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Abstract: Management of solid tumors involving the skull base are primarily managed with surgery and radiation, though proximity to important vascular and neuroanatomic structures often limit the extent of resection and permissible radiation dose. Meningiomas are the most common primary brain tumor in adults, and although the majority of skull base meningiomas are low-grade, their location in proximity to critical anatomical structures precludes aggressive surgical resection, and larger tumors are often resistant to radiation treatment. In patients with clinically aggressive, unresectable meningiomas, several molecular biomarkers of angiogenesis, as well as genetic mutations (SMO, AKT1, PIK3CA, KLF4, POLR2, SMARCE1, and TRAF7), have been shown to play a crucial role in the pathophysiology of these tumors. Pituitary adenomas are commonly slow growing tumors that are amenable to surgical resection, but tumors with higher Ki67 proliferative indices are associated with an increased risk of relapse and resistance to standard therapies. Chemotherapeutic agents and checkpoint inhibitors have been trialed, albeit with limited success, to treat these aggressive pituitary adenomas. Craniopharyngiomas are categorized as adamantinomatous and papillary subtypes, each with unique molecular mechanisms that drive pathogenesis of these tumors, and have introduced the possibility that targeted therapies may be developed for improved neurologic and endocrinological outcomes. Skull base tumors that exhibit recurrence despite surgical resection and radiation treatment pose a unique challenge, and systemic agents offer a non-invasive option of treating tumors that are refractory to conventional approaches. Recent insights into the molecular aberrations that elucidate the pathophysiology of these difficult-to-treat tumors have provided potential therapeutic targets for drug delivery. In this review, the authors discuss promising therapies and current knowledge gaps needed for the development of effective targeted agents for meningioma, pituitary adenoma, and craniopharyngioma.
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Introduction

Tumors of the skull base region are frequently slow-growing and benign, though intervention is often pursued when symptoms occur. These can be challenging to manage and often require a multidisciplinary approach to optimize outcome and quality of care. Surgery is central to treatment; however, complete resection may be precluded by nearby critical vasculature and neuroanatomic structures such as cranial nerves and, in time, the residual tumor may grow. Radiation is beneficial and frequently used in unresectable or residual tumors, but its use is also limited in multiply recurrent tumors.

Systemic agents such as chemotherapy have been explored in the past and generally have been ineffective, though improved knowledge of tumor biology has led to promising developments in systemic options. In this review, we discuss the current molecular and genetic understanding of meningiomas, pituitary adenomas, and craniopharyngiomas as it relates to the development of targeted therapy. We present the following article in accordance with the NARRATIVE REVIEW reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cco-20-168).

Methods

A literature search of PubMed was performed to identify publications—including basic science research, clinical studies, and case reports—pertaining to skull base meningiomas, pituitary adenomas, and craniopharyngiomas that are resistant to standard surgical and radiation therapy. No publication limits were applied, and no inclusion or exclusion criteria were implemented for the literature search. The authors provide a narrative summary of the findings and implications of these studies, and how they pertain to improving the clinical care of our own patients with these pathologies.

Meningiomas

Meningiomas are the most common type of primary brain tumors in adults (1). The tumors originate from progenitor cells in the arachnoid layer of the meninges that envelop the brain and spinal cord and their clinical presentation is diverse: 30% present with seizures due to mass effect along the cerebral convexity or parasagittal region, and an equal percentage may present with symptoms such as impaired hearing, loss of smell, or visual changes from encroachment of the skull base (2). Meningioma behavior can be predicted partly by histological grade (3-5). The current World Health Organization (WHO) defines three grades which correspond to recurrence risk based on features including nuclear atypia, mitotic activity, invasion of adjacent structures, and presence of necrosis (6). Furthermore, each grade is subdivided into a number of subtypes, some of which have a predilection for the skull base. Approximately 80% of meningiomas are classified as benign (grade I) with a low rate of recurrence (7–20%) (6-8). The remainder are classified as either atypical (grade II) or anaplastic (grade III) and are associated with significantly shorter recurrence-free intervals and higher mortality rates (40–70%) (9-12).

