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SUMMARY

Inflammatory monocytes (iMOs) and B cells are the main targets of the poxvirus ectromelia virus (ECTV) in the lymph nodes of mice and play distinct roles in surviving the infection. Infected and bystander iMOs control ECTV’s systemic spread, preventing early death, while B cells make antibodies that eliminate ECTV. Our work demonstrates that within an infected animal that survives ECTV infection, intrinsic and bystander infection of iMOs and B cells differentially control the transcription of genes important for immune cell function and, perhaps, cell identity. Bystander cells upregulate metabolism, antigen presentation, and interferon-stimulated genes. Infected cells downregulate many cell-type-specific genes and upregulate transcripts typical of non-immune cells. Bystander (Bys) and infected (Inf) iMOs non-redundantly contribute to the cytokine milieu and the interferon response. Furthermore, we uncover how type I interferon (IFN-I) or IFN-γ signaling differentially regulates immune pathways in Inf and Bys iMOs and that, at steady state, IFN-I primes iMOs for rapid IFN-I production and antigen presentation.

INTRODUCTION

Numerous viruses penetrate their hosts through disruptions of epithelial surfaces, spread to the lymph nodes (LNs) that drain the site of infection (draining LNs [dLNs]) via afferent lymphatics, and become systemic by reaching the blood through efferent lymphatics. It is clear that during this process, the innate immune response in the dLN plays a major role in restraining systemic viral spread and preventing disease.1,2

After footpad infection, the mouse-specific orthopoxvirusectromelia virus (ECTV) disseminates lympho-hematogenously, causing fulminant mousepox in several mouse strains such as BALB/c, but not in C57BL/6 (B6) mice, which survive without major disease partly because their innate immune response restricts the early dissemination of ECTV from the dLNs.

At 2 to 3 days post-infection (dpi), inflammatory monocytes (iMOs) and B cells, which far outnumber iMOs, are the major targets of ECTV infection in the dLNs.3 At this time, infected (Inf), but not bystander (Bys), iMOs are the major producers of type I interferon (IFN-I).3 Conversely, Bys iMOs, but not Inf iMOs, produce the chemokine CXCL9, which is necessary for recruiting natural killer (NK) cells to the dLNs.4 IFN-I and NK cells, which kill Inf cells and produce critical IFN-gamma (IFN-γ), are necessary to contain the rapid dissemination of ECTV from the dLNs to the liver and the spleen. Mice depleted of MOs/macrophages with clodronate liposomes or deficient in IFN-γ, the IFN-γ receptor (Ifngr−/−), or the IFNAR1 subunit (Ifnar1−/−) of the IFN-I receptor (IFNAR) succumb to ECTV infection (Figure 1A).3,5,6 Lyz2-Cre Ifnar1fl/fl mice, lacking IFNAR1 in MOs and macrophages but not in other cells, also die from ECTV infection, and their iMOs do not transcribe IFN-I efficiently.7 To produce CXCL9, Bys iMOs need to intrinsically express the IFN-γ receptor (IFNGR).4 Thus, iMOs require intrinsic IFNAR and IFNGR signaling to contribute to ECTV control.

B cells are also critical to resisting ECTV infection because the antibodies they produce at later stages of infection are needed for ECTV clearance.6,8 However, B cells do not produce IFN-I or CXCL9 in response to ECTV infection.3,4 Hence, while both iMOs and B cells are ECTV targets and essential for resistance to mousepox, Inf and Bys iMOs, but not B cells, seem to play unique and complementary roles in the early control of ECTV spread. The data also suggest that iMOs and B cells respond differently to direct and bystander infection. Here, we compared the transcriptomes of iMOs and B cells with intrinsic and bystander ECTV infection to better understand why iMOs, but not B cells, may contribute to innate immune protection.
RESULTS

Infection status defines the transcriptional profile of iMOs and B cells in vivo

We infected B6 mice with ECTV-expressing green fluorescent protein (ECTV-GFP). At 3 dpi, we fluorescence-activated cell sorted (FACS) Inf (GFP+) and Bys (GFP−) iMOs (CD11bhighLy6Chigh) and B cells (CD19+) from pooled dLNs. As controls, we sorted Ly6Chigh iMOs from the spleen (in naive mice, iMOs are absent in LNs, and few are present in the blood) and LN B cells (B) At 3 dpi, Bys and Inf B cells (CD19+) and iMOs (CD11bhighLy6Chigh) were sorted from the popliteal dLNs of ECTV-infected animals, and their transcriptional profiles were compared. (A) Innate immune response in the dLNs at 3 dpi reduces virus dissemination and promotes host survival (BioRender.com).

Figure 1. Infection status defines the transcriptional profile of iMOs and B cells in vivo

(A) Innate immune response in the dLNs at 3 dpi reduces virus dissemination and promotes host survival (BioRender.com).

(B) At 3 dpi, Bys and Inf B cells (CD19+) and iMOs (CD11bhighLy6Chigh) were sorted from the popliteal dLNs of ECTV-infected animals, and their transcriptional profile was determined by RNA-seq (BioRender.com).

(C) Number of each sorted population from the dLNs of infected mice.

(D) Frequencies of ECTV transcripts in total read counts. p value (pval) determined by Student’s t test analysis between Inf and Bys groups.

(E) ED PCA.

(F) Clustering heatmap of class-predicting genes.

(G) Volcano plot and graph show the number of DEGs expressed higher in Inf or Bys B cells.

(H) GO based on the RPD of genes transcribed in Inf and Bys B cells.

(I) Volcano plot and graph showing the number of DEGs expressed higher in Inf or Bys iMOs.

(J) GO based on the RPD of genes transcribed in Inf and Bys iMOs.

(K) GSEA of cellular pathways (MSigDB H) in Bys versus Inf B cells (top, enriched in Bys B cells) or Bys versus Inf iMOs (bottom, enriched in Bys iMOs).

