

Department of Radiology Faculty Papers

Department of Radiology

9-1-2021

# Predicting Long-Term Hepatocellular Carcinoma Response to Transarterial Radioembolization Using Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound: Initial Experiences.

Lauren J. Delaney Thomas Jefferson University

M. Tantawi Thomas Jefferson University

Corinne Wessner Thomas Jefferson University

Priscilla Machado *Thomas Jefferson University* Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/radiologyfp

Commons Thomas Jefferson University Let us know now access to this document benefits you

#### See next page for additional authors Recommended Citation

Delaney, Lauren J.; Tantawi, M.; Wessner, Corinne; Machado, Priscilla; Forsberg, Flemming; Lyshchik, Andrej; O'Kane, Patrick; Liu, Ji-Bin; Civan, Jesse M.; Tan, Alison; Anton, Kevin; Shaw, Colette; and Eisenbrey, John R., "Predicting Long-Term Hepatocellular Carcinoma Response to Transarterial Radioembolization Using Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound: Initial Experiences." (2021). *Department of Radiology Faculty Papers*. Paper 126.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/radiologyfp/126

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Radiology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

#### Authors

Lauren J. Delaney, M. Tantawi, Corinne Wessner, Priscilla Machado, Flemming Forsberg, Andrej Lyshchik, Patrick O'Kane, Ji-Bin Liu, Jesse M. Civan, Alison Tan, Kevin Anton, Colette Shaw, and John R. Eisenbrey

Predicting Long-term Hepatocellular Carcinoma Response to Transarterial Radioembolization Using Contrast-enhanced Ultrasound: Initial Experiences

Lauren J. Delaney <sup>a</sup>, Mohamed Tantawi <sup>a</sup>, Corinne E. Wessner <sup>a</sup>, Priscilla Machado <sup>a</sup>, Flemming Forsberg <sup>a</sup>, Andrej Lyshchik <sup>a</sup>, Patrick O'Kane <sup>a</sup>, Ji-Bin Liu <sup>a</sup>, Jesse Civan <sup>b</sup>, Allison Tan <sup>a</sup>, Kevin Anton <sup>a</sup>, Colette M. Shaw <sup>a</sup>, John R. Eisenbrey <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Radiology, Thomas Jefferson University, 132 South 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

<sup>b</sup> Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University, 132 S. 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

Corresponding Author:

John R. Eisenbrey, PhD

Department of Radiology

Thomas Jefferson University

132 South 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Main 796

Philadelphia, PA 19107

United States of America

Phone: 1-215-503-5188

Email: john.eisenbrey@jefferson.edu

#### 1 Abstract

Conventional cross-sectional imaging done shortly after radioembolization 2 of 3 hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) does not reliably predict long-term response to 4 treatment. This study evaluated whether quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound 5 (CEUS) can predict long-term response of HCC to Yttrium-90 (Y-90) treatment. Fifteen patients underwent CEUS at 3 time points: immediately following treatment, and 1 and 2 6 weeks post-treatment. Response 3-6 months after treatment was categorized on 7 contrast-enhanced MRI by two experienced radiologists using mRECIST criteria. CEUS 8 9 data was analyzed by quantifying tumor perfusion and residual fractional vascularity using time intensity curves. Patients with stable disease on MR had significantly greater 10 11 fractional vascularity 2 weeks post-treatment (65.15%) than those with partial or complete 12 response  $(13.8\pm9.9\%)$ , p=0.007, and  $14.9\pm15.4\%$ , p=0.009, respectively). Complete responders had lower tumor vascularity at 2 weeks than at post-op examination (-13 38.3±15.4%, p=0.045). Thus, this pilot study suggests CEUS may provide an earlier 14 indication of Y-90 treatment response than cross-sectional imaging. 15

