Radiologic Measurements in the Assessment of Patellar Instability: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.

Alex E White  
*Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York, USA*

Peters T Otlans  
*Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

Dylan P. Horan  
*Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

Daniel Calem  
*Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

William Emper  
*Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you  
See next page for additional authors

**Recommended Citation**

White, Alex E; Otlans, Peters T; Horan, Dylan P; Calem, Daniel; Emper, William; Freedman, Kevin; and Tjomakaris, MD, Fotios, "Radiologic Measurements in the Assessment of Patellar Instability: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." (2021). *Rothman Institute Faculty Papers*. Paper 140.  
https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman_institute/140

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Rothman Institute Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.
Radiologic Measurements in the Assessment of Patellar Instability

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
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Background: Numerous diagnostic imaging measurements related to patellar instability have been evaluated in the literature; however, little has been done to compare these findings across multiple studies.

Purpose: To review the different imaging measurements used to evaluate patellar instability and to assess the prevalence of each measure and its utility in predicting instability. We focused on reliability across imaging modalities and between patients with and without patellar instability.

Study Design: Systematic review; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: We performed a systematic review of the literature using the PubMed, SCOPUS, and Cochrane databases. Each database was searched for variations of the terms “patellar instability,” “patellar dislocation,” “trochlear dysplasia,” “radiographic measures,” “computed tomography,” and “magnetic resonance imaging.” Studies were included if they were published after May 1, 2009, and before May 1, 2019. A meta-analysis using a random effects model was performed on several measurements, comparing instability and control groups to generate pooled values.

Results: A total of 813 articles were identified, and 96 articles comprising 7912 patients and 106 unique metrics were included in the analysis. The mean patient age was 23.1 years (95% CI, 21.1-24.5), and 41% were male. The tibial tubercle–trochlear groove (TT-TG) distance was the most frequently included metric (59 studies), followed by the Insall-Salvati ratio and Caton-Deschamps index (both 26 studies). The interobserver intraclass correlation coefficients were excellent or good for the TT-TG distance and Insall-Salvati ratio in 100% of studies reporting them; however, for the Caton-Deschamps index and Blackburne-Peel ratio, they were excellent or good in only 43% and 40% of studies. Pooled magnetic resonance imaging values for TT-TG distance (P < .01), Insall-Salvati ratio (P = .01), and femoral sulcus angle (P = .02) were significantly different between the instability and control groups. Values for tibial tubercle–posterior cruciate ligament distance (P = .36) and Caton-Deschamps index (P = .09) were not significantly different between groups.

Conclusion: The most commonly reported measurements for evaluating patellar instability assessed patellar tracking and trochlear morphology. The TT-TG distance was the most common measurement and was greater in the patellar instability group as compared with the control group. In addition, the TT-TG, tibial tubercle–posterior cruciate ligament, and patellar tendon–trochlear groove distances were highly reproducible measurements for patellar tracking, and the Insall-Salvati ratio had superior reproducibility for assessing patellar height.

Keywords: patellar instability; radiologic measurements; TT-TG distance

Patellar instability is a common yet complex knee condition, with the highest incidence reported in 14- to 18-year-old patients.92 Sanders et al92 reported the annual incidence of first-time patellar dislocations to be 23.2 per 100,000 person-years. In addition to clinical examination findings, numerous anatomic variables identified through diagnostic imaging have been shown in the literature to contribute to patellar instability.105,121 These imaging criteria have been utilized to characterize trochlear morphology, patellar tracking, patellar morphology, and patellar height—major interdependent variables implicated in patellar instability. In addition to their diagnostic utility, measurements such as the tibial tubercle–trochlear groove (TT-TG) distance, sulcus angle, Insall-Salvati ratio, Blackburne-Peel ratio, and
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trochlear morphology classifications help guide clinical and surgical decision making.\textsuperscript{5,9,37,101,106} Researchers have continued to search for accurate and reliable measurements of various radiographic features of the knee in determining the underlying cause of patellar instability and planning possible surgical intervention.

