

5-5-2017


## The Nephrotoxicity of Vancomycin.

Edward J. Filippone  
*Thomas Jefferson University*

Walter K. Kraft  
*Thomas Jefferson University*

John L. Farber  
*Thomas Jefferson University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/medfp>

 Part of the [Medical Pharmacology Commons](#), [Nephrology Commons](#), and the [Pathology Commons](#)  
**[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)**

---

### Recommended Citation

Filippone, Edward J.; Kraft, Walter K.; and Farber, John L., "The Nephrotoxicity of Vancomycin." (2017). *Department of Medicine Faculty Papers*. Paper 199.  
<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/medfp/199>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Medicine Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: [JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu](mailto:JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu).

## **The Nephrotoxicity of Vancomycin**

Edward J Filippone MD FACP<sup>1,2</sup>, Walter K Kraft MD<sup>3</sup>, and John L Farber MD<sup>4</sup>

Department of Medicine<sup>1</sup>, Division of Nephrology<sup>2</sup>, Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics<sup>3</sup>, and Department of Pathology<sup>4</sup>, Sydney Kimmel College of Medicine at Thomas Jefferson University

Corresponding author:

Edward J Filippone MD

2228 south Broad St

Philadelphia, PA 19145

Phone: 215-467-8955, Fax: 215-467-8956

Email: [kidneys@comcast.net](mailto:kidneys@comcast.net)

Funding: none

Conflicts of interest: none

## Introduction

Vancomycin is the drug of choice for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)<sup>1</sup> but has been associated with significant nephrotoxicity. It remains uncertain, however, to what extent vancomycin is directly responsible. Herein, we critically examine relevant available data in adult patients. We review the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of vancomycin metabolism and discuss efficacy and safety data. The pathophysiology of vancomycin nephrotoxicity is considered. Risk factors for AKI development are enumerated, and suggestions for practice and further research are given.

Vancomycin has been plagued with concerns about nephrotoxicity since its approval in 1958. Initial preparations were termed “Mississippi mud” and had significant impurities considered the major reason for the nephrotoxicity. Through improved purification procedures, current preparations contain approximately 90 to 95% vancomycin B (the active moiety). The rate of nephrotoxicity with use of modern preparations varies in the literature, with the incidence ranging from as low as 0% in the absence of concurrent nephrotoxins to over 40%<sup>2</sup>. Unfortunately, the majority of studies assessing nephrotoxicity are retrospective, often lacking a control group, and are typically subject to confounding by indication and other biases, as many of the patients are critically ill and have other potential reasons for kidney injury.

Numerous potential risk factors for development of acute kidney injury (AKI) while receiving parenteral vancomycin therapy have been ascertained. Some factors are directly related to vancomycin exposure, such as total daily dose, duration of therapy, method of administration, trough level, and area-under-the-concentration-versus-time (AUC) curve. Others are patient related, including obesity, preexisting kidney disease, severity of illness, and receipt of concurrent nephrotoxins.

Overall, there is only moderate quality evidence linking vancomycin to renal injury. Sinha Ray et al. performed a systematic review and meta-analysis restricted only to randomized controlled trials

(RCTs) and cohort studies that compared vancomycin to another non-glycopeptide antibiotic. Seven RCTs (6 compared to linezolid, 1 to ceftaroline) and 6 cohort studies (all compared to linezolid) were included, suggesting a small risk for AKI<sup>3</sup>. The relative risk for AKI in the RCTs was 2.45 ( $p < 0.001$ ), but none were considered at low risk for bias. Only 2 of 6 cohort studies showed significantly worse renal outcomes with vancomycin, and all studies were of moderate or high risk for bias. The strength of causal association was weakened as kidney injury was neither a primary endpoint nor a prespecified secondary outcome in any of the trials.

By contrast, a safety analysis of a RCT comparing daptomycin with either vancomycin plus gentamicin or an antistaphylococcal penicillin plus gentamicin showed a similar rate of a clinically significant decrease in creatinine clearance with vancomycin (10 of 46, 22%) compared to penicillin (16 of 63, 25%)<sup>4</sup>. Both of these groups together, however, had a significantly higher rate than the daptomycin arm, an outcome ascribed to concurrent gentamicin. Carreno et al. reported a RCT of 100 at-risk patients initially prescribed vancomycin in which 51 patients were randomized to continue vancomycin and 49 to receive alternative therapy<sup>5</sup>. No difference in nephrotoxicity was found. Furthermore, it has been repeatedly reported that patients with nephrotoxicity associated with vancomycin use may have improvement of kidney function despite continuation of vancomycin<sup>6,7</sup>. Hence, equipoise remains.

### **Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics**

Vancomycin is approximately 50% protein bound with a volume of distribution of 0.4-1.0 L/kg and a  $\beta$ -elimination half-life of 3 to 6 hours with normal kidney function<sup>8</sup>. The drug is not metabolized and is eliminated unchanged in the urine. Clearance is linearly related to the glomerular filtration rate. Penetration into tissues is variable, especially into pulmonary epithelial lining fluid in the critically ill, which is of obvious concern when treating MRSA pneumonia<sup>9</sup>.

The bactericidal activity of vancomycin is considered time dependent but concentration independent<sup>10</sup>. Increasing concentrations of vancomycin are not associated with enhanced bacterial killing<sup>10</sup>. Rather, the ratio of the 24 hour area-under-the-concentration-versus-time curve to the minimum inhibitory concentration (AUC/MIC) is the pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameter best correlated with effectiveness<sup>8</sup>. Consensus guidelines published in 2009 by the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists (herein referred to as Guidelines) recommend an AUC/MIC of  $\geq 400$ <sup>8</sup>. Available clinical evidence supports this ratio<sup>11,12</sup>.

The 2 most common ways to determine the MIC of staphylococci are broth microdilution (BMD) and the Etest, with the Etest result typically 0.5 to 1.5 times higher after log conversion<sup>13</sup>. Hence, a given AUC will result in a lower ratio if MIC is determined by the Etest. Of note, the Guidelines were derived from data generated using BMD. The BMD method only allows for 2-fold dilutions, i.e. 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 mg/L, etc., whereas the Etest is based on a continuous gradient and can give greater discrimination with half-dilution values (e.g. 1.5 mg/L)<sup>14</sup>. In 2006 the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) lowered the MIC breakpoint for vancomycin susceptibility from  $\leq 4$  mg/L to  $\leq 2$  mg/L by BMD owing to a greater chance for failure at  $\geq 4$  mg/L<sup>15</sup>.

The MICs for vancomycin have been slowly increasing (“MIC creep”)<sup>16</sup>. Numerous studies have addressed the effectiveness of vancomycin with higher MICs within the CLSI “susceptible range” with variable conclusions<sup>17-19</sup>. Equipose remains when the MIC is at the CLSI “susceptible” level of 1.5-2.0 mg/L by Etest or 2.0 mg/L by BMD. The 2009 Guidelines recommend considering alternative therapy<sup>8</sup>, but the ISDA 2011 guidelines state vancomycin should be continued irrespective of the MIC unless lack of response occurs<sup>1</sup>.

Determining an AUC, and hence the AUC/MIC, is impractical under normal clinical circumstances due to the large number of blood draws required after a single dose. Thus, the Guidelines recommend measurement of trough serum levels at steady state conditions as a surrogate. A trough level <10 mg/L (10 µg/ml) is unlikely to represent a ratio  $\geq 400$  and may result in development of resistance, including both vancomycin intermediate *S aureus* (VISA) and heteroresistant VISA (hVISA, wherein a small subpopulation (e.g. 1 per  $10^5$ ) of VISA exists within an otherwise susceptible isolate)<sup>20</sup>. Hence, the Guidelines recommend always keeping trough levels above 10 mg/L. A trough level of 15-20 mg/L is recommended to insure an AUC/MIC  $\geq 400$  in more serious infections, such as pneumonia, bacteremia, endocarditis, meningitis, and osteomyelitis. This corresponds to guidelines by the American Thoracic Society for healthcare-associated, hospital-acquired, and ventilator-associated pneumonias<sup>21</sup>. Importantly, 3 more recent studies, however, showed that over 50% of patients achieving AUC/MIC  $\geq 400$  had trough levels <15mg/L<sup>22-24</sup>. Hence, trough levels at best imperfectly predict AUC/MIC ratios. The use of peak levels has not been shown to increase the predictive ability to identify efficacy or toxicity<sup>25</sup>, and is not advocated by the Guidelines. When administered as a continuous infusion, a steady state level of 25-30 mg/L obtained 18 or more hours after dosage adjustment is recommended.

