

Department of Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Surgery

5-27-2022

Toward Zero Prescribed Opioids for Outpatient General Surgery Procedures: A Prospective Cohort Trial

Ryan Lamm Thomas Jefferson University

Steven Woodward Thomas Jefferson University

Brandon A Creisher Thomas Jefferson University

David Nauheim Thomas Jefferson University

Lauren Schlegel *Thomas Jefferson University* Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp

Part of the Surgery Commons
<u>Peerext Back for additional Authors</u>
<u>Centext Back for additional Authors</u>
<u>Commons</u>
<u>Entext Back for additional Authors</u>
<u>Centext Back for additional Auth</u>

Recommended Citation

Lamm, Ryan; Woodward, Steven; Creisher, Brandon A; Nauheim, David; Schlegel, Lauren; Tatarian, Talar; Tholey, Renee; Foley, Courtney; and Palazzo, Francesco, "Toward Zero Prescribed Opioids for Outpatient General Surgery Procedures: A Prospective Cohort Trial" (2022). *Department of Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 218.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/surgeryfp/218

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Ryan Lamm, Steven Woodward, Brandon A Creisher, David Nauheim, Lauren Schlegel, Talar Tatarian, Renee Tholey, Courtney Foley, and Francesco Palazzo

Toward zero prescribed opioids for outpatient general surgery procedures: a prospective cohort trial

Short Title: Zero Opioid General Surgery

Ryan Lamm, MD; Steven Woodward, MD; Brandon A. Creisher, BS; David Nauheim, BS; Lauren Schlegel, BS; Talar Tatarian, MD; Renee Tholey MD; Courtney Foley, RN; Francesco Palazzo, MD

From the: Department of Surgery, Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, 111 South 11th Street, Philadelphia, PA, USA 19107

Corresponding author: Ryan Lamm, MD; Department of Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, 111 South 11th Street, Philadelphia, PA USA 19107 (email: ryan.lamm@jefferson.edu; Phone Number: 215-955-8666)

Author contributions: RL conducted contributed to study design, data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript writing, and manuscript editing. SW contributed to study design and manuscript editing. BC, DN, and LS contributed to data collection and manuscript editing. TT and RT contributed to study design and manuscript editing. CF contributed to data collection, study design, and manuscript editing. FP contributed to study design, manuscript writing, and manuscript editing investigator on the study.

1

1 ABSTRACT

- 2 **Background:** Achieving satisfactory post-operative pain control for common elective general
- 3 surgical procedures, while minimizing opioid utilization, remains challenging. Utilizing pre-
- 4 operative educational strategies, as well as multimodal analgesia, we sought to reduce the post-
- 5 operative opioid use in elective general surgery cases.
- 6 Methods: Between November 2019 and July 2021, patients undergoing elective inguinal hernia
- 7 repair (IHR) or cholecystectomy were enrolled in the study. Patients were divided into three
- 8 cohorts: Control, opioid sparing (OS), or zero-opioid (ZO). Control patients did not have any
- 9 intervention; OS patients had an opioid reduction intervention protocol applied (patient
- 10 education and perioperative multimodal analgesia) and were provided an opioid prescription at
- discharge; the ZO had the same protocol, however patients were not provided opioid
- prescriptions at discharge. Two weeks after discharge patients were interviewed to record opioid consumption, pain scores, and level of satisfaction since discharge.
- 14 **Results:** 129 patients were recruited for the study. 88 patients underwent inguinal hernia repair
- 14 and 41 patients underwent cholecystectomy. Median post-operative morphine equivalents
- 16 consumed in the Control cohort (n=58); 46 (37.5-75) were significantly reduced when the OS
- protocol was enacted (n=42); 15 (11-22.5) and further reduced to zero for every patient in the
- ZO cohort (n=29) (p=0.0001). There were no differences in patient-reported average pain
- 19 scores after discharge (p=0.08) or satisfaction levels with experience (p=0.8302).
- 20 **Conclusions:** Our study demonstrates that patient education and preoperative interventions
- 21 can result in zero opioids prescribed after common general surgery procedures with equivalent
- 22 patient satisfaction and pain scores.
- 23 **Keywords:** Postoperative Pain Control; Opioid Reduction; General Surgery