16

17

18 Keywords: contrast-enhanced ultrasound, CEUS, transarterial radioembolization, TARE,

19 Y-90, hepatocellular carcinoma, HCC, perfusion, fractional vascularity

20

21

#### 23 Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fourth most common cause of cancer mortality 24 worldwide (Yang, et al. 2019), with a dismal five-year survival rate of 18% (Jemal, et al. 25 2017). The incidence of HCC has increased by 3% in recent years (Siegel, et al. 2020). 26 27 The treatment choice for HCC depends on the liver function, tumor burden, and the 28 functional status of the patient. Although surgical resection has a five-year survival rate at 60% (Fan, et al. 2011), it is feasible in only 30% of HCC patients (Llovet and Bruix 29 30 2003). Liver transplantation is associated with better long-term survival rates, but requires 31 a contained disease state (1 lesion less than 5 cm or 3 lesions less than 3 cm) (Llovet, et al. 1999). Furthermore, because of the increasing incidence along with organ shortages, 32 liver transplants are feasible for only 7% of HCC patients in the United States (Moon, et 33 al. 2018). Options for patients with unresectable HCC include locoregional therapies such 34 as ablation and embolization. Embolization can be performed using transarterial 35 chemoembolization (TACE) or Yttrium-90 (Y-90) transarterial radioembolization (TARE). 36

37

Targeted injection of Y-90-embedded glass microspheres induces extensive tumor 38 39 necrosis with relatively low occurrence of adverse events. At our institution, radioembolization is performed using TheraSpheres (BTG International, London, United 40 41 Kingdom), which consist of 20-30 µm glass beads containing Y-90. The TheraSpheres 42 are delivered via a catheter temporarily placed in the hepatic artery branches supplying the tumor, and, upon lodging in the tumor neovasculature, provides a localized and 43 44 sustained release of radiation within the tumor. Recent studies have reported prolonged 45 time to progression (Salem, et al. 2011), better tumor control (Lewandowski, et al. 2009,

Riaz, et al. 2009, Riaz, et al. 2010), and improved quality of life in patients receiving
radioembolization compared with traditional chemoembolization (Salem, et al. 2013a).
However, another prospective trial reported similar efficacy of both approaches (Kolligs,
et al. 2015).

50

51 Modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (mRECIST) is used to describe 52 HCC response to locoregional therapy (Lencioni and Llovet 2010). These criteria take into account tumor viability as evidenced by arterial enhancement, using the linear sum 53 54 of diameters of the viable tumor observed on contrast-enhanced CT or MRI. HCC response rate to TARE reported using mRECIST was 25-60% (Andreana, et al. 2012). 55 56 Alternatively, studies evaluating HCC response based on reduction in tumor size and vascularity have reported response rates of 47-89% (Carr 2004, Salem, et al. 2011). The 57 Y-90 treatment response is typically assessed with contrast-enhanced MRI or CT 58 performed 3-6 months after treatment. As TARE does not depend on direct vessel 59 embolization, evaluation of treatment effect in the immediate post-operative period is 60 challenging. Earlier assessment of the tumor response could potentially improve the 61 62 outcomes of HCC patients by allowing faster retreatments in those with residual viable 63 tumor. Recent reports have shown that quantitative characteristics other than tumor size, 64 such as changes in tumor perfusion, can provide an early predictor of HCC treatment 65 response and outcome (Kim, et al. 2019, Serres, et al. 2014, Zocco, et al. 2013).

66

67 Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) utilizes ultrasound contrast agents (UCAs),
68 which are gas-filled microbubbles (<10 μm) with a lipid, protein, or polymer shell. These</li>

69 bubbles are roughly the same size as red blood cells, so they can pass through the pulmonary capillaries and are confined to the blood vessels (Lyshchik 2019). 70 Consequently, they provide effective visualization of the vasculature in different tissues. 71 72 CEUS imaging also provides several diagnostic advantages compared to MRI/CT. CEUS 73 provides real-time imaging (on average 15 frames/second), whereas MRI/CT provide on 74 average 1 frame every 30 seconds. Additionally, CEUS provides real blood pooling 75 imaging as the UCAs are large enough to remain within the blood pool, compared to MR/CT contrast agents that escape the vasculature and pool in the interstitium (Chong, 76 77 et al. 2018). UCAs perfuse into the vasculature of HCC tumors, and their wash-in/washout kinetics can be used to characterize liver masses (Shaw, et al. 2015). The safety and 78 accuracy of CEUS for monitoring HCC response to TACE were demonstrated in a 79 prospective study (Shaw, et al. 2015). UCAs are able to perfuse into HCC post 80 radioembolization, due to the fact that the large Y-90 beads (20-30 µm in diameter) do 81 82 not completely restrict blood flow to the tumor (Salem, et al. 2013b). Commercially available flash-replenishment imaging modes can be used to visualize and quantify 83 contrast perfusion (Lefort, et al. 2012, Wakui, et al. 2011). These flash-replenishment 84 85 sequences generate relatively high intensity pulses within a selected sector of interest to 86 induce UCA cavitation and destruction, followed by lower intensity imaging to visualize 87 contrast reperfusion. In this pilot study, we evaluated the ability of quantitative CEUS 88 performed 1 and 2 weeks post-treatment to predict long term response of HCC to Y-90 radioembolization. The goal of this interim analysis is to determine the feasibility of this 89 90 method. We hypothesize that UCA reperfusion following flash-replenishment ultrasound 91 pulses will reflect changes in tumor perfusion and fractional vascularity and provide an

