Robotic dismembered pyeloplasty in a horseshoe kidney after failed endopyelotomy.
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ABSTRACT

We report our experience performing a robot-assisted dismembered pyeloplasty on a patient with a ureteropelvic junction obstruction in a horseshoe kidney and a prior history of endopyelotomy. We provide 18-month follow-up demonstrating that robotic pyeloplasty is a reasonable second treatment option for patients with horseshoe kidneys with failed prior endourological management.
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INTRODUCTION

Horseshoe kidneys are the most common renal fusion anomalies, occurring in approximately 1/400 births to 1/1000 births. It is estimated that ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJO) is seen in 15% to 33% of patients in this population. Despite the presence of aberrant anatomy, both open and laparoscopic dismembered pyeloplasty have been reported to be performed successfully with good functional results. In addition, endopyelotomy has been shown to be a viable treatment option as well. Robotic surgery has gained increasing popularity and acceptance in urologic practice, providing improved operative performance and simplified suturing. Robotic pyeloplasty has been reported to be a feasible primary treatment option in patients with UPJO of a horseshoe kidney; however, data are limited. To our knowledge, robotic pyeloplasty after failed primary repair has not been reported. We demonstrate that robotic pyeloplasty is a reasonable treatment modality for patients with horseshoe kidneys after prior unsuccessful endourological intervention.

CASE REPORT

An 81-year-old female was admitted for acute pyelonephritis. Workup revealed a horseshoe kidney with UPJO and hydronephrosis of the left moiety. The patient was initially taken to the operating room, where her left UPJ was evaluated with endoluminal ultrasound. Multiple crossing vessels were visualized (Figure 1). Holmium laser endopyelotomy was performed in an area of avascularity. The patient tolerated the procedure well and was discharged that day with an indwelling ureteral stent, which was removed after 8 weeks.

Imaging after 3 months revealed marked dilation of the left renal pelvis. A Lasix nuclear renal scan was obtained showing obstruction of the left renal moiety with no excretion of contrast, consistent with reobstruction of her left UPJ. Differential renal function was 71% on the right and 29% on the left. Treatment options were discussed with the patient, and she elected to undergo a robotic pyeloplasty.

Robotic dismembered pyeloplasty was subsequently per-
formed. The procedure was transperitoneal and used our 4-port robotic template (Figure 2). Anteriorly crossing vessels were visualized and left intact. The left ureter was dissected up to the level of the endopyelotomy scar, which was surrounded by aberrant vasculature. Dismemberment was performed at this level, and the renal pelvotomy was made at the most dependent portion of the renal pelvis. A double pigtail ureteral stent was advanced to the level of the renal pelvis, and reanastomosis of the UPJ was performed using 4–0 vicryl suture on an R-B needle in an interrupted fashion. Tisseal sealant was placed over the completed anastomosis. A flank drain and Foley catheter were placed. Operative time was 210 minutes, and the estimated blood loss was 25 mL. The catheter was removed on postoperative day number one. There was no subsequent increase in output noticed from the drain, and it was removed prior to patient discharge on postoperative day number 2. The ureteral stent was removed after 6 weeks.

The patient’s postoperative course and follow-up have been uneventful. A repeat Lasix nuclear renal scan at 1-year follow-up showed no obstruction of the left moiety, with improved differential function (62% right, 38% left) compared with her preoperative study. Another follow-up renal scan at 18 months showed no deterioration in renal function.

**DISCUSSION**

The management of UPJO in patients with horseshoe kidneys follows similar principles for treatment of patients with normal anatomical kidneys. Endopyelotomy has been reported by many groups as a successful treatment option. In addition, both open and laparoscopic dismembered pyeloplasty have been shown to be established treatment modalities as well. With the advances in minimally invasive surgery, a laparoscopic approach to performing dismembered pyeloplasty is becoming the treatment of choice for management of UPJO.

Reports describing the efficacy, feasibility, and successful short-term outcomes of robotic pyeloplasty have established the procedure as an emerging therapeutic approach to the patient with UPJO. Recently, Schwentner et al reported a relatively short learning curve for the surgeon and staff regarding robotic pyeloplasty and demonstrated long-term success rates comparable to those of traditional open pyeloplasty. However, data are limited regarding this treatment option applied to patients who have UPJO of a horseshoe kidney. In addition, to our knowledge, there are no reports regarding robotic pyeloplasty as a secondary treatment modality after failed primary endourological treatment. Pyeloplasty is an accepted, if not preferred, method to treat failed endopyelotomy. In this particular case, our patient had an initial laser endopyelotomy that reobstructed after 3 months, requiring a more definitive robotic pyeloplasty. Operative time, estimated blood loss, and length of hospital stay were similar when compared with our own institutional experience of over 50 robotic pyeloplasties in patients with normal anatomical kidneys. Follow-up at 18 months with serial nuclear medicine renal scans showed persistent improvement in renal function compared with preoperative status. Strict long-term follow-up is recommended; through our institutional treatment experience of over 200 patients for UPJO with over 5 years of follow-up data, we have found that late failures and recurrences do occur in a small yet significant percentage of cases.

With the emergence of robotic surgery in urologic practice, urologists have expanded their repertoire to include increasingly challenging pelvic and retroperitoneal proce-
dures. We have shown that robotic pyeloplasty in a horse-
shoe kidney after failed endopyelotomy is a feasible and
successful procedure. The inherent advantages of the da
Vinci robot allowed us to tackle a technically demanding
case where meticulous dissection through dense scar was
needed. In addition, exploration through aberrant anatomy as well as precise suturing and reconstruction were
simplified. When encountering unique and potentially
demanding cases, such as the one illustrated, one should
consider using the da Vinci robot as a viable treatment
modality.

CONCLUSION

Robot-assisted laparoscopic pyeloplasty is a reasonable
second treatment option after failed primary endopy-
elotomy in the management of UPJO in horseshoe kid-
nneys.
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