Surgical intervention is often the first step in the management of large or symptomatic tumors. Gross total resection (GTR) is associated with better local control and progression-free survival compared to subtotal resection (STR), independent of grade (13,14). However, complete removal is not always feasible due to a tumor’s proximity to critical vessels or eloquent brain structures, which is commonly the case in the skull base region. In selected patients, particularly those with clinically aggressive, unresectable meningiomas, radiation can be employed in either adjuvant or primary settings in hopes of improving local control over observation alone. Both fractionated external beam radiation (EBRT) and stereotactic radiation (SRS) have been used, and the choice of delivery depends on the size of the target and tumor grade. SRS is a widely accepted technique for small grade I or II lesions, while EBRT is recommended for grade III meningiomas which require larger doses (50–60 Gy) to achieve local control (15-17). Yet a small subset of these patients remains refractory to standard intervention; thus, numerous systemic agents have been explored, including hormone receptor inhibitors, somatostatin analogs, and conventional chemotherapy, with none demonstrating reproducible benefit (18-24). As molecular and genomic techniques advance, so does our understanding of tumor biology and potential targets for therapeutic intervention (25,26).

Aberrations in cell signaling pathways can initiate downstream effects that contribute to the development of meningiomas. Clarifying which growth factors and downstream signaling pathways are critical to the pathogenesis can be challenging and continues to evolve. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) have been implicated in meningioma growth and are potential therapeutic targets.

Meningiomas are highly vascularized and express
angiogenic factors including VEGF. Meningioma extracts have been shown to induce endothelial chemotaxis and capillary-like tube formation \textit{in vitro}, and positive correlation between VEGF content and meningioma grade has been demonstrated though not with vascularity or invasiveness (27-29). VEGF is also involved in the development of peritumoral edema, which is one cause of morbidity in high grade meningiomas (30). Antibodies against VEGF may be effective in controlling symptomatic edema and tumor growth. In one retrospective study, bevacizumab (a humanized monoclonal antibody against VEGF-A) was most effective in slowing tumor growth and reducing peritumoral edema when compared to other systemic therapies such as cytotoxic chemotherapy, somatostatin analogues, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (31). A phase 2 single-arm study evaluating the effect of bevacizumab in combination with everolimus, an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), in refractory, progressive intracranial meningioma demonstrated favorable results (Figure 1) (32). Though the study was terminated early due to slow accrual, 88% [15/17] of the patients who received study treatment had stable disease; six of these 15 patients had stable disease beyond a year. Median progression-free survival (mPFS) was higher in patients with grade II and III meningiomas (22 months) compared to grade I (17.5 months). The treatment was fairly well tolerated, as grade 3 and higher toxicities were uncommon. However, the small sample size was small and the results require confirmation with larger trials. Results of a prospective phase 2 trial of single-agent bevacizumab in patients with recurrent or progressive meningiomas closed to accrual are forthcoming (NCT01125046).

There is also evidence suggesting the importance of PDGF in meningioma cell growth and provide rationale for testing PDGF inhibitors in patients with progressive or recurrent meningiomas (33-36). The therapeutic potential of imatinib mesylate, a PDGF receptor (PDGFR) inhibitor, was evaluated in a phase 2 study conducted by the North American Brain Tumor Consortium (NABTC 01-08). The oral agent was generally well tolerated, though the efficacy data were disappointing. Of the 19 patients evaluable for response, 10 patients progressed at the first scan and 9 had stable disease (37). In another phase 2 study, the combination of imatinib and hydroxyurea was modest at best in that only 30% [4/13] of patients with grade II or III meningiomas achieved stable disease longer than 6 months (38). Thus, there is increasing attention to the development of novel and multi-targeted agents. Sunitinib
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Figure 1 A 57-year-old man with multiply recurrent WHO grade II meningioma who had undergone three resections and three courses of radiation to multiple tumor sites since initial diagnosis in 2013. (A) Surveillance MRI one day after resection of a left temporal recurrence. (B) Baseline brain MRI prior to initiation of bevacizumab (10 mg/kg intravenously every 14 days) and everolimus (10 mg orally daily). Note interval growth of left cerebellopontine meningioma (arrow). (C) Surveillance scan after one year on combination therapy. The cerebellopontine meningioma has decreased somewhat in size, and no new tumors had developed in the interim.
is a small molecule multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitor that targets VEGF receptor (VEGFR) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), and appears to be active even in heavily pre-treated patients with high-grade meningioma; however, toxicity is a concern. In a phase 2 trial, 42% were alive and without disease progression at 6 months (PFS-6), though 60% experienced a severe adverse event (39). Vatalanib, another multi-targeted RTK inhibitor, demonstrated a PFS-6 of 54.4% for grade II and III meningiomas combined, though since the study was powered for grade I meningiomas it is unclear whether the phase 2 trial was positive and remains a major study limitation (40).