While some measurements are used more commonly than others, there has been a recent surge in new measurements and modifications of traditional measurements reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{13,15,18,19,22,26,32} Additionally, the imaging modality and technique of choice for several of these measurements remain to be determined.\textsuperscript{1,17,20} Furthermore, there is little consensus on the reliability and reproducibility of these various imaging metrics. For instance, Hinckel et al\textsuperscript{55} noted a difference in TT-TG distances when comparing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with computed tomography (CT) imaging. Smith et al\textsuperscript{102} also demonstrated generally poor inter- and intrarater reliability for several common radiologic measurements for patellar height.

The purpose of this study, therefore, was to provide a thorough review of the radiographic imaging measurements in the evaluation of patellar instability. We focused on the frequency of citation for these measurements, their reliability and reproducibility, and the numeric values of the various measurements across different imaging modalities and between patients with patellar instability and controls. The purpose was not to find a singular best study but rather to identify commonly reported and reliable measures of patellofemoral anatomy with regard to patellar instability.

METHODS

Search Criteria

We performed a systematic review of the literature on diagnostic and predictive imaging measurements for patellar instability using the PubMed, SCOPUS, and Cochrane databases. Each database was searched for several variations of the terms “patellar instability,” “patellar dislocation,” “trochlear dysplasia,” “imaging measurement,” “radiographic measures,” “computed tomography,” and “magnetic resonance imaging.” Article screening was completed by 2 independent reviewers (D.B.C. and D.P.H.). PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) criteria were followed throughout the systematic review process (Figure 1).

Duplicate studies were removed, and cohorts were assessed to ensure that patients were not included more than once for the same radiologic measurement in the analysis. All articles that remained were screened by title and abstract, followed by a full-text analysis as indicated.

Study inclusion criteria were level 4 evidence or greater and assessment of imaging measurements related to patellar instability in humans. Studies were included if they were published in English after May 1, 2009, but before May 1, 2019, and had a mean patient population age between 16 and 50 years. Studies were excluded if they included animal subjects, cadaveric specimens or skeletally immature patients; assessed measurements not related to patellar instability; or included patients who underwent previous surgery to the knee being studied. Systematic reviews, simple operative technique reports, and any studies with level 5 evidence were excluded. If a study reported only postoperative measurements, it was excluded. After the initial screening process, the references of the included articles were reviewed to evaluate for potentially missed articles.

Data Extraction

Demographic data and the types of imaging modalities were recorded for each study. The names of all imaging measurements were recorded, and their values were extracted for quantitative analysis. Reporting of means and SDs was required for individual study data to be included in the quantitative analysis. Additionally, surgical studies that limited inclusion of patients based on predetermined measurement cutoffs, such as TT-TG $>$20 mm and Insall-Salvati ratio $>$1.2, were excluded from the quantitative analysis to prevent skewing of the results. However, these studies were still included in the qualitative section of the article. Imaging reliability data were extracted in the form of inter- and intrarater intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). Inter- and intraobserver ICC values were classified according to the guidelines established by Koo and Li,\textsuperscript{63} who described ICC values $\geq$0.9 as excellent, between 0.75 and 0.89 as good, between 0.5 and 0.74 as moderate, and $<$0.5 as poor. Additionally, the primary findings from each study were collected and summarized and appear in Appendix 1 (available online as Supplemental Material). The number of times that each measurement was utilized was tallied, and each measurement was assigned to 1 of the following categories: patellar tracking, trochlear morphology, patellar height, patellar morphology, patellar inclination, or soft tissue description. Two independent reviewers (D.B.C. and D.P.H.) assessed risk of bias using the Cochrane tool for randomized controlled trials, the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale for cohort and case-control studies, and the Joanna Briggs critical appraisal tool for case series.\textsuperscript{60}
Statistical Analysis

