Narrative review of socioeconomic and racial disparities in the treatment of early stage lung cancer
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the most lethal solid organ malignancy in the United States, with the second-highest incidence of new malignancies for both men and women. While overall survival for lung cancer is improving, significant socioeconomic and racial disparities in outcomes for lung cancer persist.

Methods: Narrative review of peer reviewed literature synthesizing findings retrieved from searches of computerized databases, primary article reference lists, authoritative texts and expert options.

Results: The current incidence of lung cancer appears to be similar between White and Black patients. However, Black patients are substantially less likely to receive curative intent surgery. Mitigation strategies do exist to narrow this inequity. Lower socioeconomic status (SES) is associated with a higher incidence of lung cancer, lower utilization of surgery and poorer outcomes after surgery.

Conclusions: Race and SES remain closely linked to outcomes in lung cancer. Outcomes are still worse when controlling for stage and specifically, in early-stage disease, surgical therapy is consistently underused in Black patients and patients of low SES.
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Methods

A literature search was conducted via PubMed and Medline databases using the following key words: Lung cancer, racial disparities, socioeconomic, race, Black and lung cancer screening. The inclusion criteria were (I) peer-reviewed academic journals published in English and between the years 1990 and 2020. (II) Research that focused on racial and socio-economic disparities in lung cancer treatment. (III) Research regarding racial and socio-economic differences in tobacco consumption. Manual searches of the reference lists of primary articles found from initial searches were also conducted. Editorials, commentaries and conference abstracts were excluded from the analysis. The impactful and salient articles in the author’s opinion were reviewed and synthesized in this review.

Discussion

Racial inequities

Background

The incidence of lung cancer is currently similar between White Americans and Black Americans, with approximately 55 per 100,000 people (1). The rates for all new lung cancer cases have consistently decreased over the last two decades, mainly due to reduced rates of tobacco use. Historically, black men had the highest incidence of lung cancer of any racial and gender group (10). Some have suggested this was due to the high rates of smoking in black men in the southern United States and menthol cigarette smoking in the Black population (11). However, these differences have narrowed in recent years (12,13). Black patients still present with more advanced disease than their White counterparts (14,15). When accounting for the stage at presentation and other factors, Black patients still have lower five-year survival for local disease than their White counterparts. There is also data to suggest that Black patients receiving surgical resection are more likely to die during perioperative period (16).

There are many questions as to the origin of this disparity, as curative lung resection is a major operation with requisite pre-operative functional status and lung function requirements. It has been postulated that disparity in early-stage cancer is due to poor preoperative functional status or lung function, though these assertions have limited support as the complete explanation for the inequity (17). Other more complex issues such as access to care, medical mistrust, disinformation, disparity in testing, disparity in lung function assessment and physician communication all likely play a part (18,19).

Utilization of surgery

Early reports demonstrate that Black patients were less likely to undergo curative intent surgical therapy (20). Bach et al. used the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Medicare database, to analyze patients with Stage I or Stage II NSCLC over the age of 65 for overall survival and the utilization of surgery. The five-year survival for Black and White patients who underwent lung cancer resection was similar at 39% and 43%, respectively. The five-year survival for patients who did not receive surgery was similar at 4% and 5%. However, 77% of White patients underwent surgery, while 64% of Black patients received surgery. Using hierarchical analyses controlling for age, stage, income, and comorbidities, Black race was still associated with receiving less surgery than White race. Thus, they concluded that race as a sole factor associated with the lower utilization of surgery and the disparity in overall survival between Black and White patients.

A more recent study by Dalwadi et al. used the SEER database in a more modern sample to look at the utilization of surgery by race (21). They analyzed patients aged 60 and over between 2004 and 2012 with Stage I and Stage II NSCLC. They report that Black patients received surgery at a 58 percent rate compared to 67 percent of White patients and had a two-year lung cancer-specific survival of 76 percent compared to 79 percent in White patients. When adjusting for stage, age, and treatment, the difference in survival was no longer significant.

Soneji et al. analyzed surgery utilization rates in early-stage cancer patients by race (9). Black patients were not recommended surgery at a 34% rate, while White and Asian patients had surgery not recommended at a rate of 24%. Overall survival for Black patients, including all causes, was lower for Black patients. When controlling for the receipt of surgery in patients with early-stage lung cancer, there was still worse overall survival for Black patients. This was found to be due to higher rates of death from other competing causes, including heart and kidney disease.
Our group recently chose to investigate this topic using the National Cancer Database (NCDB). We analyzed the utilization of local therapy (surgery and radiation) therapy (4). We found a similar trend with White patients receiving significantly more surgery than Black patients in our sample from 2004 to 2015 (67% vs. 60%, P<0.001). We also found that the rates of surgery for both Black and White patients improved dramatically from 2004 to 2015, with White patients increasing from 57% to 65% and Black patients increasing from 44% to 62%. When adjusting for the receipt of surgery, the survival difference between Black and White patients disappeared. In the most recent sample, Black patients who received surgery had improved survival compared to White patients, likely due to their younger age at diagnosis.