92 earlier predictor of Y-90 radioembolization treatment response than standard of care CT93 or MR imaging.

94

#### 95 Materials and Methods

#### 96 Patient recruitment and clinical standard care

97 As part of an ongoing IRB-approved, prospective trial (NCT# 03199274, FDA IND #126,768) at Thomas Jefferson University, 15 participants scheduled for sub-lobar 98 transarterial radioembolization (TARE) therapy of a previously untreated HCC tumor (< 6 99 100 cm) from July 2017 through February 2020 provided informed consent to be included in this study (Eisenbrey, et al. 2020), where participants are followed for up to 6 months 101 102 post-TARE and the follow up imaging schedule is dictated by the patient's standard of 103 care. As part of the standard of care at our institution, TARE with glass microspheres is used to downstage HCC tumors to within Milan criteria (a single tumor < 5 cm or up to 3 104 105 tumors where each is  $\leq$  3 cm, no vascular invasion, and no extrahepatic involvement). 106 Radiotherapy was performed using sub-lobar delivery of Y-90 TheraSpheres (BTG International, London, UK) at doses ranging from 117-152 Gy. Exclusion criteria included 107 108 known sensitivities to blood, blood products, albumin, and perflutren, as well as elevated 109 (> 2 mg/dL) bilirubin levels.

110

Two board-certified radiologists (A.L. and P.O.) with over 15 years of experience in body imaging evaluated contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) pre-TARE and at 3-6 months after TARE for each patient and provided a consensus treatment response assessment using mRECIST (Lencioni and Llovet 2010). For the two participants who received further tumor intervention or died prior to the 3-6 month imaging
window, a 1- to 3-month follow up exam was used for the treatment response evaluation.
Time to next treatment (TTNT), as decided by a multi-disciplinary tumor board as part of
the clinical standard of care, overall survival, and transplant status were also monitored
and recorded for each participant.

120

#### 121 <u>CEUS examination and analysis</u>

CEUS exams were performed at three time points: 1-4 hours following 122 123 radioembolization and at approximately 1 and 2 weeks post-treatment. All imaging was performed using a commercially available Siemens S3000 Helx Evolution scanner 124 125 (Siemens Healthineers, Mountain View, CA, USA) with a 6C1 transducer in dual 2D B-126 mode/contrast mode by a sonographer (C.W.) with over 5 years of clinical and research experience. Participants received an infusion of 5 mL of the UCA Optison (GE Healthcare, 127 Princeton, NJ, USA) suspended in 50 mL of sterile saline at a rate of 120 mL/hr, based 128 129 on data from a larger therapeutic trial (Eisenbrey, et al. 2020), which chose Optison based on preclinical data (Daecher, et al. 2017). Microbubble infusion was preferred over bolus 130 131 injection because the process is more reproducible and allows for more prolonged, consistent imaging enhancement and better assessment of intra-patient variability 132 133 (Albrecht, et al. 1998, Correas, et al. 2000, Tang, et al. 2011).

134

During each CEUS exam, flash-replenishment sequences were performed at the tumor midline for UCA destruction/replenishment imaging. Participants were asked to halt respiration while a 4-second flash/replenishment sequence was transmitted (MI = 1.13 at 138 1.5 MHz, transmitting 2.3  $\mu$ s pulses at 100 Hz), followed by nonlinear imaging of contrast 139 replenishment at lower intensity using Cadence Pulse Sequencing (CPS, MI = 0.06) for 140 10 seconds. Three to five of these flash/replenishment sequences were performed at the 141 tumor midline to evaluate and quantify tumor vascularity changes over time. These cine 142 clips of the flash/replenishment sequences were collected within the first 3 to 4 minutes 143 of the CEUS exam, each lasting from 5 to 15 seconds long.