Over 15 cohort studies have compared the effectiveness of trough levels  $\geq 15$ mg/L versus <15 mg/L. A meta-analysis of these trials found no significant benefit of higher trough concentration on mortality or treatment failure, but there was a higher rate of microbiologic failure in the low trough group<sup>26</sup>. Another meta-analysis evaluated only trials involving patients with documented MRSA infections: 9 studies compared troughs  $\geq 15$  mg/L versus <15 mg/L with regard to clinical success, and 11 studies compared such troughs to mortality<sup>27</sup>. There was no significant difference with levels  $\geq 15$  mg/L in clinical success (OR 1.07 95% CI 0.68-1.68) or mortality (OR 1.09 95% CI 0.75-1.60), unless accounting for publication bias by the trim-and-fill method for clinical success (OR 1.71 95% CI 1.04-2.81). Similarly, post hoc analysis of 2 trials comparing vancomycin with telavancin for nosocomial pneumonia showed

no difference in cure rate or mortality based on trough levels  $\geq 15$  mg/L<sup>28</sup>. Although attainment of Guideline-recommended trough levels for serious infections ( $\geq 15$  mg/L) correlates only weakly with efficacy, there is a much stronger correlation with nephrotoxicity.

Standard vancomycin dosing as approved by the FDA is 1 gram q12 hour, a dose unlikely to give a ratio  $\geq 400$  unless the MIC is  $\leq 0.5$  mg/L. Hence, the Guidelines recommend weight based dosing (using actual body weight) at 15-20 mg/kg (not to exceed 2 g/dose) q12 hours, with TDM (trough levels checked at steady state prior to 4<sup>th</sup> dose if normal renal function). With serious infections a loading dose of 25-30 mg/kg may be considered. A meta-analysis confirmed a benefit to TDM with significantly higher rates of clinical efficacy and significantly reduced nephrotoxicity compared to no TDM<sup>29</sup>. The available evidence for attaining a trough  $\geq 15$  mg/L (vs  $< 15$  mg/L) may be questionable in terms of predicting an AUC/MIC  $\geq 400$  as well as for clinical efficacy, but values  $< 10$  mg/L should be avoided to prevent resistance and to attain the target AUC/MIC<sup>24</sup>. TDM is especially necessary in ICU patients. Many have decreased kidney function, but others have augmented renal clearance with lower than expected trough levels<sup>30</sup>.

Alternative methods to guide vancomycin dosing by intermittent infusion have been published. One nomogram is based on population pharmacokinetics and is aimed at targeting a trough level of 15-20 mg/L<sup>31</sup>. Based on *a priori* methodology, individual patient data are not required, although one must be careful that a particular patient matches those used to generate the nomogram. Other nomograms are available. Linear regression analysis applying individual patient parameters (*a posteriori*) has been used but does require at least 2 measured serum concentrations and a log linear calculator<sup>32</sup>. Bayesian estimation methodology combines *a priori* population-based data with *a posteriori* individual patient data (which may be limited to just a trough level<sup>23</sup>) to calculate dose and interval most accurately<sup>32</sup>, and has higher predicative ability to achieve a specific AUC/MIC<sup>33</sup>. Bayesian methodology may be the fastest

way to achieve therapeutic targets, but requires specific computer software and specialized practitioners and has had limited implementation.

Appropriate dosing is especially problematic in patients receiving renal replacement therapy (RRT), whether by standard thrice-weekly intermittent hemodialysis (IHD)<sup>34</sup>, short daily IHD<sup>35</sup>, or continuous RRT (CRRT) in the ICU<sup>36</sup>. On the one hand, underdosing may foster resistance. In this regard, vancomycin resistant enterococci, vancomycin-intermediate *S aureus* (VISA), and vancomycin-resistant *S aureus* (VRSA) were all first isolated from hemodialysis patients. On the other hand, many patients receiving hemodialysis have significant residual renal function that contributes to their well-being and should not be glibly sacrificed by overdosing.

Other factors besides residual renal function contribute to the variability of vancomycin pharmacokinetics during RRT. There may be a prolonged distribution phase, a rebound effect following termination of dialysis, and non-renal clearance<sup>37</sup>. Using standard low-flux dialysis membranes, there is minimal dialytic clearance, and once-weekly dosing suffices<sup>34</sup>. Many patients, however, are now dialyzed on synthetic, high-flux dialyzers using membranes that have a much larger pore size and do have significant vancomycin clearance<sup>38</sup>. These patients require supplemental doses following each dialysis. Vancomycin is often administered during the final hour of a dialysis session, which will result in additional clearance compared to pure post-dialytic administration. Larger doses are required with this method of administration. In contrast, many patients are dialyzed on re-used dialyzers, often up to 15 or more treatments. Such reprocessing results in reduced vancomycin clearance that could result in overdosing<sup>34</sup>. Finally, measurement of vancomycin levels with severe renal failure is problematic depending on the method used. Inactive crystalline degradation products may accumulate and can be measured with the polyclonal fluorescence polarization immunoassay<sup>34</sup>.



Various modalities of CRRT are available in the ICU setting, including continuous veno-venous hemodialysis (CVVHD), hemofiltration (CVVHF), and hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF). All use synthetic membranes with significant vancomycin clearance determined primarily by the volume of effluent<sup>36</sup>. Clearances of 15 to 30 ml/min are possible with effluent volumes approaching 3000 ml/hour. A comprehensive discussion of the pharmacokinetics of vancomycin metabolism in various types of intermittent and continuous RRT is beyond the scope of this paper. Suggestions for dosing with both IHD and continuous procedures are provided in Table 1.

### **Pathophysiology of Vancomycin Nephrotoxicity**

In older studies vancomycin was shown to be lethal in experimental animals given exorbitant i.v. doses, and variably showed nephrotoxicity at lower doses<sup>39</sup>. Vancomycin can alter mitochondrial function and induce dose dependent proliferation of proximal tubular cells (PTC) in vitro<sup>40</sup>. Multiple studies have focused on oxidative stress as a potential mechanism of nephrotoxicity, especially involving the proximal tubule. Hence, antioxidants may be protective<sup>41</sup>. In various experimental models, numerous antioxidants have been shown to be protective, including modified superoxide dismutase<sup>42</sup>; the antioxidants erdosteine,<sup>43</sup>  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid, Ginkgo biloba extract, and melatonin<sup>44</sup>; and, thymoquinone, caffeic acid phenylethyl ester, vitamin C, vitamin E, N-acetylcysteine, curcumin, tempol, and isoquinelinediol<sup>41</sup>. Most recently, Sakamoto et al. demonstrated that vancomycin induced apoptosis in porcine PTCs via mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species with peroxidation of the mitochondrial phospholipid cardiolipin<sup>45</sup>. Interestingly, this toxicity could be inhibited by the lipophilic antioxidants vitamin E and mitoTEMPO, but not by water-soluble ones such as vitamin C, n-acetyl cysteine, or glutathione.

Other studies in experimental animals found that agents capable of enhancing renal excretion reduced nephrotoxicity, including cilastatin, imipenem-cilastatin, and fosfomicin<sup>41</sup>. Cilastatin can block

the proximal tubular receptor protein megalin-mediated uptake of vancomycin and inhibit nephrotoxicity in mice<sup>46</sup>. Hence, agents inhibiting oxidative stress and/or reducing renal accumulation may be protective, although human data are lacking and use in patients cannot be endorsed at this time. In contrast, a study of 9 patients with VANT undergoing kidney biopsy demonstrated intratubular casts composed of vancomycin nanospheric aggregates complexed with uromodulin. Notably, these findings were reproduced in mice given large doses of vancomycin<sup>47</sup>. The specific cellular origin of these casts remains to be determined.

