cells cotransfected with control GPRC5A-S11MTL (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4D). This translated to an increase of both GPRC5A mRNA (P < 0.001) and protein levels in MIA PaCa-2 and HPNE cells that were cotransfected with Pre-miR-103a-3p and GPRC5A-S11WTL compared with MIA PaCa-2 and HPNE cells cotransfected with Pre-miR-103a-3p and control GPRC5A-S11MTL (Fig. 4E–G; Supplemental Fig. 6A–C).

Lastly, we tested a third pancreas cell line (Panc-1) as well as a nonpancreas one (HEK-293T) and were able to recapitulate the above findings in both (Supplemental Fig. 7A–C). In particular, we transfected HEK-293T cell with the GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS, pcDNA vector containing GPRC5A 5′ UTR and CDS region; CDS, pcDNA vector containing GPRC5A CDS region. All numerical data are mean ± SD. (***) P < 0.001, n = 3.

To recapitulate the same observations in HPNE, a second pancreas cell line: In HPNE cells, transfection with the GPRC5A-S11WTL sponge up-regulated GPRC5A mRNA (61.6%–P < 0.001) and GPRC5A protein (56%–P < 0.01) compared with transfection with the control sponge GPRC5A-S11MTL (Supplemental Fig. 5A–C). We also tested with a luciferase reporter construct containing the second (S12WT) 5′ UTR targeting of GPRC5A mRNA (23%–P < 0.001)—see Supplemental Figure 5D.

These experiments provide additional evidence that miR-103a-3p regulates GPRC5A by directly interacting with the latter’s 5′ UTR. Moreover, they demonstrate that GPRC5A’s 5′ UTR can potentially function as a decoy for other miR-103a-3p targets.

**DISCUSSION**

The potential of miRNA regulation of mRNAs through binding sites that occur in 5′ UTRs was demonstrated early on (Lytle et al. 2007; Moretti et al. 2010). However, only a few validated examples of naturally occurring 5′ UTR miRNA targets exist in the literature to date (Jopling et al. 2005; Lytle et al. 2007; Orom et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Grey et al. 2010; Vora et al. 2013). For two of these few examples, the seed-driven constitutive miRNA interaction with the 5′ UTR of the targeted mRNA promoted protein translation and thus led to an increase (instead of a decrease) of protein levels (Orom et al. 2008; Tsai et al. 2009).

The described work and findings represent one more data point in support of 5′ UTR targeting by endogenous miRNAs whereby the targeting reduced the abundance of both the mRNA and corresponding protein. In particular, using luciferase assays, we provided initial evidence that the putative MREs in the 5′ UTR of GPRC5A were in fact targeted by
FIGURE 4. Overexpression of the 5′ UTR MRE can increase GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels. (A) MiR-103a-3p expression is inhibited by overexpression of the wild-type sponge (S11WTL) compared with the control sponge (S11MTL) in MIA PaCa-2 cells. (B) GPRC5A protein expression is promoted by overexpression of wild-type miR-103a-3p binding site compared with the mutant site in MIA PaCa-2 cells. (C) Quantification result of B. (D) Taqman miRNA assay was performed to test mature miR-103a-3p expression in MIA PaCa-2 cells cotransfected with pre-miR-103a-3p and S11WTL. Cells cotransfected with pre-miR-103a-3p and S11MTL were used as controls. (E) GPRC5A mRNA expression was tested by RT-PCR in MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with Pre-miR-103a-3p in addition to cotransfecting with GPRC5A-S11WTL or GPRC5A-S11MTL. (F) GPRC5A protein expression was tested by Western blots in MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with Pre-miR-103a-3p in addition to cotransfecting with GPRC5A-S11WTL or GPRC5A-S11MTL. (G) Quantification result of F. All numerical data are mean ± SD. (*) \( P < 0.05; \) (**) \( P < 0.001, n = 3. \) GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GAPDH and Actin are internal controls. S11WTL, pcDNA vector containing 10 tandem copies of miR-103a-3p binding site 1; S11MTL, pcDNA vector containing 10 tandem copies of mutant miR-103a-3p binding site 1.
miR-103a-3p. Additionally, we designed two constructs, GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS and GPRC5A-CDS, and demonstrated that GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS, but not GPRC5A-CDS, responded to overexpression of miR-103a-3p, thereby further supporting the finding that the miR-103a-3p MREs were located in GPRC5A’s 5′ UTR. By overexpressing a sponge that we constructed to contain 10 tandem copies of the most responsive (site S11) of the two 5′ UTR MREs we were able to reduce the endogenous levels of miR-103a-3p and to up-regulate both GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels.