In contrast to the amount of work focused on molecular targets, efforts to understand genomic drivers of meningioma growth have increased. The most frequent genetic alteration in meningioma tumorigenesis involve mutations or loss of heterozygosity of the tumor suppressor gene NF2, which encodes the protein merlin and is located on chromosome 22. Individuals affected by germline mutations of NF2 develop neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) and are predisposed to developing meningiomas among other benign tumors such as schwannomas and ependymomas. Although sporadic meningiomas are by far more common, they too are often driven by this mutation, which is associated with fibrous or transitional (WHO grade I) and are more frequently found along the cerebral convexities than skull base (41). Merlin is involved in key signaling pathways such as mTOR that regulate cell shape, growth, and adhesion. In merlin-deficient meningioma cells, cell proliferation and survival are increased as a consequence of deregulated downstream effects of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 2 (mTORC1) and mTORC2 (42,43). In pre-clinical studies, mTORC1 inhibitors such as everolimus and temsirolimus, and the dual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitor vistusertib (AZD2014) demonstrate promising activity against the growth of meningioma cells and have warranted further study in humans. In a phase II trial, the combination of bevacizumab (10 mg/kg IV every 14 days) and everolimus (10 mg PO daily) produced stable disease (SD) in 15/17 (88%) of patients; 6 patients had SD for over 12 months (32). The efficacy of vistusertib in patients with recurrent, progressive, or symptomatic meningiomas is currently under investigation in two phase II trials (NCT03071874, NCT02831257). In the single-center, open-label study of patients with NF2 and progressive or symptomatic meningiomas, 18 patients received vistusertib 125 mg BID for two consecutive days each week. Radiographic response, defined as a 20% decrease from baseline, was seen in 5–10% of schwannomas and meningiomas, though 17% of target meningiomas progressed. Furthermore, seven patients (39%) elected to discontinue treatment due to intolerable side effects including fatigue, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, rash, and mucositis (Plotkin S, 2018, unpublished data). The relationship between tumor regression and activation of TORC1/2 pathways has yet to be clarified.

Next-generation sequencing has made it possible to identify several recurrent mutations in genes other than NF2, including SMO, AKT1, PIK3CA, KLF4, POLR2, SMARCE1, and TRAF7, which are mutually exclusive with NF2. Meningiomas harboring these mutations also demonstrate mutant-specific locations in the skull base and histological subtypes (44–49). The majority of SMO, AKT1, and PIK3CA-mutant meningiomas occur in the anterior skull base and are strongly associated with the grade I meningothelial subtype (50). Smoothened (Smo), which is encoded by SMO, is a transmembrane protein integral to the Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway and plays a key role in embryogenesis. Inhibition of Smo by the small-molecule drug vismodegib is currently under investigation in combination with a novel FAK inhibitor GSK2256098 (NCT02523014).

AKT1 encodes the enzyme RAS-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase and is activated through the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mTOR pathway to suppress apoptosis. Grade I meningiomas which harbor activating mutations of AKT1 E7K are characterized by shorter time to recurrence compared to AKT1 wild-type meningiomas (51). A multicohort basket study of patients with AKT1-mutant solid tumors demonstrated that treatment with the pan-Akt inhibitor AZD5363 is safe and effective (52). Although patients with meningiomas were not included in this study, Weller et al. reported an encouraging case in which a patient with multiply recurrent, meningothelial meningioma metastatic to the lung achieved stable disease of multiple tumor sites after 17 months of salvage AZD5363 (given 480 mg twice daily in a four days on/three days off schedule). Further exploration of targeted therapy in this subgroup of meningiomas is warranted (53).

Approximately 7% of NF2 wild-type meningiomas involve PIK3CA, which is mutually exclusive with SMO and AKT1, and approximately 25% harbor mutations in tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 7 (TRAF7), which is involved in ubiquitination and can co-occur with AKT1 or Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) gene (48,54). Both TRAF7
and KLF4 occur in secretory meningioma (WHO grade I) and tend to be located in the petroclival or midline skull base. Currently the tumorigenic roles of TRAF7 or KLF4 are unclear, and warrant further study before effective targeted agents can be developed.

In contrast to grade I meningiomas, grade II and III meningiomas exhibit fewer somatic targetable mutations such as SMO, AKT1, or PIK3CA. Instead, higher grade meningiomas are more likely to demonstrate high mutational burden, high-frequency microsatellite instability (MSI-H), and mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency from copy number alterations. Compared to specific driver alterations, these are more challenging to target selectively and may respond more favorably to a broader approach. The investigation of immunotherapy such as programmed death-1 receptor (PD-1) blockade is supported by a number of observations of high-grade meningiomas: a number of mutations are predicted to be immunogenic, expression of PD-1 and its ligand (PD-L1) is increased, and the microenvironment of meningiomas contain a selected, antigen-experienced effector T-cell population enriched by those expressing markers of an exhausted phenotype (44,55-57). Several phase II clinical trials are actively recruiting to assess the efficacy of checkpoint inhibitors such as pembrolizumab, nivolumab, and ipilimumab in recurrent or residual high-grade meningiomas (NCT02648997, NCT03279692, NCT033604978, NCT03173950).

