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INTRODUCTION

Despite over 3,000 peer-reviewed articles on procalcitonin (PCT) since 2004, guidance on its usage is sparse. An analysis of more than 500 United States hospitals in the Premier Healthcare Database found large differences in utilization exist across regions (115 PCT encounters per 10,000 patients in the Northeast vs. 408 to 576 in other regions) and teaching vs. non-teaching hospitals (345 vs. 530 PCT encounters per 10,000 patients, respectively). The purpose of this work is to describe a case that exemplifies the caveats in PCT interpretation and to summarize the current knowledge of the clinical utilization of PCT.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 78-year-old male with a history of hypothyroidism, Lewy body dementia and long-term central venous access for nutritional infusions was admitted for the radiologically-guided placement of drains into newly found intra-abdominal abscesses seen at an outside hospital. On presentation he was nonverbal. Family reported the abscesses were found incidentally; the patient had not displayed any different behaviors or symptoms. He had begun receiving ertapenem for seven days prior to admission. His home medications included anastrazole, Westhroid™ (a pork thyroid preparation), and supplemental nutrients including bearberry leaf extract, CoQ-10, cholecalciferol, boron, huperzine serrate, Endefen™, L-carnitine, niacin, DHEA, and taurine.

On admission, his vitals included temperature 96.2° F, heart rate 80 beats per minute, blood pressure 121/55 mmHg, respiratory rate 18 breaths per minute, and SpO2 of 97% on room air. Physical exam revealed a frail and cachectic, but non-toxic appearing elderly man with diffuse abdominal tenderness. Laboratory studies were notable for white blood cell count 20.6 x 10^9/L [4,000-11,000 x 10^9/L] with 87.0% neutrophils [40-80%] on automated differential (manual differential not performed for band forms), hemoglobin 9.2 g/dL [13.5-16.5 g/dL], platelets 422 x 10^9/L [150-450 x 10^9/L], sodium 135 mmol/L [135-145 mmol/L], bicarbonate 24 mmol/L [22-32 mmol/L], normal anion gap, blood urea nitrogen 11 mg/dL [7-20 mg/dL], creatinine 1.0 mg/dL [0.6-1.2], normal liver enzymes and coagulation studies. C-reactive protein was 5.50 mg/dL. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 80 mm/hr. TSH was 43.15 µIU/mL with a low T4 of 0.4 ng/dL. Procalcitonin was 0.07 ng/mL (upper limit of normal 0.09 ng/mL).

CT of the abdomen and pelvis showed four fluid collections concerning for abscesses: a left lateral abdominal wall collection measuring 13.7 x 11.6 x 3.0 cm that contained multiple foci of air, a left lower quadrant collection measuring 8.1 x 3.6 x 2.8 cm, a prevesical collection measuring 7.4 x 6.8 x 1.3 cm, and a right lower quadrant collection anteriorly measuring 7.8 x 0.9 x 0.6 cm. On hospital day two, interventional radiology placed drainage catheters into the left lateral and left lower quadrant collections. Cultures grew moderate to heavy growth multi-drug resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (Figure 1).

The patient remained stable and white blood cell count trended down. He continued to receive ertapenem. He was discharged on day three with plans to follow-up with interventional radiology for drain removal.

Figure 1. Antibiotic sensitivities for the Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate aspirated from the patient’s intra-abdominal abscesses.
REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Under normal physiologic conditions, PCT is a prohormone produced in the thyroid gland that is further processed to yield calcitonin, one of the primary hormones involved in calcium homeostasis. During bacterial infections, other tissues begin expressing PCT without processing it into calcitonin, or having detectable effects on calcium homeostasis. While this finding has raised considerable in the usage of PCT as a specific marker of bacterial infections, the biological function of extra-thyroidal PCT remains unknown.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved the use of PCT assays as a guide for beginning and discontinuing antibiotic therapy in suspected lower respiratory tract infections. The FDA indication was informed in part by a Cochrane review that found PCT-guided antibiotic therapy in acute respiratory tract infections reduced antibiotic usage and mortality risk. The FDA also approved using PCT to guide de-escalation of antibiotics during sepsis.

Although PCT-guided antibiotic prescribing algorithms are intended to decrease the use and duration of antibiotics, data are mixed. Huang et al note two main criticisms of existing clinical trials: that they were conducted in Europe where antibiotic prescribing practices may differ from the United States, and that physicians could override the PCT-guided algorithms. To address these criticisms, their group conducted a large trial in the United States: finding that PCT-guided algorithms did not change the number of antibiotic-days patients received.

There are only a few Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) guidelines in which PCT appears. A 2016 IDSA guideline on antibiotic stewardship contains a weak recommendation for serial PCT measurements for guidance of antibiotic de-escalation in adult ICU patients. A 2017 IDSA guideline contains weak recommendations for using serum PCT to differentiate between cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities due to surgery or intracranial hemorrhage vs. bacterial infection. The weak recommendation also extends to healthcare-associated bacterial ventriculitis and meningitis, which are based on studies reporting serum PCT having 100% specificity for bacterial meningitis. A separate 2016 IDSA guideline on hospital-acquired pneumonia recommends against the usage of PCT to initiate antibiotics. IDSA 2007 community-acquired pneumonia guidelines do not make recommendations for or against usage of PCT.

