

## **Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT): An Example for Observing Early Guideline Adoption Patterns**

It has been estimated that it takes an average of 17 years for new knowledge to be integrated into clinical practice.<sup>1</sup> The trajectory of this adoption is still inconsistent. The rapidity of integration may depend on the ease of changing the current practice, the importance of integration and other factors, such as insurance coverage (which may lag in some cases). The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT) offers a useful case study in examining knowledge adoption.<sup>2,3</sup>

An abundance of evidence demonstrates that medications are not only effective in treating hypertension, but also that they considerably reduce hypertension-associated morbidity and mortality.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, we have seen a proliferation of new antihypertensive agents (AAs) during the last 20 years. Standard antihypertensive therapies, such as diuretics (the so-called “water pills”), have been joined by more expensive medications, including  $\alpha$ -blockers, calcium channel blockers (CCBs), angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs). Before publication of ALLHAT, little comparative data existed to help clinicians select the most appropriate therapeutic approach for hypertensive patients.

Researchers at Jefferson Medical College and University of Utah sought to examine the effect of the ALLHAT recommendations on physicians prescribing behavior changes.

### **Did Italian Physicians Change their Antihypertensive Prescribing after Publication of the ALLHAT Results?**

Vittorio Maio, PharmD, MS, MSPH\*  
Research Assistant Professor

Elaine Yuen, PhD, MBA\*  
Research Assistant Professor

Carol Rabinowitz\*\*  
Research Program Analyst

Daniel Z. Louis, MS\*\*  
Managing Director

\*Department of Health Policy

\*\*Center for Research in Medical education and Health Care  
Jefferson Medical College

Begun in February 1994 and completed in March 2002, ALLHAT is the largest prospective hypertension trial ever conducted, and was intended to compare effectiveness among available AAs to provide physicians with evidence-based recommendations. In March 2000, the  $\alpha$ -blocker

arm of ALLHAT was prematurely discontinued because of a greater risk of cardiovascular events as compared to diuretics.<sup>5</sup> In December 2002, the ALLHAT findings were published, recommending that thiazide-type diuretics (THZD) be used for first-step therapy in uncomplicated hypertensive patients.<sup>2</sup> The ALLHAT results have been widely disseminated in journal publications and even in news releases. However, it remains to be seen whether, and to what extent, physician prescribing behavior for AAs has changed as a result of the new clinical evidence. In general, evidence from clinical trials and practice guidelines has a limited impact on physician behavior.<sup>6</sup>

Recent trend analyses on consumption of AAs conducted in the United States and Canada show that physicians have, to some extent, responded to the new clinical evidence of ALLHAT, prescribing significantly more diuretics and fewer ACEs, CCBs, and  $\alpha$ -blockers for their patients.<sup>7,8</sup> Thus far, no information on the impact of the ALLHAT results has been available in Europe, where physician prescribing attitudes may be influenced substantially by cultural factors, as well as specific pharmaceutical policies. Using a comprehensive automated outpatient pharmacy database in Emilia Romagna, a northern Italian Region with a population of 4 million, we investigated trends in AA prescribing following the publication of the ALLHAT results.<sup>9</sup> This research has been jointly conducted by the Center for Research in Medical Education and Health Care and the Department of Health Policy, both at Jefferson Medical College, supported through a collaborative agreement with the Agenzia Sanitaria Regionale, Regione Emilia Romagna, Italy.

We examined outpatient pharmacy claims of all Emilia Romagna residents from 2000 through 2003 and computed the monthly total number and relative percentages of prescriptions for THZDs, ACEs or ARBs, CCBs,  $\beta$ -blockers,  $\alpha$ -blockers, and other-type antihypertensive diuretics. Combinations of these antihypertensive classes were not included in the analysis because they accounted for small percentages of the total number of AA prescriptions. We performed a time series analysis using a stepwise auto-regressive forecasting model, and then assessed the impact of the ALLHAT recommendations on use of each antihypertensive class by calculating predicted relative percentages and 95% confidence intervals for each of the 12 months of 2003.

During the study period, ACEs/ARBs and CCBs represented the largest relative percentages of AA prescriptions (approximately 40 percent and 30 percent, respectively), while the relative percentages for other-type antihypertensive diuretics and  $\beta$ -blockers were roughly 12 percent and 10 percent, respectively. Alpha-blockers and THZDs accounted for approximately 4 percent and 1 percent of all AA prescriptions, respectively. Use of THZDs and ACEs/ARBs showed an overall upward trend, which was not statistically significantly different than that predicted by the time-series model in the 12 months, following publication of the ALLHAT findings. The relative percentage of CCBs diminished over time, but was significantly higher than predicted in the last 4 months of 2003. The percentage of  $\beta$ -blockers was stable overall, as were the percentages of  $\alpha$ -blockers and other-type antihypertensive diuretics.

