

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

10-18-2021

Spinal Cord Signal Change on Magnetic Resonance Imaging May Predict Worse Clinical In- and Outpatient Outcomes in Patients with Spinal Cord Injury: A Prospective Multicenter Study in 459 Patients.

Thorsten Jentzsch

Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5T 2S8, Canada; Division of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M5G 2C4, Canada; Department of Orthopedics, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland

David W Cadotte

Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary Combined Spine Program, Hotchkiss, Brain Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.jeffersor.edu/orr.hot

Cffere of Revision pedics Commons, and the Surgery Commons Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5T-2S8, Canada; Division of Neurosurgery, St. Michael's Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M5T-2S8, Canada

Ean Jiang Becommended Citation Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5T 2S8, Canada; University of Neurosurgery, Horonto Western Hospital, University Heatth Network, Toronto, ON M55 2C4, Muhammad A; Rocos, Brett; Grossman, Robert G; Aarabi, Bizhan; Harrop, James; and Fehlings, Michael G, Spinal Cord Signal Change on Magnetic Resonance Imaging May Predict Worse Clinical In- and Outpatient Support in Patients with Spinal Cord Injury: A Prospective Multicenter Study in 459 Patients." (20081anDannetmeetinge Ar, to epandie Australia Surface, lun Repairs Bapero has, Toronto, ON M5T 258, Canada; Dithsiond find find for the find western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M5G 2C4, Canada

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a service of Jefferson Journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Thorsten Jentzsch, David W Cadotte, Jefferson R Wilson, Fan Jiang, Jetan H Badhiwala, Muhammad A Akbar, Brett Rocos, Robert G Grossman, Bizhan Aarabi, James Harrop, and Michael G Fehlings



Article

Spinal Cord Signal Change on Magnetic Resonance Imaging May Predict Worse Clinical In- and Outpatient Outcomes in Patients with Spinal Cord Injury: A Prospective Multicenter Study in 459 Patients

Thorsten Jentzsch ^{1,2,3}, David W. Cadotte ⁴, Jefferson R. Wilson ^{1,5}, Fan Jiang ^{1,2}, Jetan H. Badhiwala ^{1,2}, Muhammad A. Akbar ^{1,2}, Brett Rocos ^{1,2}, Robert G. Grossman ⁶, Bizhan Aarabi ⁷, James S. Harrop ⁸ and Michael G. Fehlings ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5T 2S8, Canada; thorsten.jentzsch@gmail.com (T.J.); jefferson.wilson@unityhealth.to (J.R.W.); fan.jiang@uhn.ca (F.J.); jetan.badhiwala@mail.utoronto.ca (J.H.B.); muhammad.akbar@mail.utoronto.ca (M.A.A.); brett.rocos@sickkids.ca (B.R.)
- ² Division of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M5G 2C4, Canada
 ³ Department of Orthogoaling Releving University Heapital University of Zurich 8008 Zurich
 - Department of Orthopedics, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁴ Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary Combined Spine Program, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada; david.cadotte@ucalgary.ca
 - Division of Neurosurgery, St. Michael's Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M5T 2S8, Canada
- ⁶ Department of Neurosurgery, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX 77030, USA; RGrossman@houstonmethodist.org
 ⁷ Department of Neurosurgery, University of Maguland Medical Contex and P. Adams C.
 - ⁷ Department of Neurosurgery, University of Maryland Medical Center and R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA; BAarabi@som.umaryland.edu
- ⁸ Departments of Neurological Surgery and Orthopedic Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA; james.harrop@jefferson.edu
- * Correspondence: michael.fehlings@uhn.ca; Tel.: +1-(416)-603-5801

Abstract: Prognostic factors for clinical outcome after spinal cord (SC) injury (SCI) are limited but important in patient management and education. There is a lack of evidence regarding magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and clinical outcomes in SCI patients. Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether baseline MRI features predicted the clinical course of the disease. This study is an ancillary to the prospective North American Clinical Trials Network (NACTN) registry. Patients were enrolled from 2005–2017. MRI within 72 h of injury and a minimum follow-up of one year were available for 459 patients. Patients with American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale (AIS) E were excluded. Patients were grouped into those with (n = 354) versus without (n = 105) SC signal change on MRI T2-weighted images. Logistic regression analysis adjusted for commonly known a priori confounders (age and baseline AIS). Main outcomes and measures: The primary outcome was any adverse event. Secondary outcomes were AIS at the baseline and final follow-up, length of hospital stay (LOS), and mortality. A regression model adjusted for age and baseline AIS. Patients with intrinsic SC signal change were younger (46.0 (interquartile range (IQR) 29.0 vs. 50.0 (IQR 20.5) years, p = 0.039). There were no significant differences in the other baseline variables, gender, body mass index, comorbidities, and injury location. There were more adverse events in patients with SC signal change (230 (65.0%) vs. 47 (44.8%), *p* < 0.001; odds ratio (OR) = 2.09 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.31–3.35), p = 0.002). The most common adverse event was cardiopulmonary (186 (40.5%)). Patients were less likely to be in the AIS D category with SC signal change at baseline (OR = 0.45(95% CI 0.28–0.72), p = 0.001) and in the AIS D or E category at the final follow-up (OR = 0.36 (95% CI 0.16-0.82), p = 0.015). The length of stay was longer in patients with SC signal change (13.0 (IQR 17.0)) vs. 11.0 (IQR 14.0), p = 0.049). There was no difference between the groups in mortality (11 (3.2%) vs. 4 (3.9%)). MRI SC signal change may predict adverse events and overall LOS in the SCI population. If present, patients are more likely to have a worse baseline clinical presentation (i.e., AIS) and in- or



Citation: Jentzsch, T.; Cadotte, D.W.; Wilson, J.R.; Jiang, F.; Badhiwala, J.H.; Akbar, M.A.; Rocos, B.; Grossman, R.G.; Aarabi, B.; Harrop, J.S.; et al. Spinal Cord Signal Change on Magnetic Resonance Imaging May Predict Worse Clinical In- and Outpatient Outcomes in Patients with Spinal Cord Injury: A Prospective Multicenter Study in 459 Patients. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 4778. https:// doi.org/10.3390/jcm10204778

Academic Editors: Allan R. Martin, Aria Nouri and Maria A. Poca

Received: 15 July 2021 Accepted: 4 October 2021 Published: 18 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



outpatient clinical outcome after one year. Patients with SC signal change may benefit from earlier, more aggressive treatment strategies and need to be educated about an unfavorable prognosis.