24 INTRODUCTION

In 2021, the Center for Disease Control (CDC) reported an estimated 100,306 overdose 25 deaths from opioids in the United States (US).¹ Since 2015, opioid overdose has been the 26 27 leading cause of injury-related deaths in the US, with 6% of the US population reportedly abusing opioids compared to <1% in most other countries.^{2, 3} Medical prescribers represent the 28 only legal source contributing to available opioids, with a substantial portion coming from 29 30 procedure-based specialists. One Veterans Affairs (VA) study measured the number of 31 outpatient opioid prescriptions at 10,256,706 over three years from proceduralists.⁴ The percentage of these pills that do unused ranges from 54-86%.⁵⁻⁷ For general surgeons 32 specifically, the number has been reported at 71% of prescribed pills.8 33 34 Each year in the United states, approximately 800,000 inguinal hernia repairs and 300,000 cholecystectomies are performed.⁹ Previous reports noted that, on average, physicians 35

prescribed around 33 opioid pills for inguinal hernia repairs and 35 for cholecystectomies.⁸
These patterns would result in approximately 26.4 million opioids being prescribed for inguinal
hernia repairs and 10.5 million for cholecystectomy; factoring in the estimated 71%, there could
be up to 18.8 million of these opioid pills possibly available to enter the community.⁸

In response to the opioid crisis, professional medical societies, organizations, and state medical boards have been working diligently to contain the number of opioids prescribed and educate physicians on appropriate prescribing patterns (*i.e.*, American College of Surgeons (ACS) Safe and Effective Pain Control After Surgery).¹⁰ Despite these efforts, there is an opportunity for physician and patient-level interventions targeting both increased education and non-opioid pain control in common outpatient general surgery procedures.

Our study sought to evaluate the impact of an opioid reduction protocol (which combined
multiple strategies: patient-education, non-opioid perioperative pain management, and safe
opioid prescribing practices) on postoperative opioid utilization. We hypothesized that a

- 49 standardized protocol for common outpatient general surgery procedures, could decrease
- 50 opioids utilization at our institution without compromising pain control or patient satisfaction.
- 51

52 METHODS

Study design: This was a prospective cohort study and was approved by the Institutional
Review Board (IRB) of Thomas Jefferson University on October 2019 prior to patient enrollment
(Control #19D.688) and registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05327777). This study was exempt
from requiring informed consent.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. The study took place between November 2019 and July
2021 at a single academic medical center. Inclusion criteria included: opioid naïve patients, age
> 18, being scheduled for an outpatient, elective inguinal hernia repair or cholecystectomy with
one of three general surgeons. Exclusion criteria included: urgent/emergent status, and/or
previous cholecystostomy tube placement.

62 **Opioid reduction Intervention Protocol:** The protocol (**Table 1**) included: a) an educational 63 component provided at the outpatient visit with the surgeon with instructions tailored to the specific procedure, as well as the American College of Surgeon's (ACS) Safe and Effective Pain 64 Control After Surgery patient tool¹⁰ (Figure 1); b) preoperative multimodal analgesia provided 1 65 hour prior to operation (800 milligrams (mg) of ibuprofen, 1,000 mg of acetaminophen, and 75 66 67 mg of pregabalin for patients <70 years old): c) goal-directed fluid management, limited intraoperative opioid administration at the discretion of the anesthesiologist, and local anesthetic 68 administered at incision sites; d) postoperative elements of the protocol included limited PACU 69 70 administration of opioids based on pain scores (opioids only allowed for pain visual analog 71 score (VAS) > 6), discharge counseling regarding limited opioid use at home, and instructions to alternate between acetaminophen and ibuprofen every 3 hours at home for pain. 72

Prior to the launch of the study, the senior author held two consecutive educational
sessions on the protocol and its implementation with the perioperative nursing, anesthesia, and
surgical staff.

Phases of Study and Chronology of Interventions. The study was designed to have an
 assessment phase (referred to as the "Control" cohort) and two intervention phases (Figure 2).

In the assessment phase of the study (November 2019 - February 2020), in order to establish a reference control cohort, patients were monitored for their opioid utilization per routine, established practice, prior to any intervention. In the intervention phases, data were collected after the opioid reduction intervention protocol was initiated (**Table 1**).