While surgery and radiation remain central to the initial management of enlarging, symptomatic meningiomas, improved understanding of the underlying tumor biology has refined the options for systemic therapy. The agent of choice will likely depend on molecular and genetic profiling, as the response of high-grade meningiomas to highly selective agents is not expected to be as favorable as a subset of non-NF2-mutant WHO grade I meningiomas might. Regardless, early data are promising and give hope to controlling the disease in patients who have exhausted all other options.

**Pituitary adenomas (PA)**

PA account for approximately 10–20% of primary intracranial tumors and may cause clinical symptoms either due to hormonal hypersecretion or compression of surrounding structures including the optic nerves, chiasm, and cavernous sinuses. The majority of these tumors are benign and slow growing and are highly treatable with standard therapies including surgical resection and adjuvant radiation therapy. While surgical resection via either a transphenoidal or transcranial route continues to be the mainstay of treatment for most symptomatic pituitary adenomas, the extent of resection is highly dependent on a number of factors including tumor size, consistency, and invasion into the parasellar and suprasellar areas. Following subtotal resection, 50–60% of adenomas will continue to progress and even after apparent gross total resection, tumor recurrence may occur in up to 30% of cases with long-term follow-up (58-62). Adjuvant radiation therapy is often used for the treatment of residual and recurrent pituitary adenomas and is typically delivered using stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), conventional conformal radiotherapy, or fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT). The goals of radiation therapy are typically to achieve tumor control for non-functioning adenomas (NFPA) and tumor control with endocrine remission for hormone-secreting functional adenomas. SRS is the most common modality used to treat recurrent pituitary adenomas with tumor control rates of 94–100% at 5 years and 76–87% at 10 years of follow-up for NFPA. SRS is typically delivered in a single session with a marginal dose of 12–18 Gy. Post-radiation neurological deficits and hypopituitarism following SRS may result in up to 7% and 39% of patients, respectively (63). Additional potential complications of radiation therapy include symptomatic radiation necrosis, secondary neoplasms, and radiation-induced vasculopathy. Radiation therapy also plays an important role in inducing hormonal remission for recurrent functional adenomas resistant to medical therapies and not amenable to further surgery. Endocrine remission rates for ACTH-secreting adenomas with SRS range from 28–70% with higher radiation doses necessary to induce remission compared to those necessary for tumor control with NFPA.

A small subset of pituitary tumors is classified as aggressive pituitary adenomas (APA) based on their clinical presentation and resistance to standard surgical, radiation, and medical therapies (64). A clear definition for APA remains controversial, however, the most recent 4th edition of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the Pituitary Gland (2017) recognizes the importance of increased mitotic count, high Ki67 proliferative index (>3%), and tumor invasion as pathologic markers of clinically aggressive adenomas with an increased risk of relapse and resistance to standard therapies (Figure 2) (65,66). Additionally, several subtypes of pituitary neuroendocrine tumors with a high probability of recurrence have been designated including silent corticotroph adenomas, sparsely granulated somatotroph...
adenomas, lactotroph adenomas in med, Crooke’s cell adenoma, and plurihormonal Pit-1-positive adenomas. This new pathologic classification should enable more accurate tumor subtyping and improved prediction of aggressive clinical behavior to better assess and guide our therapies. The 2017 WHO guidelines continue to define pituitary carcinomas by the presence of non-contiguous craniospinal or systemic metastases as pituitary carcinomas and adenomas are histologically indistinguishable (Figure 3). Fortunately, pituitary carcinomas remain rare, accounting for only 0.2% of pituitary tumors, but are associated with 1-year mortality rates of up to 66% (67). In a 2016 European Society of Endocrinology (ESE) survey of treatment of aggressive pituitary tumors, the mortality rate for APA nearly approaches that of pituitary carcinomas, demonstrating the need for improved chemotherapy and targeted molecular therapies for these challenging tumors (68,69).

