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Abstract

Cystic mesotheliomas are benign neoplasms, often seen in the parietal and visceral peritoneum, omentum and pelvic organs, and are exceedingly rare in the liver. It is however important to be familiar with the radiological findings of this tumour because the signal-intensity and enhancement pattern of this tumor are unusual and not typical for any of the more frequently seen mass lesions. In our patient, characteristic imaging findings on dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI and histopathological confirmation with appropriate immunohistochemical markers facilitated a correct diagnosis. We herein describe the clinical, imaging and histopathological features, pathogenesis, differential diagnosis and treatment of benign cystic mesothelioma involving posterior segment of the right lobe of the liver.
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Introduction

Cystic mesotheliomas are benign neoplasms that usually occur in young to middle aged women [1]. They are often seen in the parietal and visceral peritoneum, omentum, and pelvic organs, and are exceedingly rare in the liver [2-6]. The imaging findings are frequently non-specific and therefore do not allow definitive diagnosis. We herein report a case of benign cystic mesothelioma involving the right lobe of the liver, which presented as a mass incidentally detected on ultrasound (US), further evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and conclusively diagnosed by percutaneous core biopsy of the liver. We discuss the clinical, imaging and histolopathological findings, pathogenesis, differential diagnosis and treatment of this rare entity.

Case report
A 30-year-old woman with 20 years history of ulcerative colitis (UC) without personal or family history of liver disease presented with a UC flare. Physical examination revealed no palpable liver, spleen or hepatic mass. Laboratory values including serum bilirubin, serum albumin, AST, ALT, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) were normal; alkaline phosphatase levels was slightly increased (135 U/L). She underwent color doppler transabdominal US which showed a hyperechoic mass with some peripheral color flow in the right lobe of the liver suggestive of hemangioma (Fig. 1). An MRI of the abdomen was performed on 1.5-T unit (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis), utilizing transverse T2-weighted fast spin echo, unenhanced and gadolinium enhanced transverse fat suppressed T1-weighted 3D gradient-recalled echo sequences during arterial, portal venous and equilibrium phases. MRI demonstrated a 3.9 x 3.5 x 3.1 cm rounded mass within the posterior right hepatic lobe with a bulging contour that showed mild inhomogenous T2 hyperintensity (Fig. 2A) and T1 hypointensity (Fig. 2B) relative to liver parenchyma. There was peripheral enhancement and few areas of non-enhancement with perilesional altered blood flow during arterial phase (Fig. 2C). The tumor showed mild decrease in peripheral enhancement on venous phase (Fig. 2D) and became isointense to liver parenchyma on delayed post contrast images (Fig. 2E). No other focal lesion was identified. There was no intrahepatic biliary ductal dilatation. The findings were considered suspicious for hepatocellular carcinoma or other primary liver malignancy, so she underwent US-guided liver biopsy. Microscopically, the tumor showed a microcystic pattern with low cuboidal epithelium with hobnail features, and prominent vasculature (Fig. 3A). There was immunoreactivity for calretinin (Fig. 3B) and WT-1 (Fig. 3C) indicating the mesothelial nature of the mass.
The tumor was histologically determined as benign cystic mesothelioma. Simple observation and close monitoring of her condition was advised as the patient was asymptomatic; if the mass enlarges or symptoms develop, surgical intervention will be considered.

**Discussion**

This case is one of only 3 cases of benign cystic mesothelioma of the liver described in the literature (including our present case) [2, 3]. This entity, first reported in 1979 [6], has a diverse terminology that includes multifocal peritoneal inclusion cyst, benign mucicystic peritoneal mesothelioma and benign cystic mesothelioma [5, 6]. Intrahepatic tumor likely arises from undifferentiated mesothelial or submesothelial cells over the liver capsule [7]. Some have suggested that cystic mesothelioma may represent a reactive mesothelial proliferation rather than a neoplasm [4, 8], as it appears to be associated with pelvic inflammatory disease, prior history of pelvic surgery or endometriosis [8]. In this respect, its female predominance may be due to hormonal changes associated with reproductive age [3]. Benign mesothelioma is often cystic and non vascular, but in our case it was highly vascular based on imaging characteristics.