Keywords: spinal cord injuries; magnetic resonance imaging; MRI; neurology; paralysis; walking; outcome

Key Points

1. This is a report on a prospective registry with an exceptionally large sample size of 459 traumatic spinal cord injury patients and a long follow-up for trauma populations;

2. Spinal cord (SC) signal change on initial magnetic resonance imaging may be an independent predictor of adverse events after controlling for age and baseline neurological impairment (odds ratio of 2.09 for adverse events; odds ratios of 0.45 and 0.36 for ambulation at baseline and final follow-up, respectively);

3. Patients with SC signal change may benefit from earlier, more aggressive treatment strategies and need to be educated about an unfavorable prognosis.

1. Introduction

Spinal cord (SC) injury (SCI) is a devastating event and risk factors for the clinical outcome play an important role in patient management and education. The prevalence of traumatic SCI ranges from 236–1009 per million [1], but is likely underestimated due to a high mortality rate at the time of injury and limited diagnosis. One of the highest incidences of SCI is found in the United States, with 54 cases per million per year [2], while low rates have been reported for Spain with 8 cases per million population [3]. The levels of injury vary and incomplete tetraplegia (34%) is more common than complete paraplegia (25%), complete tetraplegia (22%), and incomplete paraplegia (17%) [4].

Physicians struggle with providing optimal care, defining the resources they need, and explaining the prognosis due to limited available data. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a powerful imaging modality, there has been a lack of evidence regarding prospective MRI assessment and potential clinical outcomes [5–18]. A limited number of studies have reported on the association between SC intraparenchymal signal change and the clinical outcome [5–8,11,13–19], but these studies have been limited by small sample sizes with heterogeneous populations. Further, these reports also lacked long-term follow-up [5,10], inclusion of injuries to the entire spine [6], patients with an ossified posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) [7,8,14], SCI without radiographic abnormality (SCIWORA) [11], upper extremity impairment [16], and postoperative imaging assessment [19].

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate whether baseline MRI features predicted the clinical course of the disease. To definitively understand this relationship, a large, prospective patient cohort with SCI was examined. Based on preliminary understandings of SCI and radiological findings, it was hypothesized that MRI-assessed SC signal change at baseline would be a predictor for increased in- and outpatient adverse events and worse functional outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a study based on data from the prospective North American Clinical Trials Network (NACTN) registry [20,21], with prospective collection of imaging data and pre-determined clinical endpoints after ethical approval (CAPCR-ID: 05-0626) and with informed consent. NACTN, established in 2004, is a consortium of international neurosurgical institutions. The database is registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (accessed on 13 October 2021) [22]. NACTN's goals are to organize a multicenter network and provide a large database with which to study and improve the course of disease and adverse events of SCI. Patients were enrolled into the NACTN database from June 2005 to March 2017. Neurologically intact patients and those with American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale (AIS) E were excluded. Patients were included if MRI was performed within 72 h of the SCI and a minimum clinical follow-up evaluation at one year was available, of which 459 patients met these criteria. Patients were grouped into those with (n = 354) versus (vs.) without (n = 105) SC signal change detected on their MRI immediately after injury. MRI SC signal change was defined as sagittal and/or axial T2-weighted signal change, read by trained radiologists and entered into the database by each participating site [23]. The MRI scanner type and field strength varied depending on the institution. SC signal change was chosen as it is thought to represent injury to the SC, which likely has implications for clinical function.

The primary outcome was the presence of one or more adverse events. The definition of an adverse event was that offered by Jiang et al. [24], including all adverse events recorded by the participating centers consisting of cardiopulmonary, pulmonary embolus, deep vein thrombosis, gastrointestinal and genitourinary, hematologic, skin, systemic infection, urinary tract infection, wound infection, neurological deterioration, hardware failure, and other (unspecified) adverse events.

The secondary outcome measures were: baseline and final AIS at the last follow-up [4], length of hospital stay, and mortality.

An extensive literature search was also undertaken for previous literature on prospective studies about acute SCI, MRI, and complications (Table 1. PubMed.gov was searched with the terms "prospective, acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, complications".

Exclusion Criterion	Studies (<i>n</i>)
No acute SCI	9 [25–33]
No MRI of the spine	6 [34–39]
Experimental study	4 [40-43]
Case report	2 [44,45]
Focus on brain injury	2 [46,47]
Heterogenous study population (not exclusively SCI patients)	1 [48]
Metastatic SC compression	1 [49]
Neurological condition (amyotrophic lateral sclerosis)	1 [50]
No association between imaging and clinical outcome	1 [51]

Table 1. Excluded studies of previous literature on prospective studies about acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, and complications (n = 41) [25–51].

Note: PubMed.gov (accessed on 31 August 2020) search with the terms "prospective, acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, complications".

Data are given in absolute numbers with percentages (%) and medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs). For univariate analysis, the Wilcoxon rank sum and chi-squared tests were used. For multivariate analysis, logistic regression models were chosen due to the categorical nature of the data. AIS was categorized into AIS D (ambulatory) vs. AIS A-C (non-ambulatory). The analysis adjusted for commonly known a priori confounders (instead of a preliminary analysis for predictor identification), age, and baseline AIS, and included 435 patients due to missing data in the age category (n = 24). Surgery was not included in the analysis since this would have reduced the patient number even further. Of note, even when including this variable, the results did not change substantially. The performance of the model was acceptable according to the goodness-of-fit test by Hosmer-Lemeshow. We also calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), likelihood ratios (LRs) and area under the curve. *p*-values < 5% were considered significant. In a post hoc power calculation, the power was 96.0% (considering the adverse events in each SC signal change group (65.0% (n = 354) vs. 44.8% (n = 105)) and an alpha of 5.0%). Analyses were carried out using Stata (version IC 13.1; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

3. Results

SCI traumatic patients with MRI SC signal change were younger (46.0 (interquartile range (IQR)) 29.0 vs. 50.0 (IQR 20.5) years, p = 0.039). There were no differences in the other baseline variables, i.e., gender (females: 65 (19.1%) vs. 21 (20.0%), p = 0.831), body mass index (25.9 (IQR 5.9) vs. 25.8 (7.0), p = 0.708), smoking (95 (27.8%) vs. 20 (19.6%), p = 0.098), comorbidities (138 (40.1%) vs. 42 (40.0%), p = 0.983), mechanism of injury (fall: 132 (39.1%) vs. 49 (49.5%), p = 0.116), and injury location (cervical: 273 (78.5%) vs. 88 (84.6%), p = 0.388) (Table 2).