### **Clinical Vancomycin Nephrotoxicity**

The Guidelines define nephrotoxicity as a rise in serum creatinine of 0.5 mg/dl or 50% above baseline on 2 consecutive measurements after several days of vancomycin and with no other apparent cause. This is the definition used most frequently, although other studies use the more sensitive risk-injury-failure-loss-ESRD (RIFLE)<sup>48,49</sup> or AKI network (AKIN)<sup>50</sup> criteria for AKI (see Table 2). Herein, vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity (VANT) refers to the Guideline-based definition of nephrotoxicity and AKI to either the RIFLE or AKIN criteria. Studies using these latter criteria have found most cases to be of lower stages based on creatinine criteria. In one study using AKIN criteria, 92% reached stage 1<sup>50</sup>, whereas in 2 studies using RIFLE criteria, 50%<sup>48</sup> and 71%<sup>51</sup> reached only R. No study has specified VANT or AKI stage based purely on urine output criteria. Older studies showed mean increases of serum creatinine from baseline of approximately 1 to 1.5 mg/dl<sup>52</sup>.

Various novel blood and urine biomarkers have been studied for their ability to detect impending AKI prior to the standard measures, i.e. serum creatinine and urine output (see Table 3). These include neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, kidney injury molecule-1, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-2, among others<sup>53</sup>. A number of these have been qualified by the FDA and European Medicine Agency for non-clinical animal toxicology

evaluation of new drug entities (see <https://c-path.org/programs/pstc/pstc-tools/>). No studies have specifically addressed the utility of any biomarkers for the early detection of vancomycin nephrotoxicity in humans, although limited pre-clinical data exist<sup>54</sup>. An in-depth discussion of biomarkers is beyond the scope of this paper.

The onset of VANT typically occurs after about 4 to 8 days of therapy. A systematic review by van Hal et al. found a mean range of nephrotoxicity occurrence of 4.3 to 17 days after initiation of vancomycin<sup>55</sup>. Onset as early as 2<sup>56</sup> to 3<sup>57</sup> days of therapy has been reported. In general, about three-quarters of patients will have improvement or resolution by time of discharge<sup>2,58,59</sup>, often within a week or less, including patients remaining on vancomycin after onset of nephrotoxicity. Dialysis has been rarely necessary in any study, with an overall incidence of 3% in the van Hal review. As expected, however, VANT is associated with increased mortality<sup>50</sup> and length of stay in the ICU<sup>60</sup> and hospital<sup>50,61</sup>.

The vast majority of patients with VANT do not undergo kidney biopsy. It is presumed that the underlying pathophysiology is toxicity to proximal tubular cells, with or without frank necrosis (ATN). In support, several case reports have documented ATN based on clinical evaluation or by renal biopsy<sup>62</sup>. Similarly, acute interstitial nephritis (AIN) has been clinically diagnosed or documented by biopsy<sup>63</sup>. Occasionally, both lesions have been found on biopsy<sup>64</sup>. Various skin lesions have been reported in cases of vancomycin associated AIN, including maculopapular rash, erythema multiforme<sup>63</sup>, toxic epidermal necrolysis<sup>63</sup>, and the Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS) syndrome<sup>65</sup>. Infectious glomerulonephritis would also be a consideration when supported by the urinalysis. If there is clinical uncertainty, biopsy is indicated.

### **Risk Factors**

Numerous risk factors have been defined for developing VANT or AKI in patients receiving vancomycin (see Table 4). Various measures of vancomycin exposure have been studied, including use

of a loading dose, maximal dose, duration of therapy, method of administration (intermittent versus continuous infusion), AUC, and trough level. Other risk factors include demographic features, associated medical conditions, severity of illness, pre-existing kidney disease, and concurrent nephrotoxins.

### **Loading Dose**

The Guidelines recommend consideration a loading dose of 25 – 30 mg/kg actual body weight for serious infections. Rosini et al. retrospectively evaluated 1330 patients receiving vancomycin in the Emergency Department (ED) , of which 851 received high doses (>20 mg/kg). VANT occurred in 7.7% with no difference in the high dose group (5.8%) versus the low dose group (11.1%,  $p<0.001$ )<sup>66</sup>. Results were unchanged using a cutoff of >25 mg/kg. An RCT compared 49 patients receiving a 15 mg/kg initial dose to 50 patients receiving 30 mg/kg in the ED and found no difference in the secondary endpoint of VANT, which overall occurred in only 5% of patients<sup>67</sup>. To date, there is no evidence that a loading dose is associated with increased nephrotoxicity.

### **High Daily Doses**

One retrospective cohort study assessed the nephrotoxicity of high dose vancomycin. Lodise et al. compared 26 patients receiving  $\geq 4$  g/day vancomycin to 220 patients receiving <4 g/day and 45 patients receiving linezolid and found nephrotoxicity rates of 35%, 11%, and 7%, respectively ( $p=0.001$ )<sup>68</sup>. There was no difference in time to nephrotoxicity between the low dose vancomycin group and the linezolid group. By multivariate analyses, the high dose regimen had an odds ratio of 4.4 ( $p=0.003$ ) for occurrence of nephrotoxicity and a hazard ratio 4.37 ( $p<0.001$ ) for time to its occurrence.

### **Vancomycin AUC**

Several studies compared the relationship between vancomycin exposure as indicated by the AUC and nephrotoxicity. Using a classification and regression tree (CART) analysis in a retrospective

study of 166 patients, Lodise et al. found a significant breakpoint of 1300 mg x h/L with nephrotoxicity rates of 26% and 10% above and below this level ( $p=0.05$ )<sup>58</sup>. By multivariable analysis, AUC was no longer a significant predictor of nephrotoxicity, while the trough level was. In contrast, a breakpoint of 563 mg x h/L was determined by CART analysis in a recent retrospective study of 127 patients, with significance confirmed by multivariable analysis<sup>69</sup>. Trough levels were not independently predictive in this study. In a smaller study of 31 patients, an AUC of approximately 700 (by visual inspection of a figure) associated with nephrotoxicity compared to about 500 in those without ( $p=0.014$ ), but a specific breakpoint was not established<sup>25</sup>. Comparison of AUC and VANT has not been widely studied.

### **Vancomycin Trough Levels**

Many studies have assessed the relationship between trough levels as a measure of exposure and VANT. In general, there is a major issue with reverse causation, in that reduced kidney function from any cause will lead to an elevated trough level. In an effort to reduce this bias, some studies consider only the initial trough level. Even that, however, does not obviate kidney injury from another cause. Some studies consider mean trough levels, others maximal troughs.

A dose response relationship has been shown repeatedly. Lodise et al. found a 5% rate of nephrotoxicity if the initial trough was  $<10$  mg/L compared to rates of 21% for troughs of 10-15 mg/L, 20% for 15-20 mg/L, and 33% for  $>20$  mg/L ( $p<0.05$ )<sup>58</sup>. For each mg/L increase, the odds ratio for nephrotoxicity increased by 13%. Horey et al. found nephrotoxicity rates of 5%, 3%, 11%, 24%, and 82% for maximal troughs of 5-10 mg/L, 10.1-15, 15.1-20, 20.1-35, and  $>35$ , respectively<sup>48</sup>. Similarly, Barriere et al. showed that renal adverse events occurred in 0% of patients with median trough levels  $<10$  mg/L compared to 3% if 10- $<15$  and 17% if  $>15$  ( $p<0.01$ )<sup>28</sup>. Cano et al. found that nephrotoxicity increased from 7% at initial trough  $<10$  mg/L, but increased up to 34% at  $>20$  mg/L ( $p=0.0003$  for trend)<sup>60</sup>. Wunderink et al. noted 18% nephrotoxicity with day 3 trough  $<15$  mg/L versus 22% at 15-20mg/L versus

37% if  $\geq 20$  mg/L (significance not assessed)<sup>70</sup>. In contrast, Kullar et al. found no more significant nephrotoxicity with troughs of 15-20 mg/L (13%) compared to 10-15 mg/L (17%) and <10 mg/L (15%), although the rate was significantly higher if >20 mg/L (27%,  $p=0.032$ )<sup>61</sup>. The question remains as to whether higher exposure as reflected in higher troughs causes VANT or whether trough levels rise as a result of its occurrence.