For quantitative analysis, baseline demographics and imaging measurements were stratified by instability and control groups. If data from instability and control groups were presented in at least 3 studies for a given instability measurement, the measurement was included for further quantitative analysis. A meta-analysis using a random effects model was then performed on these subgroups to generate a pooled value with 95% CI. Heterogeneity was assessed using the $I^2$ test. Data analysis and forest plot generation were completed using R Version 3.4.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). The meta-analysis in R was completed using the meta package, with a $P$ value $<.05$ considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 813 articles was identified in the literature after removal of duplicates. After appropriate screening, 96 articles comprising 7912 patients were included for analysis (Figure 1). The mean age was 23.1 years (95% CI, 21.1-24.5), and 41% of the sample was male (Table 1). There was no difference in age, height, and body mass index between the instability and control groups ($P > .2$). However, differences in sex were statistically significant, as female patients comprised 63% and 51% of the instability and control groups, respectively.

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patellar Instability Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Studies</td>
<td>No. of Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

control groups, respectively \((P < 0.01)\). A total of 89 studies examined 6573 patients with instability, which included patients with first-time and multiple episodes of patellar dislocation. Of these articles, 55 examined a subgroup of control patients \((n = 1349)\), defined as asymptomatic patients with no history of patellofemoral dislocation or patients with alternative knee pathology. After consolidation of synonymous terms and like measurements, there were 106 unique measurements: 39 were categorized as patellar tracking; 38, as trochlear morphology; 11, as patellar height; 10, as soft tissue description; and 4 each, as patellar morphology and patellar inclination. The most common measurements are presented by category in Table 2. The full list of measurements, ranked by number of studies with their assigned category, and the individual forest plots from our statistical analysis are provided in Appendix 2 (available online as Supplemental Material). The definitions of common measurements are provided by diagram and mathematical description in Figure 2.

**Patellar Tracking**

Patellar tracking was the most commonly studied category, with 39 unique measures cited in the literature. A total of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>No. of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patellar tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT-TG distance</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congruence/Merchant angle</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar lateral displacement shift</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT-PCL distance</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-/3-dimensional knee rotation angle</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial/tibial tubercle torsion</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochlear morphology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral/trochlear sulcus angle</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dejour classification</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochlear groove depth</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral trochlear or facet inclination / tilt angle</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insall-Salvati/modified Insall-Salvati ratio</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caton-Deschamps index</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackburn-Peel ratio</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar trochlear index</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar inclination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar tilt, inclination angle, lateral patellofemoral angle</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral patellofemoral angle</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFL thickness</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMO morphology</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar morphology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellofemoral contacting surface ratio</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiberg classification</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patellar length</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MPFL, medial patellofemoral ligament; TT-PCL, tibial tubercle–posterior cruciate ligament; TT-TG, tibial tubercle–trochlear groove; VMO, vastus medialis obliquus.

59 studies examined TT-TG distance in some manner, and it was the most cited measurement in the patellar tracking category and overall. The second-most reported measurement in the patellar tracking category was the congruence or Merchant angle \((13)\) studies.\(^\text{1}\) Five of 6 studies \((83\%)\) that measured TT-TG distance using CT\(^\text{22,44,55,77,106,112}\) and 9 of 15 study populations \((13\) studies).

\(^\text{1}\)References 27, 43, 54, 61, 70, 73, 74, 80, 82, 99, 103, 110, 111.
studies) that measured TT-TG distance using MRI reported interobserver ICCs > 0.9. However, all studies reported interobserver ICCs > 0.75 for TT-TG distance, regardless of imaging modality. Three of 4 studies (75%) reported ICCs > 0.9 for the tibial tubercle–posterior cruciate ligament (TT-PCL) measurement, and 1 of 4 studies reported an ICC between 0.75 and 0.99. Pooled TT-TG measurements are depicted in Table 3. The pooled mean TT-TG distance for patients with instability was 13.61 mm (95% CI, 12.24-14.97) as measured using MRI and 15.89 mm (95% CI, 14.08-17.70) as measured using CT, whereas the pooled mean TT-TG distance for the control group was 10.24 mm (95% CI, 8.45-12.02) using MRI and 12.61 mm (95% CI, 10.47-14.74) using CT. Among patients with instability, the TT-TG distance as measured using CT was just shy of statistical significance when compared to MRI (P = .05).

