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Postoperative Urinary Retention (POUR): What Are The Risk Factors?

Eric H. Tischler, BA
Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital

Camilo Restrepo, MD
Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, camilo.restrepo@jefferson.edu

Jennifer Oh, BA
Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital

Mitchell Maltenfort, PhD
Rothman Institute of Orthopaedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, mmalten@gmail.com

Javad Parvizi, MD, FRCS
Rothman Institute, Thomas Jefferson University, Javad.Parvizi@jefferson.edu

Let us know how access to this document benefits you
Follow this and additional works at: http://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothinsposters

Part of the Orthopedics Commons

Recommended Citation
http://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothinsposters/8

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University’s Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Rothman Institute Conference Posters by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.
INTRODUCTION

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a successful procedure alleviating pain in patients with debilitating arthritis. Postoperative urinary retention (POUR) is a common complication following surgery and is managed with intermittent or continued urinary catheterization. POUR has been estimated in retrospective literature to be on the order of 5% – 70% of surgical cases with early catheter removal or without a catheter. At our institution, and based on a Level 1 study here, urinary catheter is not used routinely in patients undergoing THA under regional anesthesia. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the incidence of POUR and risk factors leading to urinary retention in patients undergoing THA using regional anesthesia who did not receive urinary catheterization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis was conducted to determine specific risk factors for POUR following THA. Between June 2010 and June 2012, 422 consecutive THA patients were identified, all of whom were operated on by a single surgeon, received uniform spinal anesthesia, and did not receive an intraoperative indwelling catheter. POUR was defined as the need for either straight catheterization or placement of indwelling catheter following surgical intervention. A multivariate logistic regression was used to determine the risk factors that were associated with POUR.

RESULTS

Patients had a significantly higher incidence of POUR if a history of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) (p =0.008), previous urinary complications (p = 0.0003), and use of tobacco (p = 0.06) was reported. The amount of intravenous fluid administered to patients during the intraoperative period and bilateral surgery both approached significance with respective p-values of 0.1.

DISCUSSION

It has been consistently documented that spinal opioids influence bladder functions and have the potential to cause urinary retention; hence in our cohort, all patients received spinal anesthesia without opioid use. Kuipers et al. determined that intrathecal administration of opioids (morphine and sufentanil) decreases bladder function by causing suppression of detrusor contractility and decreased sensation of urge. Additionally, these same patients did not receive an intraoperative Foley catheter. Urinary catheters are often placed unnecessarily, and are not always removed promptly when no longer needed. Miller et al. reported no statistical difference in POUR rates following THA among a cohort of patients that were prospectively randomized to potentially receive an intraoperative Foley catheter.

A variety of other factors have also been noted to increase the risk of developing POUR. It was determined that a reported history of BPH and history or urinary complications had significant impacts on patients developing POUR. These risk factors are consistent with other studies. In our study, we noted that patients with history of BPH, urinary history, and use of tobacco have increased risk of POUR. In an environment where postoperative complications can negatively affect hospital and physician reimbursement, we recommend increased surveillance of these patients to appropriately manage expectations and decrease complication rates.
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