As noted above, various guidelines recommend trough levels of  $\geq 15$  mg/L to 20 mg/L, although minimal evidence supporting efficacy exists. In contrast, numerous studies have assessed the safety of this recommendation by comparing nephrotoxicity rates above and below 15 mg/L. At least 2 meta-analyses analyzed these studies. Van Hal et al. identified 15 studies and found an odds ratio of 2.67 (95% CI 1.95-3.65) for nephrotoxicity with troughs  $\geq 15$  mg/L. This finding persisted if restricted to studies evaluating only initial troughs<sup>17</sup>. More recently, Tongsai and Koomanachai analyzed 10 studies involving only patients with MRSA infection and found an OR of 2.14 (95% CI 1.42-3.23) for nephrotoxicity with troughs  $\geq 15$  mg/L and an adjusted OR of 3.33 (95% CI 1.91-5.79) in 3 studies providing sufficient data for combining adjusted ORs<sup>27</sup>. Hence, the evidence for potential harm with attaining troughs  $\geq 15$  mg/L is more compelling than the evidence for potential benefit.

### **Duration of Vancomycin**

Some studies find no significant relation of nephrotoxicity to duration of therapy<sup>48,50,71,72</sup>, but more often a positive result is found<sup>2,51,59,60,73-75</sup>. Significantly positive durations include  $\geq 7$  days<sup>57,59</sup>,  $\geq 14$  days<sup>2</sup>, and >15 days<sup>75</sup>. One study found a significant 12% increase in OR for each additional day of therapy<sup>60</sup>, and another study found a 4% increased OR for each additional day<sup>76</sup>. Based on available evidence, it is improbable that less than 48-72 hours of vancomycin exposure is sufficient to cause nephrotoxicity. Hence, we feel it is safe to include vancomycin in initial broad spectrum coverage, with consideration of continuation based on severity of illness, risk, and culture results.

## **Method of Administration**

The Guidelines recommend intermittent infusion as the preferred method of administration. Others advocate continuous infusion<sup>77</sup>. Several observational studies and 2 RCTs assessed the nephrotoxicity of continuous infusion versus intermittent infusion. An earlier meta-analysis of 1 RCT and 5 observational studies found a relative risk of 0.6 (95% CI 0.4-0.9,  $p=0.02$ ) for nephrotoxicity with continuous infusion<sup>78</sup>. Subsequently, an observational study of 1430 ICU patients by Hanrahan et al. found an adjusted OR for nephrotoxicity of 8.2 ( $p\leq 0.001$ ) with intermittent infusion, although nephrotoxicity was higher with continuous infusion in unadjusted analyses<sup>76</sup>. A 2014 meta-analysis added this study, as well as another small trial of 55 patients, to the prior studies and found a trend for reduced nephrotoxicity with continuous infusion (risk ratio 0.8,  $p=0.3$ ), although only the unadjusted analysis of the Hanrahan study was used for consistency<sup>79</sup>. There was no mortality benefit to continuous infusion. A more recent meta-analysis did not include the Hanrahan study but did include 5 additional studies and found a risk ratio of 0.61 (95% CI 0.47-0.80,  $p<0.001$ ) with continuous infusion, with no difference in treatment failure or mortality<sup>80</sup>. The optimal method of administration remains uncertain, and the guideline endorsed approach of intermittent infusion remains the clinical standard.

## **Demographics**

The demographic features of age, race, and sex have generally not been found to be significantly associated with nephrotoxicity in patients receiving vancomycin with occasional exceptions for older age<sup>75</sup> and black race<sup>74</sup>. The one notable demographic feature is obesity, which remains problematic. The Guidelines recommend doses based on actual body weight, not ideal body weight. In addition to a greater volume of distribution, clearance is significantly increased relative to non-obese patients, at least with normal renal function<sup>81</sup>. Despite increased clearance, however, dosing based actual body weight may result in higher trough levels, even with doses capped at 2,000 mg/dose. Richardson et al.

found a significantly higher incidence of trough levels > 20 mg/L with BMI  $\geq$  30 (19% versus 4%)<sup>82</sup>. Obesity has also been significantly associated with nephrotoxicity in some studies, although not all. In a retrospective analysis of 337 patients, 23% developed nephrotoxicity<sup>75</sup>. Weight above 100 kg was a significant predictor by multivariate analysis (OR 2.74). In a study of 246 patients receiving vancomycin, nephrotoxicity was significantly associated with TBW  $\geq$  101.4 kg by multivariable analysis<sup>68</sup>. In another study of 270 veterans, the risk for nephrotoxicity increased by 1.02 for every 1 kg increase in body weight<sup>48</sup>. In contrast, a study of 530 patients found that obesity was not associated by multivariable analysis with nephrotoxicity<sup>49</sup>. Based on available evidence, we feel obesity is a risk factor. We recommend a loading dose and subsequent dosing based on actual body weight. TDM is necessary, with trough levels closely followed starting with the third or fourth dose.

### **Severity of Illness**

Severity of illness impacts development of AKI in patients receiving vancomycin. In less sick patients, VANT is uncommon (<5%). For example, in prospective RCTs restricted to vancomycin use for complicated skin and skin structure infections, adverse renal event rates of 2.7%<sup>83</sup> and 3.8%<sup>84</sup> were reported, although criteria of renal injury were not specified. In the critically ill, other causes of AKI besides vancomycin use frequently coexist, such as sepsis, hemodynamic stress, contrast exposure, and concurrent nephrotoxic medications, and AKI may develop in a quarter to a half of such patients. In observational studies of VANT, severity of illness, as assessed by Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score<sup>49,85</sup>, Charlson Comorbidity Index<sup>72</sup>, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment<sup>76</sup>, or by residence in the ICU<sup>50,58,68</sup>, was found by multivariable analysis to be an independent risk factor for kidney dysfunction. Other comorbid conditions significantly associated with nephrotoxicity include hypotension<sup>48,72</sup>, heart failure<sup>74</sup>, cancer<sup>50,73,74</sup>, impaired kidney function<sup>50,58</sup>, and prior AKI<sup>50</sup>.



It remains uncertain to what degree vancomycin is directly responsible in any individual case when multiple factors are involved. The only RCT to date with a primary renal endpoint included 100 patients initially prescribed vancomycin with  $\geq 2$  risk factors for AKI who were randomized to either continue therapy as planned or use an alternative agent<sup>5</sup>. There was no difference between groups in either the Guideline based nephrotoxicity definition or AKIN defined AKI. Furthermore, equipoise remains as to whether a critically ill patient receiving vancomycin who develops AKI can continue therapy with TDM or should be switched to an alternative agent. More data are clearly needed to provide guidance in the critically ill.

### **Concurrent Nephrotoxins**

Multiple other agents capable of decreasing kidney function are often administered to patients receiving vancomycin, especially ICU patients. Potential toxins include aminoglycosides, amphotericin, acyclovir, calcineurin inhibitors, chemotherapy, and intravenous contrast. Other agents capable of affecting kidney function include vasopressors, loop diuretics, and renin-angiotensin system blockers. In some studies, these agents are lumped together as concurrent nephrotoxin exposure<sup>73</sup>, other times they are considered individually in multivariable analyses. Dose and duration are rarely provided. The individual agents most extensively studied include aminoglycosides and piperacillin-tazobactam.

Both preclinical studies and human data support the potential synergistic nephrotoxicity of vancomycin and aminoglycosides. Wold and Turnipseed found no evidence of nephrotoxicity after administering either 150 mg/kg of vancomycin or 60 mg/kg of tobramycin alone to rats, but significant nephrotoxicity occurred with the combination<sup>39</sup>. Wood et al. in an animal model found no nephrotoxicity of vancomycin alone, but the combination of vancomycin and tobramycin resulted in higher serum creatinine and greater histologic damage than tobramycin alone<sup>86</sup>.

Initial studies in humans were performed decades ago and were generally not controlled for confounding factors. Farber and Moellering found nephrotoxicity in 12 of 34 (35%) patients receiving concomitant vancomycin and aminoglycosides compared to only 5% of 60 patients receiving vancomycin without aminoglycosides<sup>87</sup>. Of note, 2 patients with nephrotoxicity on vancomycin alone had high trough levels and were able to continue the drug after dosage adjustment with improvement of renal function. Sorrell and Collignon showed nephrotoxicity in 4 of 28 patients receiving vancomycin and aminoglycosides compared to 0 of 25 not on the latter; 2 of the 4 had improvement of kidney function with cessation of aminoglycosides despite continuation of vancomycin<sup>88</sup>. Ryback et al. compared nephrotoxicity in 168 patients receiving vancomycin alone, 63 receiving vancomycin together with an aminoglycoside, and 103 receiving aminoglycosides alone (with or without a beta-lactam)<sup>89</sup>. Nephrotoxicity occurred in 5%, 22%, and 11%, respectively, a highly significant difference. Recently, Hanrahan et al. studied 158 critically ill patients receiving vancomycin and noted AKI by RIFLE criteria in 14 (8.9%)<sup>90</sup>. By multivariable analysis, concurrent use of aminoglycosides was highly associated with development of AKI (OR 18.9,  $p=0.002$ ), although, a separate group receiving aminoglycosides in the absence of vancomycin was not compared.