Table 2. Baseline data for spinal cord injury patients stratified by radiographic spinal cord signal change (n = 459).

	Spinal Cord	T2 Signal Cha	nge		
	Yes (<i>n</i> = 355)		No (<i>n</i> = 105)		
Variable	Median	(IQR)	Median	(IQR)	<i>p</i> -Value *
Age (<i>n</i> = 435)	46.0	(29.0)	50.0	(20.5)	0.039
Gender (n = 446), <i>n</i> (%)					0.831
Female	65	(19.1)	21	(20.0)	
Male	277	(81.0)	84	(80.0)	
BMI (<i>n</i> = 422)	25.9	(5.9)	25.8	(7.0)	0.708
Smoker (<i>n</i> = 444), <i>n</i> (%)	95	(27.8)	20	(19.6)	0.098
Comorbidities (<i>n</i> = 449), <i>n</i> (%)	138	(40.1)	42	(40.0)	0.983
Mechanism of injury ($n = 437$), n (%)					0.116
Fall	132	(39.1)	49	(49.5)	
Motor vehicle accident	154	(45.4)	34	(34.3)	
Sports	32	(9.5)	7	(7.1)	
Other (assault, blast)	20	(5.9)	9	(9.1)	
Injury location ($n = 452$), n (%)					0.169
Cervical	273	(78.5)	88	(84.6)	
Thoracic and lumbosacral conus	75	(21.5)	16	(15.4)	

* Wilcoxon rank sum or chi-squared test. Abbreviations: n (absolute number); IQR (interquartile range); % (percent); BMI (body mass index).

Adverse events were observed in 277 (60.4%) patients. The most common adverse event was cardiopulmonary (186 (40.5%)). There were more adverse events in patients with SC signal change (230 (65.0%) vs. 47 (44.8%), p < 0.001) (Table 3). These differences remained significant in a logistic regression model (odds ratio (OR) = 2.09 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.32–3.35), p = 0.002), indicating that patients with SC signal change were 109% more likely to develop an adverse event than patients without SC signal change, when controlling for other factors (Table 4). The sensitivity of the SC signal change for adverse events was 83.0% and the specificity was 31.9%. The PPV was 64.9% and the NPV was 55.2%. The positive LR was 1.22 (95% CI 1.09–1.36) and the negative LR was 0.53 (0.38–0.75). The area under the curve was 0.57 (95% CI 0.53–0.62).

Patients with SC signal change at baseline had significantly worse neurologic injuries (OR = 0.45 (95% CI 0.28–0.72), p = 0.001) and final follow-ups (OR = 0.36 (95% CI 0.16–0.82), p = 0.015) when adjusting for age (OR = 1.00 (95% CI 0.99–1.02), p = 0.379 and OR = 1.03 (95% CI 1.01–1.05), p < 0.001, respectively). This indicated that patients with SC signal change were 55% and 64% less likely to be in the AIS D category at baseline and AIS D or E category at final follow-up after one year, respectively, than patients without SC signal change.

		Spinal Cord					
		Yes $(n = 354)$)	No (<i>n</i> = 105)			
Variable		Median	(IQR)	Median	(IQR)	<i>p</i> -Value *	
Adverse events, n (%) ⁺		230	(65.0)	47	(44.8)	< 0.001	
	Cardiopulmonary	157	(68.3)	29	(61.7)	0.383	
	Pulmonary embolus	12	(5.2)	2	(4.3)	0.784	
	DVT	16	(7.0)	2	(4.3)	0.494	
	Systemic	11	(4.8)	5	(10.6)	0.117	
	ÚTI	45	(19.6)	7	(14.9)	0.455	
	GI and GU	28	(12.2)	5	(10.6)	0.767	
	Wound infection	5	(2.2)	3	(6.4)	0.116	
	Hematology	78	(33.9)	16	(34.0)	0.986	
	Skin	35	(15.2)	6	(12.7)	0.666	
	Neurological	60	(26.1)	12	(25.5)	0.937	
	Hardware failure	3	(1.3)	3	(1.3)	0.431	
	Other (unspecified)	143	(62.2)	22	(46.8)	0.050	
AIS D	-						
	Baseline	175	(49.7)	72	(68.6)	0.001	
AIS D or E							
	Follow-up	288	(81.1)	97	(92.4)	0.006	
Length of stay (n	= 442)	13.0	(17.0)	11.0	(14.0)	0.049	
Death $(n = 443), n$ (%)		11	(3.2)	4	(3.9)	0.767	

Table 3. Clinical outcome data for spinal cord injury patients stratified by radiographic spinal cord signal change (*n* = 459).

* Wilcoxon rank sum or chi-squared test; [†] It was possible that patients experienced more than one adverse event, and other (unspecified) refers to adverse events that were not further specified. Abbreviations: *n* (absolute number); IQR (interquartile range); % (percent); DVT (deep vein thrombosis); UTI (urinary tract infection); GI (gastrointestinal); GU (genitourinary), AIS (American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale).

Table 4. Logistic regression model for adverse events in spinal cord injury patients (n = 435).

Variable	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value *
Spinal cord T2 signal change	2.09	(1.31-3.35)	0.002
Age	1.00	(0.99 - 1.01)	0.598
AIS D at baseline	0.36	(0.24–0.55)	< 0.001

* Wald test. Note: This logistic regression model included all shown variables (adverse events, spinal cord T2 signal change, age, and AIS D at baseline) (pseudo R2 = 0.066). The analysis adjusted for commonly known a priori confounders (instead of a preliminary analysis for predictor identification), age, and AIS D at baseline. Age and AIS D were chosen as they are known to influence the outcome (e.g., younger patients and AIS D (i.e., ambulatory) patients are less likely to have an unfavorable outcome after spinal cord injury compared to elderly patients and AIS A-C (non-ambulatory) patients) [52,53]. Importantly, the other potential predictors (Table 2) did not show any statistical associations in the univariate analysis, confirming our choice of a priori confounders. Abbreviations: OR (odds ratio); % (percent); CI (confidence interval); AIS (American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale).

