

[Wills Eye Hospital Papers](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/willsfp) **Wills Eye Hospital** Company Assembly Mills Eye Hospital Company Assembly Mills Eye Hospital

5-2-2022

Diagnostic Accuracy of Macular Thickness Map and Texture En Face Images for Detecting Glaucoma in Eyes With Axial High Myopia

Christopher Bowd University of California San Diego

Akram Belghith University of California San Diego

Jasmin Rezapour University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

Mark Christopher University of California San Diego

 ${\sf E}$ ellକ୍ଷା this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/willsfp

OPP₃ Por the Medical Main Medicular Biology Commons, and the [Ophthalmology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/695?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fwillsfp%2F160&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Let us know how access to this document benefits you

See next page for additional authors

Recommended Citation

Bowd, Christopher; Belghith, Akram; Rezapour, Jasmin; Christopher, Mark; Hyman, Leslie; Jonas, Jost B; Weinreb, Robert N; and Zangwill, Linda M, "Diagnostic Accuracy of Macular Thickness Map and Texture En Face Images for Detecting Glaucoma in Eyes With Axial High Myopia" (2022). Wills Eye Hospital Papers. Paper 160.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/willsfp/160

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](http://www.jefferson.edu/university/teaching-learning.html/). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Wills Eye Hospital Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Christopher Bowd, Akram Belghith, Jasmin Rezapour, Mark Christopher, Leslie Hyman, Jost B Jonas, Robert N Weinreb, and Linda M Zangwill

Diagnostic Accuracy of Macular Thickness Map and Texture En Face Images for Detecting Glaucoma in Eyes With Axial High Myopia

CHRISTOPHER BOWD, AKRAM BELGHITH, JASMIN REZAPOUR, MARK CHRISTOPHER, LESLIE HYMAN, JOST B. JONAS, ROBERT N. WEINREB, AND LINDA M. ZANGWILL

• PURPOSE: To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of a novel optical coherence tomography texture-based en face image analysis (SALSA-Texture) that requires segmentation of only 1 retinal layer for glaucoma detection in eyes with axial high myopia, and to compare SALSA-Texture with standard macular ganglion cell–inner plexiform layer (GCIPL) thickness, macular retinal nerve fiber layer (mRNFL) thickness, and ganglion cell complex (GCC) thickness maps.

• DESIGN: Comparison of diagnostic approaches.

• METHODS: Cross-sectional data were collected from 92 eyes with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and 44 healthy control eyes with axial high myopia (axial length >**26 mm). Optical coherence tomography texture en face images, developed using SALSA-Texture to model the spatial arrangement patterns of the pixel intensities in a region, were generated from 70-**μ**m slabs just below the vitreal border of the inner limiting membrane. Areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUROCs) and areas under the precision recall curves (AUPRCs) adjusted for both eyes, axial length, age, disc area, and image quality were used to compare different approaches.**

• RESULTS: The best parameter-adjusted AUROCs (95% confidence intervals) for differentiating between healthy and glaucoma high myopic eyes were 0.92 (0.88-0.94) for texture en face images, 0.88 (0.86-0.91) for macular RNFL thickness, 0.87 (0.83-0.89) for macula GCIPL

 $|\mathsf{A}$ JO.com $|\mathsf{Supplemental \: Material}$ available at <code>[AJO.com.](http://AJO.com)</code> Accepted for publication April 23, 2022.

thickness, and 0.87 (0.84-0.89) for GCC thickness. A subset analysis of highly advanced myopic eyes (axial length ≥**27 mm; 38 glaucomatous eyes and 22 healthy eyes) showed the best AUROC was 0.92 (0.89-0.94) for texture en face images compared with 0.86 (0.84-0.88) for macular GCIPL, 0.86 (0.84-0.88) for GCC, and 0.84 (0.81-0.87) for RNFL thickness (***P* ≤ **.02 compared with texture for all comparisons).**

• CONCLUSION: The current results suggest that our novel en face texture-based analysis method can improve on most investigated macular tissue thickness measurements for discriminating between highly myopic glaucomatous and highly myopic healthy eyes. While further investigation is needed, texture en face images show promise for improving the detection of glaucoma in eyes with high myopia where traditional retinal layer segmentation often is challenging. (Am J Ophthalmol 2022;242: 26–35. © 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)nd/4.0/))

M YOPIA IS PROJECTED TO AFFECT 50% OF THE world's population by 2050¹ with strong epidemi-
Individuals with myopia are two and half times more likely YOPIA IS PROJECTED TO AFFECT 50% OF THE world's population by 2050^1 2050^1 with strong epidemi-ologic evidence linking myopia with glaucoma.^{[2](#page-10-0)} Individuals with myopia are two and half times more likely to have glaucoma than nonmyopic individuals,^{[3](#page-10-0)} while high myopes are 5 to 6 times more likely than nonmyopes to have glaucomatous optic neuropathy.^{[4](#page-10-0)}

Optical imaging of the optic nerve head and the circumpapillary regions pose significant challenges for glaucoma detection in myopic eyes because of optic nerve head tilt, increased ovality of the optic nerve head, and large areas of peripapillary atrophy, particularly in highly myopic eyes where these anatomic changes can be extreme. Furthermore, circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (cpRNFL) thickness peaks shift temporally in myopic eyes, which leads to a reduced ability to accurately detect cpRNFL thinning compared with reference normative databases.^{[5-8](#page-10-0)} In addition, increased axial length significantly reduces measured cpRNFL thickness and to a lesser degree ganglion cell– inner plexiform layer (GCIPL) thickness in healthy my-

© 2022 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC.