Recently, significant advances have been made in understanding the genetic landscape of pituitary adenoma tumorigenesis. The primary pathomechanism of pituitary adenomas appears to involve alterations in cell-cycle regulation and growth factor signaling due to epigenetic changes. Somatic and germline mutations occur more rarely with growth-hormone and ACTH-secreting adenomas carrying somatic mutations in the GNAS and USP8 genes, respectively (70). Mutations in USP48 and BRAF have also been identified in USP8-negative Cushing’s disease patients (71). More rarely, germline mutations occur in association with familial tumor syndromes including multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) and type 4 (MEN4) syndromes, Carney complex, and McCune-Albright syndrome (70). While the identification of germline and somatic genetic defects has contributed to our understanding of PA pathogenesis, the exact molecular mechanisms leading to the majority of PA remains to be fully elucidated and the distinction of these molecular subgroups has remained of limited clinical relevance in terms of treatment.

Given the complex genomic landscape of PA, a wide variety of therapies have been tried for aggressive pituitary adenomas and carcinomas, mostly with poor results. To date, the most commonly utilized therapies have been alkylating chemotherapeutics previously approved for other central nervous system malignancies. In 2006, a series of case reports described regression of aggressive pituitary adenomas following administration of temozolomide (TMZ) monotherapy (72,73). More recently, McCormack and colleagues reported the results of a European Society of Endocrinology (ESE) survey on the treatment of APA and PC (69). The survey included 157 patients treated with TMZ as first-line chemotherapy reported that overall 37% of patients demonstrated a radiographic response including 6% with complete regression. The majority of these patients were administered TMZ according to the standard
“Stupp” protocol used for glioblastoma (TMZ 75 mg/m$^2$ daily, followed by TMZ 150–200 mg/m$^2$ daily for five days every 28 days). The median duration of treatment was 9 months and the maximal radiographic response occurred within 3 months in 23% of patients and within 6 months in 59% of patients (74). Hormonally functioning tumors responded better to TMZ than NFPA, and similar to the responses seen with glioblastoma, lower activity levels of the DNA repair enzyme O(6)-methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) were associated with improved clinical response (69). On the basis of these results, the ESE guidelines for the management of APA and PC currently recommend use of TMZ monotherapy as first-line chemotherapy for these tumors following documented tumor growth (64). The ESE recommends that radiographic response be evaluated after three cycles of TMZ and therapy continued for at least six months for tumors demonstrating clinical response or stability. Little data is available on the long-term treatment of APA and PC, but TMZ monotherapy is often continued until progression is observed.

There is limited data to guide second-line therapies for APA and PC that continue to progress following TMZ administration. Preclinical and clinical studies have identified several pituitary adenoma-intrinsic targets for therapy including growth factors and their receptors and intracellular signaling pathways. Inhibition of VEGF has been reported in 13 cases, with 9 cases showing apparent clinical response to either anti-VEGF monotherapy or combination therapy (TMZ, pasireotide, radiotherapy) (68). Markers of tumor angiogenesis, including VEGF expression, have been observed in APA compared to standard pituitary adenomas. Ortiz et al. described a patient with a silent corticotroph pituitary carcinoma who

**Figure 3** A 63-year-old man presented with worsening diabetes mellitus and visual loss. Laboratory evaluation revealed an elevated growth hormone (GH) of 53 ng/mL (<10.0) and a pituitary macroadenoma (arrow) with suprasellar extension on sagittal (A) and axial (B) MRI. He underwent a right craniotomy for near-gross total resection of the mass followed by stereotactic radiosurgery with his postoperative GH decreased to 3.7 ng/mL. Three years after treatment, laboratory and imaging surveillance revealed his GH increased to 134 and MRI showed no sellar recurrence (C) but non-contiguous growth of his pituitary tumor (D) along the right sphenoid wing (*) consistent with pituitary carcinoma.
had progressed despite TMZ administration treated with bevacizumab. After 26 months of bevacizumab treatment, stability of disease was observed on serial MRI and PET scan imaging (75). Wang et al. reported a >90% tumor size reduction of a multiply recurrent aggressive growth hormone-producing adenoma following treatment with TMZ and the VEGFR-2 inhibitor apatinib (76). After two years of follow-up, they reported sustained biochemical growth hormone remission and no radiographic tumor recurrence. The relative contribution of apatinib to the clinical response remains uncertain, however. Based on these limited data, VEGF-targeted therapies should be considered in patients with progressive disease. The clinical relevance of increased VEGF-expression as a biomarker to predict response deserves further evaluation. The Raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathways have also been shown to be upregulated in pituitary tumors (64,77). Inhibitors of these cascades demonstrate anti-tumor effects in vitro and in murine models of APA, however, limited success has been observed clinically with these agents.

