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Introduction & Background

- This is a study of the association between social cohesion and self-reported overall health in the Somerset neighborhood of Kensington, in Philadelphia, PA.
- Supported by the New Kensington Community Development Corporation (NKCDC), who are interested in whether the effects of cohesion-oriented programming might extend to health benefits for their constituents.
- Past studies have found a broad association between social cohesion and a reduction in certain chronic diseases.

Research question: Do individuals who report higher levels of social cohesion also report better self-reported health?

Study hypothesis: Individuals who report higher levels of social cohesion will report better self-reported health, even after controlling for variables such as health behaviors and demographic factors.

Study approach: This study used a cross-sectional convenience sample of adult neighborhood residents.

Study aim: To examine whether social cohesion is linked to self-reported health in this community.

Study goal: To provide NKCDC and similar organizations with analysis that can help them evaluate the impact of various programs.

Methods

- Study sample:
  - Convenience sample of 328 adult Somerset residents
  - Survey administered by residents trained as data collectors
  - Data collected on electronic tablets between July and December of 2017
- Survey instrument:
  - 73 questions, 25 minutes to complete
  - Validated scales used for social cohesion & stress (PSS-4)
- Analysis plan:
  - Variables that showed significant bivariate correlations with self-reported health at an α-level of 0.1 were included in the initial linear regression model
  - Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) was used to compare several iterations of the model, and the version with the lowest AIC score was selected

Results

Final model: Linear regression, self-reported health (1-5) outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Cohesion (0-10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.04, 0.09</td>
<td>797.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress (0-16)</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.13, -0.00</td>
<td>786.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.02, -0.00</td>
<td>783.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease (none)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.05, -0.01</td>
<td>783.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease (one)</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-7.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.08, -0.04</td>
<td>783.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease (two or more)</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-9.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.09, -0.05</td>
<td>782.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (White)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>782.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Black)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00, 0.04</td>
<td>782.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Latino)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.01, 0.02</td>
<td>782.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Other)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>782.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Social Cohesion: Score the following on a scale of 1-5:
- This is a close-knit neighborhood
- People around here are willing to help their neighbors
- People in this neighborhood generally don’t get along with each other
- People in this neighborhood do not share the same values
- People in this neighborhood can be trusted

Self-reported health: How would you rate your overall health: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent

Discussion

- Participants who reported higher levels of social cohesion also reported better overall health.
- Participants who reported higher stress levels, were older, or had multiple chronic diseases reported worse overall health.
- Participants who identified themselves as African-American reported better overall health than participants of other races.
- Social cohesion and stress were negatively correlated with each other, but the inclusion of a statistical interaction was not found to improve the model.
- Findings were consistent with relationships found in existing studies.
- Results can help inform programming decisions by organizations such as NKCDC.

Limitations

- Participants were not randomly selected, and distribution of some variables suggest that they may not be a representative sample.
- Participants were permitted to refuse questions, and questions about sensitive issues (e.g. risky drinking) were commonly avoided.
- Cross-sectional data prevents an analysis of the causal nature of the relationship between social cohesion and health.

Core Competencies

- This project included a number of public health core competencies. Those included: describing public health applications of quantitative data, contributing to assessments of community health and factors influencing health in a community, conveying data to professionals and the public, and using information technology in accessing, collecting, analyzing, using, maintaining, and disseminating data and information, as well as other competencies not listed here.
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