By contrast, several earlier studies reported no significant increase in nephrotoxicity comparing the vancomycin/aminoglycoside combination with either agent alone. When these positive and negative studies were combined in a 1993 meta-analysis, combination therapy did have a significant 13% ( $p<0.01$ ) higher rate of nephrotoxicity than vancomycin alone and a 4% ( $p<0.05$ ) higher rate than aminoglycosides alone<sup>91</sup>. A more recent study found no difference in nephrotoxicity with addition of gentamycin to vancomycin by multivariate analysis<sup>68</sup>. As noted earlier, in an RCT of patients with staphylococcal bacteremia, vancomycin plus gentamicin was no more nephrotoxic than a penicillin plus gentamicin, but both regimens were significantly more toxic than daptomycin without an aminoglycoside<sup>4</sup>. It remains uncertain whether the enhanced rate of nephrotoxicity reported with

vancomycin use in combination with aminoglycosides is the result of severity of underlying illness, the nephrotoxicity of aminoglycosides per se, or a true nephrotoxic synergy between the agents.

The combination of piperacillin-tazobactam (PTZ) with vancomycin was first noted to potentially result in enhanced nephrotoxicity compared to vancomycin without PTZ in several abstracts published in 2011. Subsequent studies have been conflicting. For example, Meaney et al. found a significant adjusted OR of 5.36 of AKI when PTZ was added to vancomycin therapy in 125 adult patients<sup>72</sup>. Gomes et al. studied 224 adults receiving vancomycin and found a significantly higher AKI incidence when PTZ was added (35% vs 13%,  $P < 0.0001$ )<sup>92</sup>. Propensity score matching confirmed this significance ( $p = 0.003$ ). Kim et al. showed a significantly reduced OR (0.14) of vancomycin monotherapy compared to combination with PTZ by multivariable analysis in 228 adult patients that was confirmed in a propensity score analysis (OR=0.17)<sup>93</sup>. Fodero et al. studied 453 veterans receiving vancomycin and noted a significant OR (3.21) for nephrotoxicity with concomitant PTZ by multivariable analysis<sup>94</sup>.

By contrast, Moenster et al. could not find a significant difference for AKI by multiple logistic regression analysis with the addition to vancomycin of either PTZ or cefepime in 139 diabetic patients with osteomyelitis<sup>95</sup>. Likewise, Hammond et al. compared vancomycin-TZB with vancomycin-cefepime in 122 critically ill patients and found no significant difference in AKI incidence, AKI duration, or need for dialysis<sup>96</sup>.

Two recent meta-analyses addressed this issue. Giuliano et al. evaluated 6 studies published only in abstract form and the 9 studies outlined above<sup>97</sup>. There was overall OR of 3.65 (95% CI 2.16-6.17,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $I^2 = 83.5\%$ ) for development of nephrotoxicity or AKI with vancomycin and PTZ compared to vancomycin +/-  $\beta$ -lactam. This remained significant after removal of either abstracts or low quality studies. The increased risk remained significant in studies compared to vancomycin alone (OR 3.98, 95% CI 2.75-5.76) but not in studies compared to vancomycin plus a  $\beta$ -lactam (OR 3.0, 95% CI 0.9-9.73).

Hammond et al. evaluated 14 published studies, including 11 in adults<sup>98</sup>. The combination of vancomycin with PTZ again had an adjusted OR for nephrotoxicity or AKI of 3.11 (95% CI 1.77-5.47). By contrast to the findings of Giuliano et al., the OR was not significant when the combination was compared to vancomycin alone, but was significant when compared to vancomycin + a  $\beta$ -lactam.

Subsequently, the 2 largest single center series were published. Navelkele et al. compared 279 propensity-matched patients receiving vancomycin + PTZ to 279 receiving vancomycin plus cefipime and found AKI rates of 29% and 11%, respectively ( $p < 0.0001$ )<sup>56</sup>. By multivariable analysis, the group receiving PTZ had a hazard ratio for AKI of 4.27 (95% CI 2.73-6.68). Rutter et al. propensity matched 1,633 patients receiving vancomycin + PTZ to 578 receiving vancomycin + cefipime and found AKI rates of 21.4% and 12.5%, respectively ( $p < 0.0001$ )<sup>57</sup>. By multivariable analysis, the OR for the PTZ group was 2.18 (95% CI 1.64-2.94).

The potential mechanism of enhanced toxicity of this combination remains uncertain. Piperacillin-tazobactam is not considered to be a nephrotoxin, but support for potential nephrotoxicity comes from post hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial of 1200 critically ill patients which showed receipt of PTZ was associated with impaired renal recovery<sup>99</sup>. Acute interstitial nephritis has been reported with PTZ in case reports. It is possible that an AIN induced by PTZ could complicate toxic proximal tubulopathy or AIN induced by vancomycin.

In our opinion, the enhanced nephrotoxicity of this combination appears real. This regimen should be used carefully and only under the guidance of an antimicrobial stewardship program with TDM. In support, a recent retrospective study of 320 patients receiving vancomycin-PTZ found an alarming 33% incidence of AKI<sup>100</sup>. Associated factors that were significantly associated with AKI and were potentially modifiable by antimicrobial stewardship included a vancomycin loading dose, longer duration of dual therapy, and concomitant nephrotoxins.

## Conclusion

Vancomycin used at currently recommended doses is minimally nephrotoxic when used in non-critically ill patients with less serious infections. In sicker patients with multiple risk factors for AKI, VANT occurs much more commonly, but it remains uncertain to what degree vancomycin is directly responsible. In our opinion, it is safe to initiate therapy with vancomycin in critically ill patients with multiple risk factors for AKI pending culture results with use of TDM and antibiotic stewardship (see Table 5). Trough levels should be obtained within 48 to 72 hours by which time initial culture results should be available. Decisions regarding continuation of vancomycin therapy can be individualized, based on culture result, MIC (if staphylococci are isolated), AKI risk, and side-effect profile of alternative agents. Loading doses are safe. Trough levels with intermittent dosing should always be >10 mg/L to prevent resistance. It remains uncertain whether Guideline based trough levels of 15 – 20 mg/L are more efficacious than 10 – 15 mg/L in serious infections. Trough levels of 15 – 20 mg/L, however, are clearly associated with greater VANT than levels <15 mg/L, but it remains uncertain whether these levels are the cause or the result of the nephrotoxicity. Combination with PTZ should be avoided or duration minimized. In patients receiving vancomycin who develop AKI that is not easily correctible with fluid resuscitation or discontinuation of other agents, cessation of vancomycin should be considered. This very important issue clearly warrants a large, multicenter RCT to answer definitively. Further issues need research as well, preferably with RCTs (see Table 6).

<b>Table 1 Suggestions for Vancomycin Dosing During RRT</b>		
<b>Modality</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Thrice Weekly Intermittent Hemodialysis – Low Flux membrane	Standard LD (20 – 25 mg/kg) based on actual body weight MD: Approximately 15 – 20 mg/kg qweek	Follow trough levels, especially with serious infections
Thrice Weekly Intermittent Hemodialysis – High Flux Membrane	Standard LD as above MD: 10 mg/kg in last hour of each dialysis	Add an additional 250 mg to end of week MD Follow trough levels
Short Daily Dialysis – High Flux Membrane	Standard LD as above MD: 10 mg/kg after every other dialysis	Validated for MIC ≤ 1 mg/L; above that , use alternative agent
Continuous RRT	Standard LD as above MD: Consider 500 – 750 mg/q12 hour or 15 – 20 mg/kg when random level at desired trough	Consider residual renal function Follow trough level
LD: loading dose; MD: maintenance dose; RRT: renal replacement therapy.		