Three biological replicates of each sorted population (Bys, Inf, and naive) were sequenced, except for Inf B cells, for which only two biological replicates were sequenced. Each biological replicate is derived from a pool of 10 popliteal dLNs from 5 B6 infected mice or one naive spleen (naive iMOs) or LN (naive B cells). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
oxygen species production” (Figure 1K; Tables S2-3 and S2-5). Inf B cells and iMOs were enriched in transcripts for only a few gene sets (Tables S2-4 and S2-6). At p < 5%, only the “TGF-β signaling” set was enriched in Inf B cells and iMOs. Inf B cells were additionally enriched for “peroxisome,” “DNA repair,” and “Myc targets” sets. In contrast, Inf iMOs were enriched for “WNT β-catenin” and “TNF-α signaling via NF-κB” sets. Therefore, within an Inf host, Bys and Inf iMOs and B cells adjust their transcriptome according to their infection status, with minor overlap. The transcriptional response to intrinsic or bystander infection is greater in iMOs than in B cells. The data also suggest that in an Inf animal, Bys iMOs and B cells increase their metabolism and activate their innate immune functions, likely becoming primed to better combat their approaching intrinsic infection.

BYS CELLS INCREASE, WHILE INF CELLS DECREASE, PROTOTYPICAL TRANSCRIPTS

We confirmed cell identity by the presence of transcripts for Spi1 (PU.1), Ifi8, Klf4, Ccr2, Ly6c2, and Lyz2 in iMOs (Figure 2A, top) or Cd19, Pax5, Ms4a1, and immunoglobulin genes (Ighd, Ighm, and Igcl1) in B cells (Figure 2A, bottom). iMOs had no or low transcripts for Ly6g, Siglech, Zbtb46, Ncr1, Trbc1, and Cd3e, indicating good purity (deposited dataset). GSEA with the MSigDB cell-type C8 gene set collection indicated that, compared with naive or Inf B cells, Bys B cells were enriched in B cell prototypic gene sets such as the “Alizarian liver major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II B cells” set (Figure 2B). Similarly, Bys iMOs were enriched in gene sets typical of the MO/macrophage lineage, such as the “Travaglini lung classical monocyte” gene set, when compared with naive or Inf iMOs (Figure 2C). Interestingly, despite normal surface protein expression by flow cytometry (Figure 1B), Inf iMOs and B cells had decreased expression of several prototypical mRNAs compared with their naive and Bys counterparts (Figures 2A–2E). Inf B cells and iMOs were also enriched in transcripts found in gene sets typical of non-immune cells (Figures 2D and 2E).

Others have shown that in bone-marrow-derived and alveolar macrophages, cytomegalovirus (CMV) induced the upregulation of the transcription factor (TF) ZEB1, which activated genes within the Wnt and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) signaling pathways to promote macrophage de-differentiation. We found that during in vivo ECTV infection, Inf iMOs and B cells upregulated genes in the “TGF-β signaling” set, while only Inf iMOs upregulated some transcripts in the MSigDB “Wnt β-catenin” set, when compared with Bys (Table S1-4; Figures 2F and 2G). Zeb1 was strongly transcribed in Bys and naive B cells, reduced in Inf B cells, and almost absent in all iMOs (Figure 2F). However, intrinsic ECTV infection induced the transcription of other genes within the WNT β-catenin set, such as Notch4 in Inf iMOs and Dvl2 in Inf iMOs and B cells, and in the TGF-β set, including Kitf10, Skil, and Tgif1 in Inf iMOs and B cells (Figure 2G). Also, compared with naive or Bys cells, Inf iMOs or B cells upregulated 87 experimentally verified TFs (Figure 2H).

Hence, the transcriptional changes in iMOs and B cells caused by intrinsic ECTV infection differ from those caused by CMV because they do not require de novo ZEB1 synthesis. However, these changes could involve other genes in the Wnt and TGF-β pathways, such as Dvl2, Notch4, Skil, and Tgif1 and also other TFs.

We next analyzed cell-type-specific gene sets with higher normalized GSEA scores in Inf compared with Bys iMOs, such as those for epithelial, muscle, glia, or neuronal cells. However, we did not find an enriched gene set common to Inf iMOs and all those cell types (Figure 2I). Thus, the many transcripts prototypical of non-immune cells upregulated by Inf iMOs suggest that ECTV promotes dysregulated transcription and not de-differentiation.

Overall, our data indicate that during ECTV infection, prototypical MO/macrophage or B cell transcripts are enriched in Bys and decreased in Inf iMOs or B cells. Additionally, intrinsic ECTV infection causes the upregulation of transcripts prototypical of non-immune cells.

BYS iMOs AND B CELLS UPREREGULATE ANTIGEN-PRESENTATION TRANSCRIPTS AND IFN-STIMULATED GENES (ISGS), WHILE BYS AND INF iMOs UPREREGULATE TRANSCRIPTION OF DIFFERENT CYTOKINE SUBSETS

As professional antigen-presenting cells, B cells and iMOs display viral antigens in the context of MHC class I and class II molecules to, respectively, activate CD8 and CD4 T cells. Classical and non-classical MHC class I molecules also activate or inhibit NK cells. Clustering analysis indicated that compared with their naive counterparts, Bys B cells and iMOs broadly upregulated antigen-presenting genes. In contrast, Inf B cells and iMOs did not upregulate those genes and downregulated many
of them (Figure 3A). These data are consistent with our previous observation that Inf iMOS downregulate MHC class II proteins at the cell surface.7 Naive B cells, but not naive iMOS, transcribed genes encoding molecules involved in MHC class II antigen presentation, including those for the MHC class II molecules H2-Ab1, H2-Aa, and H2-Eb1, the invariant chain Cd74, the peptide editor H2-Dma, and the MHC class II transactivator Cita (Figure 3A). Conversely, naive iMOS, but not naive B cells, transcribed genes involved in MHC class I antigen presentation such as H2-D1, B2m, Psmb8, Psmb10, and Tapbp (Figure 3A). When directly compared to their Inf counterparts, Bys B cells and, to a larger extent, Bys iMOS were enriched in many genes involved in MHC class I and class II antigen presentation (Figure 3B). These data suggest that MHC class II antigen presentation is constitutive in B cells and transcriptionally activated in iMOS. In contrast, MHC class I antigen presentation is constitutive in iMOS and transcriptionally activated in B cells in the context of bystander, but not intrinsic, infection.