The length of stay was significantly longer in patients with SC signal change (13 (IQR 17.0) vs. 11 (IQR 14.0), p = 0.049). There was no difference in mortality (11 (3.2%) vs. 4 (3.9%), p = 0.767) (Table 2).

The results of the literature search on prospective studies about acute SCI, MRI, and complications are shown in Table 5 and Table S1 in Supplementary Material.

Study	A with or (c)	Detionte (n)	A.*	Main Finding	Age		T • • • •
Number	Author(s)	s) Fatients (ii) Aim Main Finding		Main Finding	Mean	(SD)	Limitation
1	Rutges et al. [5]	19	Change during first three postoperative weeks	 SC edema length increased within first 48 h, but decreased thereafter Hematoma in all AIS-A and B patients 	57.2	(15.1)	Short-term follow-up (three weeks)
2	Martínez-Pérez et al. [6]	86	Radiologic findings for neurologic prognosis	Edema > 36 mm and facet dislocation predicted worse neurological outcome	47.6	na	Limited patient number and cervical spine only
3	Gu et al. [7]	36	Outcome predictors in patients with OPLL	High-intensity zones (vs low-intensity zones) were associated with worse outcomes (mJOA improvement of 2.5 (SD 2.8) vs. 6.3 (1.5) points)	53.5	(13.3)	Limited to OPLL
4	Kwon et al. [8]	38	Outcome predictors in patients with OPLL	Higher intramedullary signal intensity grade and space available for cord were associated with worse outcomes	62.7	na	Limited to OPLL
5	Freund et al. [9]	13 (18 controls)	Neuronal degeneration above the lesion level	 Rapid decline in cross-sectional spinal cord area Decreased cross-sectional SC loss was associated with improved SCIM scores 	46.9	(20.2)	Limited patient number
6	Maeda et al. [10]	88	Extraneural soft-tissue damage and clinical relevance in patients without bone injury	Association between anterior longitudinal disruption, disc damage, and prevertebral hyperintensity with AIS motor score	64	na	Short-follow-up (mean six months (range of one to seven months))
7	Machino et al. [11]	100	Occurrence rate of ISI and PVH in patients with cervical SCIWORA	 ISI and PVH in 92% and 90%, respectively ISI and PVH in 100% each in AIS A and B patients. Negative correlation between ISI and preoperative JOA score and recovery rate of JOA score 	55	na	Limited to SCIWORA

Table 5. Previous literature on prospective studies about acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, and adverse events (*n* = 41) [5–18].

Study							— Limitation
Number	Author(s)	Patients (n) Aim		Main Finding	Mean	(SD)	
8	Miyanji et al. [12]	100	MRI association with neurologic status	 MSCC and lesion length was associated with complete motor and sensory SCI Edema, hemorrhage, cord swelling, stenosis, and soft-tissue injury associated with complete SCI MSCC and MCC correlated with baseline AIS motor scores MSCC, cord swelling, and hemorrhage predictive of neurological outcome Cord swelling and hemorrhage correlated with AIS score after controlling for baseline neurologic assessment 	45	na	Limited number of patients
9	Boldin et al. [13]	29	Investigated spinal cord hemorrhage and length of hematoma as predictors of recovery	 Hemorrhage > 4 mm was associated with complete injury Edema and hematoma length were longer in AIS A patients 	43.5	18.1	Limited patient number
10	Koyanagi et al. [14]	28	Radiographic and clinical findings in patients with OPLL	 Intramedullary hyperintensity and paravertebral soft tissue injuries in all four patients with Frankel grades A and B, in 80% with Frankel C, and 56% in Frankel D Paravertebral soft tissue injuries were also associated with Frankel grades A–C 	63.0	na	Limited to OPLL
11	Takahashi et al. [15]	43	Investigated association between image findings and clinical outcome	 Baseline low-intensity T2 signal was associated with poor prognosis High-intensity signal after 2–3 weeks was associated with permanent paralysis 	63.4	na	Limited patient number

Table 5. Cont.

Study	Author(s)	Detion to (m)	A 1	Main Finding	Age		- Limitation
Number		Patients (n) Aim	Aim Main Finding		Mean	(SD)	
12	Ishida and Tominaga [16]	22	Evaluated MRI predictors for good neurologic recovery in patients with only upper extremity impairment	1. Absence of abnormal signal intensity was best predictor of neurologic recovery	45.9	na	Limited patient number
13	Koyanagi et al. [17]	42	MRI predictors of worse outcome in patients without fracture or dislocation	Intramedullary hyperintensity on T2-weighted images was associated with more severe neurological deficits	58.9	na	No results on association between MRI and clinical outcome
14	Shimada and Tokioka [18]	75	MRI findings and clinical outcomes	 T2-weighted images were associated with severity of spinal cord damage and clinical outcome Best time for imaging is at time of injury and two to three weeks later 	54.7	na	Limited patient number

Table 5. Cont.

Note 1: PubMed.gov (accessed on 31 August 2020) search with the terms "prospective, acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, adverse events"; Note 2: The variables used for MRI and clinical outcome evaluation are heterogenous. Previous studies most commonly described SC edema [5,6,13] ("signal intensity" [7,8,11,14–18]) in their MRI evaluation, but also hematoma [5,13], space available for cord [8], cross-sectional SC area [9], anterior longitudinal disruption [10], disc damage [10], prevertebral hyperintensity [10,11], maximum spinal cord compression [12], lesion length [12], cord swelling [12], stenosis [12], soft-tissue injury [12], and maximum canal compromise [12]. They often focused on the AIS grade [5,6,13,18] in their clinical evaluation, but also mentioned the mJOA [7] and JOA [11], AIS motor score [8,10,12,16], spinal cord independence measure [9], Frankel grade [14], incomplete and complete paralysis [15,17]. Abbreviations: *n* (absolute number); SD (standard deviation); SC (spinal cord); h (hours); AIS (American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale); mm (millimeters); na (not applicable); vs. (versus); OPLL (ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament); mJOA (modified Japanese Orthopedic Association); SCIM (spinal cord independence measure); ISI (increased signal intensity); PVH (prevertebral hyperintensity); SCIWORA (spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality); MRI (magnetic resonance imaging); MSCC (maximum spinal cord compression); MCC (maximum canal compromise).