144

145 Ultrasound contrast time-intensity curves were generated offline using Matlab 146 software (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) to quantify residual fractional vascularity and perfusion post-treatment over the entire cross-sectional area of the tumor midline using 147 148 a segmentation algorithm. Contrast replenishment time intensity curves were fitted to a 2-parameter exponential recovery curve: VI =  $\alpha(1 - e^{\beta t})$ , where VI represents video 149 intensity;  $\alpha$  (in dB) represents the asymptotic plateau correlative of the microvessel cross-150 sectional area; and  $\beta$  (in mm/s) represents the blood velocity (Krix, et al. 2003a, Krix, et 151 152 al. 2003b).

153

#### 154 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

155 Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, La 156 Jolla, CA, USA), with p-values below 0.05 indicating statistical significance. Consensus 157 mRECIST outcomes were used as the reference standard in all cases. Comparisons 158 between treatment groups were performed using a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni 159 correction for multiple comparisons. Comparisons between exam time points were

- 160 performed using paired t-tests, and comparisons between treatment groups at each time
- 161 point were performed using unpaired t-tests. Error bars represent standard deviation.
- 162
- 163 **Results**

#### 164 Participant Characteristics

Participant demographics are summarized as a whole and by treatment group in Table 165 166 1. There were no significant differences in participant age (range 45 – 87 years) or tumor size (range 1.3 - 5.1 cm) between treatment groups (p > 0.08). The participant who did 167 168 not respond to TARE, and was classified as stable disease, had a significantly greater 169 BMI (41.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) than the average BMI for the partial response group (25.8  $\pm$  3.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. p = 0.009) and the complete response group (28.1 ± 4.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, p = 0.026). There was no 170 171 difference in BMI (range 19.3 – 33.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) between partial response and complete response (p = 0.89). All participants received similar radiation doses to the targeted lesion 172 (range 125.1 - 155.2 Gy, p = 0.95 between treatment groups). All participants were 173 ambulatory and capable of self-care, as evidenced by a clinical Eastern Cooperative 174 Oncology Group (ECOG) score of 2 or less (Oken, et al. 1982). 175

176

#### 177 <u>Clinical Outcomes</u>

According to the mRECIST scoring at 3-6 months post-TARE, only 1 participant had stable disease (6.7%), 8 participants had partial response (53.3%), and 6 participants had complete response (40.0%).

182 Clinical outcomes, including the reported LI-RADS treatment response at the 1-3 month MRI and 6-month MRI, are given for each participant in Table 2. The participant 183 with stable disease required re-treatment with TACE 2.5 months post-TARE, and 184 185 ultimately received a liver transplant 12 months following re-treatment. As for the 8 186 participants with partial response, 3 received transplants (37.5%), 2 are on the transplant 187 waiting list (25.0%), and 3 were not eligible for transplant due to age or other factors (37.5%). Of those 3 patients, 2 presented with a small focus of disease at follow up, and 188 1 died of causes unrelated to disease progression. For the 6 participants with complete 189 190 response, 4 received transplants (66.7%), and 2 were not eligible for transplant due to age, but presented with no viable tumor as of publication (33.3%). 191

192

#### 193 CEUS Examination

Administration of Optison and subsequent CEUS examinations were well-tolerated in all patients, with no serious adverse effects. Ultrasound contrast enhancement and UCA destruction were observed in all cases. An example sequence of CEUS destruction/reperfusion images is shown in Figure 1.

198

#### 199 Perfusion

Tumor perfusion outcomes are summarized in Table 3. There were no significant differences in tumor perfusion between response groups at any of the 3 time points (p > 0.44). Within response groups, there were no significant differences in perfusion at any time point for partial responders (p > 0.27) or complete responders (p > 0.99). However, for the participant with stable disease, increased perfusion was observed at 1 week (1.14  $x 10^{-1}$  mL/s\*mg, p < 0.0001) compared to both post-operatively (6.42 x  $10^{-2}$  mL/s\*mg) and 2 weeks (6.17 x  $10^{-2}$  mL/s\*mg). There was no difference in tumor perfusion for the participant with stable disease between 2 weeks post-TARE and 1-4 hours post-TARE (p > 0.99). Figure 2 shows examples of the temporal changes in the time intensity curves modeling perfusion for the participant with stable disease (Figure 2A), a participant with 210 partial response (Figure 2B), and a participant with complete response (Figure 2C).