<b>Table 2 Current Criteria for Diagnosing and Staging Acute Kidney Injury</b>			
<b>RIFLE Criteria</b>	Stage	Creatinine-Based Criteria	Urine Output-Based Criteria
	R	Rise of serum creatinine of $\geq 50\%$ within 7 days <b>or</b> GFR decrease by 25%	<0.5 ccs/kg/hr for 6 consecutive hours
	I	Rise of serum creatinine of >100% <b>or</b> GFR decrease by 50%	<0.5 ccs/kg/hr for 12 consecutive hours
	F	Rise of serum creatinine of >200% <b>or</b> GFR decrease by 75% <b>or</b> renal replacement therapy	<0.3 ccs/kg/hr for 24 hours or anuria for 12 hours
	L	Complete loss of function for more than 4 weeks	
	E	End stage renal disease	
<b>AKIN Criteria</b>	1	Rise of serum creatinine of $\geq 50\%$ or increase of $\geq 0.3$ mg/dl in <48 hours	<0.5 ccs/kg/hr for 6 consecutive hours
	2	Rise of serum creatinine of >100%	<0.5 ccs/kg/hr for 12 consecutive hours
	3	Rise of serum creatinine of >200% or renal replacement therapy	<0.3 ccs/kg/hr for 24 hours or anuria for 12 hours
<p>Note: Satisfaction of <b>either</b> creatinine-based criteria <b>or</b> urine output-based criteria is sufficient for diagnosis and staging. Both are not required.</p> <p>RIFLE: Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, End-Stage-Renal-Disease<sup>49</sup>; AKIN: Acute Kidney Injury Network<sup>52</sup>; GFR: glomerular filtration rate</p>			

Table 3 Novel Biomarkers	
Blood	Cysatatin-C
	Neutrophil gelatinase associated lipocalin-2
	Retinol binding protein
	IL-18
	TNF-receptor-1
Urine	Neutrophil gelatinase associated lipocalin-2
	Kidney injury molecule-1
	Liver type fatty acid binding protein
	n-acetyl- $\beta$ -d-glucosaminidase
	Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-2
	IFG-binding protein-7
	Glutathione-S-transferase
	IL-18



<b>Table 4 Potential Risk Factors for Vancomycin Nephrotoxicity</b>	
Vancomycin Exposure Variables	Loading Dose Total Daily Dose AUC Trough Level Duration Continuous vs Intermittent Infusion
Patient Specific Factors	Obesity Severity of Illness ICU Residence Chronic Kidney Disease Concurrent Nephrotoxin Exposure Concurrent Aminoglycosides Concurrent Piperacillin-Tazobactam

<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Weight based dosing of 15-20 mg/kg	Use actual body weight and combine with therapeutic drug monitoring. Consider nomograms in patients with renal insufficiency
Consider a loading dose of 25 – 30 mg/kg for severe infections (bacteremia, endocarditis, pneumonia, osteomyelitis, meningitis)	There is no evidence of increased nephrotoxicity with a loading dose
Use intermittent rather than continuous administration	Continuous infusion has limited evidence for reducing toxicity and is cumbersome to use.
Do not obtain peak vancomycin concentrations	Peak concentrations do not predict efficacy or toxicity
Maintain trough concentration 10-15 mg/L for non-severe infections	>15 mg/L correlates weakly with improved efficacy, but at the expense of a clear association with toxicity.
Maintain trough concentrations 15-20 mg/L for serious infections	Increased potential toxicity balanced against severity of infection
Consider cessation of vancomycin should AKI develop after at least 2 days of therapy	Effective but not nephrotoxic alternatives exist e.g. daptomycin for MRSA bacteremia/endocarditis or linezolid for MRSA pneumonia
Tailor duration of therapy to efficacy and not to prevent nephrotoxicity	Duration of therapy should be directed to microbiologic control. Toxicity may increase with prolonged therapy, but evidence base is weak.
Concomitant use with piperacillin-tazobactam or an aminoglycoside should be paired with TDM and ongoing assessment of need for concurrent therapy	There is moderate evidence of synergistic toxicity to be balanced against potential need for efficacy
TDM should be used in patients at high risk for toxicity, prolonged therapy or impaired renal function	Toxicity in patients with limited comorbidities treated for less than 10 days is very uncommon
Obtain TDM before the fourth dose after starting or adjusting therapy if stable renal function	Assumptions linking trough levels to AUC are based upon a steady state concentration

**Table 6**

**Areas for Further Research**

- 1.) Comparison of vancomycin to alternative therapy in the critically ill with a **primary renal endpoint** of AKI. Urine output criteria should be incorporated as well as creatinine criteria.
- 2.) The role of serum and/or urine biomarkers for earlier diagnosis of nephrotoxicity
- 3.) Continuation of vancomycin with TDM versus discontinuation should AKI develop.
- 4.) Dosing based on Bayesian methodology.
- 5.) The optimal trough for serious infections.
- 6.) The optimal dosing strategy: continuous versus intermittent infusion.
- 7.) The optimal dosing strategy for the morbidly obese.
- 8.) Comparison of vancomycin/piperacillin-tazobactam with vancomycin/cefepime (or alternative regimens).
- 9.) Antioxidants for nephroprotection.
- 10.) Cilastatin for nephroprotection.

**References**

1. Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of america for the treatment of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children: Executive summary. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2011;52(3):285-292.
2. Jeffres MN, Isakow W, Doherty JA, Micek ST, Kollef MH. A retrospective analysis of possible renal toxicity associated with vancomycin in patients with health care-associated methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus pneumonia. *Clin Ther*. 2007;29(6):1107-1115
3. Sinha Ray A, Haikal A, Hammoud KA, Yu ASL. Vancomycin and the risk of AKI: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2016. doi: 10.2215/CJN.05920616.
4. Cosgrove SE, Vigliani GA, Fowler VG, Jr, et al. Initial low-dose gentamicin for staphylococcus aureus bacteremia and endocarditis is nephrotoxic. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(6):713-721.

5. Carreno JJ, Kenney RM, Divine G, Vazquez JA, Davis SL. Randomized controlled trial to determine the efficacy of early switch from vancomycin to vancomycin alternatives as a strategy to prevent nephrotoxicity in patients with multiple risk factors for adverse renal outcomes (STOP-NT). *Ann Pharmacother*. 2016. doi: 1060028016673858 [pii].
6. Hazlewood KA, Brouse SD, Pitcher WD, Hall RG. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity: Grave concern or death by character assassination? *Am J Med*. 2010;123(2):182.e1-182.e7.
7. Teng CB, Rezai K, Itokazu GS, et al. Continuation of high-dose vancomycin despite nephrotoxicity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(6):3470-3471.
8. Rybak M, Lomaestro B, Rotschafer JC, et al. Therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin in adult patients: A consensus review of the american society of health-system pharmacists, the infectious diseases society of america, and the society of infectious diseases pharmacists. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2008;66(1):82.
9. Georges H, Leroy O, Alfandari S, et al. Pulmonary disposition of vancomycin in critically ill patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1997;16(5):385-388.
10. Larsson AJ, Walker KJ, Raddatz JK, Rotschafer JC. The concentration-independent effect of monoexponential and biexponential decay in vancomycin concentrations on the killing of staphylococcus aureus under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1996;38(4):589-597.
11. Song KH, Kim HB, Kim HS, et al. Impact of area under the concentration-time curve to minimum inhibitory concentration ratio on vancomycin treatment outcomes in methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2015;46(6):689-695.

12. Men P, Li H, Zhai S, Zhao R. Association between the AUC(0-24)/MIC ratio of vancomycin and its clinical effectiveness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;11(1):e0146224.
13. Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN. Nine-hospital study comparing broth microdilution and etest method results for vancomycin and daptomycin against methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(7):3162-3165.
14. Hsu DI, Hidayat LK, Quist R, et al. Comparison of method-specific vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration values and their predictability for treatment outcome of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2008;32(5):378-385.
15. Tenover FC, Moellering RC. The rationale for revising the clinical and laboratory standards institute vancomycin minimal inhibitory concentration interpretive criteria for staphylococcus aureus. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2007;44(9):1208-1215.
16. Steinkraus G, White R, Friedrich L. Vancomycin MIC creep in non-vancomycin-intermediate staphylococcus aureus (VISA), vancomycin-susceptible clinical methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) blood isolates from 2001-2005. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2007;60(4):788-794.
17. van Hal SJ, Lodise TP, Paterson DL. The clinical significance of vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration in staphylococcus aureus infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2012;54(6):755-771.
18. Mavros MN, Tansarli GS, Vardakas KZ, Rafailidis PI, Karageorgopoulos DE, Falagas ME. Impact of vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration on clinical outcomes of patients with vancomycin-susceptible staphylococcus aureus infections: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2012;40(6):496-509.