82 In the intervention phases, two chronologically consecutive intervention cohorts were 83 created. In the first one ("Opioid Sparing" (OS); March 2020 - October 2020), patients were discharged with instructions to alternate between acetaminophen and ibuprofen and to use the 84 opioids only for breakthrough pain (prescription for 10 pills of 5 milligram oxycodone or 50 85 milligram tramadol every 4-6 hours was provided at discharge); in the second cohort ("Zero 86 Opioid" (ZO); November 2020 - July 2021), patients were discharged without a prescription for 87 opioids, but rather instructed to contact the provider should pain control not be adequate with 88 89 acetaminophen and ibuprofen alone. Importantly, it is worth noting that at our institution elective 90 cases were cancelled due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the intervention phases which impacted the sample size, as well as decision of when to move on to the ZO stage of the 91 protocol. 92

Data Collection. Demographic, clinical, and perioperative variables were collected by
 retrospective review of the electronic medical record and included age, sex, race/ethnicity, BMI,
 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class, smoking status, history of substance abuse,
 procedure, technique (open, laparoscopic, or robotic), whether the minimally invasive inguinal

97 repair was trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal (TAPP) or totally extra-peritoneal (TEP), length of
98 procedure, estimated blood loss, total intravenous fluid given during the procedure, total local
99 anesthetic given during the procedure, total intraoperative morphine milliequivalents
100 administered, length of stay after procedure, and patient-reported pain scores at 1 hour after the
101 procedure and at discharge.

102 **Outcomes.** Outcome variables were obtained by the electronic medical record and/or by utilization of a brief telephone survey (intended for internal use only) which was administered by 103 104 members of the study team who contacted the patients 14 days after discharge (Figure S1). Outcomes of interest included: total morphine milligram equivalents (MMEs) in the post-105 anesthesia care unit (PACU), patient-reported total MMEs after discharge, and patient-reported 106 107 pain scores and satisfaction scores after discharge. Satisfaction scores were on a scale of 1 to 108 10 with 1 being extremely dissatisfied and 10 being extremely satisfied. Additionally, number of 109 calls to the surgeon's office with a complaint of pain and number of pain medication refills 110 prescribed were recorded for 30 days following the procedure. For MME calculations, patients were asked how many pills of each pain medication they had taken after discharge and based 111 on their responses these were converted and recorded by the research team. 112

113 Statistical Analysis. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. For 114 continuous variables. Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to determine if data were normally 115 distributed. For normally distributed data, analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed, and results were reported as means with standard deviations. For variables that were not 116 normally distributed, Kruskal-Wallis's test was performed, and results were reported as medians 117 118 with interquartile ranges (IQR). For all comparisons two-sided statistical significance was set a priori at p<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/MP 17.1 (Statacorp, College 119 120 Station, TX).

121

122 **RESULTS**

123 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics. One hundred and forty-eight patients met inclusion criteria, however only 129 had complete follow-up and were enrolled in the study: 58 124 patients in the Control cohort, 42 in the Opioid Sparing cohort (OS), and 29 in the Zero-Opioid 125 126 cohort (ZO). Demographic and clinical characteristics were statistically equivalent between 127 cohorts, except for significantly fewer female patients being present in the ZO cohort (6.9%) compared to the Control (32.8%) and OS (23.8%) cohorts (p=0.029) and (**Table 2**). 128 129 Perioperative Characteristics. Between cohorts, more inguinal hernias were done in the ZO and OS cohorts than the Control (ZO: 25/29 (86.2%) v OS: 31/42 (73.8%) v Control: 32/58 130 131 (55.2%), p=0.009). More open procedures were performed in the ZO and OS cohorts as well (ZO: 37.9% v OS: 35.7% v Control: 18.2%, p=0.017) compared to minimally invasive or robotic. 132 133 Length of stay after the procedure was significantly longer in the ZO and OS groups (ZO: 5.1 hours v OS: 4.7 hours v Control: 2.7 hours, p=0.0001) (Table 3). Estimated blood loss, total 134 135 intravenous fluid given, and total intraoperative MME were statistically, but not clinically,

different. The rest of the perioperative characteristics studied were statistically equivalent.