This analysis provides evidence that the ALLHAT findings had limited impact on the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive drugs in Emilia Romagna. Further research is needed to investigate why Italian physicians appear unresponsive to the new clinical evidence. Educational programs

and implementation of pay-for-performance strategies may be warranted to influence physician prescribing behavior to improve the quality of care for hypertensive patients.

### **And How about U.S. Physician Antihypertensive Prescribing after Publication of the ALLHAT Results?**

Diana I. Brixner, RPh, PhD  
Associate Professor and Chair  
Department of Pharmacotherapy

Sameer R. Ghatge, Bpharm, MSHP  
Research Fellow

Carrie McAdam-Marx, MS, RPh  
Research Associate

University of Utah Health Sciences Center

Vittorio Maio, PharmD, MS, MSPH\*  
Research Assistant Professor  
Department of Health Policy  
Jefferson Medical College

In a similar study, the University of Utah Pharmacotherapy Outcomes Research Center sought to answer the same question among U.S. physicians using a national electronic medical record (EMR) primary care practice database, which contains ambulatory health record data for over 3.2 million individuals.<sup>10</sup> This retrospective study evaluated AA utilization among hypertensive patients in the year before and after publication of ALLHAT results. Subjects for this analysis were identified in calendar years 2002 and 2003 as patients 18 years and older with an ICD-9 code (401.xx) for hypertension and a generic product index (GPI) code for any of the following 5 classes of AAs (excluding combinations):  $\beta$ -blockers, ACEs, CCBs, THZDs, and ARBs. Patients were grouped into one of five AA classes according to the first prescription they received after diagnosis of hypertension.

Quartile analysis was used to compare the rates of patients on each of the five classes of AAs for the four quarters of both 2002 and 2003. We found a statistically significant 3.9 percent increase in the proportion of patients on THZDs for 2003 compared to 2002. We also found a statistically significant decrease in the rate of patients on ACEs (2.9 percent),  $\beta$ -blockers (1.3 percent), and CCBs (0.5 percent), and a statistically significant increase in the rate of patients on ARBs (0.9 percent). Although the increase in THZD use was modest, it supports the notion that the

ALLHAT findings had some effect on prescribing behavior. It remains to be seen, however, whether such prescribing trends would be maintained long-term.

## Conclusion

The results of these two studies show that the ALLHAT recommendations have had a modest influence, if any, on physician prescribing behaviors for AAs in two countries. However, we also recognize that these analyses examined data immediately following the release of the recommendations in late 2002; these modest changes reflect ordering behaviors of the early adopters or integrators of the information. While these analyses corroborate existing data in the literature that physicians are somewhat slow, or even reluctant, to embrace new clinical evidence, similar analyses examining prescribing behaviors 5 or 6 years after the recommendations may continue to show uptake and offer more insights into information adoption. We urge that professional medical associations and organizations worldwide begin designing, implementing, and evaluating strategies for more effective and rapid dissemination of relevant results from clinical trials among their constituents.

1. Balas EA, Boren SA. Managing Clinical Knowledge for Health Care Improvement. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics*. National Library of Medicine; Bethesda, MD: 2000,65–70.
2. The ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *JAMA*. 2002;288:2981-2997.
3. Nash DB, Clarke JL. Putting ALLHAT into Perspective. *P&T*. 2003;28:585-590.
4. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Available at: <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/hypertension/index.htm>. Accessed on December 5, 2006.
5. The ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major cardiovascular events in hypertensive patients randomized to doxazosin vs chlorthalidone: the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *JAMA*. 2000; 283:1967-1975.
6. Cabana MD, Rand C, Powe N et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines?:A framework for improvement. *JAMA*. 1999 282;1458-1465.
7. Xie F, Petitti DB, Chen W. Prescribing patterns for antihypertensive drugs after the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial: Report of experience in a Health Maintenance Organization. *Am J Hypertens*. 2005;18:464-469.
8. Austin PC, Mamdani MM, Tu K, et al. Changes in prescribing patterns following publication of the ALLHAT trial. *JAMA*. 2004;291:44-45.
9. Maio V, Yuen EJ, Smith KD, Louis DZ. Prescribing patterns following publication of the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial

(ALLHAT) in Regione Emilia Romagna, Italy. Presented at the AcademyHealth 2006 Annual Research Meeting, June 25, 2006, Seattle, WA.

10. Brixner DI, Ghatge SR, McAdam-Marx C, Maio V. Analysis of Prescribing Patterns of Antihypertensive Agents (AA) Before and After Publication of the Antihypertensive And Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). Presented at the European International Society of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), October 2006, Copenhagen, Denmark.