4. Discussion

4.1. Main Findings

This ancillary study on the prospective NACTN registry [20] reviewed 459 patients with a relatively long follow-up in a trauma population of at least one year. The data showed that SC signal change on the initial MRI after traumatic SCI is an independent predictor of adverse events, as defined by Jiang et al. [24]. This factor remained significant even after controlling for age and baseline AIS impairment, and patients with SC signal change were 109% more likely to suffer an adverse event. SC signal change is consistent with significant neurologic impairment in that 55% were ambulatory at baseline and were 64% less likely to be ambulatory at the final follow-up. The length of stay in the hospital was also longer in patients with SC signal change, but there was no difference in mortality. The SC signal intensity appears to be a rapid and accurate method with which to assess the predicted outcome, tailor the treatment options (e.g., early surgery), and educate the patient, so as to potentially alter the actual outcome.

The initial mechanical force acting on the SC is the primary injury. These injuries are mostly due to impact with persistent compression (e.g., bone fracture fragments) but can also be due to impact with transient compression (e.g., hyperextension injury). These forces damage the SC pathways and blood vessels, which leads to secondary injuries by several mechanisms, such as vascular malfunctioning (acute phase), Wallerian degeneration (subacute phase), and glial scarring (chronic phase), as summarized by Alizadeh et al. [54]. In the authors' opinion, it is very important to obtain and carefully assess initial MRIs after traumatic SCI to make general predictions of the patient's immediate and long-term outcome.

4.2. Current Knowledge and Addition of Our Findings

The previous literature on the predictive nature of SC signal change for the clinical baseline and outcome in patients with SCI is sparse. A detailed literature search of SCI and MRI signal change was performed with a systematic review [5–18,25–51] (Tables 1 and 5). Fourteen studies met the inclusion criteria, as defined as being prospective and examining acute SCI, MRI, and adverse events [5–18]. Aside from the limited number of studies and their lack of controlling for confounders such as age [5–18], the available reports are limited by short-term follow-up (5, 10), a smaller sample size [9,12,13,15,17,18], cervical spine only [6], OPLL [7,8,14], SCIWORA [11], and upper extremity impairment [16].

Herein, the previous literature on associations between imaging findings and clinical outcomes is described. Rutges et al. [5] investigated the MRI signal change during the first three postoperative weeks (n = 19). They reported that the SC T2 signal increased within the first 48 h but decreased thereafter. Martínez-Pérez et al. [6] described the radiologic findings for the neurological prognosis (n = 86). They noted that a T2 signal > 36 millimeters (mm) and facet dislocation predicted a worse neurological outcome. Gu et al. [7] and Kwon et al. [8] studied radiological outcome predictors in SCI patients with ossified posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) (n = 36 and n = 38, respectively). The authors reported that high-intensity zones and a higher intramedullary signal intensity grade, as well as space available for the cord, were associated with worse outcomes. Freund et al. [9] investigated neuronal degeneration above the SCI lesion level (n = 13 and 18 controls). The authors found a rapid decline in cross-sectional spinal cord area and an association between decreased cross-sectional SC loss and improved SC independence. Maeda et al. [10] reported extraneural soft-tissue damage and clinical relevance in patients without bone injury (n =88). They showed an association between anterior longitudinal disruption, disc damage, and prevertebral hyperintensity with AIS motor scores. Machino et al. [11] reported the occurrence rate of increased signal intensity (ISI) and prevertebral hyperintensity (PVH) in patients with cervical SCI without radiographic abnormality (SCIWORA) (n = 100). They found that ISI and PVH were common (92% and 90%, respectively), particularly in AIS A-B patients (100% each), and noted a negative correlation between the ISI and preoperative Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) score as well as its recovery rate. Miyanji et al. [12]

studied MRI associations with the neurologic status (n = 100). These authors reported that the maximum SC compression and lesion length were associated with complete motor and sensory SCI. Further, they noted that edema, hemorrhage, cord swelling, stenosis, and soft-tissue injury were associated with complete SCI. Boldin et al. [13] investigated SCI hemorrhage and the length of hematoma as predictors of recovery (n = 29). They reported a hemorrhage >4 mm to be associated with complete injury. The edema and hematoma length were also longer in AIS A patients. Koyanagi et al. [14] investigated radiographic and clinical findings in patients with OPLL. Their results showed intramedullary hyperintensity and paravertebral soft tissue injuries in all four patients with Frankel grades A and B, in 80% with Frankel C, and 56% in Frankel D. Takahashi et al. [15] studied the association between image findings and clinical outcomes (n = 43). They reported that a baseline low-intensity T2 signal was associated with a poor prognosis. A high-intensity signal after two to three weeks was also associated with permanent paralysis. Ishida and Tominaga [16] evaluated MRI predictors for neurological recovery in patients with only upper-extremity impairment (n = 22). Their results showed that an absence of abnormal signal intensity was the best predictor of neurological recovery. Koyanagi et al. [17] reported on MRI predictors of the worse outcomes in patients without a fracture or dislocation (n = 42). Intramedullary hyperintensity on T2-weighted images was associated with more severe neurological deficits. Shimada and Tokioka [18] investigated MRI findings and clinical outcomes. Their results showed that T2-weighted images were associated with the severity of spinal cord damage and clinical outcome.