19. Kalil AC, Van Schooneveld TC, Fey PD, Rupp ME. Association between vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration and mortality among patients with staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2014;312(15):1552-1564.
20. Rybak MJ. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of vancomycin. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(SUPPL. 1).
21. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;171(4):388-416. T.
22. Ghosh N, Chavada R, Maley M, van Hal SJ. Impact of source of infection and vancomycin AUC0-24/MICBMD targets on treatment failure in patients with methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014;20(12):O1098-O1105.
23. Neely MN, Youn G, Jones B, et al. Are vancomycin trough concentrations adequate for optimal dosing? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(1):309-316..
24. Hale CM, Seabury RW, Steele JM, Darko W, Miller CD. Are vancomycin trough concentrations of 15 to 20 mg/L associated with increased attainment of an AUC/MIC  $\geq$  400 in patients with presumed MRSA infection? *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*. 2016.  
<http://jpp.sagepub.com/content/early/2016/04/12/0897190016642692.abstract>.
25. Suzuki Y, Kawasaki K, Sato Y, et al. Is peak concentration needed in therapeutic drug monitoring of vancomycin? A pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic analysis in patients with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Pneumonia. *Chemotherapy*. 2012;58(4):308-312.

26. Steinmetz T, Eliakim-Raz N, Goldberg E, Leibovici L, Yahav D. Association of vancomycin serum concentrations with efficacy in patients with MRSA infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2015;21(7):665-673.
27. Tongchai S, Koomanachai P. The safety and efficacy of high versus low vancomycin trough levels in the treatment of patients with infections caused by methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus: A meta-analysis. *BMC Research Notes*. 2016;9(1):455.
28. Barriere SL, Stryjewski ME, Corey GR, Genter FC, Rubinstein E. Effect of vancomycin serum trough levels on outcomes in patients with nosocomial pneumonia due to staphylococcus aureus: A retrospective, post hoc, subgroup analysis of the phase 3 ATTAIN studies. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14(1).
29. Ye Z-, Tang H-, Zhai S-. Benefits of therapeutic drug monitoring of vancomycin: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(10).
30. Baptista JP, Sousa E, Martins PJ, Pimentel JM. Augmented renal clearance in septic patients and implications for vancomycin optimisation. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2012;39(5):420-423.
31. Kullar R, Leonard SN, Davis SL, et al. Validation of the effectiveness of a vancomycin nomogram in achieving target trough concentrations of 15-20 mg/L suggested by the vancomycin consensus guidelines. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*. 2011;31(5):441-448.
32. Avent ML, Vaska VL, Rogers BA, et al. Vancomycin therapeutics and monitoring: A contemporary approach. *Intern Med J*. 2013;43(2):110-119. doi: 10.1111/imj.12036.

33. Lodise TP, Drusano GL, Zasowski E, et al. Vancomycin exposure in patients with methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections: How much is enough? *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2014;59(5):666-675.
34. Pallotta KE, Manley HJ. Vancomycin use in patients requiring hemodialysis: A literature review. *Semin Dial*. 2008;21(1):63-70.
35. Decker BS, Kays MB, Chambers M, Kraus MA, Moe SM, Sowinski KM. Vancomycin pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics during short daily hemodialysis. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2010;5(11):1981-1987.
36. DelDot ME, Lipman J, Tett SE. Vancomycin pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients receiving continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2004;58(3):259-268.
37. Crew P, Heintz SJ, Heintz BH. Vancomycin dosing and monitoring for patients with end-stage renal disease receiving intermittent hemodialysis. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2015;72(21):1856-1864.
38. Barth RH, DeVincenzo N. Use of vancomycin in high-flux hemodialysis: Experience with 130 courses of therapy. *Kidney Int*. 1996;50(3):929-936.
39. Wold JS, Turnipseed SA. Toxicology of vancomycin in laboratory animals. *Review of Infectious Diseases*. 1981;3:S224-S229.
40. King DW, Smith MA. Proliferative responses observed following vancomycin treatment in renal proximal tubule epithelial cells. *Toxicol Vitro*. 2004;18(6):797-803.
41. Elyasi S, Khalili H, Hatamkhani S, Dashti-Khavidaki S. Prevention of vancomycin induced nephrotoxicity: A review of preclinical data. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;69(4):747-754.



42. Nishino Y, Takemura S, Minamiyama Y, et al. Targeting superoxide dismutase to renal proximal tubule cells attenuates vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Free Radic Res.* 2003;37(4):373-379.
43. Öktem F, Arslan MK, Ozguner F, et al. In vivo evidences suggesting the role of oxidative stress in pathogenesis of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity: Protection by erdosteine. *Toxicology.* 2005;215(3):227-233.
44. Celik I, Cihangiroglu M, Ilhan N, Akpolat N, Akbulut HH. Protective effects of different antioxidants and amrinone on vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity. *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology.* 2005;97(5):325-332.
45. Sakamoto Y, Yano T, Hanada Y, et al. Vancomycin induces reactive oxygen species-dependent apoptosis via mitochondrial cardiolipin peroxidation in renal tubular epithelial cells. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2017;800:48-56.
46. Hori Y, Aoki N, Kuwahara S, et al. Megalin blockade with cilastatin suppresses drug-induced nephrotoxicity. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.* 2017. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016060606.
47. Luque Y, Louis K, Jouanneau C, et al. Vancomycin-associated cast nephropathy. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.* 2017. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016080867.
48. Horey A, Mergenhagen KA, Mattappallil A. The relationship of nephrotoxicity to vancomycin trough serum concentrations in a veteran's population: A retrospective analysis. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2012;46(11):1477-1483.
49. Davies SW, Efird JT, Guidry CA, et al. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity: The obesity factor. *Surgical Infections.* 2015;16(6):684-693.

50. Minejima E, Choi J, Beringer P, Lou M, Tse E, Wong-Beringer A. Applying new diagnostic criteria for acute kidney injury to facilitate early identification of nephrotoxicity in vancomycin-treated patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2011;55(7):3278-3283.
51. Contreiras C, Legal M, Lau TTY, Thalakada R, Shalansky S, Ensom MHH. Identification of risk factors for nephrotoxicity in patients receiving extended-duration, high-trough vancomycin therapy. *Can J Hosp Pharm.* 2014;67(2):126-132.
52. Wong-Beringer A, Joo J, Tse E, Beringer P. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity: A critical appraisal of risk with high-dose therapy. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2011;37(2):95-101.
53. Malhotra R, Siew ED. Biomarkers for the early detection and prognosis of acute kidney injury. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.* 2017;12(1):149-173.
54. Rhodes NJ, Prozialeck WC, Lodise TP, et al. Evaluation of vancomycin exposures associated with elevations in novel urinary biomarkers of acute kidney injury in vancomycin-treated rats. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2016;60(10):5742-5751.
55. Van Hal SJ, Paterson DL, Lodise TP. Systematic review and meta-analysis of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity associated with dosing schedules that maintain troughs between 15 and 20 milligrams per liter. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2013;57(2):734-744.
56. Navalkele B, Pogue JM, Karino S, et al. Risk of acute kidney injury in patients on concomitant vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam compared to those on vancomycin and cefepime. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016. doi: ciw709 [pii].