211

212 Since the baseline tumor perfusion estimate is not normalized, but unique to each 213 participant's clinical presentation, we also evaluated the degree of change in tumor perfusion for each participant in an attempt to normalize the data. We found no significant 214 differences in the degree of change between 1 week and the 1-4 hours post-operative 215 216 exam (p > 0.99), nor in the degree of change between 2 weeks and the 1-4 hours postoperative exam (p > 0.06); however, the difference between the degree of change for 217 partial responders (-1.90 x  $10^{-2} \pm 9.04 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and the participant with stable disease (-218 219 2.48 x 10<sup>-3</sup>) between the 2 weeks and 1-4 hours post-operative examinations suggested a trend (p = 0.06). 220

221

### 222 Fractional Vascularity

Fractional vascularity provided additional insight into the differences between treatment response groups, and may suggest the likelihood of patient response to Y-90 therapy. Representative CEUS images of the temporal changes in fractional vascularity for each treatment response group are shown in Figure 3. Fractional vascularity outcomes are summarized in Table 4 and shown in Figure 4 and are also provided for each
participant in Table 2 for comparison with clinical outcomes.

229

As we observed with modelled perfusion, there were no differences in fractional vascularity between treatment response groups at the 1-4 hours post-operative exam (p > 0.99) or at 1 week (p > 0.32). However, at 2 weeks post-TARE, the participant classified as having stable disease had significantly greater fractional vascularity (65.15%) than both the partial disease group (13.80  $\pm$  9.92%, p = 0.007) and the complete response group (14.86  $\pm$  15.35%, p = 0.009). There was no difference in the fractional vascularity at 2 weeks between partial responders and complete responders (p > 0.99).

237

The participant with stable disease showed greater fractional vascularity at 1 week 238 (70.96%) than at the 1-4 hours post-op exam (60.92%, p = 0.007). This was not observed 239 in partial responders  $(42.34 \pm 31.02\% \text{ post-op vs. } 26.29 \pm 20.95\% \text{ at } 1 \text{ week, } p = 0.50)$ 240 241 nor complete responders (53.13  $\pm$  27.16% post-op vs. 33.39  $\pm$  27.76% at 1 week, p = 0.53). There were no other temporal differences for the participant with stable disease (p 242 243 > 0.18). Additionally, there were no temporal differences in fractional vascularity for partial responders (p > 0.06). For complete responders, there was significantly reduced 244 245 fractional vascularity at 2 weeks (14.86  $\pm$  15.35%) than at the 1-4 hours post-operative 246 exam  $(53.13 \pm 27.16\%)$ , p = 0.045). There were no other temporal differences for complete 247 responders (p > 0.53).

249 As with perfusion, we also evaluated the degree of change in fractional vascularity for each participant in an attempt to normalize the data. We found no significant differences 250 251 in the degree of change between 1 week and the 1-4 hours post-operative exam (p > 252 0.82). However, when evaluating the degree of change in fractional vascularity from 1-4 253 hours post-TARE to 2 weeks, the participant with stable disease showed increased 254 fractional vascularity (+4.23%) while the partial response group (-28.54  $\pm$  9.92%, p = 0.009) and complete response group (-38.27  $\pm$  15.35%, p = 0.025) both showed reduced 255 256 fractional vascularity. There was no difference between partial responders and complete 257 responders (p = 0.09).

258

#### 259 Discussion

260 This work represents, to our knowledge, the first human clinical trial using UCAs to predict tumor response to Y-90 TARE therapy in HCC patients at 2 weeks post-261 operatively. Results showed that participants who responded to Y-90 TARE, whether 262 partial or complete response, exhibit decreased tumor vascularity (reduced by at least 263  $28.54 \pm 9.92\%$ , p < 0.025) 2 weeks post-TARE, while the participant who did not respond 264 265 (stable disease) exhibited unchanged or increasing tumor perfusion and vascularity as quantified with CEUS. The potential clinical impact of these findings is promising. The 266 267 interventional radiologist would be able to intervene at 2 weeks post-TARE in patients 268 with stable disease, instead of waiting for the 3-6 month MRI evaluation as part of the current clinical standard of care, potentially improving the overall patient outcome. 269