We have recently shown that expression or absence of the non-classical MHC class I molecule Qa-1a3 respectively protects or targets cells for NK cell killing in vivo. We also showed that Qa-1a3, which is encoded by H2-T23, is increased in Bys iMOS and decreased in Inf iMOS.13 Consistent with this, the iMOS and B cells upregulated, and Inf iMOS and B cells downregulated, H2-T23 (Figures 3A and 3B). In addition, subsets of other non-classical MHC class I genes, whose functions are incompletely understood, were upregulated in Bys, but not in Inf, B cells or iMOS (Figures 3A and 3B).

We compared transcription of broadly defined ISGs.14 Following IFN-I binding, IFNAR induces the transcription of ISG through downstream STAT1, STAT2, and IRF9. Stat1 and Stat2 were moderately transcribed in naive cells and highly upregulated in Bys, but not in Inf, cells (Figure 3C). Naive iMOS transcribed Irf9, which was maintained in Bys, but downregulated in Inf, iMOS. Irf9 transcription was low in naive and Inf, but upregulated in Bys, B cells. These data suggested that Bys, but not Inf, iMOS and B cells are primed for rapid ISG transcription. Consistently, cluster analysis showed that Bys cells were the main ISG transcribers in vivo (Figure 3D). Compared with naive iMOS, Bys iMOS upregulated the largest number of ISGs, followed by Bys B cells, Inf iMOS, and Inf B cells (Figure 3E; Table S1-8). Bys iMOS and Bys B cells shared as many as 71 ISGs, while only 16, 2, and 12 ISGs were shared between Bys and Inf iMOS, Bys and Inf B cells, and Inf iMOS and Inf B cells, respectively (Figure 3E). Therefore, ISGs were mostly induced in Bys cells, and most of the ISGs in B cells were a subset of those in iMOS.

Naive B cells and naive iMOS transcribed some non-overlapping ISGs (Figure 3D, clusters 1 and 2, respectively). All B cell classes transcribed most cluster 1 transcripts (Figures 3D and S2A), while most cluster 2 transcripts were downregulated in Bys and, to a greater extent, in Inf iMOS (Figures 3D and S2B). Thus, in vivo, bystander or intrinsic infection can induce the downregulation of some genes thought to be ISGs.

We compared the transcription of genes important for resistance to mousepox. B6 mice deficient in either Ifn7, Nfkbi, Tmem173, Myd88, Cgas, or Tnf are highly susceptible to ECTV.3,4,15,16 Ifn7, Nfkbi, Tmem173, Myd88, Cgas, and Tnf9 were prominently upregulated in Bys, but not in Inf, iMOS. Only Nfkbi, which is necessary for IFN-I transcription,3 was upregulated in Inf and not in Bys iMOS (Figure 3F). B cells had a similar trend but with much lower expression levels. This likely explains why Inf B cells do not transcribe IFN-I and as shown below.

We compared the effects of intrinsic or bystander infection in the transcription of IFN genes. Naive iMOS or B cells did not transcribe IFN-I (Figure 3G). Unlike naive and Bys iMOS, Inf iMOS upregulated Ifnb1 and multiple Ifna genes. Compared with naive iMOS, Bys iMOS upregulated transcripts for Ifnb1 and Ifna4 but much less so than Inf iMOS. Thus, the transcription of IFN-I during in vivo viral infection has strong cell-type and infection status specificity. We have previously shown that IFN-I transcription depends on the TFs Ir7 and Nfkbi.5,6 We now find that Ifr7 is upregulated in Bys and Nfkbi1 in Inf iMOS (Figure 3G). Thus, Nfkbi likely initiates IFN-I transcription in Inf cells, while IRF7 requires de novo synthesis triggered by the IFNAR positive feedback loop.7

We analyzed the transcription of other cytokines (Figures 3H–3J). Naive iMOS constitutively transcribed interleukins II17c, II18, II1b, II15, and II16 and chemokines Cc6l6 and Cc9l9. Compared with naive iMOS, both Inf and Bys iMOS downregulated II16, Cc6l6, and Cc9l9 and upregulated six cytokines: II27, II6, Tnf, Cxc16l, and Ccl2 (p < 0.001 for both groups for all genes). Notably, cytokine transcripts were largely absent in B cells, except for II12a and II16, which all B cells classes transcribed, and II23a (p < 0.001), which was transcribed only by Inf B cells. We next compared Bys and Inf iMOS (Figure 3J). Eleven cytokines were expressed significantly higher in Bys iMOS: II1b, II18, II12b, II6c, II6c9, II6c8 (p = 0.05), Cc12, Cc24, Cc9l9, Cxc4l6, and Ccl11. Ccl7 did not reach significance (p = 0.06), likely due to Inf iMO variability. Inf iMOS expressed significantly more Ifnbi1, multiple Ifna, and 11 additional cytokines: III1, III3, III23a, III1a, III10, III17l, Cc27a, Cc5, Cc4, Cc3, and Cxc15. Ifnar1 was upregulated in Inf iMOS, while Ifnar2, Irfgr1, and Irfgr2 transcription was similar in Inf and Bys iMOS (Figure 3J).