### Mitigation

As the studies above have clearly shown, there is a persistent inequity in the utilization of surgery between Black and White patients. Some groups have looked to determine the mechanism of the development of these inequities and how to mitigate them. Cykert et al. interviewed patients with newly diagnosed resectable lung cancer and asked them what factors influenced their decision to undergo or not undergo surgical resection (22). They found the same disparity in the utilization of surgery in Black patients as others found historically. Both White and Black patients' poor perception of patient-physician communication and negative perception of one-year survival after surgery were associated with deferring surgical therapy. Black patients with two or more comorbidities and no regular source of healthcare were very likely to defer surgery (22).

Multiple studies have shown that Black patients have complex views on the receipt of surgery. Studies have shown that poor physician-patient communication, misconceptions about cancer biology, and low trust all result in Black patients not choosing surgery when it is offered (23,24). A multi-site interventional study looked at eliminating the gap in utilizing surgery by using a three-pronged approach (25). The intervention was a real-time warning in the electronic medical record, feedback to the providers about the outcomes of early-stage lung cancer by race, and the utilization of a nurse navigator to manage the warning system. The intervention raised the level of local therapy for both races and equalized them from pre-intervention levels (25).

### Socioeconomic disparities

#### Background

Socioeconomic factors are broadly defined as a combination of income, education, area of residence, and insurance status. The Black population in America experiences a significantly lower SES than the White population in America. Specifically, Black people have lower levels of wealth, education and are more likely than White patients to live in urban areas (26-28). These inequities stem from the history of slavery, Jim Crow, and systemic racism in America.

Socioeconomic disparities in outcomes for all cancers have been widely noted (29). Specifically, for lung cancer, it has been demonstrated that the incidence of lung cancer increases as patients have lower SES (8,30). Based on race, patients of lower SES present with more advanced disease than those with higher status. Low SES is tied to tobacco use and is partially thought to be the driving force behind the high incidence of cancer in this group. However, environmental factors and exposures to other known carcinogens are likely to contribute (31,32). Some reports indicate that poor SES make it 70% more likely for men and 50% more likely for women to develop lung cancer (31).

#### Detection and management

Recently the National Lung Cancer Screening Trial and the NELSON trial in Europe have demonstrated improved lung cancer-specific survival for high-risk patients screened with CT scans (33,34). This benefit is most pronounced in women and racial minority patients. As in other areas in cancer care, disparities in screening exist based on SES (35). Specifically, uninsured patients are 72% less likely to get screened than insured patients. For patients with detected cancers, SES plays a role in their early management. Population-level data indicates that higher SES is associated with a higher likelihood of receiving the following indicated interventions: a brain MRI, lung resection, palliative radiation, and adjuvant chemotherapy. Lower SES is also closely associated with not receiving NCCN guideline-concordant care (36,37).

For ESNSCLC, the standard of care is anatomic lung resection with systematic lymph node sampling. Lower SES has not been associated with higher rates of refusal when surgery is offered (38). However, lower income and lower SES was associated with not receiving surgery or any local...
therapy in several multivariable analyzes using large datasets (4,39). These inequities in these studies persisted even when controlling for race. A recent meta-analysis of 46 studies showed that lower SES had an OR of 0.68 as compared to the highest SES for the receipt of surgery (40).

Outcomes
Further compounding the inequities of SES and lung cancer are the outcomes of lower SES patients after surgery. First, even though patients with lower SES are diagnosed at a younger age, they are far more likely to suffer lung cancer mortality even when adjusting for stage at diagnosis (8). Even after pulmonary resection, lower SES was still associated with lower overall survival (41). Lower SES, specifically income and insurance status, on analysis of the National Cancer Database is associated with hospital readmission after surgical resection and readmission after surgical resection has been associated with decreased long-term survival (42,43). For patients who are found to have locoregional disease, it has been shown they are less likely to receive chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting, which improves survival (41,44,45).

Conclusions
Race and SES remain closely linked to outcomes in lung cancer. It is likely that there many contributing factors as to why black patients are less likely to receive surgical therapy including racism, medical mistrust, suboptimal communication and nihilism. Though SES appears to the tied to the overall incidence of cancer, the incidence of lung cancer currently does not correlate with race alone. There seems to be a bias for patients to present with more advanced disease for both low SES and Black race. However, outcomes are still worse when controlling for stage. Specifically, in early-stage disease, surgical therapy is consistently underused in Black patients and patients of low SES. Our review does have limitations since we may not have included all the relevant published literature due to the limited electronic databases examined and the language criterion. However, our review attempts to summarize the empirical evidence concerning the disparities in the delivery of standard of care lung cancer treatment to patients of both low SES and Black race, and serves as a basis for future efforts to understand and attempt to remedy these disparities.
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