271 Multiple studies report better survival outcomes with TARE therapy compared with conventional TACE (Inchingolo, et al. 2019, Yang and Si 2018). However, the available 272 273 evidence is insufficient to make a conclusion about the superiority of either approach 274 (Abdel-Rahman and Elsayed 2020). The primary mechanism of radiotherapy, especially at higher doses, is believed to be destruction of the tumor microvasculature, causing 275 276 secondary death of cancer cells (Garcia-Barros, et al. 2003). Changes in tumor perfusion 277 and vascularity can provide an early indication of tumor response to such therapy (Serres, 278 et al. 2014). Studies have described the potential of CEUS-derived parameters in 279 predicting HCC response to anti-angiogenic therapy (Frampas, et al. 2013, Zocco, et al. 280 2013). In those studies, a decrease in perfusion parameters calculated from the timeintensity curve correlated with better HCC response. Previously, our group and others 281 have shown that UCA perfuse into HCC tumors in cases where TACE treatment is 282 incomplete, and that CEUS can be used to accurately quantify residual disease (Kono, et 283 284 al. 2007, Nam, et al. 2018, Shaw, et al. 2015).

285

Since the Y-90 TheraSpheres (20-30 µm) do not fully occlude the blood supply to the 286 tumor (Salem, et al. 2013b), CEUS is capable of guantifying the perfusion and fractional 287 vascularity of the tumor post-TARE. In our current study, we have used a modified 2-288 289 parameter exponential recovery curve to estimate the tumor perfusion from the time-290 intensity curve (Krix, et al. 2003a, Krix, et al. 2003b). On the other hand, the fractional 291 vascularity model utilizes a thresholding function on the image deemed as having the maximum contrast intensity, and is a function that can be easily adjusted to address the 292 293 image standardization and breathing motion artifact issues confounding the perfusion 294 model. Therefore, the fractional vascularity determined by CEUS may provide a more
295 accurate predictive measure of HCC response to Y-90 TARE therapy.

296

297 Such an early evaluation of treatment response has great potential for clinical impact 298 compared to the current standards of care for evaluating HCC response to TARE. 299 Patients are generally assessed at 1-3 months post-TARE with MRI or CT, but these exams are frequently read as equivocal mainly due to presence of patchy regions of 300 arterial phase enhancement, mimicking diffuse heterogeneous tumor, which typically 301 302 resolve over 1-5 months. Therefore, most guidelines state that imaging obtained within 303 the first 6 months after TARE should be interpreted with caution (Kielar, et al. 2018). Select patients may be retreated based on this early post-TARE MRI/CT imaging if little 304 305 to no response is observed, but a second MRI/CT scan at 4-6 months post-TARE is much more reliable for assessing viable tumor (Ibrahim, et al. 2009). Therefore, a quantitative 306 307 prediction of treatment response at 2 weeks post-TARE would greatly improve patient 308 outcomes by allowing for earlier intervention in cases where disease progression or lack 309 of response is determined.

310

This study does have limitations, which must be acknowledged. The perfusion estimation model does not account for differences in gain between time points and participants, imaging plane, movement or breathing, or speed of contrast infusion. Great care was taken to standardize these parameters across all participants, but some factors were beyond our experimental control. Future investigation should also include baseline CEUS evaluation prior to TARE for additional analysis to evaluate tumor necrosis and 317 echogenicity prior to therapy. Additional follow up CEUS exams at later time points, such as 1 to 2 months post-TARE, may improve the accuracy of this method while still being 318 319 clinically impactful and will be considered in future investigations. Another limitation of this 320 pilot study is the small sample size, with only 15 participants completing the study at a 321 single medical center. Additionally, only 1 participant was later deemed to have stable 322 disease and not responding to TARE. While that is advantageous for the participants in our study, it does limit the ability to statistically analyze that treatment group, as 1 323 324 participant does not necessarily constitute a "group". Therefore, we cannot definitively 325 determine whether the observed differences in tumor perfusion and fractional vascularity, 326 as measured with CEUS, can serve as an effective method of predicting patient response. 327 However, we are encouraged that all the significant findings in our study support this 328 conclusion.

329

Future work will include further modifications and improvements to the perfusion modeling, including motion compensation and enhanced image processing techniques. Further investigation with larger sample sizes, multiple follow up time points, and at multiple study centers is necessary to fully determine whether CEUS can be used to effectively provide an early prediction of tumor response to Y-90 TARE therapy in HCC patients. However, this pilot study provides a strong proof of concept demonstrating the feasibility of this method.

