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Ultra-fast conductive media for RNA electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Samantha Z Brown1, Lebaron C Agostini1, Henry L Thomsett1 & Jonathan R Brody*,1

ABSTRACT

The use of RNA electrophoretic mobility shift assays (REMSAs) for analysis of RNA–protein interactions have been limited to lengthy assay time and qualitative assessment. To vastly improve assay efficiency, feasibility and quality of data procured from REMSAs, we combine here some of the best-known labeling and electrophoretic techniques. Nucleic acid fragments are end-labeled with fluorescent tags, as opposed to the radioactive or biotin tags. The fluorescent probes may be detected directly from the electrophoresis gel, eliminating the need for cumbersome membrane transfer and immunoblotting. Modifying the REMSA protocol to include low-molarity, lithium borate conductive media and near-infrared-labeled probes allows for a reduction assay time, quantitative comparison between experimental conditions and crisp band resolution (i.e., optimized results).

METHOD SUMMARY

We present an improvement in resolution, speed and ease of RNA electrophoretic mobility shift assays. First, sensitive and quantitative detection of gel shifts can be improved with near-infrared tagged RNA oligos, as opposed to more toxic and cumbersome labeling methods, such as radioisotopes or biotin tags. Second, for improvement of resolution and efficiency, traditional Tris-based conductive running media are replaced with low-molarity, lithium borate-conductive media. These improvements to the methodology significantly reduce assay time, as well as improve the quality and overall utility of this technique in the study of RNA–protein interactions in vitro.
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Gel electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) is a long-established biochemical technique for the qualitative assessment of nucleotide–protein complexes [1–4]. This method combines the principles of protein and oligonucleotide electrophoresis to determine biochemical relationships between these species. Ribonucleotide-based EMSAs (REMSAs) are a modified version of this technique to evaluate ribonucleotide–protein complexes. Most often, REMSAs are employed for the validation of RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) to their regulated transcripts.

Although the current methodology of this application is informative, its output is largely qualitative, with a variety of resolution and sensitivity issues. Earlier renditions of this method used radioisotopes such as 32P for tagging oligonucleotides (oligos). Radioactive labeling is a labor-intensive process, resulting in issues with efficiency, cost and safety [2,5–7]. To address these challenges, one major improvement has replaced radioisotope tagging with biotin conjugation. This labeling technique relies on its affinity against avidin-based proteins for specific isolation of complexes [8–10].

Biotin end-labeled probes are easier and safer to use than radioisotope-based applications; however, this method still requires additional time-consuming steps after initial electrophoresis. Notably, after separating transcripts on a nondenaturing gel, contents must be cross-linked and transferred to a matrix membrane. Anti-streptavidin–HRP conjugate and substrate are then needed to develop the blots and visualize gel shifts. These additional steps not only add to the overall process time (i.e., 4–6 h), but also add extra materials, cost and optimization time.

To further address the limitations of prior assays, we end-labeled RNA transcripts with near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent dyes [4,11]. RNA oligos were ordered and synthesized from integrated DNA technologies (IDT, IA, USA) (Figure 1A). The specific NIR dyes and sequences of the transcripts that were used in the following experiments are described in Table 1. After electrophoresis, gels were immediately imaged on an NIR scanner (LI-COR Odyssey scanner, NE, USA). The sensitivity of these conjugated dyes allows for quantitative analysis of bound and unbound probes (Figure 1B). Although not demonstrated here, the minimum detectable concentrations of probes may be optimized to save materials and to visualize protein– oligonucleotide association. The cost of synthesizing each NIR-conjugated probe is roughly US$100 more than a biotin-labeled probe; however, considering that the fluorescent signal can be quantitated directly, gel transfer to a membrane is not necessary to visualize samples, and, therefore, provides a more time-efficient and economical option.

To demonstrate the function of these probes within biologic contexts, we used a defined RBP–ribonucleic acid relationship between the RBP, HuR/ELAVL1 and one of its mRNA targets, COX-2 [12,13]. NIR probe concentrations were optimized through titration experiments (Figure 1B). For these experiments, total protein was either extracted from crude cell lysate under nondenaturing conditions, or purchased as recombinant protein (Origene, MD, USA). To determine optimal protein concentration (i.e., whole-cell extracts or recombinant proteins), a fixed probe concentration was incubated with titrating amounts of lysate to visualize a gel shift (i.e., clear interpretable shifts in the bound probe as compared with the unbound probe) (Figure 1C & D). Nontargeted recombinant tRNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, NE, USA) was included in to reduce nonspecific
binding between probes and HuR (Figure 1C & D). Complexes were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark before being loaded in a 5% weight by volume (w/v) acrylamide gel. Table 2 outlines specific reaction components and concentrations are outlined in. Table 3 describes assay buffers in depth.