We also demonstrated that the S11 5′ UTR MRE could function as a decoy of miR-103a-3p in vitro and was able to reduce miR-103a-3p levels and increase GPRC5A mRNA and protein levels. We established these findings in three pancreatic cell lines: the normal epithelial HPNE cell line and the MIA PaCa-2 and the Panc-1 cancer cell lines. These findings have the following important ramifications. MiR-103a-3p has been shown to play important roles in cellular processes such as DNA repair, metabolism, cell cycle progression, and cell differentiation (Liu et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2009; Finnerty et al. 2010; Liao and Lonnerdal 2010; Polster et al. 2010) and to be dysregulated in multiple diseases (e.g., cancers) and conditions (e.g., diabetes, Alzheimer’s disease, etc.) (Roldo et al. 2006; Xie et al. 2009; Yao et al. 2010). To date only a few targets are known for miR-103a-3p. In light of our decoying finding and given miR-103a-3p’s involvement in so many settings it follows that GPRC5A could potentially regulate indirectly processes such as DNA repair, metabolism, the cell cycle, etc., by modulating the expression of other mRNAs, in complete analogy to what was recently shown for PTEN (Tay et al. 2011). When one considers that in some cancers GPRC5A has been shown to act as an oncogene, whereas in others as a tumor suppressor, the potential of GPRC5A to act through miR-103a-3p as a competing endogenous RNA or ceRNA (Karreth et al. 2011; Tay et al. 2011; Ala et al. 2013) for other protein-coding transcripts suggests that GPRC5A may be involved in previously unsuspected, currently uncharacterized, and presumably complex gene networks. Studying these possible roles of GPRC5A is currently the topic of ongoing research activity in our laboratory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The HEK-293T, MIA PaCa-2, HPNE, and Panc-1 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. All of these cells were grown in DMEM medium (Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies), 1% Penicillin and Strep (Fisher Scientific), and 1% glutamine (Fisher Scientific), at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Cell transfection

The cells were transfected with 50 nM Pre-miR-103a-3p or 50 nM Anti-miR-103a-3p (Ambion) by the reverse transfection method using the X-tremeGENE siRNA transfection reagent (Roche). Cells transfected with only a scrambled sequence, either Pre-miR-scramble or Anti-miR-scramble (Ambion), were examined in parallel as controls. Cells were then subjected to further assays or to RNA/protein extraction after 2 d. Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) was used for transfection of the psiCHECK-2 reporter vector (Promega) and pcDNA-3.1 overexpression vector (Life Technologies) and for cotransfection of vectors and Pre-miRs.

RNA isolation and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies). For the detection of GPRC5A mRNA, first-strand complementary DNA was synthesized from 1000 ng of total RNA in the presence of oligo-dT (12–18) primer (Promega) and MMLV reverse transcriptase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega). Human glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase RNA was amplified in parallel as an internal control. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies) and 20 ng of templates using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). For miR-103a-3p detection, TaqMan MicroRNA Assay is performed with the miR-103a-3p probe (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Human U6 is used as internal control. Eight nanograms of total RNA is used in the RT reaction with 5X RT scriptase according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR was performed at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. DeltaCt of the negative control from the DeltaCt of the mRNA of interest. DeltaCt was then calculated by subtracting the Ct of U6 or glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase mRNA from the Ct of the mRNA of interest. DeltaCt was then calculated by subtracting the DeltaCt of the negative control from the DeltaCt of the sample. The fold change in mRNA or miRNA was calculated according to the equation 2^-DeltaDeltaCt.