Trochlear Morphology

The second-most encountered measurement category was trochlear morphology with 38 unique measurements. The most cited measures included trochlear or femoral sulcus angle (19 studies),†† the Dejour classification (12 studies),‡‡ trochlear groove depth (10 studies),§§ and lateral trochlear or facet inclination/tilt angle (9 studies). Three studies reported 4 unique interobserver ICCs for sulcus angle, and all of these values were between 0.75 and 0.9 (60%) that measured TT-TG distance using MRI reported interobserver ICCs > 0.9. However, all studies reported interobserver ICCs > 0.75 for TT-TG distance, regardless of imaging modality. Three of 4 studies (75%) reported ICCs > 0.9 for the tibial tubercle–posterior cruciate ligament (TT-PCL) measurement, 19,31,51 and 1 of 4 studies reported an ICC between 0.75 and 0.9. Pooled TT-TG measurements are depicted in Table 3. The pooled mean TT-TG distance for patients with instability was 13.61 mm (95% CI, 12.24-14.97) as measured using MRI and 15.89 mm (95% CI, 14.08-17.70) as measured using CT, whereas the pooled mean TT-TG distance for the control group was 10.24 mm (95% CI, 8.45-12.02) using MRI and 12.61 mm (95% CI, 10.47-14.74) using CT. Among patients with instability, the TT-TG distance as measured using CT was just shy of statistical significance when compared to MRI (P = .05).

Trochlear Morphology

The second-most encountered measurement category was trochlear morphology with 38 unique measurements. The most cited measures included trochlear or femoral sulcus angle (19 studies),†† the Dejour classification (12 studies),‡‡ trochlear groove depth (10 studies),§§ and lateral trochlear or facet inclination/tilt angle (9 studies). Three studies reported 4 unique interobserver ICCs for sulcus angle, and all of these values were between 0.75 and

---
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The primary findings of this study are multifold. For one, the most commonly reported measurements in the literature for evaluating instability assessed patellar tracking and trochlear morphology, with the most common individual measurement being the TT-TG distance. Additionally, TT-TG, TT-PCL, and patellar tendon–trochlear groove (PT-TG) distances were highly reproducible metrics in the assessment of patellar tracking. For patellar height, the Insall-Salvati ratio had superior imaging reproducibility relative to the Caton-Deschamps index and Blackburne-Peel ratio. Finally, the TT-TG distance, Insall-Salvati ratio, and femoral sulcus angle were significantly greater in patients with instability as compared with controls, while the Caton-Deschamps index and TT-PCL distance were not significantly different between groups.

There is a large breadth of literature on patellofemoral imaging for diagnostic, predictive, and surgical planning.
purposes. Presumably, this expanse of research is related to the wide spectrum of anatomic factors related to the pathology of lateral patellar instability. In an MRI study of patients with primary patellar dislocation, Arendt et al. found patellar height and trochlear dysplasia to be the most common risk factors; however, they found no predominant pattern in their population. Furthermore, a systematic review on the evaluation of trochlear dysplasia found 33 unique measurements in the literature, recommending 6 of them based on an assessment of their reliability. The surplus of unreliable metrics on the topic proves just how little consensus there is surrounding diagnostic imaging measurements. Likewise, planning for bony realignment procedures involving tibial tubercle osteotomy has found good utility in patellar tracking measurements, including the TT-TG distance. It should be noted, however, that the predictability of the static TT-TG measurement related to dynamic patellar tracking has been brought into question. The present study sought to categorize the 106 unique measurements identified in the literature as a way to qualitatively organize this information for clinicians. Six broad categories were established: patellar morphology, patellar height, patellar inclination, patellar tracking, trochlear morphology, and soft tissue description. Although these categories were not mutually exclusive, they served to organize the various measurements. The most commonly represented categories were patellar tracking and trochlear morphology or dysplasia, with 39 and 38 unique measurements, respectively.