The second limitation of former REMSA methods is the use of Tris-based (Tris-HCL/ Boric Acid/EDTA, TBE) conductive media, most likely adapted from early electrophoresis systems [10,12]. Based on these results, we demonstrate that higher voltages over a shorter period of time are possible without deleterious temperature increases that may impact gel integrity and assay resolution (Figure 2). Here, we refer to this improved protocol as lithium-based, near-infrared REMSA (LI-REMSA).

Table 1. Near-infrared labeled RNA oligonucleotides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COX2-3'UTR (49 bps)</td>
<td>5'-/5IRD700/UCUAAUUAUUAUUAAAUUUAAUUAAAUUAAACCCUUAA-3'</td>
<td>Positive control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEE1-EXON2 (49 bps)</td>
<td>5'/5IRD700/GAAACACAGCCUAAAGGUGUAAGGCCUGGAUGGACAGACG-3'</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEE1-10T (49 bps)</td>
<td>5'/5IRD700/UGUGUGUCCAUUAAUUCCUUUUUUUUUUUUUUAAUGUGAUGACUU-3'</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bp: Base pair; NIR: Near-infrared; 3' UTR: 3'-Untranslated region.
Table 2. Lithium-based, near-infrared RNA electrophoretic mobility shift assay reagents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nondenaturing lysis buffer†</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris–HCl (pH 7.5)</td>
<td>75 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride (NaCl)</td>
<td>150 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride (MgCl₂)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonidet P-40 (or IGEPAL-CA630)</td>
<td>1% (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitor cocktail (PI)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium orthovanadate (Na₃VO₄)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5× binding buffer</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEPES (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>75 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride (KCl)</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>50% (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dithiothreitol (DTT)</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride (MgCl₂)</td>
<td>25 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Binding reaction components**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>5 μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorophore-tagged RNA</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein lysate or recombinant protein</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5× binding buffer</td>
<td>1×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5× loading dye</td>
<td>1×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Only for protein extraction with whole-cell lysates.
‡Optimize based on the assay requirement.

Figure 2. Improved resolution and assay time with lithium boric acid conductive media versus Tris-boric acid-disodium EDTA method. (A) 1× TBE gel and (B) 1× LB gel ran fast (300 V) for 7 min, as indicated. (C & D) Gels may be run longer without compromising resolution, in which the same gels were returned to the reservoirs, and run for an additional 5 min (total assay time: 12 min). After run time, gels were removed from the reservoirs and were imaged using infrared scanner (LICOR, NE, USA) at a wavelength corresponding to the tagged NIR dye (690 nm). Data shown in the figure are representative of at least three separate experiments. Gel temperatures were monitored by placing standard thermometer directly in reservoir before and after completion of the run. LB: Lithium boric acid; TBE: Tris-boric acid-disodium EDTA; T₀: Initial temperature; T₉: Final temperature.

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COX-2: 3'UTR [62.50 nm]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuR [2.39 µg]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram:**

- **A**: T₀: 25°C, T₉: 30°C; Run time: 7 min
- **B**: T₀: 25°C, T₉: 28°C; Run time: 12 min
- **C**: T₀: 25°C, T₉: 30°C
- **D**: T₀: 25°C, T₉: 28°C
REMSAs are classically used for validation of RBP target sequences within an mRNA transcript of interest in vitro. Here, we validated a previously predicted binding site of a HuR-regulated transcript, WEE1 (the G2/M mitotic checkpoint inhibitor) mRNA, using LI-REMSA (Figure 3) [14]. Each probe was incubated with either recombinant HuR or FOXP3, a negative control transcription factor (i.e., that should not bind to WEE1 mRNA). A sequence within the second exon of the WEE1 mRNA was used as a negative control. The WEE1 Exon2 mRNA probe, which lacks adenylate-uridylate-rich elements required for HuR recognition, demonstrated unresolved resolution with a large percentage of unbound free probe, indicating weak to no binding in these assay conditions (Figure 3). Notably, the 3′ UTR WEE1 probe showed significant protein binding, with no evidence of unbound, ‘free’ target at concentrations used in this assay (Figure 3).

This work optimizes an existing method of RBP-ribonucleotide assessment by improving band resolution and decreasing total process time over traditional and more recent REMSA methods (i.e., total assay time <1 h). Moreover, the examples here can be expanded or modified to address experi-
mental needs based on the interactions being investigated. Others have shown the feasibility of additional end-labeled probes (i.e., alternative dyes) for assessment of competition or kinetics [5,15]. Furthermore, when using recombinant proteins for in vitro determination of binding to RNA sequences, electrophoresed gels do not need to be transferred for visualization of bound complexes in our assay protocol. The modifications presented here optimize the REMSA method, particularly in process time and ease of use.
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