The data in this section show that Bys cells are primed for antigen presentation and ISG upregulation and that Inf and Bys iMOS, but not B cells, transcribe large, mostly non-overlapping cytokines subsets. These data suggest that Inf and Bys iMOS complement each other in producing cytokines during the early immune response in the dLNs.

**Infection status, and not IFN signaling, defines the overall transcriptional response of iMOS**

Given the critical roles of IFNAR and IFNGR in resistance to ECTV5,17,18 and the need for IFNAR in Lyz2+ cells to resist mousepox,9 we next analyzed how intrinsic IFNAR or IFNGR deficiency affected the transcriptional changes induced in iMOS by intrinsic of bystander ECTV infection. We made congenically marked, mixed bone marrow chimeras (BMCs) by lethally irradiating wild-type (WT) B6-Cd452/2 mice and reconstituting them with a mixture of bone marrow cells from WT B6-Cd451/1 and mutant B6-Cd452/2Ifnar1−/− (Ifnar1−/−) or B6-Cd452/2Ifngr1−/− (Ifngr1−/−) mice to generate WT + Ifnar1−/−→ WT and WT + Ifngr1−/−→ WT BMCs (Figure 4A). In these BMCs, WT and mutant iMOS in the dLNs are exposed to the same
Figure 3. Bys iMOs and B cells upregulate antigen-presentation transcripts and ISGs, while Bys and Inf iMOs upregulate transcription of different cytokine subsets

(A) Clustering heatmap of transcripts for antigen-presenting genes.
(B) Volcano plot comparing antigen-presenting gene transcription in Bys versus Inf cells. Bys versus Inf B cells (left) and Bys versus Inf iMOs (right).
(C) Transcript levels of TF genes responsible for ISG upregulation, i.e., Stat1, Stat2, and Irf9 genes.
(D) Clustering heatmap of ISGs and volcano plot comparing ISG transcription in Bys iMOs versus Inf iMOs. Cluster 1 highlights ISGs constitutively transcribed in naive B cells and cluster 2 ISGs constitutively transcribed in naive iMOs.
(E) Venn diagram depicting unique and shared ISG transcripts increased compared with naive.
(F) Transcript levels of Ifn7, Tmam173, Cgas, Nkx1, Myd88, and Irf9.
(G–I) Clustering heatmap of IFN (G), interleukin (H), and chemokine (I) genes.
(J) Volcano plot comparing cytokine transcription in Bys versus Inf iMOs (2-fold change and p < 0.05). Samples correspond to those in Figure 1, pval determined by DE-sleuth analysis between Inf and Bys groups. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
microenvironment and virus loads. After infecting the BMCs with ECTV-GFP, we sorted Bys and Inf WT and Ifnar1−/− or Ifngr−/− iMOs (CD11bhighLy6Chigh, sorting strategy as in Figure 1B) from the dLNs at 3 dpi. Naive WT and mutant iMOs were sorted from the spleen of naive BMC as controls. RNA-seq was performed as before.

Class prediction clustering and PCA (Figures 4B and 4C) showed that the overall transcriptional profile first separated the cells according to their infection status rather than their genotype. Still, as described below, many effects on cellular pathways and DEGs observed in the previous sections were due to IFN signaling.

Compared with naive WT, naive Ifnar1−/− iMOs downregulated 89 and upregulated 18 genes (Figure S3A; Table S3-1). The main GO pathways affected by the lack of IFNAR in naive iMOs were “immune system,” “cytokine signaling,” and “IFN-I signaling” (Figure S3B). Interestingly, naive Ifnar1−/− iMOs had lower expression of If7, Stat2, and Irf7 (Figure S3C), suggesting that, at steady state, iMOs need IFNAR not only to respond to but also to produce basal levels of IFN-I. Among the numerous downregulated genes, many were ISGs, including Oas1g, Ifi213, and Siglec1 (Figure S3D). Different from naive Ifnar1−/− iMOs, naive Ifngr−/− iMOs only downregulated 10 and upregulated 6 transcripts (Figures S3E and S3F; Table S3-2). None of the genes downregulated in naive Ifngr−/− iMOs were known ISGs, suggesting that there may not be basal levels of IFN-g signaling at steady state and that activation of this pathway might require inflammation. Thus, at steady state, IFNAR, but not IFNGR, signaling poises naive iMOs to a more responsive antiviral state.

Compared with WT iMOs, Ifnar1−/− Bys iMOs had 33 genes with increased and 182 genes with decreased transcription (Figure 4D; Table S3-3). The most prominent pathways decreased in Bys Ifnar1−/− iMOs were “immune system,” “cytokine signaling,” and “IFN-I signaling.” At the same time, the few genes that had increased transcription were associated with “granulopoiesis,” “IL-4 and IL-13 signaling,” and “IL-10 signaling” (Figure 4E).

Compared with WT iMOs, Ifngr−/− Bys iMOs had 86 increased and 241 decreased mRNAs (Figure 4F; Tables S3-4). The main pathways disrupted in Ifngr−/− Bys iMOs were “IFN-II-induced ISG” and “extracellular protein interactions” (Figure 4G). Surprisingly, only 8.3% of downregulated transcripts were associated with known pathways in the RPD, indicating an underrepresentation of IFNGR-dependent pathways or a lack of available data.

Compared with WT iMOs, Ifnar1−/− Inf iMOs had 51 increased and 191 decreased transcripts (Figures 4H, Table S3-5). The few increased mRNAs in Inf Ifnar1−/− iMOs were involved in “neutrophil degranulation,” “cyclin S phase,” and “IL-10 signaling”. In contrast, most of the many decreased transcripts were related to “immune system,” “cytokine signaling,” “IFN-I signaling,” “megakaryocyte development,” and “IFR7 activation” (Figure 4I).