Merchant et al. described the use of radiography in 1974 to assess for instability using Insall-Salvati ratio, sulcus angle, and congruence angle with the knee flexed to 45°. Kujala et al. expanded outside of static imaging, noting the importance in evaluating the first 30° of flexion for determining instability. CT soon became preferred to radiography, as it provided more detail. Using CT, quantitative values for patellar tracking, patellar height, patellar tilt, and others were established. Certain measurements, such as those involving the trochlea, rely on the evaluation of articular cartilage, resulting in different values on MRI and CT scans. However, controversy remains regarding the preferential use of CT or MRI for several measurements, such as TT-TG distance. Schoettle et al. demonstrated differences in CT and MRI measurements when the authors used soft tissue landmarks to determine TT-TG distance. Our study demonstrated that there was a statistically significant difference in the TT-TG measurements of patients with instability when made on MRI scans (13.61 mm) as compared with CT scans (15.89 mm). This is in accordance with Schoettle et al., who reported a TT-TG distance of 13.5 and 15.3 mm on MRI and CT scans, respectively.

Two of the more common measures cited in the literature to evaluate patellar tracking are TT-TG and TT-PCL distance. Several sources consider TT-TG or TT-PCL distances >20 mm to be pathologic. The present study did not aim to identify a pathologic threshold but determined a pooled mean TT-TG measurement on MRI scans of 13.61 mm for patients with instability, as compared with 10.24 mm for controls. Given that many surgeons use a 20-mm threshold for TT-TG distance in their decision to perform a tibial tubercle osteotomy, our findings highlight the need to consider multiple patient and anatomic characteristics in surgical planning.

In determining if preference should be given to using CT or MRI in the workup of a patient with patellar instability, our findings are inconclusive. In general, MRI scans have the benefit of demonstrating soft tissue anatomy, including any contribution of the articular cartilage to the stability of the patellofemoral joint, while CT scans show greater bony detail. Notably, the CT values for TT-TG distance in the present study were roughly 2 mm greater than those of the MRI values for the instability and control groups, which the surgeon needs to consider when determining if a patient is a candidate for tibial tubercle osteotomy. CT measurements for TT-TG distance did have a greater portion of inter- and intrarater ICCs deemed excellent when compared with MRI measurements. Given this, CT may be most useful in cases of abnormal bony anatomy and should be considered if TT-TG distance is difficult to determine on MRI scans.

Use of the TT-PCL measurement on MRI scans has also gained popularity in the assessment of patellar instability and the need to perform tibial tubercle osteotomy. Proponents of using the TT-PCL measurement have argued its favorability over the TT-TG measurement, as it eliminates the confounding variable of knee flexion with both points of measurement on the tibia. However, the current study did not detect a statistically significant difference for TT-PCL distance between instability and control groups. Additionally, the pooled average TT-PCL measurement for the control group was just shy of the 20-mm pathologic cutoff that surgeons often cite to indicate a patient for tubercle transfer. Additionally, current literature has cited that a femoral sulcus angle >150° indicates pathology. The findings of the present study align with this convention, as the mean femoral sulcus angle in the instability group was 158.46°—significantly greater than that of the control group measurement of 142.09°.