This study is limited by the inherent issues with registries and the heterogeneity of the SCI population. Since multiple institutions are involved, the exact timing of the MRI, the type and setting of the MRI scanner, and the availability and validity of data regarding the clinical assessments may vary. Future studies may add other potential predictor variables, such as corticosteroid use and comorbidities in their regression models. Although we controlled for age in the final regression model and there were no statistical differences in the mechanism of injury, the cohort with SC signal change was younger and included more motor vehicle accidents. Future studies should focus on this issue. Subsequent studies may also investigate different MRI findings, such as tissue bridges [55], the benefit of early surgical intervention in patients with SC signal change, and the use and prediction of subsequent MRIs and longer follow-ups. Another limitation is that many adverse events were not specified in detail, thus limiting further sub-analysis or assessment of the actual severity of adverse events. Future studies should focus on specifying adverse events in as much detail as possible. Furthermore, cervical SCI accounts for almost 80% of cases in this study, while the number of thoracic SCI cases was low. This constitutes a substantial limitation of this study, which analyzed the entire SCI spectrum, based on this composition. Future studies could opt to include more thoracic SCI cases.

5. Conclusions

MRI SC signal change may predict the clinical course of disease in patients after acute traumatic SCI. If signal change is present, patients are more likely to have a lower baseline clinical presentation as well as a decreased in- or outpatient clinical outcome after one year. Therefore, patients with SC signal change may benefit from earlier and more aggressive treatment strategies and need to be educated about an unfavorable prognosis.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/jcm10204778/s1, Table S1: Excluded studies of previous literature on prospective studies about acute spinal cord injury, magnetic resonance imaging, and complications (n = 41), References S1: Supplementary references.

Author Contributions: T.J. and M.G.F., idea, conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript; M.A.A. and B.R., acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, F.J., J.H.B., D.W.C., J.R.W., R.G.G., B.A. and J.S.H., acquisition of data. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: No specific funding supported this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board (CAPCR-ID: 05-0626 approved on 9 November 2005).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all individuals who participated in the study.

Data Availability Statement: All data is reported in this study.

Acknowledgments: The NACTN SCI Registry is supported by the US Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) under Contract No. W81XWH-16-C-0031 and earlier Department of Defense Awards. M.G.F. is supported by the Gerry and Tootsie Halbert Chair in Neural Repair and Regeneration and the DeZwirek Family Foundation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

References

- 1. Cripps, R.A.; Lee, B.; Wing, P.; Weerts, E.; Mackay, J.; Brown, D. A global map for traumatic spinal cord injury epidemiology: Towards a living data repository for injury prevention. *Spinal Cord* **2010**, *49*, 493–501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dixon, G.S.; Danesh, J.N.; Caradoc-Davies, T.H. Epidemiology of Spinal Cord Injury in New Zealand. *Neuroepidemiology* 1993, 12, 88–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Biering-Sørensen, F.; Pedersen, V.; Clausen, S. Epidemiology of spinal cord lesions in Denmark. Spinal Cord 1990, 28, 105–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kirshblum, S.C.; Burns, S.P.; Biering-Sørensen, F.; Donovan, W.; Graves, D.; Jha, A.; Johansen, M.; Jones, L.; Krassioukov, A.; Mulcahey, M.; et al. International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (Revised 2011). *J. Spinal Cord Med.* 2011, 34, 535–546. [CrossRef]
- 5. Rutges, J.P.H.J.; Kwon, B.K.; Heran, M.; Ailon, T.; Street, J.T.; Dvorak, M.F. A prospective serial MRI study following acute traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. *Eur. Spine J.* 2017, *26*, 2324–2332. [CrossRef]
- Martínez-Pérez, R.; Cepeda, S.; Paredes, I.; Alen, J.F.; Lagares, A. MRI Prognostication Factors in the Setting of Cervical Spinal Cord Injury Secondary to Trauma. *World Neurosurg.* 2017, 101, 623–632. [CrossRef]
- 7. Gu, J.; Guan, F.; Zhu, L.; Guan, G.; Chi, Z.; Li, W.; Yu, Z. Predictors of Surgical Outcome in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Patients with Cervical Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament. *World Neurosurg.* **2016**, *90*, 364–371. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kwon, S.Y.; Shin, J.J.; Lee, J.H.; Cho, W.H. Prognostic factors for surgical outcome in spinal cord injury associated with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL). J. Orthop. Surg. Res. 2015, 10, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Freund, P.; Weiskopf, N.; Ashburner, J.; Wolf, K.; Sutter, R.; Altmann, D.R.; Friston, K.; Thompson, A.; Curt, A. MRI investigation of the sensorimotor cortex and the corticospinal tract after acute spinal cord injury: A prospective longitudinal study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013, *12*, 873–881. [CrossRef]
- 10. Maeda, T.; Ueta, T.; Mori, E.; Yugue, I.; Kawano, O.; Takao, T.; Sakai, H.; Okada, S.; Shiba, K. Soft-Tissue Damage and Segmental Instability in Adult Patients With Cervical Spinal Cord Injury Without Major Bone Injury. *Spine* **2012**, *37*, E1560–E1566. [CrossRef]
- 11. Machino, M.; Yukawa, Y.; Ito, K.; Nakashima, H.; Kanbara, S.; Morita, D.; Kato, F. Can magnetic resonance imaging reflect the prognosis in patients of cervical spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality? *Spine* **2011**, *36*, E1568–E1572. [CrossRef]
- Miyanji, F.; Furlan, J.C.; Aarabi, B.; Arnold, P.M.; Fehlings, M.G. Acute Cervical Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury: MR Imaging Findings Correlated with Neurologic Outcome—Prospective Study with 100 Consecutive Patients1. *Radiology* 2007, 243, 820–827. [CrossRef]
- 13. Boldin, C.; Raith, J.; Fankhauser, F.; Haunschmid, C.; Schwantzer, G.; Schweighofer, F. Predicting Neurologic Recovery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury With Postoperative MR Imaging. *Spine* **2006**, *31*, 554–559. [CrossRef]
- 14. Koyanagi, I.; Iwasaki, Y.; Hida, K.; Imamura, H.; Fujimoto, S.; Akino, M. Acute Cervical Cord Injury Associated with Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament. *Neurosurgery* 2003, *53*, 887–892. [CrossRef]
- 15. Takahashi, M.; Harada, Y.; Inoue, H.; Shimada, K. Traumatic cervical cord injury at C3-4 without radiographic abnormalities: Correlation of magnetic resonance findings with clinical features and outcome. *J. Orthop. Surg.* **2002**, *10*, 129–135. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ishida, Y.; Tominaga, T. Predictors of Neurologic Recovery in Acute Central Cervical Cord Injury with Only Upper Extremity Impairment. *Spine* **2002**, *27*, 1652–1657. [CrossRef]
- 17. Koyanagi, I.; Iwasaki, Y.; Hida, K.; Akino, M.; Imamura, H.; Abe, H. Acute cervical cord injury without fracture or dislocation of the spinal column. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* **2000**, *93*, 15–20. [CrossRef]
- 18. Shimada, K.; Tokioka, T. Sequential MR studies of cervical cord injury: Correlation with neurological damage and clinical outcome. *Spinal Cord* **1999**, *37*, 410–415. [CrossRef]
- Aarabi, B.; Sansur, C.A.; Ibrahimi, D.M.; Simard, J.M.; Hersh, D.; Le, E.; Diaz, C.; Massetti, J.; Akhtar-Danesh, N. Intramedullary Lesion Length on Postoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging is a Strong Predictor of ASIA Impairment Scale Grade Conversion Following Decompressive Surgery in Cervical Spinal Cord Injury. *Neurosurgery* 2016, *80*, 610–620. [CrossRef]