Postoperative Outcomes. Total MMEs after discharge were significantly reduced from Control 137 (46 (37.5-75)) to (15 (11-22.5) in the OS cohort and further reduced to zero in the ZO cohort 138 (p=0.0001). No significant differences were seen in the patient-reported pain ((p=0.08) or p=0.0001)139 140 satisfaction scores (p=0.8302) after discharge. The number of calls to the surgeon's office with 141 complaints of pain as well as number of pain medication refills prescribed within 30 days of operation were both zero in the ZO cohort (**Table 4**). Additionally, no patients in any cohort 142 presented to the emergency room after their operation with a complaint of pain or request for 143 144 pain medications in our study.

145

146 **DISCUSSION**

In the United States 1.1 million elective inguinal hernia repairs and cholecystectomies are performed each year, with 26.4 million opioid pills being prescribed to treat postoperative pain, with more than two-thirds of those pills at risk of being unused and available to circulate in the community.^{8, 9} We believe that despite previous successful interventions implemented, elective general surgery procedures represent a significant opportunity to critically reduce the total amount of opioids prescribed by surgeons.

Our study utilized a perioperative opioid reduction intervention protocol to first 153 154 significantly reduce the number of opioids used by patients after discharge from common 155 elective general surgery and then, subsequently, drive that number down to zero by not providing an opioid prescription at discharge. During this study period, there was no significant 156 157 difference in pain or satisfaction scores, suggesting patient experience and care were not 158 compromised. Finally, we saw a reduction in office calls and pain medication refills prescribed to 159 zero. We attributed this change to the increased efforts towards patient education both pre- and post-operatively. Our results provide a framework to reduce opioid overuse, by directly 160 intervening on patients undergoing common surgical interventions and increasing awareness on 161 postoperative pain management and proper disposition of unused pills. 162

Strategies to successfully reduce opioid use across various procedural fields including colorectal surgery¹¹, neurosurgery¹², and general surgery¹³ have been proven in previous studies. For example, Hartford *et al* were able to decrease the total morphine milligram equivalents (MMEs) in postoperative cholecystectomy and open hernia repair patients from 100 to 50 utilizing multi-modal, non-narcotic, intra-and postoperative analgesia bundles and showed non-inferior pain scores after application between control and intervention patient cohorts.¹⁴ Others, like Angelo *et al*, using solely patient-education based infographics, successfully reduced the number of opioid pills prescribed in half from 30 to 15.¹⁵ Our study combined these
approaches to create a multifaceted protocol to reduce opioid utilization.

Many state, society, and institution-level interventions have been implemented to reduce 172 the opioid prescribing rate. On the state level, legislative restrictions have been implemented on 173 174 the number of opioids allowed to be prescribed for certain procedures.¹⁶ Additionally, as 175 mentioned earlier, the ACS has published multiple educational materials for providers on appropriate prescribing practices and for patients on appropriate indications for opioid 176 consumption for common surgical procedures.¹⁰ Finally, at the institution level, specific 177 education materials have been published to target at-risk patient populations.¹⁷ In addition, 178 pathways which work towards reducing perioperative opioid use, namely the enhanced recovery 179 after surgery (ERAS) protocols, have been implemented for select procedures.¹⁸ All of these 180 181 interventions have had success on lowering the amount of opioid consumption and prescribing 182 however, few specifically target elective outpatient procedures.

183 On top of these efforts, recent calls to arms have highlighted the need to eliminate prescriptions completely. This is obviously not realistic for all procedures, but is a strategy 184 advocated for and utilized by some routine minimally invasive teams. One example is the 185 robotics urology team at the University of Pittsburgh that, anecdotally, describes how they 186 187 stopped prescribing opioids for their robotic prostatectomy and nephrectomy patients postoperatively, without an observed increase in emergency room visits for pain or outpatient 188 phone calls.¹⁹ These authors advocate "anchoring to zero exposure" by simply not prescribing 189 patients opioids after their surgery and educating them on the use of non-narcotic pain control at 190 191 home. While our ZO cohort was 100% successful in this study, that level of success will likely vary from institution to institution and procedure to procedure, considering the majority of cases 192 193 in our study was performed by a small group of surgeons with one contributing a majority of the cases. 194