From the Hamilton Glaucoma Center (C.B., A.B., J.R., M.C., R.N.W., L.M.Z.), Shiley Eye Institute, Viterbi Family Department of Ophthalmology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California; Department of Ophthalmology (J.R.), University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany; Department of Ophthalmology (J.B.J.), Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany; Privatpraxis Prof Jonas und Dr Panda-Jonas (J.B.J.), Heidelberg, Germany; Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology Basel (J.B.J.), Basel, Switzerland; The Vickie and Jack Farber Vision Research Center at Wills Eye, Wills Eye Hospital, Philadelphia PA (L.H.); Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia PA (L.H.)

Inquiries to Linda M. Zangwill, Shiley Eye Institute/Hamilton Glaucoma Center, Viterbi Family Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0946.; e-mail: lzangwill@health.ucsd.edu

opic eyes, thus increasing possible confusion between myopia and glaucoma in eyes with both conditions.[9](#page-11-0)

Recent evidence suggests that wide-field thickness maps, including optic disc, cpRNFL, and macular GCIPL regions, obtained using swept-source OCT (Topcon DRI-OCT) can detect glaucomatous structural defects in eyes with myopia better than normative database assessment of parapapillary regions using conventional spectral-domain OCT (Zeiss Cirrus HD OCT).^{[10](#page-11-0)} It also has been shown that wide-field DRI-OCT reflectance intensity images can resolve glaucomatous damage detectable using high-resolution adaptive optics-scanning light ophthalmoscopy while OCT RNFL thickness maps generated from the same OCT angiography data cannot.^{[11](#page-11-0)} Both of these results, coupled with results indicating that Cirrus HD OCT GCIPL segmentation errors are often observed in eyes with myopia, 12 12 12 suggest that OCTbased en face images that require minimal retinal layer segmentation may improve the detection of glaucoma-related defects in eyes both with and without myopia.

Because of the difficulty in detecting glaucomatous defects in myopic eyes and because of the reported superiority of en face image evaluation for successfully detecting glaucoma-related structural defects, the current study evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of a novel, texture-based en face image assessment of macular GCIPL thickness, macular RNFL (mRNFL) thickness, and GCC (ganglion cell complex; $GCIPL + mRNFL$) thickness measured by spectral-domain OCT for glaucoma detection in axial high myopic eyes.

METHODS

Participants included in this cross-sectional observational study were recruited from the Diagnostic Innovations in Glaucoma Study. Details of the Diagnostic Innovations in Glaucoma Study protocol have been described previously.[13](#page-11-0) The University of California San Diego Institutional Review Board and Human Subjects Committee approved all protocols, and methods adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was registered under Clinical-Trials.gov number NCT00221923 on September 14, 2005. All study images were obtained between July 2017 and October 2020.

• PARTICIPANTS: All participants underwent an extensive ophthalmologic examination, including assessment of bestcorrected visual acuity, slitlamp biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement with Goldmann applanation tonometry, gonioscopy, central corneal thickness measured with ultrasound pachymetry (DGH Technology, Inc, Exton, PA), dilated fundus examination, simultaneous stereophotography of the optic disc, visual field testing by standard automated perimetry (Humphrey Field Analyzer; 24-2 Swedish interactive threshold algorithm standard; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany), and Spectralis OCT (version 6.10; Heidelberg Engineering Inc, Heidelberg, Germany).

Overall inclusion criteria were age \geq 18 years, open anterior chamber angles on gonioscopy, and a best-corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better at study entry. Exclusion criteria were history of intraocular surgery (except for uncomplicated cataract or uncomplicated glaucoma surgery), coexisting retinal pathology, nonglaucomatous optic neuropathy, uveitis, or ocular trauma; diagnosis of Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease, or other forms of dementia, or history of stroke; diabetic or hypertensive retinopathy; unreliable visual fields; and poor-quality spectral-domain OCT scans.

All visual fields were evaluated by the University of California, San Diego Visual Field Assessment Center person-nel based on a standardized protocol.^{[13](#page-11-0)} Visual fields with >33% fixation losses or >33% false-positive errors were automatically excluded. Visual fields exhibiting a learning effect (ie, initial tests with reduced sensitivity followed by consistent improvement in a series of tests) were also excluded. Visual fields were further reviewed for lid and rim artifacts, fatigue effects, evidence that the visual field results were due to a disease other than glaucoma (eg, homonymous hemianopia), and inattention. Test results indicating these characteristics were excluded.