Everolimus, an oral mTOR inhibitor, has been employed as second- or third-line monotherapy in five patients without success (64,78). The oncogenic V600E BRAF mutation has been detected in 16.5% of corticotroph adenomas but not in other types of pituitary adenomas. Treatment of murine corticotroph pituitary adenomas with the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib reduced ACTH expression, suggesting a role for this inhibitor in Cushing’s disease patients with BRAF-mutated tumors (68,71).

An additional emerging therapy for treatment refractory pituitary adenomas is the use of immunotherapy. A recent study by Wang et al. reported that PD-L1, a key predictive marker of immunotherapy response, is frequently expressed in functional pituitary adenomas with higher Ki-67 index (79). PD-L1 expression was detected in 59% of functional tumors compared to 34% of NFPA. Similarly, Mei et al. showed significantly higher levels of PD-L1 expression and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in functional tumors, further raising the possibility that checkpoint blockade immunotherapy may be effective in cases of functional adenomas refractory to conventional management (80). Currently, only a single case has been reported of a patient with a pituitary carcinoma, previously treated with TMZ and capecitabine, responding to treatment with a combination of the checkpoint inhibitors monoclonal antibodies nivolumab (anti-PD-1) and ipilimumab (anti-CTLA4). Following five cycles of therapy, the intracranial tumor volume decreased by 59% and the primary liver metastasis decreased by 92% (81). Plasma ACTH levels decreased from 45,550 to 66 pg/mL. At six months of surveillance, no progression was observed. Importantly, clinical experience with immunotherapy suggests that normal pituitary cells are susceptible to checkpoint inhibitors with hypophysitis reported as a common side effect of therapy in 4–15% of patients, potentially related to ectopic expression of CTLA-4 on pituitary endocrine (82-84). While this expression may potentiate the anti-tumor immune response of checkpoint inhibitors, patients will need to be closely monitored for development of hypopituitarism.

In conclusion, following failure of conventional treatments including surgical resection by an expert neurosurgeon and maximal radiation therapy for aggressive pituitary adenomas and pituitary carcinomas, TMZ is currently recommended as first-line therapy. Second- and third-line options remain uncertain with limited data available to guide therapy, however, treatment with VEGF inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors appear to hold promise and are currently being investigated in several clinical trials.

**Craniopharyngiomas**

Craniopharyngiomas are benign (WHO grade I) tumors that occur in the sellar and suprasellar region, arising from embryonic epithelium derived from Rathke’s pouch (85). Craniopharyngiomas are relatively rare tumors with an incidence of 0.13 per 100,000-person years and account for 3% of all intracranial neoplasms (1). Craniopharyngiomas reliably occur in a bi-modal age distribution, most often presenting in pediatric patients between the age of 5–14, with a second peak occurring in adults in their sixth to seventh decade of life (86). There are two subtypes of craniopharyngiomas, each with a predilection to occur in different patient populations: the adamantinomatous subtype most frequently affects pediatric patients, whereas papillary subtype predominantly occurs in adults (87). Radiographically, adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas contain prominent cystic components and frequently exhibit calcifications, whereas the papillary subtype is more solid and rarely contains calcifications (88). Given their location in the suprasellar space, craniopharyngiomas may exert mass effect on nearby structures, and consequently can present with vision changes from compression of the
optical apparatus, hormonal abnormalities secondary to hypothalamic-pituitary dysfunction, or headaches due to obstructive hydrocephalus (89).

Craniopharyngiomas are slow-growing tumors and diagnosis is often delayed until patients begin exhibiting symptoms due to compression of adjacent structures. The most common clinical symptoms at the time of diagnosis include headaches, visual impairment, and endocrine dysfunction (90). Pediatric patients are frequently found to have endocrinopathies at the time of diagnosis, most commonly growth hormone and gonadotrophin deficiency (90). Adults, on the other hand, more often present with headaches and visual field defects (91).

The treatment of craniopharyngiomas in both pediatric and adult patients typically involves surgical resection followed by adjuvant radiation therapy. Surgical resection serves to reduce tumor mass effect on the optic apparatus and brainstem as well as restore cerebrospinal flow to relieve hydrocephalus. Resection also provides tissue diagnosis for histopathology and molecular genotyping and decreases the overall tumor volume for subsequent radiation treatment if necessary (92). Surgical resection can be performed via a transcranial or endoscopic endonasal approach (93), both of which have shown to confer similar overall survival when combined with adjuvant radiation therapy (94-96). Radiation treatment has been shown to be an effective adjuvant treatment following subtotal tumor resection or at the time of tumor recurrence (97-99).