- Grossman, R.G.; Toups, E.G.; Frankowski, R.F.; Burau, K.D.; Howley, S. North American Clinical Trials Network for the Treatment of Spinal Cord Injury: Goals and progress. J. Neurosurg. Spine 2012, 17, 6–10. [CrossRef]
- Grossman, R.G.; Frankowski, R.F.; Burau, K.D.; Toups, E.G.; Crommett, J.W.; Johnson, M.M.; Fehlings, M.; Tator, C.H.; Shaffrey, C.I.; Harkema, S.J.; et al. Incidence and severity of acute complications after spinal cord injury. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* 2012, 17, 119–128. [CrossRef]
- 22. ClinicalTrials.gov. Spinal Cord Injury Registry—NACTN (NACTN). 2005. Available online: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT00178724?term=NACTN&recr=Open&cond=spinal+cord+injury&rank=1 (accessed on 13 October 2021).
- Rhee, J.; Tetreault, L.A.; Chapman, J.R.; Wilson, J.R.; Smith, J.S.; Martin, A.R.; Dettori, J.R.; Fehlings, M.G. Nonoperative Versus Operative Management for the Treatment Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: An Updated Systematic Review. *Glob. Spine J.* 2017, 7 (Suppl. 3), 35S–41S. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, F.; Jaja, B.N.; Kurpad, S.N.; Badhiwala, J.H.; Aarabi, B.; Grossman, R.G.; Harrop, J.S.; Guest, J.D.; Schär, R.T.; Shaffrey, C.I.; et al. Acute Adverse Events After Spinal Cord Injury and Their Relationship to Long-term Neurologic and Functional Outcomes: Analysis From the North American Clinical Trials Network for Spinal Cord Injury. *Crit. Care Med.* 2019, 47, e854–e862. [CrossRef]
- Giannarini, G.; Kessler, T.M.; Roth, B.; Vermathen, P.; Thoeny, H.C. Functional Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Kidneys Using Blood Oxygen Level Dependent and Diffusion-Weighted Sequences. J. Urol. 2014, 192, 434–439. [CrossRef]
- Katsumi, K.; Yamazaki, A.; Watanabe, K.; Ohashi, M.; Shoji, H. Can Prophylactic Bilateral C4/C5 Foraminotomy Prevent Postoperative C5 Palsy After Open-Door Laminoplasty? *Spine* 2012, *37*, 748–754. [CrossRef]
- Ichihara, D.; Okada, E.; Chiba, K.; Toyama, Y.; Fujiwara, H.; Momoshima, S.; Nishiwaki, Y.; Hashimoto, T.; Ogawa, J.; Watanabe, M.; et al. Longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study on whiplash injury patients: Minimum 10-year follow-up. *J. Orthop. Sci.* 2009, 14, 602–610. [CrossRef]
- 28. Marquardt, G.; Setzer, M.; Szelenyi, A.; Seifert, V.; Gerlach, R. Significance of serial S100b and NSE serum measurements in surgically treated patients with spondylotic cervical myelopathy. *Acta Neurochir.* **2009**, *151*, 1439–1443. [CrossRef]
- Como, J.J.; Thompson, M.A.; Anderson, J.S.; Shah, R.R.; Claridge, J.A.; Yowler, C.J.; Malangoni, M.A. Is magnetic resonance imaging essential in clearing the cervical spine in obtunded patients with blunt trauma? *J. Trauma* 2007, 63, 544–549. [CrossRef]
- 30. Summers, B.; Malhan, K.; Cassar-Pullicino, V. Low back pain on passive straight leg raising: The anterior theca as a source of pain. *Spine* **2005**, *30*, 342–345. [CrossRef]
- Ishibe, T.; Takahashi, S. Respiratory Dysfunction in Patients with Chronic-Onset Cervical Myelopathy. *Spine* 2002, 27, 2234–2239. [CrossRef]
- 32. Friedman, D.; Flanders, A.; Thomas, C.; Millar, W. Vertebral artery injury after acute cervical spine trauma: Rate of occurrence as detected by MR angiography and assessment of clinical consequences. *Am. J. Roentgenol.* **1995**, *164*, 443–447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Tosi, L.; Righetti, C.; Terrini, G.; Zanette, G. Atypical syndromes caudal to the injury site in patients following spinal cord injury. A clinical, neurophysiological and MRI study. *Spinal Cord* **1993**, *31*, 751–756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bush, L.; Brookshire, R.; Roche, B.; Johnson, A.; Cole, F.; Karmy-Jones, R.; Long, W.; Martin, M.J. Evaluation of Cervical Spine Clearance by Computed Tomographic Scan Alone in Intoxicated Patients with Blunt Trauma. *JAMA Surg.* 2016, 151, 807. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arija-Blázquez, A.; Ceruelo-Abajo, S.; Díaz-Merino, M.S.; Godino-Duran, J.A.; Martínez-Dhier, L.; Martin, J.L.R.; Florensa-Vila, J. Effects of electromyostimulation on muscle and bone in men with acute traumatic spinal cord injury: A randomized clinical trial. J. Spinal Cord Med. 2013, 37, 299–309. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sabre, L.; Tomberg, T.; Kõrv, J.; Kepler, J.; Kepler, K.; Linnamägi, Ü.; Asser, T. Brain activation in the acute phase of traumatic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2013, *51*, 623–629. [CrossRef]
- 37. Kim, K.; Mishina, M.; Kokubo, R.; Nakajima, T.; Morimoto, D.; Isu, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Teramoto, A. Ketamine for acute neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 2013, 20, 804–807. [CrossRef]
- 38. Kelly, J.; O'Briain, D.; Kelly, G.; Mc Cabe, J. Imaging the spine for tumour and trauma—A national audit of practice in Irish hospitals. *Surgeon* 2012, *10*, 80–83. [CrossRef]
- Hiersemenzel, L.-P.; Curt, A.; Dietz, V. From spinal shock to spasticity: Neuronal adaptations to a spinal cord injury. *Neurology* 2000, 54, 1574–1582. [CrossRef]
- Wang-Leandro, A.; Hobert, M.K.; Alisauskaite, N.; Dziallas, P.; Rohn, K.; Stein, V.M.; Tipold, A. Spontaneous acute and chronic spinal cord injuries in paraplegic dogs: A comparative study of in vivo diffusion tensor imaging. *Spinal Cord* 2017, 55, 1108–1116. [CrossRef]
- Wang-Leandro, A.; Siedenburg, J.; Hobert, M.; Dziallas, P.; Rohn, K.; Stein, V.; Tipold, A. Comparison of Preoperative Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Clinical Assessment of Deep Pain Perception as Prognostic Tools for Early Recovery of Motor Function in Paraplegic Dogs with Intervertebral Disk Herniations. J. Veter Intern. Med. 2017, 31, 842–848. [CrossRef]
- Dickomeit, M.; Jaggy, A.; Forterre, F.; Gorgas, D.; Lang, J.; Spreng, D. Incidence of spinal compressive lesions in chondrodystrophic dogs with abnormal recovery after hemilaminectomy for treatment of thoracolumbar disc disease: A prospective magnetic resonance imaging study. *Vet. Surg.* 2010, *39*, 165–172. [CrossRef]
- Nout, Y.S.; Mihai, G.; Tovar, C.A.; Schmalbrock, P.; Bresnahan, J.C.; Beattie, M.S. Hypertonic saline attenuates cord swelling and edema in experimental spinal cord injury: A study utilizing magnetic resonance imaging. *Crit. Care Med.* 2009, 37, 2160–2166. [CrossRef]