Patellar height is another common measure in the evaluation of patellar instability. The most ubiquitous metrics cited in the literature include the Insall-Salvati ratio, Caton-Deschamps index, and Blackburne-Peel ratio. Values >1.2 are considered pathologic for all 3 of these metrics measured on radiographs. The current study suggests that the Insall-Salvati ratio and the Caton-Deschamps index are cited at equal rates in the literature. The Blackburne-Peel ratio and patellar trochlear index are somewhat distant thirds. The pooled data showing a significantly different Insall-Salvati ratio of 1.31 in patients with instability as compared with 1.14 in controls is consistent with the previously defined cutoff of 1.2 used to determine presence of pathology. This solidifies the Insall-Salvati ratio as a good predictive measure for patellar instability. In contrast, the Caton-Deschamps index failed to show a statistical difference between the instability and control groups and had a pooled instability group value <1.2 (1.16). Pooled values could not be determined for the Blackburne-Peel ratio. These data suggest that the Insall-Salvati ratio may be more valuable in predicting instability;
however, the Caton-Deschamps index maintains utility in its ability to assess postoperative changes after tibial tubercle distal advancement procedures.

Several studies have performed dedicated analyses on the reliability of the various measurements for patellar instability. One review of MRI, CT, and radiographic measurements in trochlear dysplasia deemed only 6 of 33 measurements reliable enough for recommendation.86 The recommended measurements included lateral trochlear inclination, the crossing sign, the trochlear bump, TT-TG distance, the trochlear depth, and the ventral trochlear prominence.86 Smith et al102 evaluated 10 radiographic measurements of patellar height, patellar tracking, and trochlear morphology and found that the reliability of these radiologic measurements was “cautiously low.”102 Our systematic review produced enough imaging reliability results to allow for the analysis of 7 measurements. Patellar height measurements for reliability analysis included the Insall-Salvati ratio, Caton-Deschamps index, and Blackburne-Peel ratio. Of these, the Insall-Salvati ratio demonstrated superior interobserver reliability, with 100% of ICCs >0.75. Intraobserver reliability for these 3 measures was less frequently reported but was lowest for the Caton-Deschamps index. Three patellar tracking measurements had ample reliability data for analysis: TT-TG, TT-PCL, and PT-TG distances. All intra- and interobserver ICCs for these measures were >0.75; interestingly however, the PT-TG measurement had the greatest percentage of intraobserver ICCs >0.9, and the TT-TG measurement was the only measure with <100% of intraobserver ICCs >0.9. Sulcus angle was the lone trochlear morphology measure with reliability data, and all ICCs for intra- and interobserver reliability were >0.75.

The most significant limitation in this study was the heterogeneity of the data. Specifically, studies had various purposes for performing imaging, including surgical planning, assessing predictability, and diagnostic purposes, among others. Additionally, the control and instability groups were not uniform across studies. Instability as defined by the various studies ranged from a primary dislocation to recurrent dislocations, and control groups were either healthy volunteers or individuals with knee pathology unrelated to patellar instability. The heterogeneity of the data also limited the ability to perform statistical analysis and specifically prevented any such comparison to be done for the reliability of measurements. The present study also employed an imperfect system for categorizing measurements, as several measurements were intended to span multiple categories. In particular, it was often difficult to differentiate patellar tracking versus trochlear morphology measurements because the 2 categories are closely interrelated. Furthermore, given the immense number of publications, the present search was necessarily limited to a 10-year period; thus, it may have missed unique measurements reported in earlier literature regarding patellar instability and may have missed the opportunity to perform additional statistical analysis. Finally, the risk-of-bias assessment demonstrated additional heterogeneity in the quality of studies in this analysis, but study quality beyond sample size did not factor into our weighting for statistical purposes.

CONCLUSION

The most commonly reported measurements in the literature for evaluating patellar instability are those that assess patellar tracking and trochlear morphology. The TT-TG measurement is the most ubiquitous and appears to be significantly greater in patients with patellar instability as compared with controls. According to this review, the TT-TG, TT-PCL, and PT-TG distances are reproducible measurements for patellar tracking, and the Insall-Salvati ratio has superior reproducibility for assessing patellar height. Finally, this systematic review highlights the need for greater consolidation of the most reliable radiologic measurements and their roles in the assessment of patellar instability.
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