Surgical resection and radiation therapy provide excellent tumor control, with overall 10-year survival rates reported between 80-90%, albeit local recurrence is common (100,101). Even amongst patients with long-term progression free survival, there is a high prevalence of neurological and psychological morbidity. Patients with craniopharyngioma diagnosed in childhood, and their caregivers, report inferior quality of life metrics, and harbor long-term psychosocial impairment (102). Furthermore, pituitary insufficiency is present in a large portion of patients, and up to 75% of patients are rendered with panhypopituitarism, predisposing them to chronic morbidity from obesity, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (103). The neurocognitive and metabolic morbidity associated with treatment of craniopharyngiomas via aggressive surgical resection and subsequent radiation therapy underscores the need for research and development of novel drug therapies. In recent years, insights gained from the molecular mechanisms that drive pathogenesis of craniopharyngiomas have introduced the possibility that targeted therapies may developed for the treatment of these tumors.

**CTNNB1/β-catenin gene mutation in adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma**

A mutation of CTNNB1 resulting in the over-expression β-catenin, mediated via activation of the Wnt signaling pathway, has been implicated in the tumorigenesis of adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas (104). β-catenin is a protein encoded by the CTNNB1, and is part of the cadherin protein complex that mediates cellular adhesion (105). β-catenin also comprises an important part of the Wnt signaling pathway, which mediates cellular proliferation. Aberrations in the β-catenin/Wnt signaling pathway have been implicated in the pathogenesis of breast, colorectal, and endometrial cancer (106). In its inactivated form, β-catenin is found in the cellular membrane, where it can be readily degraded by proteasomes. Mutations in the CTNNB1 has been shown to activate the Wnt pathway, which renders β-catenin resistant to degradation and instead mobilizes β-catenin to the nucleus of cells to promote tumor cell migration (107).

Sekine et al. performed DNA sequencing analysis on 16 patients who underwent resection of craniopharyngiomas and found that all ten adamantinomatous specimens harbored β-catenin gene mutations, which was not found in any of the papillary subtype samples (108). Immunohistological analysis revealed the cellular location of β-catenin, too, was significantly different between the two subtypes. The adamantinomatous subtype showed predominantly cytoplasmic and nuclear expression of β-catenin, with lack of membranous staining, in contrast to papillary subtype specimens that exclusively harbored β-catenin in cellular membranes. Taken together, this study was the first to show that in addition to separate histologic findings between the two tumor subtypes, adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas harbor a distinct genetic profile compared to papillary craniopharyngiomas, with different underlying mechanisms of tumorigenesis (Table 1).

The exon 3 location of the CTNNB1 encodes for the glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) domain responsible for the phosphorylation of β-catenin, and mutations in this gene prevent the protein from effectively being marked for proteolysis. Goschzik et al. examined whether the CTNNB1/β-catenin mutation at different phosphorylation sites
corresponded to variable clinical behavior of these tumors, and found that among five sites of somatic mutation there was no significant difference in progression-free survival; however, there was a trend towards poorer outcome amongst patients who harbored mutations at the Thr41 site though its significance was limited by small sample size (109). Guadagno et al. investigated markers that predicted increased risk of recurrence, and found that increased immunohistochemical expression tumor cell clusters containing β-catenin was associated with a higher rate of recurrence (110).

The presence of CTNNB1/β-catenin mutations that result in Wnt pathway activation has been corroborated as being a key marker in the pathogenesis and progression of adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas, and studies are underway investigating this gene mutation as a target for therapeutic drug development (111). Furthermore, the discovery of β-catenin mutations as a principal factor in the clinical behavior of adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas underscores the need for genetic testing and immunohistochemical staining to serve as important adjuncts in the pathologic diagnosis of these tumors as well as potentially predict clinical outcome. Although there are no clinical trials currently available for adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas, several phase I and phase II trials are underway investigating the use of vemurafenib, a BRAF inhibitor. Aylwin et al. were the first to report the successful treatment response of recurrent craniopharyngioma with vemurafenib. In their case report, a patient with histologically-confirmed papillary craniopharyngioma who had previously undergone three endoscopic tumor resections and adjuvant radiation therapy presented with tumor recurrence. The patient was treated with vemurafenib for three months, and repeat MRI showed a dramatic reduction in tumor size after two weeks. However, the tumor recurred within six weeks after treatment was discontinued (114). Brastianos et al. published a case report of a patient with recurrence of a large, cystic tumor who was treated with dual BRAF (dabrafenib) and MEK (trametinib) inhibitors, with resultant decrease of over 80% in the size of the tumor. Following this treatment, the patient’s tumor was amenable to endoscopic resection, and with adjuvant radiosurgery, the authors report that he remained symptom-free 18 months after treatment (115).