Primary open-angle glaucoma was defined based on the Diagnostic Innovations in Glaucoma Study conventional standard of glaucomatous visual field loss and locally corresponding optic disc/parapapillary damage.^{[13](#page-11-0)} Stereophotograph-based glaucomatous damage was defined as focal or diffuse narrowing of the neuroretinal rim or cpRNFL defects characteristic of glaucoma based on a masked assessment by 2 trained observers. Two experts (J.R., C.B.) graded photographs after high myopia optic disc grading training with a senior consultant (J.B.J.) with expertise in myopia and glaucoma. Stereophotograph-based optic disc damage was defined by consensus between both graders. In case of disagreement, diagnosis was defined by adjudication by the senior consultant. A total of 12 of 136 (8.8%) clinically ambiguous eyes were referred for consensus/adjudication.

Healthy individuals contributing OCT images had IOP <21 mm Hg with no history of elevated IOP, normalappearing optic disc and intact neuroretinal rim and cpRNFL, and a minimum of 2 reliable and normal visual fields defined as a pattern standard deviation within 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and a glaucoma hemifield test result within normal limits in both eyes. Patient and eye characteristics by diagnosis are shown in [Table](#page-4-0) 1.

All study eyes had high axial myopia, defined as axial length >26.0 mm as described below.

• OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY: Spectralis OCT (version 6.10; Heidelberg Engineering Inc, Heidelberg, Germany) was used for image acquisition. This instrument

TABLE 1. Patient and Eye Characteristics by Diagnosis.

 $AL =$ axial length; IOP = intraocular pressure; MD = mean deviation.

Mean values and 95% confidence intervals are shown for continuous variables. Statistical significance of differences in continuous and categorical variables are determined by 2-sample t and Fisher exact tests for patient-level variables (respectively) and linear mixed effects models for eye-level variables.

FIGURE 1. Steps for the San Diego Automated Layer Segmentation Algorithm (SALSA-Texture) image transformations where i **is a given image pixel and** *jn,m* **are the surrounding pixels.**

uses an 870-nm central wavelength at an 85-kHz A-scan rate. The custom wide scan type used was an OCT cube of $30^{\circ} \times 25^{\circ}$ (8.7 \times 7.3 mm) centered on the fovea formed by 121 horizontal B-scans (Figure 1, A). The interval between the B-scans was 60 µm and the lateral resolution was 5.64 μ m/pixel; the axial resolution was 3.87 μ m/pixel, and the frame rate was 10 per B-scan. Quality review of Spectralis images required a signal strength >15 dB what was deemed acceptable quality for use based on subjective assessment according to the University of California San Diego Imaging Data Evaluation and Assessment Reading Center.

• RETINAL LAYER SEGMENTATION: Raw threedimensional spectral-domain OCT images were exported to a numerical computing language (MATLAB; Math-Works, Natick, MA). The San Diego Automated Layer Segmentation Algorithm (SALSA)-deep was used to automatically segment the ILM, mRNFL, and IPL layers. In brief, we applied the BCDU-Net approach, 14 14 14 using Keras with TensorFlow as the back end. The network was trained from scratch using 56000 B-scans obtained from an independent data set as the ground truth. The Adam optimization technique with a learning rate of 2 × 10−4 and binary cross-entropy loss was used. We stopped the training of the network when the validation loss remained the same in 5 consecutive epochs. The deep layer retinal layer segmentation was manually reviewed for accuracy by one of the authors (A.B.). GCIPL, mRNFL, and GCC (GCIPL + mRNFL) thickness measurements were obtained from each retinal layer within inner (1-mm to 3-mm fovea-centred circular band) and outer (3-mm to 6-mm fovea-centred circular band) measurement rings similar to the instrument defined rings. Ninety-degree superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal measurements also were obtained from each measurement ring.

• HIGH AXIAL MYOPIA DEFINED: In the current study, myopia was defined based on axial length rather than refractive error because axial length is most associated with myopiarelated changes to the posterior fundus.^{[15](#page-11-0)} We defined high axial myopia as eyes with axial length >26.0 mm as we have done previously.[16](#page-11-0)