195 We believe a key to our success in driving opioid utilization toward zero in our study, 196 was the requirement to call the office should the patient need an opioid prescription, instead of providing one on discharge. In our study, patients went from taking 46 MMEs after discharge in 197 the control cohort to 15 in the OS and 0 in the ZO group. This equates to around six 5mg 198 199 oxycodone pills taken in the Control group and one to two pills in the OS group. Within the OS 200 group, when asked why they had taken the 1-2 pills over the two-week period, many patients reported using the opioids as first line pain control, to aid in sleep, and/or simply because they 201 202 thought they were supposed to since their surgeon had prescribed them. Once the prescription 203 was removed from the routine discharge materials, patients easily adjusted to the recommendations, few calls occurred, and no additional opioids were requested. These findings 204 were not combined with any significant increase in reported pain from our cohort. We believe 205 206 that in correctly selected procedures, opioid prescriptions should no longer be routinely provided. A critically important component of the study's protocol was early education and 207 engagement of the entire perioperative nursing, anesthesia, and surgery staff with the elements 208 209 of the intervention.

210 An important point to consider in implementing opioid reduction protocols is cost. As we reported, patients in both intervention arms spent longer in the hospital and received additional 211 medications preoperatively which, while challenging to assign a true expense to, likely drove the 212 hospital costs higher. Some previous studies have shown that these types of pathways are cost-213 effective when considering reduced re-admissions and post-operative complications²⁰⁻²², 214 however some showed no difference.^{23, 24} No studies we identified showed an overall increase 215 216 in cost after implementation. In our experience, while costs may be higher in the index admission, these types of pathways are ultimately cost-effective in their ability to reduce 217 subsequent healthcare resource utilization and physician-contribution to the opioid epidemic. 218

That being said, formal cost-effectiveness analysis would need to be performed to truly capturethe full economic impact of implementing these types of protocols.

An additional, and certainly significant, benefit of these efforts aimed at reducing the 221 number of available opioids was education in appropriately discarding unused pills. We believe 222 223 this information should be included in all pre- and post-operative patient education with the 224 same emphasis we place on keeping wounds clean and notifying a physician should any complications arise. In our study, instructions on how to discard opioids properly were included 225 226 in our patient education handout (Figure 1). Porter et al utilized strong patient education and a 227 "convenient drop-box" in their hospital's pharmacy, with directions to its location provided to the patient, to achieve a rate of 83% FDA-compliant disposal of excess pills in their cohort.²⁵ We 228 229 believe FDA-compliant drop-boxes should be located in all hospital pharmacies. We also 230 suggest the implementation of programs where patients can appropriately dispose their unused 231 opioids at their postoperative appointments to increase convenience, but more importantly 232 compliance.

233 Limitations

There were a few limitations in our study worth noting. For one, this study was 234 performed on only general surgery, opioid naïve, elective patients and, thus, it is likely not 235 236 generalizable to patients outside of this inclusion criteria. However, other studies have shown success with similar programs in higher acuity and chronic pain patient populations.²⁶ Moreover, 237 some clinically significant demographic and perioperative characteristics were statistically 238 239 different between our cohorts. For one, there were significantly less females and more open 240 procedures in the zero-opioid (ZO) cohort and opioid sparing (OS) cohort. However, since men have been shown to abuse opioids more frequently, and minimally invasive procedures have 241 been shown to decrease postoperative pain and subsequent opioid use, we believe these two 242 statistical differences actually strengthen the hypothesis that our intervention protocol was 243

successful since these intervention cohorts also had significantly lower opioid use.^{27, 28} 244 245 Additionally, we performed a sub-analysis of only the inguinal hernia repairs in our study which showed the same direction of trends and significance for all demographic, clinical, and 246 postoperative variables included in our larger study except that the female to male distributions 247 248 were statistically equivalent. That being said, a larger study which can control for all covariates 249 should be done. We also reported a significantly longer length of stay in the ZO and OS cohorts. The difference was only 2 hours which is either a product of small cohort size and chance, or a 250 251 real effect which, when weighed against reduction of opioids, is worth it in our opinion. We 252 utilized a survey administered via telephone or at postoperative visit which required patients to recall how many pain pills had been taken after discharge which is subject to recall bias and 253 254 may skew the results. Also, all the procedures included in our study were performed by three surgeons, with a majority of them being performed by a single surgeon, creating the potential for 255 256 a selection bias. Similarly, in regards to cohort size, despite our ZO intervention phase spanning the longest amount of time (9 months), it contained the least number of patients (n=29). This 257 was secondary to elective cases being cancelled to the COVID-19 pandemic for a majority of 258 259 that time period. Despite this, we believe the cohort was adequate in size to display the 260 intended outcome effects.