Data for the utility of PCT as it pertains to localized infections and abscesses are limited. A review on PCT in localized infections by Saeed, Ahmad, and Dryden reported two small (<100 patients) studies showing promise for using PCT to determine the need for antibiotics in wound infection. The studies, however, suffered major limitations including heterogeneous samples in one and a lack of control group in the other. PCT for diagnostic aide in diabetic foot infection had mixed data with positive results appearing with low thresholds (0.2-0.5 ng/mL). Data do not support usage of PCT in diagnosis of septicaemia and was not found to be a reliable marker over several small studies in osteomyelitis without sepsis.

Soderquist et al found patients with non-bacteremic septic arthritis and crystal-induced arthritides had similar PCT levels. Hammer et al found lower PCT levels in localized infections when trying to discern between infection and acute rejection in transplant recipients; however, abscesses were not specified as one of the localized infections studied. Gendrel et al found lower, but still elevated, PCT levels in pediatric patients with localized vs. generalized infections. In contrast, an abstract published in 2013 reported PCT to be a specific marker for intra-abdominal abscesses in Crohn’s disease with a higher mean serum PCT compared to patients without abscesses, however, ranges were not specified. Another study conducted in 10 patients on extracorporeal oxygen therapy—with one of them having an abscess—concluded PCT performed well as an indicator of infection.

CASE DISCUSSION

The focus of this report is the normal-range PCT level in the context of confirmed intra-abdominal bacterial infection with evidence of a systemic response (leukocytosis, hypothermia, elevated inflammatory markers) and organ damage (acute kidney injury).

The case raises the question of the effect of hypothyroidism on PCT measurements, which is not well studied. One study involving 24 patients who had received total thyroidectomy for medullary thyroid carcinoma showed that PCT was not decreased; however, fourteen patients were found to have residual thyroid tissue on ultrasound, eight had regional recurrence, and two had distant metastases. In a study involving patients with Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, those with Hashimoto’s had higher mean PCT levels than controls, and PCT was positively correlated with anti-thyroglobulin and anti-thyroid peroxidase levels. Based on these data, there is either a paradoxical effect on PCT levels or no effect at all, which is in accordance with the understanding that PCT in infected states is extra-thyroidal in nature.

Another possible explanation for the false-negative is antibiotic pre-treatment. Administration of antibiotics prior to obtaining an initial PCT has been shown to lead to lower PCT values. This patient was receiving ertapenem for seven days prior to his admission. It is presumable that if PCT is intended to indicate a systemic response to an infection as the literature so far shows,
CONCLUSION

Serum PCT can be a useful addition to the diagnostic armamentarium in the evaluation of bacterial infection. Similar to other biomarkers, it should not be solely relied on or supplant clinical judgement. While false-positive scenarios are more common, careful consideration must be given to possible false-negative scenarios as this case demonstrates. Testing may prove useful in cases of clinical uncertainty and a PCT-guided algorithm may be a beneficial addition to an established antimicrobial stewardship program.

Table 1. Clinical scenarios that may produce false-positive or false-negative PCT values. Adapted from Christ-Crain and Muller, 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>PCT threshold (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mixed bacterial pneumonia vs. H1N1 flu</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Retrospective single center</td>
<td>Aho et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia vs. non-infective conditions</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>85, pooled</td>
<td>83, pooled</td>
<td>Meta analysis</td>
<td>Simon et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia vs. viral</td>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>82, pooled</td>
<td>83, pooled</td>
<td>Meta analysis</td>
<td>Simon et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia vs. non-bacterial fever</td>
<td>&gt;0.4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Retrospective single center</td>
<td>Chrousos et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia vs. non-infective conditions</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Retrospective single center</td>
<td>Deloukas et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection vs. SIRS</td>
<td>0.6-2.0</td>
<td>42-100</td>
<td>48-100</td>
<td>Meta analysis</td>
<td>Izzon et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia vs. viral pneumonia</td>
<td>&gt;2.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Retrospective single center</td>
<td>Assicot et al. 1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Selected published analyses of PCT sensitivity and specificity.
CURRENT FDA INDICATIONS FOR TEST USAGE

The current FDA recommendation for usage of the test in lower respiratory tract infections is strong discouragement of antibiotics for PCT levels less than 0.1 ng/mL, discouragement for 0.1 to 0.25 ng/mL, recommendation for 0.25 to 0.5 ng/mL, and strong recommendation for levels greater than 0.5 ng/mL. Additionally, the current FDA recommendation for usage of the test in sepsis is discontinued recommended if PCT is less than or equal to 0.5 ng/mL or if the PCT levels have decreased by greater than 80% from peak value.1
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