Benign cystic mesothelioma has no specific clinical presentation. Patients usually complain of abdominal pain, anorexia, vague abdominal distress or palpable abdominal mass [5]. Less frequently it may be found incidentally on routine physical or imaging examinations without any symptoms, as in our case [9].

Benign cystic mesothelioma shows variable imaging appearances, which range from a unilocular to multilocular thin walled cystic structure [5]. It is difficult to make a specific
diagnosis based on the imaging findings when features are not consistent with completely
cystic lesion. There is exiguous radiological description of benign cystic mesothelioma of
the liver [4]. On US, it often appears as hypoechoic cystic mass [1], but in our case it was
hyperechoic. On CT, it appears as a well-demarcated hypoattenuating cystic structure
with minimal or no contrast enhancement [1, 5]. Few studies have described the MR
imaging appearances of benign cystic mesothelioma [3, 5]. It contains non vascular
watery serous content that shows low signal intensity on T1-weighted and intermediate to
high signal intensity on T2-weighted images with no enhancement except for septations
that enhance when present [5]. MRI findings in our case were not typical. We observed a
liver mass that was hypointense on T1 weighted and hyperintense on T2-weighted
sequences, corresponding to edematous connective tissue and viable tumor. We noted
peripheral and complete enhancement on early and delayed gadolinium enhanced images
consistent with highly vascular tumor. In our case MRI findings has considerable overlap
with hypervascular malignant tumors such as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Typical
HCCs show a fast wash-out in the parenchymal phase which was not seen in this case. A
typical haemangioma was also considered since these show high signal intensity on T2-
weighted images and may show intense early and late enhancement, but these benign
tumors typically have enhancement that is more nodular and progressive than seen in this
case.

Tissue diagnosis is crucial to assess the definitive treatment. Microscopically, cystic
mesothelioma have multiple, translucent cystic spaces that are lined by flat cuboidal
mesothelial cells and often filled with serous fluid embedded in a fibrous stroma [5].
They have no malignant potential. In our case, demonstration of mesothelial origin of the tumor by immunostaining was critical for diagnosis.

The mainstay of treatment is surgical resection with a wide margin of excision for an easily approachable and resectable mass [8]. Chemotherapy or radiotherapy has no role in treatment [1]. Conservative alternatives to surgery include oral contraceptives and gonadotrophin releasing agonist hormones [3, 5]. These tumors have a high rate of recurrence postoperatively [1, 5, 8]. Therefore strict follow up is needed for further surveillance.

In conclusion, the diagnosis of benign cystic mesothelioma of the liver remains a challenge and cannot be made solely from clinical findings and imaging. The signal-intensity and enhancement pattern of the reported mass are rather unusual and not typical for any of the more frequently seen lesions. An appropriately guided biopsy will usually give the answer, thus avoiding more complicated diagnostic or therapeutic interventions. However a long-term close follow-up is required due to their high incidence of recurrence.
References


**Figure Legends**

Fig. 1. Color doppler sonogram of the abdomen in an oblique sagittal plane reveals hyperechoic mass (block arrow) with some peripheral color flow (arrow) within the right lobe of the liver.

Fig. 2. Transverse MR images of the liver show 3.9 x 3.5 x 3.1 cm inhomogenous lobulated mass in the posterior segment of the right hepatic lobe. The tumor is mildly hyperintense (arrow) on (A) T2 weighted fast spin-echo image (TR/TE, 490/200) and hypointense (arrow) on (B) fat-suppressed unenhanced T1-weighted gradient-recalled echo image (TR/TE, 3/1.6). (C) Fat-suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted gradient-recalled echo image during hepatic arterial phase reveals intense peripheral enhancement of the tumor (arrows) with few non-enhancing areas (asterisk) and perilesional altered blood flow (arrowhead). (D) Fat-suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted gradient-recalled echo image during portal venous phase reveals mild decreased peripheral enhancement of the tumor (arrows) with few non-enhancing areas (asterisk). (E) Fat-suppressed contrast enhanced T1-weighted gradient-recalled echo image during equilibrium phase shows that tumor has become isointense to liver parenchyma with no washout pattern.

Fig. 3 (A) Histologically, the tumor demonstrates cystic spaces lined by low cuboidal cells with hobnail features and prominent vascularity (H and E, x200). Positive immunostaining for mesothelial markers; calretinin (B) and WT-1 (C).