261 CONCLUSIONS

With recent emphasis on opioid reduction strategies to combat the opioid dependence and abuse epidemic in the US, our study demonstrated that patient education and perioperative interventions can significantly reduce opioid use in the outpatient elective general surgery patient. Additionally, by requiring the patient to call in to the office to obtain an opioid prescription rather than providing it at discharge, we were able to drive our opioid use rate down to zero while maintaining pain control and satisfaction scores. We hope our protocol can serve as a model for opioid reduction in other outpatient elective surgeries and spur discussion on

- strategies to mitigate opioid use in more urgent/emergent or extensive procedures in an effort to
- 270 reduce the contribution of provider-prescribed opiates to the current epidemic.

271 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 272 The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Surgery at Thomas Jefferson
- 273 University for their support in this project.

274 **DISCLOSURE:**

- 275 The authors report no proprietary or commercial interest in any product mentioned or concept
- 276 discussed in this article.

277 **FUNDING:**

- 278 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 279 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

280 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Prevention, C.f.D.C.C.a. *Provisional counts of drug overdose deaths*. 2021.
- 282 2. Theisen, K., et al., *The United States opioid epidemic: a review of the surgeon's contribution* 283 to it and health policy initiatives. BJU international, 2018. **122**(5): p. 754-759.
- 3. Merz, F., United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime: World Drug Report 2017. 2017. SIRIUS Zeitschrift für Strategische Analysen, 2018. 2(1): p. 85-86.
- 4. Evans, C.T., et al., Outpatient Prescribing of Antibiotics and Opioids by Veterans Health
 Administration Providers, 2015–2017. American journal of preventive medicine, 2021.
 61(5): p. e235-e244.
- 5. Maughan, B.C., et al., Unused opioid analgesics and drug disposal following outpatient dental surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Drug and alcohol dependence, 2016. 168: p. 328-334.
- 6. Harris, K., et al., Opioid pain medication use after dermatologic surgery: a prospective
 observational study of 212 dermatologic surgery patients. JAMA dermatology, 2013.
 149(3): p. 317-321.
- 7. Bartels, K., et al., Opioid use and storage patterns by patients after hospital discharge
 following surgery. PloS one, 2016. 11(1): p. e0147972.
- 8. Hill, M.V., et al., Wide variation and excessive dosage of opioid prescriptions for common general surgical procedures. Annals of surgery, 2017. 265(4): p. 709-714.
- 9. Blythe, J., et al., Acute cholecystitis a cohort study in a real-world clinical setting (REWO study, NCT02796443). Pragmat Obs Res, 2018. 9: p. 69-75.
- 301 10. Surgeons, A.C.o., Safe and Effective Pain Control After Surgery. Surgical Patient Edication
 302 Program, 2021.
- 11. Ivatury, S.J., et al., Prospective Evaluation of a Standardized Opioid Reduction Protocol
 after Anorectal Surgery. Journal of Surgical Research, 2020. 256: p. 564-569.
- 12. Eley, N., et al., Implementation of an opioid reduction protocol for simple outpatient
 neurosurgical procedures: a single-center experience. Spine, 2020. 45(6): p. 397-404.
- 307 13. Pruitt, L.C., et al., *Implementation of a quality improvement initiative to decrease opioid* 308 prescribing in general surgery. Journal of Surgical Research, 2020. 247: p. 514-523.
- 14. Hartford, L.B., et al., Standardization of outpatient procedure (STOP) narcotics: a
 prospective non-inferiority study to reduce opioid use in outpatient general surgical
 procedures. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2019. 228(1): p. 81-88. e1.
- 15. Angelo, J.L., et al., *Reduction in prescribed opioids after general surgery procedures at a public hospital.* The American Surgeon, 2019. **85**(10): p. 1198-1203.
- 16. Randall, D.J., et al., National and State Level Opioid-Restricting Legislation in Total Joint
 Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. The Journal of Arthroplasty, 2022. 37(1): p. 176-185.
- 17. Hill, M.V., et al., An educational intervention decreases opioid prescribing after general
 surgical operations. Annals of surgery, 2018. 267(3): p. 468-472.
- 18. Melnyk, M., et al., Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols: Time to change practice? Can Urol Assoc J, 2011. 5(5): p. 342-8.
- 19. Davies, B. and C.M. Brummett, Anchoring to Zero Exposure: Opioid-free Minimally Invasive
 Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2020. 271(1): p. 37-38.
- 20. Ljungqvist, O., M. Scott, and K.C. Fearon, *Enhanced recovery after surgery: a review.* JAMA
 surgery, 2017. **152**(3): p. 292-298.
- Stowers, M.D., D.P. Lemanu, and A.G. Hill, *Health economics in enhanced recovery after surgery programs.* Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie,
 2015. 62(2): p. 219-230.
- 327 22. Stone, A.B., et al., Implementation costs of an enhanced recovery after surgery program in
 328 the United States: a financial model and sensitivity analysis based on experiences at a