Compared with WT iMOs, Ifngr−/− Inf iMOs had 62 increased and 73 decreased mRNAs (Figures 4J; Table S3-6). Some increased transcripts were associated with “post-translational protein phosphorylation” and “DNA replication,” while some of those decreased corresponded with the “unfolded protein response” (Figure 4K).

IFNAR1 and IFNGR are partly and non-redundantly responsible for the changes induced by ECTV in Bys iMOs

As shown above, antigen-presentation genes were predominantly transcribed by Bys iMOs (Figure 3A). Surprisingly, IFN signaling had only a partial effect on the upregulation of genes involved in MHC class I and very little or no effect on MHC class II antigen-presentation genes (Figures 5A and 5B). IFNGR had a significant role in the upregulation of transcripts for the immune-proteasome subunit Psmb9, the TAP peptide transporter subunit Tap2, the Tapasin-like protein (Tapbp), and various non-classical MHC class I genes. Changes in Tap1 (fold change = 0.75) and Tapas (Tapbp; fold change = 0.62) did not reach statistical significance. IFNAR1 was necessary for the efficient upregulation of B2m, H2-Eb2, and several non-classical MHC class I genes.

We showed above that Bys iMOs presented robust ISG upregulation (Figure 3D). As expected, Bys Ifnar1−/− and Ifngr−/− iMOs had reduced upregulation of multiple ISGs (Figure 5C; Tables S3-7). From the 126 ISG upregulated in Bys iMO sorted from B6 mice (Figures 3H), 123 were also upregulated in Bys WT iMO sorted from the mixed BMC (Figure 5D), demonstrating the reliability of the results. Of these, 44 (~36%) required IFNAR1, including ISG important for resistance to mouspox such as CGas and Irf7; 31 (~25%) required IFNGR, including Stat1 and Irf1, 13 (~10.6%) required both IFNAR and IFNGR, and 35 (~28%) did not require either IFNAR or IFNGR, suggesting redundant roles of IFN-I and IFN-γ, or IFN independence (Figure 5D and Tables S3-7). Of note, Tlr9, Cgas, and Irf7, but not Tmem173 or Myd88, required IFNAR for efficient expression (Figure 5E), even though all of them are necessary to resist ECTV.
Figure 5. IFNAR1 and IFNGR are partly and non-redundantly responsible for the changes induced by ECTV in Bys iMOs
(A) Clustering heatmap of transcripts for molecules involved in antigen presentation.
(B) Volcano plot of antigen-presentation genes in the indicated Bys iMOs (1.4-fold change and p < 0.05).
(C) Clustering heatmap of ISGs.
(D) Volcano plot of antigen-presentation genes in the indicated Bys iMOs (1.4-fold change and p < 0.05).
(E) Venn diagram depicting unique and shared ISGs among Bys populations and volcano plot of IFNAR-dependent ISGs (left) and IFNGR-dependent ISGs (right).
(F) Clustering heatmap of cytokine transcripts specifically upregulated in Bys iMOs.
(G) Transcript levels of cytokine genes affected by either Ifnar1−/− or Ifngr−/− deletion in Bys iMOs.
(H) GSEA enrichment in Bys WT iMOs in Travaglini lung classical monocyte gene set (MSigDB C8) compared with Bys Ifnar1−/− iMOs. Samples correspond to those in Figure 4, pval determined by DE-sleuth analysis between WT and knockout groups. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
**IFNAR1 is partly responsible for the transcriptional changes that occur in Inf MOs**

We next analyzed the impact of IFNAR and IFNGR on cytokine production (Figure 6A). In agreement with our previous results, IFN-I transcription required intrinsic IFNAR (Figures 6A and 6B). Of the other 15 cytokines transcribed by Inf MOs, intrinsic IFNAR deficiency resulted in no or decreased upregulation of four (Il6, Il12b, Il18, Il15, Ccl12, and Ccl7) and IFNGR deficiency of only two (Il27 and Cxcl9) (Figures 5F and 5G).

We also noted that Bys MOs were enriched in MO prototypical transcripts (Figure 2C). This enrichment was reduced in Ifnar1−/− (Figure 5H), but not in Ifngr−/−, MOs. Overall, these data indicate that IFNAR and IFNGR cooperate non-redundantly to prime Bys MOs to respond to infection.

**IFNAR1 is partly responsible for the transcriptional changes that occur in Inf MOs**

While ISGs are known to inhibit viral replication, the frequency of total ECTV transcripts was not increased in Ifnar1−/− or Ifngr−/− MOs, indicating that ISG upregulation does not restrict ECTV transcription in Inf MOs in vivo (Figure 6E). Also, IFNAR or IFNGR deficiency did not alter the transcription of early, intermediate, or late ECTV genes.

Inf MOs downregulated MO/macrophage-prototypical transcripts and upregulated mRNAs distinctive of non-immune cells (Figures 2E and 2I). Compared with Bys Ifnar1−/− or Bys Ifngr−/− MOs, Inf Ifnar1−/− and Inf Ifngr−/− MOs still had reduced MO/macrophage-specific transcripts (Figure S5G). Also, Ifnar1−/−, but not WT or Ifngr−/−, Inf MOs obtained from BMCs failed to upregulate many of the genes we identified as specifically upregulated in Inf MOs compared with naive in non-irradiated WT mice (Figure 6E). Also, these data indicate that IFNAR and IFNGR cooperate non-redundantly to prime Bys MOs to respond to infection.