• TEXTURE EN FACE IMAGES: Texture can be characterized as a visual pattern that reflects spatial arrangement of pixel intensities of an image. Texture analysis captures the granularity and repetitive patterns of object surfaces. In the case of OCT images, each retinal layer has a unique texture that can be visually distinguished. In this study, we propose a new texture transformation called the SALSA-Texture, which is robust to the intensity variation of local region caused by illumination. For each pixel *i* in a B-scan, we create a 9×9 neighboring system by selecting the 9×9 area surrounding the pixel then we apply a local Gaussian filter to reduce the noise. To increase the robustness to local contrast differences, we use homogeneous-bin normalization 17 to normalize the NDG (Normalized Difference of Gaussian) descriptor. We then calculate the average difference between the pixel and each other pixel in the 9×9 neighboring system [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 1). Finally, texture en face images were generated from $70 \text{-} \mu \text{m}$ slabs following the ILM. The slab thickness of 70 μ m was calculated as the 25th percentile of the GCC layer thickness. Therefore, it is small enough to be affected by local changes but large enough to increase signal-to-noise by averaging over a greater number of pixels. We calculated the projection images (en face texture image) by averaging the normalized intensity of a fixed axial portion of each A-line of the B-scan, thus creating an image of a "slab" with fixed thickness (70 μ m) below the ILM layer. Outputs used for analyses were average en face image intensities.

• STATISTICAL ANALYSES: Descriptive statistics included mean and 95% CIs. Student *t* tests or Mann-Whitney tests were used to evaluate demographic and clinical differences between patients with glaucoma and healthy individuals.

Both areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and areas under the precisionrecall curves (AUPRC) were used to assess the ability of instrument-defined tissue thickness measurements and custom en face texture analysis to discriminate between eyes with glaucoma and healthy eyes and to control for training/test set size imbalance. As measurements from both eyes of the same subject are likely to be correlated, the cluster of data for the study subject were considered as the unit of resampling and bias corrected standard errors (SEs) were calculated. AUROCs and AUPRCs were adjusted for inclusion of both eyes and for age, image quality, and axial length as possible confounders and compared statistically using the Wald test based on the bootstrap covariance.

We also performed several subset analyses using the methods described above. First, because evidence suggests that as axial length increases to >26 mm the number of tissue layer segmentation failures also increases, 12 we performed a subset analysis comparing our en face texture analysis to GCIPL, mRNFL, and GCC thickness in eyes with axial lengths ≥27 mm (this cutoff has been used in other studies to define a subset of high axial myopia^{18,19}).

Other subset analyses were performed because age and race were imbalanced between healthy participants and patients with glaucoma. Because healthy individuals were younger than patients with glaucoma, we performed a subset analysis comparing measurements in age-matched healthy and glaucoma eyes (healthy eyes $[n = 21]$, mean age 55.9 years [95% CI 53.9-57.8]; glaucoma eyes [n = 48], mean age 58.2 years [95% CI 55.4-59.6]; *P* = .11). Because the percentage of study participants of European descent was lower in the healthy group compared with the group with glaucoma, we also performed a subset analysis comparing measurements in race-matched healthy eyes and eyes with glaucoma (healthy eyes $[n = 44]$, European descent [33%]; glaucoma eyes $[n = 62]$, European descent [37%]; $P = .23$).

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata software (version 14.2; StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX). *P* < .05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

One hundred thirty-six eyes with glaucoma from 79 patients were included with 92 eyes (55 patients) in the high myopia glaucomatous group and 44 eyes (24 patients) in the high myopia healthy group [\(Table](#page-4-0) 1). Mean (95% CI) age in years in the healthy group was significantly younger (48.9 [46.7-54.3] years) compared with the glaucoma group (65.9 [63.2-68.5] years) (*P* < .001). The glaucoma group had worse visual field mean deviation (*P* < .001) than the healthy group. The proportion of individuals of European descent was lower in the healthy group (33%) compared with the glaucoma group $(58%)$ ($P = .03$). There was no significant difference in axial length $(P = .41)$ and IOP $(P = .52)$ between groups. Mean spherical equivalent was significantly lower in healthy group (−7.35 [−7.67 to −6.98] diopters) compared with the glaucoma group (−4.76 [−5.21 to −4.31] diopters) likely in part because of the higher prevalence of cataract surgery in the glaucoma group (42.4%) compared with the healthy group (13.7%).

[Table](#page-6-0) 2 shows the AUROCs for classifying glaucoma and healthy eyes within global inner and outer measurement rings for texture based, GCIPL, mRNFL, and GCC measurements. Results indicate that diagnostic accuracy for texture-based analysis was highest in the outer measurement ring (0.91 [0.88-0.93]), accuracy for GCIPL thickness was highest in the inner measurement ring (0.84 [0.82- 0.87]), accuracy for mRNFL thickness was highest in the outer measurement ring (0.88 [0.86-0.91]), and accuracy for GCC thickness was highest in the inner measurement ring (0.86 [0.84-0.87]) [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 2). The best texture-based AU-

TABLE 2. Measurements, Estimated Areas Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve, and Estimated Areas Under the Precision Recall Curve for Global Measurements.

AUPRC $=$ areas under the precision recall curve; AUROC $=$ areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve; GCC $=$ ganglion cell complex GCIPL = ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer; mRNFL = macular retinal nerve fiber layer.

Table includes data from 44 healthy eyes from 24 subjects and 92 eyes with glaucoma from 55 patients.