- quaternary academic medical center. Journal of the American College of Surgeons,
 2016. 222(3): p. 219-225.
- 23. Lemanu, D., et al., A systematic review to assess cost effectiveness of enhanced recovery
 after surgery programmes in colorectal surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2014. **16**(5): p. 338 346.
- 24. Thanh, N.X., et al., An economic evaluation of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery
 (ERAS) multisite implementation program for colorectal surgery in Alberta. Canadian
 Journal of Surgery, 2016. 59(6): p. 415.
- 25. Porter, E.D., et al., *Guidelines for Patient-Centered Opioid Prescribing and Optimal FDA- Compliant Disposal of Excess Pills after Inpatient Operation: Prospective Clinical Trial.* Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2021. 232(6): p. 823-835. e2.
- 26. Magrum, B., et al., Combating the opioid epidemic in acute general surgery: Reframing
 inpatient acute pain management. Journal of Surgical Research, 2020. 251: p. 6-15.
- Serdarevic, M., C.W. Striley, and L.B. Cottler, Sex differences in prescription opioid use.
 Curr Opin Psychiatry, 2017. 30(4): p. 238-246.
- 344 28. Hemmerling, T.M., *Pain management in abdominal surgery.* Langenbecks Arch Surg, 2018.
 403(7): p. 791-803.

346 **TABLES**:

347 **Table 1.** Opioid Reduction Intervention Protocol

Opioid Reduction Intervention Protocol				
Preoperative/Intraoperative Interventions	Postoperative Intervention			
1) Preoperative opioid reduction education given to patients in surgeon's office (Figure 1)	1) Limited opioid administration in PACU (only for pain visual analog scores > 6)			
 2) Preoperative multimodal analgesia 1 hour before operation: Ibuprofen 800 milligrams x 1 Acetaminophen 1,000 milligrams x 1 Pregabalin 75 milligrams x 1 (except for patients >70y old) 	2) Discharge counseling emphasizing importance of limited opioid use outpatient			
3) Intraoperative goal-directed fluid management	3) Instructed to take acetaminophen and ibuprofen alternating every 3 hours for pain			
4) Limited intraoperative opioid administration	 4) Targeted Discharge Opioid Prescription: a. Opioid sparing (OS) cohort: (10 pills of 5milligram oxycodone or 50 milligram tramadol) b. Zero Opioid (ZO) cohort: no opioid prescription provided at discharge 			
5) Local anesthetic (bupivacaine 0.5%) administered at incision site				

348 Abbreviations: PACU = post-anesthesia care unit

	Control (n=58)	Opioid Sparing (n=42)	Zero-Opioid (n = 29)	P value
Age (years), Mean <u>+</u> SD	52.4 <u>+</u> 1.8	55.3 <u>+</u> 2.0	58.6 <u>+</u> 2.9	0.360
Female Sex, N (%)	19 (32.8)	10 (23.8)	2 (6.9)	0.029*
Race/Ethnicity, N (%)				0.233
Black	3 (5.3)	5 (11.9)	7 (24.1)	
White	47 (81.0)	31 (73.8)	22 (75.9)	
Hispanic, Non-Black	5 (8.6)	4 (9.5)	0 (0)	
Asian	2 (3.4)	1 (2.4)	0 (0)	
Other	1 (1.7)	1 (2.4)	0 (0)	
BMI (kg/m2), Median (IQR)	27.8 (25.4-32.1)	27.9 (24.3-31)	25.4 (23.3-28.7)	0.065
ASA Class, N (%)				0.124
<u><</u> 2	46 (79.3)	28 (66.7)	17 (58.6)	
<u>></u> 3	12 (20.7)	12 (28.6)	12 (41.4)	
Smoking Status, N (%)				0.754
Former	16 (27.6)	14 (33.3)	10 (34.5)	
Current	6 (10.3)	7 (16.7)	4 (13.8)	
History of Substance Abuse, N (%)	3 (5.2)	5 (11.9)	4 (13.8)	0.332