**DISCUSSION**

Our PCA indicates that within an animal infected with a poxvirus, the transcriptional profile of Bys MOs and B cells is defined more by the cell’s identity than by the host’s infection status. On the other hand, Inf MOs and B cells, which suffer larger transcriptional changes, are more defined by their infection status than by their cell identity, as indicated by a shorter ED between
them than with their naive or Bys counterparts. The finding that Inf cells suffer this large transcriptional shift in vivo suggests technical implications for using unbiased sequencing approaches such as single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) of virus-infected organs. Unlike RNA-seq of sorted populations, unbiased scRNA-seq without oligo-tagged antibody (Ab) hashing may mischaracterize the identity of some cell populations. For example, Inf immune cells might be misread as non-hematopoietic or immune cell progenitors.

In addition to losing prototypical transcripts, Inf iMOs and B cells gained transcripts typical of non-immune cells. Others recently made a similar observation for alveolar macrophages infected with CMV.\textsuperscript{10} Thus, our experiments suggest that transcriptional dysregulation may be a common cellular response to intrinsic viral infection. Unlike CMV-infected macrophages, ECTV-infected iMOs and B cells did not upregulate Zeb1 but upregulated other Wnt and TGF-\(\beta\) genes previously described as involved in cellular transformation or differentiation\textsuperscript{10,24–26} and a large set of TFs. Future studies to investigate whether these genes play a role in the transcriptional dysregulation induced by intrinsic ECTV infection could be important because, in other models, it has been shown that Inf cells’ transcriptional changes can affect viral replication and disease.\textsuperscript{10,24–26} Notably, our data also indicate that many of the transcriptional changes observed in Inf iMOs were partly dependent on IFNAR, indicating that IFN-I contributes to the transcriptional profile of Inf cells.

Very few Inf versus naive or Bys cell DEGs were associated with cellular pathways curated in public databases. This suggests that the pathways activated by intrinsic viral infection are not well studied. Our data contribute to filling this knowledge gap and can be used as a reference for transcriptional signatures of immune cells infected with poxviruses in vivo.

The responses of Bys cells were very different from those of their Inf counterparts. Bys iMOs and B cells were enriched in transcripts typical of their cell types and upregulated mRNAs for molecules required to present antigen. IFNAR and IFNGR non-overlappingly contributed to the transcription of genes important for MHC class I, but not for MHC class II, antigen presentation. IFNGR deficiency decreased the upregulation of genes involved in antigen processing and peptide transport. IFNAR deficiency resulted in inefficient upregulation of transcripts for b2m, which is a critical component of classical and non-classical MHC class I molecules. Yet, IFNGR or IFNAR deficiency did not fully abrogate the upregulation of any of these transcripts, suggesting that IFN-I and IFN-\(\gamma\) have overlapping effects or that IFN acts in concert with other cytokines or signaling pathways to boost antigen presentation. Most importantly, the data suggest that Bys cells are primed to rapidly present antigens if they become infected. On the other hand, Inf iMOs and B cells transcribed little or no transcripts for antigen-presentation genes, suggesting a shutdown in antigen processing and presentation, a short time window for antigen presentation after intrinsic infection, and a transition to increased vulnerability to NK cell killing.

Our data also show that intrinsic IFNAR and IFNGR regulate ISG transcription non-redundantly. Out of all the ISGs upregulated in Bys iMOs, \(\sim\)36% were dependent on IFNAR, \(\sim\)25% on IFNGR, \(\sim\)28% were upregulated redundantly or independent of IFN, and 10.8% required both IFNAR and IFNGR.

We have already defined many of the mechanisms involved in IFN-I induction following in vivo ECTV infection. Efficient transcription of all IFN-I subtypes requires the pathogen recognition receptor cGAS,\textsuperscript{15} which produces cGAMP to activate STING. Downstream, the efficient transcription of IFN-\(\beta\) and IFN-\(\gamma\) requires NF-\(\kappa\)B or IRF7, respectively.\textsuperscript{15} Unlike in Bys WT iMOs, Cgas and Irf7 were not upregulated in Ifnar\(^{-/-}\) Bys iMOs. Thus, their upregulation requires IFN-I. These data suggest that the first set of Inf cells produce low levels of IFN-\(\beta\) in an IFN-\(\kappa\)B-dependent manner. This initial IFN-\(\beta\) likely primes Bys iMOs to produce all IFN-I subtypes more efficiently as they become infected. Mechanistically, this model fits the high susceptibility to ECTV observed in Nfkbia\(^{-/-}\), Cgas\(^{-/-}\), and Irf7\(^{-/-}\)-deficient mice\textsuperscript{15,16} and the observation that ECTV-infected Ifnar\(^{-/-}\) mice can still transcribe IFN-I genes.\textsuperscript{16}

Remarkably, IFN-I, but not IFN-\(\gamma\), was indispensable for Trn9 transcription, whereas Myd88 and Tmem173 transcription was IFN independent or IFN redundant. In addition, Bys cells increased transcripts that define the MO/macrophage lineage in an IFNAR-dependent, but IFNGR-independent, manner. Thus, IFN-I primes many of the anti-viral functions in Bys iMOs.

Notably, Inf and Bys iMOs transcribed large numbers of cytokines. Inf iMOs transcribed IFN-I (Ifnb1 and multiple Ifna) and 15 additional cytokines, while Bys iMOs only minimally upregulated IFN-I but upregulated transcripts for 18 cytokines. Only six cytokines were shared by Inf and Bys iMOs. Thus, Inf and Bys iMOs, but not B cells, seem to have mostly non-redundant and complementary roles in shaping the cytokine milieu of the dLNs. These data suggest that resistance to viral infection may require an ideal ratio of Bys/Inf iMOs to shape the early immune response. In support, we have previously found that the frequencies of Inf iMOs are increased in the dLNs of mousepox-susceptible mice such as Cgas\(^{-/-}\) and aged mice.\textsuperscript{27} Of the 16 cytokines transcribed by Inf iMOs, five were IFNAR dependent, and none were IFNGR dependent. Of the 18 cytokines expressed by Bys iMOs, six required IFNAR, and two required IFNGR. Therefore, IFN-I, to a great extent, and IFN-\(\gamma\), to a lesser extent, partially, but not fully, control the transcription of cytokines in iMOs.