57. Rutter WC, Cox JN, Martin CA, Burgess DR, Burgess DS. Nephrotoxicity during vancomycin therapy in combination with piperacillin-tazobactam or cefepime. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2016. doi: AAC.02089-16 [pii].
58. Lodise TP, Patel N, Lomaestro BM, Rodvold KA, Drusano GL. Relationship between initial vancomycin concentration-time profile and nephrotoxicity among hospitalized patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2009;49(4):507-514.
59. Pritchard L, Baker C, Leggett J, Sehdev P, Brown A, Bayley KB. Increasing vancomycin serum trough concentrations and incidence of nephrotoxicity. *Am J Med.* 2010;123(12):1143-1149.
60. Cano EL, Haque NZ, Welch VL, et al. Incidence of nephrotoxicity and association with vancomycin use in intensive care unit patients with pneumonia: Retrospective analysis of the IMPACT-HAP database. *Clin Ther.* 2012;34(1):149-157.
61. Kullar R, Davis SL, Levine DP, Rybak MJ. Impact of vancomycin exposure on outcomes in patients with methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: Support for consensus guidelines suggested targets. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2011;52(8):975-981.
62. Belen C, Budhiraja P, Bracamonte E, Popovtzer M. Biopsy-proven acute tubular necrosis associated with vancomycin in an adult patient. *Ren Fail.* 2012;34(4):502-505.
63. Hsu SI. Biopsy-proved acute tubulointerstitial nephritis and toxic epidermal necrolysis associated with vancomycin. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy.* 2001;21(10):1233-1239.

64. Katikaneni M, Lwin L, Villanueva H, Yoo J. Acute kidney injury associated with vancomycin when laxity leads to injury and findings on kidney biopsy. *Am J Ther.* 2016;23(4):e1064-7.
65. Blumenthal KG, Patil SU, Long AA. The importance of vancomycin in drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (dress) syndrome. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* 2012;33(2):165-171.
66. Rosini JM, Davis JJ, Muenzer J, et al. High single-dose vancomycin loading is not associated with increased nephrotoxicity in emergency department sepsis patients. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2016;23(6):744-746.
67. Rosini JM, Laughner J, Levine BJ, Papas MA, Reinhardt JF, Jasani NB. A randomized trial of loading vancomycin in the emergency department. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2015;49(1):6-13.
68. Lodise TP, Lomaestro B, Graves J, Drusano GL. Larger vancomycin doses (at least four grams per day) are associated with an increased incidence of nephrotoxicity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2008;52(4):1330-1336.
69. Chavada R, Ghosh N, Sandaradura I, Maley M, Van Hal SJ. Towards individualised vancomycin dosing in methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia: Establishment of an AUC<sub>0-24</sub> threshold for nephrotoxicity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2017. doi: AAC.02535-16 [pii].
70. Wunderink RG, Niederman MS, Kollef MH, et al. Linezolid in methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus nosocomial pneumonia: A randomized, controlled study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2012;54(5):621-629.
71. Prabaker KK, Tran TP-, Pratummas T, Goetz MB, Graber CJ. Elevated vancomycin trough is not associated with nephrotoxicity among inpatient veterans. *Journal of Hospital Medicine.* 2012;7(2):91-97.

72. Meaney CJ, Hynicka LM, Tsoukleris MG. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity in adult medicine patients: Incidence, outcomes, and risk factors. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*. 2014;34(7):653-661.
73. Hidayat LK, Hsu DI, Quist R, Shriner KA, Wong-Beringer A. High-dose vancomycin therapy for methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus infections: Efficacy and toxicity. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(19):2138-2144..
74. Bosso JA, Nappi J, Rudisill C, et al. Relationship between vancomycin trough concentrations and nephrotoxicity: A prospective multicenter trial. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5475-5479.
75. Hall RG, Hazlewood KA, Brouse SD, et al. Empiric guideline-recommended weight-based vancomycin dosing and nephrotoxicity rates in patients with methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: A retrospective cohort study. *BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology*. 2013;14(1):12.
76. Hanrahan TP, Harlow G, Hutchinson J, et al. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity in the critically ill: A retrospective multivariate regression analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2014;42(12):2527-2536.
77. Waiano MF, Kuhn TC, Brown DL. The pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic rationale for administering vancomycin via continuous infusion. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2015;40(3):259-265.
78. Cataldo MA, Tacconelli E, Grilli E, Pea F, Petrosillo N. Continuous versus intermittent infusion of vancomycin for the treatment of gram-positive infections: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012;67(1):17-24.

79. Hanrahan T, Whitehouse T, Lipman J, Roberts JA. Vancomycin-associated nephrotoxicity: A meta-analysis of administration by continuous versus intermittent infusion. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2015;46(3):249-253.
80. Hao J, Chen H, Zhou J. Continuous versus intermittent infusion of vancomycin in adult patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2016;47(1):28-35.
81. Grace E. Altered vancomycin pharmacokinetics in obese and morbidly obese patients: What we have learned over the past 30 years. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012;67(6):1305-1310.
82. Richardson J, Scheetz M, O'Donnell EP. The association of elevated trough serum vancomycin concentrations with obesity. *J Infect Chemother*. 2015;21(7):507-511.
83. Arbeit RD, Maki D, Tally FP, Campanaro E, Eisenstein BI, Daptomycin 98-01 a9. The safety and efficacy of daptomycin for the treatment of complicated skin and skin-structure infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2004;38(12):1673-1681.
84. Grosse EJE, Babinchak T, Dartois N, Rose G, Loh E, Tigecycline 300 and 305 cSSSI, Study Groups. The efficacy and safety of tigecycline in the treatment of skin and skin-structure infections: Results of 2 double-blind phase 3 comparison studies with vancomycin-aztreonam. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2005;41:S341-S353.
85. Davies SW, Guidry CA, Petroze RT, Hranjec T, Sawyer RG. Vancomycin and nephrotoxicity: Just another myth? *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2013;75(5):830-835.
86. Wood CA, Kohlhepp SJ, Kohnen PW, Houghton DC, Gilbert DN. Vancomycin enhancement of experimental tobramycin nephrotoxicity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1986;30(1):20-24.

87. Farber BF, Moellering RC. Retrospective study of the toxicity of preparations of vancomycin from 1974 to 1981. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1983;23(1):138-141.
88. Sorrell TC, Collignon PJ. A prospective study of adverse reactions associated with vancomycin therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 1985;16(2):235-241.
89. Rybak MJ, Albrecht LM, Boike SC, Chandrasekar PH. Nephrotoxicity of vancomycin, alone and with an aminoglycoside. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 1990;25(4):679-687.
90. Hanrahan TP, Kotapati C, Roberts MJ, et al. Factors associated with vancomycin nephrotoxicity in the critically ill. *Anaesth Intensive Care.* 2015;43(5):594-599.
91. Goetz MB, Sayers J. Nephrotoxicity of vancomycin and aminoglycoside therapy separately and in combination. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 1993;32(2):325-334.
92. Gomes DM, Smotherman C, Birch A, et al. Comparison of acute kidney injury during treatment with vancomycin in combination with piperacillin-tazobactam or cefepime. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2014;34(7):662-669.
93. Kim T, Kandiah S, Patel M, et al. Risk factors for kidney injury during vancomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam administration, including increased odds of injury with combination therapy. *BMC Research Notes.* 2015;8:579.
94. Fodero KE, Horey AL, Krajewski MP, Ruh CA, Sellick Jr JA, Mergenhagen KA. Impact of an antimicrobial stewardship program on patient safety in veterans prescribed vancomycin. *Clin Ther.* 2016;38(3):494-502.

95. Moenster RP, Linneman TW, Finnegan PM, Hand S, Thomas Z, McDonald JR. Acute renal failure associated with vancomycin and  $\beta$ -lactams for the treatment of osteomyelitis in diabetics: Piperacillin-tazobactam as compared with cefepime. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2014;20(6):O384-O389.
96. Hammond DA, Smith MN, Painter JT, Meena NK, Lusardi K. Comparative incidence of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients receiving vancomycin with concomitant piperacillin-tazobactam or cefepime: A retrospective cohort study. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*. 2016;36(5):463-471.
97. Giuliano C, Patel CR, Kale-Pradhan PB. Is the combination of piperacillin-tazobactam and vancomycin associated with development of acute kidney injury? A meta-analysis. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*. :n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1002/phar.1851.
98. Hammond DA, Smith MN, Li C, Hayes SM, Lusardi K, Bookstaver PB. Systematic review and meta-analysis of acute kidney injury associated with concomitant vancomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016. doi: ciw811 [pii].
99. Jensen JS, Hein L, Lundgren B, et al. Kidney failure related to broad-spectrum antibiotics in critically ill patients: Secondary end point results from a 1200 patient randomised trial. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(2). [t](#).
100. Karino S, Kaye KS, Navalkele B, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury among patients receiving concomitant vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam: Opportunities for antimicrobial stewardship. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(6):3743-3750.