FIGURE 2. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUCs) for the best texture-based, ganglion cell– inner plexiform layer (GCIPL) thickness–based, macular retinal nerve fiber layer (mRNFL) thickness–based, and ganglion cell complex (GCC) thickness–based regional measurements. ROC = receiver operating characteristic.

ROC was significantly higher than the best GCC thickness AUROC.

[Table](#page-7-0) 3 shows AUROCs for sectoral (temporal, superior, nasal, and inferior) inner and outer measurement rings for all measurements described above. Results indicate that the diagnostic accuracy (AUROC [95% CI]) for classifying eyes by en face texture analysis was highest for outer nasal ring thickness (0.92 [0.88- 0.94]) followed by mRNFL outer nasal ring thickness (0.88 [0.86-0.91]), GCC outer nasal ring thickness (0.87 [0.84-0.89]), and GCIPL inner nasal ring thickness (0.79 $[0.76 - 0.82]$.

Comparing en face texture analysis results to instrument measured tissue thickness measurements for differentiating between eyes with glaucoma and healthy eyes, texture analysis significantly improved on 8 of 10 GCIPL total ring or within ring sector measurements (all comparisons $P < .03$), on 6 of 10 macular RNFL measurements (all comparisons *P* ≤ .004) and on 2 GCC measurements (both comparisons $P \leq .03$) according to Wald bootstrap covariance testing. AUPRCs also are shown to compare relative differences in results when controlling for the glaucoma vs healthy sample size imbalance.

[Figure](#page-8-0) 3 illustrates an example of thickness images from a healthy eye wrongly classified as glaucomatous by all tissue thickness measurements but correctly classified by en face texture analysis. A classification cutoff of 0.50 was used. Subjective assessment of these images suggests symmetrical superior and inferior hemiretina tissue thickness with substantial thickness in both hemiretinae. [Figure](#page-9-0) 4 shows an example of thickness images from a glaucomatous eye wrongly classified as healthy by GCIPL thickness but correctly classified as glaucoma by en face texture analysis and all other thickness measurements. Subjective assessment of these images suggests decreased inferior en face texture and tissue thinning of the inferior macular RNFL and GCC corresponding with a superior arcuate visual field de-

TABLE 3. Measurements, Estimated Areas Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve, and Estimated Areas Under the Precision Recall Curve for Sectoral Measurements.

 $AUPRC =$ areas under the precision recall curve; $AUROC =$ areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve; $GCC =$ ganglion cell complex GCIPL = ganglion cell–inner plexiform layer; $mRNFL$ = macular retinal nerve fiber layer.

Table includes data from 44 healthy eyes from 24 subjects and 92 eyes with glaucoma from 55 patients.

fect. No glaucoma-related defect is apparent in the GCIPL image.

Results for the best performing measurements for all tissue types and for en face texture analysis (total inner ring thickness and total outer ring thickness) in highly myopic eyes (AL > 27 mm) are shown in [Table](#page-9-0) 4. Results indicate that diagnostic accuracy for texture-based analysis was highest in the outer measurement ring (0.92 [0.89-0.94]),

accuracy for GCIPL thickness was highest in the inner measurement ring (0.86 [0.84-0.88]), accuracy for mRNFL thickness was highest in the outer measurement ring (0.84 [0.81-0.87]), and accuracy for GCC thickness was highest in the outer measurement ring (0.86 [0.84-0.8]). The best texture-based AUROC was significantly higher than the best GCC thickness AUROC. In all cases, our novel texture analysis improved on tissue thickness measurements

(A) En-face CSLO image

(B) En-face Texture Map (True Negative)

(C) Macular Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Map (False Positive)

Dottorn Doviation

(D) Ganglion Cell/ Inner **Plexiform Layer Thickness** Map (False Positive)

(E) Ganglion Cell Complex Thickness Map (False Positive)

(F) Fundus Photograph

			Ø.				

(G) Standard Automated Perimetry 24-2 Pattern Deviation Probability Plot (MD=1.21 dB, PSD=1.59 dB)

FIGURE 3. A healthy eye correctly classified by (B) an en face texture map but incorrectly classified by (C) a macular retinal nerve fiber layer thickness map, (D) a ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer thickness map, and (E) a ganglion cell complex thickness map. A. En face confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (CLSO) image for orientation purposes. F. Fundus photograph. G. Visual field pattern deviation plot obtained within 6 months of Spectralis imaging. $MD =$ mean deviation; $PSD =$ pattern standard deviation.

according to Wald bootstrap covariance testing in this AL > 27 mm subset analysis (all *P* ≤ .02).

Similar results in age- and race-matched eyes are shown in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2.

DISCUSSION

The current results suggest that our novel en face texturebased analysis method can improve on most investigated tissue thickness measurements for discriminating between highly myopic glaucomatous eyes and highly myopic healthy eyes. This likely is attributable in part to its reliance on minimal tissue segmentation (segmentation of the ILM only) because attempts at multilayer segmentation tend to fail more frequently in highly myopic eyes. In addition, the texture-based approach may be measuring neural tissue, while GCIPL, mRNFL, and GCC thickness measurements include both neural and nonneural tissue.