The observation that Ifnar\(^{-/-}\) naive iMOs downregulate Irf7, Ir9, Siglec1, and other immune-related genes agrees with previous observations that IFN-I induced by microbiota at steady state poise conventional dendritic cells for IFN-I production.\textsuperscript{28} Hence, our studies expand the notion that IFN-I primes myeloid cells at a steady state to rapidly respond to infection.

Many ISGs are known for their direct antiviral functions.\textsuperscript{15} However, the frequencies of total viral transcripts in WT, Ifnar\(^{-/-}\), or Ifngr\(^{-/-}\) Inf iMOs did not differ. We recently reported similar results for WT and Ifnar\(^{-/-}\) iMOs using qPCR and ECTV plaque assay.\textsuperscript{17} Hence, at least for ECTV, the impact of IFN on Inf cell transcription primarily targets the regulation of the immune response rather than the reduction of viral transcripts.

Our work collectively demonstrates that in the dLNs of an Inf animal whose immune system efficiently controls a viral disease, intrinsic and bystander infection differentially control the transcription of genes important for immune cell function and, perhaps, cell identity. Our data indicate that Bys and Inf iMOs non-redundantly contribute to the cytokine milieu and the IFN response. Furthermore, our work uncovered how IFN-I or
IFN-γ signaling differentially regulate immune pathways in Inf and Bys iMOs and shows that, at a steady state in vivo, IFN-I primes iMOs for rapid IFN-I production and antigen presentation after infection.

Limitations of the study
A caveat to our BMC experimental setup is that Ifnar1−/− and Ifngr−/− iMOs could not be separated from the ~5% residual, WT-host-derived iMOs. Thus, minor changes between WT and mutant iMOs could have been overlooked.
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal antibody BV785 anti-mouse CD45.1, clone A20</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 110743, RRID:AB_2563379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal antibody BUV395 anti-mouse CD11b, clone M1/70</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>Cat# 565976, RRID:AB_2721166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal antibody PE anti-mouse Ly6C, clone HK1.4</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 128008, RRID:AB_1186132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal antibody Pacific Blue anti-mouse Ly6C/Ly6G (Gr-1), clone RB6-8C5</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 108430, RRID:AB_893556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal antibody BV785 anti-mouse CD19, clone 6D5</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat#101302; RRID:AB_312801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal antibody anti-mouse CD16/CD32, clone 93</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat#101302; RRID:AB_312801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial and virus strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECTV-GFP</td>
<td>Fang et al. 29</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM media</td>
<td>CORNING</td>
<td>10-013-CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPMI media</td>
<td>CORNING</td>
<td>10-040-CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin Streptomycin Solution, 100x</td>
<td>CORNING</td>
<td>30-002-CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Bovine Serum, Heat Inactivated</td>
<td>Seradigm</td>
<td>1500–500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlutaMAX 100x</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
<td>35,050–061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES 1M</td>
<td>CORNING</td>
<td>25-060-CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberase&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>05,401 119,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical commercial assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse Ovation SoLo RNA-Seq Systems</td>
<td>NuGEN</td>
<td>0501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA Clean and Concentrator&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt;-5</td>
<td>Zymo Research</td>
<td>R1014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental models: Cell lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey C. aethiops epithelial kidney BS-C-1 cells</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>CCL-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental models: Organisms/strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6NCrl</td>
<td>Charles River</td>
<td>027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: B6.SJL-&lt;sup&gt;&lt;/sup&gt;Ptprc&lt;sup&gt;Pepc&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;/BoyCrl</td>
<td>Charles River</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6 Ifnar1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; mice</td>
<td>Thomas Moran (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: B6.129S7-Ifng&lt;sup&gt;frt1&lt;/sup&gt;l&lt;sup&gt;fl1Ad&lt;/sup&gt;/J</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>JAX: 003,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kallisto</td>
<td>Bray et al. 30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleuth</td>
<td>Pimentel et al. 31</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRB-ArrayTools</td>
<td>Simon et al. 32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jvenn</td>
<td>Bardou et al. 33</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactome Pathways Database</td>
<td>Fabregat et al. 34</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSEA</td>
<td>Mootha et al. 35, Subramanian et al. 36</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolcaNoseR</td>
<td>Goedhart et al. 37</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prism 8 Software</td>
<td>GraphPad Software</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlowJo&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; version 10</td>
<td>Treestar</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACSAria&lt;sup&gt;TM&lt;/sup&gt; II sorter</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw and processed mRNA-Seq data</td>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>GSE215747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to Luis J. Sigal (Luis.Sigal@jefferson.edu) or Carolina Melo-Silva (Carolina.Rezende.Melo.da.Silva@jefferson.edu).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
- Raw and processed mRNA-Seq data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under series entry GSE215747.
- This paper does not report original code;
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

All mice used for viral infection were 8–12 weeks old or 16 to 18 weeks old for BMC. To make BMC, we used 4 to 6 weeks old females. We used only females because in our experience, male BMC fight and usually kill each other or get bad fighting wounds. C57BL/6NCrl (B6) and B6.SJL-Ptprc<sup>Pepc</sup>^Boy/Crl (CD45.1) mice were purchased from Charles River directly for experiments or as breeders. Ifnar1^−/− mice backcrossed to B6 were gifts from Dr. Thomas Moran (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY). B6.129S7-Ifngr1<sup>tm1Agt</sup>/J (Ifngr^−/−) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Colonies were bred at Thomas Jefferson University under specific pathogen-free conditions. Mice were group housed on individually ventilated cages and fed on 5010 LabDiet. All mice procedures were carried out according to the Eighth Edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Research Council of the National Academies. All experiments were approved by Thomas Jefferson University’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee under protocol number 01727, “Innate Control of Viral Infections.” Library preparation from a few cells/single cell protocol was selected to reduce the number of animals required for each experiment in order to adhere to ARRIVE guidelines in the reduction category.