349 **Table 2.** Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

350 Abbreviations: SD = standard deviation; BMI = body mass index; IQR = interquartile range; ASA

351 = American Society of Anesthesiologists

352 ** denotes statistical significance*

353 **Table 3.** Perioperative Characteristics

	Control (n=58)	Opioid Sparing (n=42)	Zero-Opioid (n=29)	P value
Inguinal Hernia Repair, N (%)	32 (55.2)	31 (73.8)	25 (86.2)	0.009*
Procedure Technique, N (%)				0.017*
Open	10 (17.2)	15 (35.7)	11 (37.9)	
Minimally Invasive (Laparoscopic/Robotic)	48 (82.8)	27 (64.3)	18 (62.1)	
Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, N (%)	26 (54.2)	11 (40.7)	4 (22.2)	
TAPP Inguinal, N (%)	22 (45.8)	11 (40.7)	14 (77.8)	
TEP Inguinal, N (%)	0	5 (18.6)	0	
Length of Procedure (min.), Median (IQR)	101 (80-116)	108 (92-132)	96 (79-126)	0.238
Estimated Blood Loss (mL), Median (IQR)	10 (0-10)	5 (0-20)	0 (0-10)	0.0104*
Total Intravenous Fluid Given (mL), Median (IQR)	1000 (700- 1200)	700 (84- 1000)	1000 (800- 1000)	0.0039*
Total Local Anesthesia Given (mL), Median (IQR)	30 (30-30)	30 (30-30)	30 (30-30)	0.27
Total Intraoperative MME, Median (IQR)	480 (480- 720)	420 (240- 480)	480 (240- 480)	0.0001*
Length of Stay after Procedure (hr), Median (IQR)	2.7 (2.4-3.1)	4.7 (3.8-5.4)	5.1 (4.8-5.7)	0.0001*
Pain Scores 1 hour after Procedure, Median (IQR)	2 (1-5)	3 (1-6)	1 (1-5)	0.061
Pain Scores at Discharge, Median (IQR)	4 (1-6)	2 (1-4)	3 (1-4)	0.062

354 Abbreviations: TAPP = trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal; TEP = totally extra-peritoneal; min. =

355 minutes; IQR = interquartile range; mL = milliliters; MME = morphine milligram equivalents; hr = 356 hours

357 ** denotes statistical significance*

358

359 Table 4. Postoperative Outcomes

	Control (n=58)	Opioid Sparing (n=42)	Zero-Opioid (n=29)	P value
Total MME in PACU, Median (IQR)	15 (7.5-22.5)	7.5 (7.5-15)	15 (7.5-15)	0.3368
Total MME after Discharge, Median (IQR)	46 (37.5 – 75)	15 (11 – 22.5)	0 <u>+</u> 0	0.0001*
Pain Scores after Discharge, Median (IQR)	3 (1-4)	2 (1-3)	4 (3-5)	0.08
Satisfaction Scores after Discharge, Median (IQR)	10 (9-10)	10 (9-10)	10 (8.5-10)	0.8302
Calls to Surgeon's Office with Pain within 30d, N (%)	10 (17.2)	10 (23.8)	0 (0)	0.022*
Pain Medication Refills within 30d, N (%)	3 (5.2)	4 (9.5)	0 (0)	0.218

Abbreviations: MME = morphine milligram equivalents; PACU = post-anesthesia care unit; IQR 360 * denotes statistical

= interquartile range; d = days361

. significance 362

363 **FIGURE TITLES and LEGENDS:**

- Figure 1. Preoperative Opioid Reduction Patient Education Handout for Inguinal Hernia Repair.
 An alternative, but similar handout was created for cholecystectomy.
- **Figure 2.** Study timeline with assessment and intervention time periods
- Figure S1. Postoperative Opioid Use, Pain, and Satisfaction Survey (intended for internal useonly)