We believe that the analysis of minimally segmented en face images improves glaucoma vs healthy classification in highly myopic eyes, in part because most software that incorporates the segmentation of multiple tissue layers uses smoothing techniques that may mask small, local defects or changes in tissue thickness while our methods does not use such techniques. For instance, Lu and associates^{[20](#page-11-0)} used smoothing by interpolation using a bilateral filter to retain the appearance of continuous segmented tissue after the removal of vessels in OCT images. It is possible that this smoothing technique could decrease the detection of focal defects located adjacent to vessels. Similarly Ehnes and associates^{[21](#page-11-0)} used instrument software-independent cubic spline fitting across 30 image pixels in images obtained by the Zeiss Stratus, Optovue RTVue, and Heidelberg Spectralis devices. Although the thickness of individual retinal layers did not deviate greatly across instruments and 30 image pixels is not a large contour from which to interpolate, it is still possible that small defects in tissue thickness remained undetected. It should be noted that the texturebased method described herein also theoretically is independent of the instrument software.

To determine if a longitudinal change in cpRNFL reflectance (a measure related to texture because both are

(A) En-face CSLO image

(B) En-face Texture Map (True Positive)

(C) Macular Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Map (True Positive)

(D) Ganglion Cell/ Inner **Plexiform Layer Thickness** Map (False Negative)

(E) Ganglion Cell Complex Thickness Map (True Positive)

(F) Fundus Photograph

(G) Standard Automated Perimetry 24-2 Pattern Deviation Probability Plot (MD=-6.71 dB, PSD=11.84 dB)

FIGURE 4. A glaucomatous eye correctly classified by (B) an en face texture map, (C) a macular retinal nerve fiber layer thickness map, and (E) a ganglion cell complex thickness map but incorrectly classified by (D) a ganglion cell/ inner plexiform thickness map. A. En face confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (CLSO) image for orientation purposes. F. Fundus photograph. G. Visual field pattern deviation plot obtained within 6 months of Spectralis imaging. MD = mean deviation; PSD = pattern standard deviation.

TABLE 4. Measurements, Estimated Areas Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve, and Estimated Areas Under the Precision Recall Curve for High Myopic Eyes.

			Univariable					
	Healthy	Glaucoma	AUROC	P Value Compared With Texture	AUPRC	P Value Compared With Texture		
Texture (normalized image								
intensity)								
Global inner ring	$5.0(4.8-5.2)$	$3.4(3.2-3.7)$	$0.91(0.89 - 0.93)$		$0.67(0.65-0.71)$			
Global outer ring	$3.9(3.7-4.2)$	$2.7(2.4-2.9)$	$0.92(0.89 - 0.94)$		$0.69(0.67-0.73)$			
$GCIPL$ thickness (μm)								
Global inner ring	58.8 (56.0-61.6)	45.5 (42.3-48.7)	$0.86(0.84-0.88)$.02	$0.61(0.58-0.63)$.002		
Global outer ring	32.2 (31.0-33.5)	29.2 (27.6-30.7)	$0.68(0.63-0.72)$.001	$0.57(0.55-0.59)$	$-.001$		
mRNFL thickness (μm)								
Global inner ring	32.9 (30.0-35.8)	24.7 (22.0-27.5)	$0.80(0.77-0.81)$	< 0.001	$0.54(0.50-0.56)$.001		
Global outer ring	36.2 (33.4-39.1)	25.9 (23.4-28.4)	$0.84(0.81-0.87)$	< 0.001	$0.53(0.51-0.56)$	$-.001$		
GCC thickness (μm)								
Global inner ring	96.1 (91.8-100.4)	73.8 (67.5-80.0)	$0.85(0.82 - 0.87)$.003	$0.55(0.51-0.57)$.004		
Global outer ring	73.8 (70.4-77.2)	58.2 (54.3-62.0)	$0.86(0.84 - 0.88)$.002	$0.53(0.51-0.55)$	$-.001$		

 $AUPRC =$ areas under the precision recall curve; $AUROC =$ areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve; $GCC =$ ganglion cell complex GCIPL = ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer; $mRNFL$ = macular retinal nerve fiber layer.

High myopic eyes have an axial length >27 mm. Table includes data from 22 healthy eyes from 12 subjects and 38 eyes with glaucoma eyes from 24 patients.

a function of illumination) was predictive of the rate of change in functional measurements in glaucoma eyes, Gar-diner and associates^{[22](#page-11-0)} compared the predictive power of the rate of cpRNFL thinning to the predictive power of the rate of reflectance intensity ratio for predicting the rate of the mean perimetric defect. For a given rate of cpRNFL thinning, a reduction in the cpRNFL reflectance intensity ratio was associated with a more rapid functional deterioration. These results suggest that incorporating OCT reflectance information may improve the structure–function relationship in glaucoma.