METHOD DETAILS

Viruses and infection
ECTV-GFP<sup>29</sup> was propagated in tissue culture, as previously described.<sup>7</sup> Briefly, BS-C-1 cells grown in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 IU penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 1x GlutaMAX, and 10mM HEPES to confluency were Inf at MOI 0.01, and viruses were harvested 4 to 5 days later. Cells were rinsed with phosphate-buffered solution (PBS), scraped, and concentrated by centrifugation. ECTV was released by multiple freezing and thawing cycles. Virus stocks were sonicated and titrated by plaque assay in BS-C-1 cells. Mice were infected subcutaneously in both rear footpads with 3000 plaque-forming units (PFU) per footpad of ECTV-GFP.

Bone marrow chimeras (BMC)
4- to 6-week-old mice previously treated for three days with acidified water (pH 2.5) were irradiated with 9 Gy using a GammaCell 40 apparatus (Nordion Inc.). Bone marrow cells were isolated in RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. Red blood cells were lysed with 0.84% NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and cells were filtered and counted. Irradiated mice were reconstituted intravenously with 10 million bone marrow cells from donors. BMC animals were given acidified water for four weeks and rested eight weeks after reconstitution.

Flow cytometry and sorting
Groups of 5 B6 mice or 8–10 BMC were Inf with 3000 pfu of ECTV-GFP in the footpad. At three dpi, bystander (Bys, GFP<sup>−</sup>) and infected (Inf, GFP<sup>+</sup>) B-cells and iMO were sorted from the popliteal dLNs. Sorted samples correspond to a pool of 10 popliteal dLN from 5 B6 infected mice or 16–20 popliteal dLN from 8 to 10 BMC or one naive spleen or LN. dLNs were treated with Liberase TM (1.67 Wünsch units/mL) for 30 min in PBS supplemented with 10mM HEPES. Single-cell suspensions were passed through a 70μm strainer, and repetitive washes with PBS supplemented with 2% BSA and 10mM HEPES. Spleen from one naive mouse was used for sorting naive iMO. Spleens were smashed with frosted slides, and cells were washed with RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. Red blood cells were lysed with 0.84% NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. Cells were treated with anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (0.25μL per million cells) for 15 min at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 2% BSA and 1mM EDTA and subsequently stained with surface antibodies for 20 min at 4°C. Cells were washed and sorted with a FACSARia II sorter in PBS supplemented with 1% BSA, 25mM HEPES, and 1mM EDTA. Sorting data were analyzed with FlowJo version 10.
RNA and library preparation and RNA sequencing

B-cells and iMO were sorted directly into Trizol. Total RNA was purified with the RNA Clean and Concentrator with DNase I treatment (Zymo Research), and RNA was eluted in 10μL. RNA purification was quantified by Qubit, and quality control was performed by RIN analysis (High Sensitivity RNA Screen Tape – Agilent) by the SKKC Metaomics Facility at Thomas Jefferson University. 1ng or 100pg of total RNA was used for library preparation with the mouse Ovation SoLo RNA-Seq Systems, including DNaseI and Insert-Dependent Adaptor Cleavage (InDA-C) treatments for DNA and rRNA depletion. Final libraries were run on Hiseq Illumina PE150 by Novogene. For B cell and iMO sorted from B6-Inf animals, three biological replicates of each population (Bys, Inf, and Naive) were sequenced, except for B cell Inf for which only two biological replicates were sequenced. For WT, Ifnar1−/− and Ifngr−/− iMO sorted from Inf BMCs, four biological replicates were sequenced for Bys and Inf WT iMO, and two biological replicates were sequenced for each Bys and Inf knockout iMO.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

High-throughput sequencing reads were analyzed pseudo alignment with Mus Musculus GRCm38.p6 and ECTV Moscow GCA_000841905.1 genomes using Kallisto (v0.46.1).30 Transcript abundance was normalized to transcript per million, and differential expression (DE) was calculated using the Sleuth R package (v0.30.0).31 *pval <0.05, **pval <0.01, ***pval <0.001 and ****pval <0.0001. DEG was defined as those presenting at least a 2-fold change and p value (pval) < 0.01. PCA based on ED was generated with the R package version 4.1.2. DEG volcano plots (2-fold change and p < 0.01, unless indicated otherwise) were generated with the VolcaNoseR online tool.37 Clustering heatmap of correlations of centered and scaled transcript values and class comparison prediction analysis were generated in Biometric Research Program BRB-ArrayTools (2-fold change, p < 0.01, ≥ 10 transcripts per million in at least one experimental group).32 Venn diagrams of DEG were generated using the JVenn plug-in.33 GO analyses were performed on DEG with a p < 0.05 filter on selected pathways of the RPD.35 GSEA analysis was performed using C8 (cell type signature gene sets) and H (hallmark gene sets) collections [http://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/collections.jsp], in which Sigal2Noise or diff_of_classes analysis were employed for ranking genes in phenotype analysis for 1000 iterations.35,36 Result analysis includes gene sets enriched (adjusted enrichment score – NES) at nominal pval <5% and false discovery rate (qval FDR) preferably below 0.25. ISG list was compiled from genes described in the literature in mouse and human cell studies (Table S2-7).14,19,38 TF list of experimentally verified TF was derived from the Cancer Genome Anatomy Project [http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/Pathways]. The iMO-specific class prediction gene list was derived from Table S1-9. Data were analyzed with Prism 8 Software and bar graphs show mean ± SEM.