### BRAF (V600E) mutation in papillary craniopharyngioma

Brastianos et al. first reported the presence of V600E BRAF oncogene mutation, with resultant activation of the MAP kinase/ERK signaling pathway, as a crucial underlying aberration that drives the pathogenesis of papillary craniopharyngiomas (113). Using whole exome sequencing analysis, V600E BRAF mutations were identified in all three ‘discovery’ papillary craniopharyngioma specimens (including one from a pediatric patient). None of these were found to harbor CTNNB1/β-catenin mutations. This finding was corroborated by performing targeted genotyping in a larger cohort, and BRAF mutations were detected in 95% of papillary craniopharyngiomas. Although it was already widely believed that the two subtypes of craniopharyngioma have distinct underlying genetic attributes, this study was the first to report the specific mutation driving the pathogenesis of the papillary subtype, thereby identifying a potential target for therapeutic drug treatment.

Since this crucial discovery, several case reports have been published that report a marked response to treatment of papillary craniopharyngiomas using BRAF inhibitors. Aylwin et al. were the first to report the successful treatment response of recurrent craniopharyngioma with vemurafenib. In their case report, a patient with histologically-confirmed papillary craniopharyngioma who had previously undergone three endoscopic tumor resections and adjuvant radiation therapy presented with tumor recurrence. The patient was treated with vemurafenib for three months, and repeat MRI showed a dramatic reduction in tumor size after two weeks. However, the tumor recurred within six weeks after treatment was discontinued (114). Brastianos et al. published a case report of a patient with recurrence of a large, cystic tumor who was treated with dual BRAF (dabrafenib) and MEK (trametinib) inhibitors, with resultant decrease of over 80% in the size of the tumor. Following this treatment, the patient’s tumor was amenable to endoscopic resection, and with adjuvant radiosurgery, the authors report that he remained symptom-free 18 months after treatment (115).
In another case study, Rostami et al. reported near resolution of tumor four months after initiating treatment with dual MEK and BRAF inhibitors. The treatment was discontinued after the patient developed pyrexia, and the subsequent clinical course, including whether tumor recurrence occurred, was not reported (116).

The BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib is currently FDA-approved for the treatment of late-stage melanoma, which frequently harbors the same V600E mutation as papillary craniopharyngioma. In one randomized phase III clinical trial, patients receiving vemurafenib had significantly longer overall survival compared to the chemotherapeutic agent dacarbazine (117). In another phase III trial for metastatic melanoma, a separate BRAF inhibitor (dabrafenib) and adjunct MEK inhibitor (trametinib) was shown to improve overall survival compared to BRAF inhibitor monotherapy, suggesting that the addition of a MEK inhibitor can protect against BRAF inhibitor resistance (118). However, the response of BRAF inhibitors to treat other types of tumors has been more guarded. Hyman et al. conducted a phase II study that investigated tumor response to vemurafenib in a variety of non-melanoma cancers harboring BRAF V600E mutations and found a highly variable tumor response. This study highlights that although targeted therapies can be developed to treat tumors harboring specific mutations, the sensitivity of response may vary between different types of tumors (119).

Given that there has been a singular underlying mutation identified that drives the pathogenesis of papillary craniopharyngiomas, the use of targeted drug therapy holds great promise for the treatment of this tumor. There is a phase II clinical trial currently underway (NCT03224767) investigating the combined use of BRAF and MEK-inhibitors (vemurafenib and cobimetinib) for the treatment of BRAF V600E mutant residual or recurrent craniopharyngiomas. Given the long-term morbidity associated with the current management paradigm for craniopharyngiomas, development of a targeted treatment for patients with BRAF V600E mutant papillary subtype tumors could serve not only for the treatment of recurrent tumors, but also potentially as a neoadjuvant therapy to reduce tumor size prior to surgery or radiation and limit the long-term morbidity associated with these treatments.

**Discussion**

There is a paucity of effective agents for refractory or relapsed meningioma, pituitary adenoma, or craniopharyngioma, though a new focus on investigating tumorigenic drivers has resulted in the development of promising therapies, particularly for NF-2 mutated meningiomas and craniopharyngiomas harboring mutations of V600E BRAF. In contrast, NF-2 wild-type meningiomas often exhibit a high mutational burden which may be more responsive to immunotherapy. Clarification of the genetic and epigenetic changes which drive development of pituitary adenomas is still developing, though the inverse relationship between MGMT immunoexpression and the response to temozolomide warrants interest.
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