Finally, and related, it is possible that en face information can be combined with tissue thickness measurements to better identify RNFL abnormalities in glaucoma. Leung^{[23](#page-11-0)} reported that integrating wide-field OCT RNFL thickness measurement (including parapapillary and macula regions) with OCT-based RNFL reflectance data (called retinal nerve fiber layer optical texture analysis) resulted in a similar sensitivity with a specificity improved by almost 0.20 for classifying glaucomatous and healthy eyes compared with RNFL thickness measurements alone. In addition, RNFL reflectance measurements were more strongly associated with the mean perimetric defect than RNFL with thickness measurements. While these results are promising for classifying glaucomatous and healthy eyes, it has not yet been determined if retinal nerve fiber layer optical texture analysis will succeed when applied to highly myopic glaucomatous eyes because OCT RNFL thickness measurements still require successful tissue segmentation that can be difficult in myopic eyes.

Recently, because of the complexities involved in diagnosing glaucoma in myopic eyes, the development of normative databases including myopic eyes for the diagnosis of glaucoma has been suggested. Evidence suggests that the use of such databases increases specificity for detecting glaucoma in myopic eyes without decreasing sensitivity.^{[24,25](#page-11-0)} Because the method described herein is less susceptible to the effect of myopia on segmentation failure than tissue thickness measurements, we provide evidence that the inclusion of texture, a novel parameter, into normative myopia databases may improve our ability to differentiate between healthy and glaucoma eyes with high myopia.

The current study has several limitations. First, the cohort of highly myopic eyes is relatively small. A small sample size generally reduces the ability to detect significant differences, but also may be biased in some way and may not represent the general population of eyes with axial high myopia. We did, however, find significantly better diagnostic accuracy for our en face method even with the small sample size. The disadvantage of a relatively small sample size may thus serve to strengthen the conclusion of the study. Second, there was a significant difference in age between the experimental groups, although this possible confound was controlled for in all analyses. For this reason, we performed subset analyses using age-matched (and race-matched) populations and showed similar results compared with those observed using unbalanced data sets (the diagnostic accuracy of the current texture-based analysis method was significantly better than most investigated tissue thickness measurements). Finally, using the currently described method, the segmentation of 1 layer (the ILM) is still required, but because of the difference in contrast between the ILM and the adjacent vitreous, this layer is usually easily segmented.

In conclusion, the texture-based en face image analysis described herein shows improved discrimination between glaucoma and healthy axial highly myopic eyes. While further investigation is needed, the current methodology shows promise for improving the detection of glaucoma in eyes with high myopia where traditional retinal layer segmentation becomes more challenging.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, et al. Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(5):1036–1042. doi[:10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.01.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.01.006)
- 2. Ikuno Y. Overview of the complications of high myopia. *Retina*. [2017;37\(12\):2347–2351.](https://doi.org/10.1097/Iae.0000000000001489) doi:10.1097/Iae. 000000000001489.
- 3. Marcus MW, de Vries MM, Montolio FGJ, Jansonius NM. Myopia as a risk factor for open-angle glaucoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(10):1989– U146. doi[:10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.03.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.03.012)
- 4. Xu L, Wang Y, Wang S, Wang Y, Jonas JB. High myopia and glaucoma susceptibility the Beijing Eye Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114(2):216–220. doi[:10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.06.050.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.06.050)
- 5. Tan NYQ, Sng CCA, Ang M. Myopic optic disc changes and its role in glaucoma. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2019;30(2):89–96. doi[:10.1097/ICU.0000000000000548.](https://doi.org/10.1097/ICU.0000000000000548)

ALL AUTHORS HAVE COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED THE ICMJE FORM FOR DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. Funding/Support: Supported by National Eye Institute grants R01 EY029058, R21 EY027945, K99 EY030942, P30 EY022589, and R01 EY027510 and by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. Participant retention incentive grants in the form of glaucoma medication at no cost from Novartis/Alcon Laboratories Inc, Allergan, Akorn, and Pfizer Inc. Financial Disclosures: R.N.W. has been a consultant for Aerie Pharmaceuticals, Allergan, Bausch and Lomb, Eyenovia, Implantdata, and Novartis and has received research support from Bausch and Lomb, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Centervue, Heidelberg Engineering, Konan Medical, and Optovue. L.M.Z. has received research support from Carl Zeiss Meditec, Heidelberg Engineering, Optovue, and Topcon Medical Systems. The other authors indicate no financial support or conflicts of interest. All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for authorship.