- 44. Liu, H.M.; Dong, C.; Zhang, Y.Z.; Tian, Y.Y.; Chen, H.X.; Zhang, S.; Li, N.; Gu, P. Clinical and imaging features of spinal cord type of neuro Behçet disease: A case report and systematic review. *Medicine* **2017**, *96*, e7958. [CrossRef]
- 45. Takahata, S.; Shirado, O.; Minami, A.; Oda, H. Quadriparesis due to acute collapse of a seemingly stabilized C5/6 segment in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis—A case report. *Orthopedics* **2008**, *31*, 401.
- 46. Tolonen, A.; Turkka, J.; Salonen, O.; Ahoniemi, E.; Alaranta, H. Traumatic brain injury is under-diagnosed in patients with spinal cord injury. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 2007, *39*, 622–626. [CrossRef]
- Hadjipavlou, A.; Tosounidis, T.; Gaitanis, I.; Kakavelakis, K.; Katonis, P. Balloon kyphoplasty as a single or as an adjunct procedure for the management of symptomatic vertebral haemangiomas. J. Bone Jt. Surgery. Br. Vol. 2007, 89, 495–502. [CrossRef]
- Awad, B.I.; Carmody, M.A.; Lubelski, D.; El Hawi, M.; Claridge, J.A.; Como, J.J.; Mroz, T.E.; Moore, T.A.; Steinmetz, M.P. Adjacent Level Ligamentous Injury Associated with Traumatic Cervical Spine Fractures: Indications for Imaging and Implications for Treatment. World Neurosurg. 2015, 84, 69–75. [CrossRef]
- McGivern, U.; Drinkwater, K.; Clarke, J.; Locke, I. A Royal College of Radiologists National Audit of Radiotherapy in the Treatment of Metastatic Spinal Cord Compression and Implications for the Development of Acute Oncology Services. *Clin. Oncol.* 2014, 26, 453–460. [CrossRef]
- 50. Santos, J.M.G.; Blanquer, M.; del Río, S.T.; Iniesta, F.; Espuch, J.G.; Pérez-Espejo, M.Á.; Martínez, S.; Moraleda, J.M. Acute and chronic MRI changes in the spine and spinal cord after surgical stem cell grafting in patients with definite amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Post-infusion injuries are unrelated with clinical impairment. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 2013, *31*, 1298–1308. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lamothe, G.; Müller, F.; Vital, J.-M.; Goossens, D.; Barat, M. Evolution of spinal cord injuries due to cervical canal stenosis without radiographic evidence of trauma (SCIWORET): A prospective study. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2011**, *54*, 213–224. [CrossRef]
- 52. DeVivo, M.J.; Kartus, P.L.; Rutt, R.D.; Stover, S.L.; Fine, P.R. The Influence of Age at Time of Spinal Cord Injury on Rehabilitation Outcome. *Arch. Neurol.* **1990**, *47*, 687–691. [CrossRef]
- Kaminski, L.; Cordemans, V.; Cernat, E.; M'Bra, K.I.; Mac-Thiong, J.-M. Functional Outcome Prediction after Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury Based on Acute Clinical Factors. J. Neurotrauma 2017, 34, 2027–2033. [CrossRef]
- 54. Alizadeh, A.; Dyck, S.M.; Karimi-Abdolrezaee, S. Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury: An Overview of Pathophysiology, Models and Acute Injury Mechanisms. *Front. Neurol.* **2019**, *10*, 282. [CrossRef]
- Pfyffer, D.; Vallotton, K.; Curt, A.; Freund, P. Tissue bridges predict neuropathic pain emergence after spinal cord injury. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 2020, 91, 1111–1117. [CrossRef]