Computational prediction of putative targets

Using the rna22 algorithm that we published previously (Miranda et al. 2006) and that has been used by us and others to identify many miRNA targets beyond the 3′ UTR of genes (Duursma et al. 2008; Lal et al. 2008, 2009; Tay et al. 2008; Rigoutsos 2009; Marin-Muller et al. 2013), we identified two candidate targets for miR-103a-3p in GPRC5A’s mRNA. In what follows, we will be using the terms “miRNA binding site” and “miRNA response element” (MRE) interchangeably.

DNA vectors

The coding region of the GPRC5A mRNA with and without the 5′ UTR was amplified by PCR from MIA PaCa-2 cDNA. The DNA sequence with 10 tandem repeats of the predicted miR-103a-3p binding sites and the control DNA sequence with 10 tandem repeats of seed-region mutant miR-103a-3p-binding sites were synthesized as fragments (Life Technologies). The fragments were inserted into the pcDNA-3.1 vector between the NheI and NotI sites. The vectors were labeled GPRC5A-5′UTR-CDS, GPRC5A-CDS, GPRC5A-S11WT, and GPRC5A-S11MTL, respectively.
Reporter vectors

The predicted microRNA binding sites or MREs for microRNA-response element were synthesized as sense and antisense oligomers, annealed, and cloned into a psiCHECK-2 vector. We created two instances: one where the predicted miRNA binding sites were cloned into the psiCHECK-2 vector, directly 3′-downstream from, and a second where they were cloned directly 5′-upstream of Renilla Luciferase. These reporters were labeled S11WT-3′Luc, S11MT-3′Luc, S11WT-5′Luc, and S11MT-5′Luc, S12WT-3′Luc, S12MT-3′Luc, S12WT-5′Luc, and S12MT-5′Luc, respectively (WT: wild type; MT: mutant). All primers used for these constructs are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Luciferase assay

Each psiCHECK-2 vector containing a reporter construct was cotransfected into HPNE and MIA PaCa-2 cells with Pre-miR-103a-3p or anti-miR-103a-3p by using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s protocol for cotransfection of DNA and pre-miRs. In parallel, each psiCHECK-2 vector containing a reporter construct was also cotransfected into HPNE and MIA PaCa-2 cells with pre-miR-scramble or Anti-miR-scramble as control. Cells were harvested at 48 h after transfection, and the Renilla and Firefly luciferase activities in the cellular lysate were assayed by using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Light intensity for each sample was measured by using Synergy 2 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek), and each value from Renilla luciferase was normalized by Firefly luciferase.

Western blots

Transfected cells were lysed on ice in Pierce IP lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific) containing 1X complete protease inhibitor (Roche). Debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 13,200 rpm for 15 min, and protein concentrations were determined using Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Scientific). Lysates were heat-denatured at 100°C for 10 min before separation in 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels and protein concentrations were determined using Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Scientific) containing 1X complete protease inhibitor (Roche). Primary antibodies included GPRC5A antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), and GFP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Membranes were incubated with secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) diluted in Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 with 5% bovine serum albumin at the recommended dilutions at 4°C. Primary antibodies included GPRC5A antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), and GFP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Membranes were incubated with secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) diluted in Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 with 5% bovine serum albumin for 1 h at room temperature. The signal was detected with Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and GE ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Excel (Microsoft) and SPSS (IBM). Unless otherwise indicated, the level of significance for the difference between data sets was assessed using one-way analysis of variance. Data are expressed as the means ± SD. P-values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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