- 6. Hwang YH, Yoo C, Kim YY. Myopic optic disc tilt and the characteristics of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measured by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *J Glaucoma*. [2012;21\(4\):260–265.](https://doi.org/10.1097/IJG.0b013e31820719e1) doi:10.1097/IJG. 0b013e31820719e1.
- 7. Leung CKS, Yu M, Weinreb RN, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer imaging with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography: interpreting the RNFL maps in healthy myopic eyes. *Invest Ophth Vis Sci*. [2012;53\(11\):7194–7200.](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.12-9726) doi:10.1167/ iovs.12-9726.
- 8. Tan NYQ, Sng CCA, Jonas JB, Wong TY, Jansonius NM, Ang M. Glaucoma in myopia: diagnostic dilemmas. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2019;103(10):5–13. doi[:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-313530.](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-313530)
- 9. Ganekal S, Sadhwini MH, Kagathur S. Effect of myopia and optic disc area on ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2021;69(7):1820–1824. doi[:10.4103/ijo.IJO_2818_20.](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_2818_20)
- 10. Kim YW, Lee J, Kim JS, Park KH. Diagnostic accuracy of widefield map from swept-source optical coherence tomography for primary open-angle glaucoma in myopic eyes. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2020;218:182–191. doi[:10.1016/j.ajo.2020.05.032.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2020.05.032)
- 11. Hood DC, Fortune B, Mavrommatis MA, et al. Details of glaucomatous damage are better seen on OCT en face images than on OCT retinal nerve fiber layer thickness maps. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. [2015;56\(11\):6208–6216.](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-17259) doi:10.1167/iovs. 15-17259.
- 12. Hwang YH, Kim MK, Kim DW. Segmentation errors in macular ganglion cell analysis as determined by optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(5):950–958. doi[:10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.12.032.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.12.032)
- 13. Sample PA, Girkin CA, Zangwill LM, et al. The African Descent and Glaucoma Evaluation Study (ADAGES): design and baseline data. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2009;127(9):1136–1145. doi[:10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.187.](https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.187)
- 14. Azad R, Asadi-Aghbolaghi M, Fathy M, Escalera S. Bidirectional ConvLSTM U-Net with densley connected convolutions. *IEEE Int Conf Comp V*. 2019:406–415. doi:10. [1109/Iccvw.2019.00052.](https://doi.org/10.1109/Iccvw.2019.00052)
- 15. Jonas JB, Ohno-Matsui K, Panda-Jonas S. Optic nerve head histopathology in high axial myopia. *J Glaucoma*. 2017;26(2):187–193. doi[:10.1097/Ijg.0000000000000574.](https://doi.org/10.1097/Ijg.0000000000000574)
- 16. Rezapour J, Bowd C, Dohleman J, et al. The influence of axial myopia on optic disc characteristics of glaucoma eyes. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):8854. doi[:10.1038/s41598-021-88406-1.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88406-1)
- 17. [Margolin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0017) R, [Zelnik-Manor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0017) L, [Tal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0017) A. OTC: a novel local descriptor for scene classification. *Lect Notes Comput Sc*. [2014;8695:377–391.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0017)
- 18. Bang S, Edell E, Yu Q, Pratzer K, Stark W. Accuracy of intraocular lens calculations using the IOLMaster in eyes with long axial length and a comparison of various formulas. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(3):503–506. [doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.07.008) 07.008.
- 19. El-Nafees R, Moawad A, Kishk H, Gaafar W. Intra-ocular lens power calculation in patients with high axial myopia before cataract surgery. *Saudi J Ophthalmol*. 2010;24(3):77–80. doi[:10.1016/j.sjopt.2010.03.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2010.03.006)
- 20. Lu SJ, Cheung CYL, Liu JA, Lim JH, Leung CKS, Wong TY. Automated layer segmentation of optical coherence tomography images. *IEEE T Bio-Med Eng*. 2010;57(10):2605–2608. doi[:10.1109/Tbme.2010.2055057.](https://doi.org/10.1109/Tbme.2010.2055057)
- 21. Ehnes A, Wenner Y, Friedburg C, et al. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) device independent intraretinal layer segmentation. *Transl Vis Sci Technol*. 2014;3(1):1. [doi:10.1167/](https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.3.1.1) tvst.3.1.1.
- 22. Gardiner SK, Demirel S, Reynaud J, Fortune B. Changes in retinal nerve fiber layer reflectance intensity as a predictor of functional progression in glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2016;57(3):1221–1227. doi[:10.1167/iovs.15-18788.](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18788)
- 23. [Leung](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0023) CK. Retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) optical texture analysis (ROTA) for evaluation of RNFL abnormalities in glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. [2018;59:3497.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9394(22)00168-4/sbref0023)
- 24. Biswas S, Lin C, Leung CK. Evaluation of a myopic normative database for analysis of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2016;134(9):1032–1039. doi:10. [1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.2343.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.2343)
- 25. Nakanishi H, Akagi T, Hangai M, et al. Sensitivity and specificity for detecting early glaucoma in eyes with high myopia from normative database of macular ganglion cell complex thickness obtained from normal non-myopic or highly myopic Asian eyes. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2015;253(7):1143–1152. doi[:10.1007/s00417-015-3026-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-015-3026-y)