337

338 **Conclusion and Summary** 

While larger sample sizes are required to fully evaluate effectiveness, CEUS appears to provide an earlier indicator of Y-90 TARE response at 2 weeks compared to the current clinical standard of care mRECIST evaluation performed 3-6 months post-treatment. The potential clinical impact of these findings is promising, in that quantitative CEUS performed 2 weeks after treatment may be useful in predicting long-term response of HCC tumors to Y-90 TARE therapy.

345

#### 346 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Kristen Bradigan for nursing support and Nancy Pedano, Marsha Robinson, and Diana Zaccagnini for coordinator support. We also thank Siemens Healthineers for equipment support. The work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 CA238241, R01 CA194307, and F32 AR072491).

352 Figure Captions

Figure 1: Example CEUS destruction/reperfusion images at 2 weeks post-TARE from a patient with partial response, arrows indicate location of treated tumor. A) CEUS image prior to destructive flash pulse, showing presence of UCA in liver and tumor tissue. B) CEUS image during destructive flash pulse. C) CEUS image immediately following (1 second later) destructive pulse, showing destruction of UCA from within liver and tumor tissue. D) CEUS image showing UCA reperfusion into liver and tumor tissue following (5 seconds later) flash pulse.

360

Figure 2: Example time intensity curves modeling tumor perfusion showing temporal
changes in A) stable disease, B) partial response, and C) complete response. Blue = 24 hours post-TARE, orange = 1 week post-TARE, purple = 2 weeks post-TARE.

364

Figure 3: Representative CEUS images of temporal changes in fractional vascularity for each treatment response group, with the tumor outlined by the dotted white circle on each grayscale (right) image. The left image is the contrast-enhanced image, where UCA appear as orange image enhancement. A-C) Representative images from the participant with stable disease. D-F) Representative images from a participant with stable disease. D-F) Representative images from a participant with partial response. G-I) Representative images from a participant with complete response.

371

Figure 4: Summary of tumor vascularity at each study time point, stratified by treatment

373 response group. SD = Stable Disease, left, n = 1, PR = Partial Response, center, n = 8,

- 374 CR = Complete Response, right, n = 6. CEUS exam time points are designated by
- 375 shading pattern with post-op as solid white, 1 week post-TARE as horizontal striped,
- and 2 weeks post-TARE as crosshatched. Error bars = standard deviation. \*p = 0.045,
- 377 \*\*p = 0.009, \*\*\*p = 0.007.

## 379 Tables

Table 1: Participant demographics				
	All	Stable	Partial	Complete
	Participants	Disease	Response	Response
	(n=15)	(n=1)	(n=8)	(n=6)
Age (years)	68 ± 11	45	70 ± 9	69 ± 10
Gender	9 male (60%)	0 male (0%)	4 male (50%)	5 male (83%)
	6 female (40%)	1 female (100%)	4 female (50%)	1 female (17%)
BMI (kg/m²)	27.8 ± 5.5	41.6	25.8 ± 3.6	28.1 ± 4.5
Tumor Size (cm)	3.5 ± 1.3	3.5	3.4 ± 1.4	3.6 ± 1.4
Radiation Dose (Gy)	139.8 ± 9.5	145.5	139.4 ± 10.3	139.5 ± 9.7
ECOG Score	2 with ECOG 2 3 with ECOG 1 10 with ECOG 0	1 with ECOG 2	1 with ECOG 2 1 with ECOG 1 6 with ECOG 0	2 with ECOG 1 4 with ECOG 0
Presence of Ascites (# positive)	5 (33%)	1 (100%)	2 (25%)	2 (33%)

Table 2: Participant clinical outcomes				
	LI-RADS	LI-RADS		Change in
mRECIST	Treatment	Treatment	Clinical	Fractional
Response	Response	Response	Outcomo	Vascularity at
Classification	Classification at	Classification at	Outcome	2 week
	1-3 months	6 months		CEUS
	Viable	Viable	Retreated via	
SD			TACE at 3 months,	+ 4.23 ±
30			transplant after 14	5.04%
			months	
DD	Nonviable	Equivocal	Lost to follow up	- 35.11 ±
				1.74%
	Nonviable	Nonviable	Retreated via	
DD			microwave	- 25.91 ±
FK			ablation at 16	10.36%
			months	
	Viable	N/A	Non-disease	- 29 28 +
PR			related death at 3	10.02%
			months	10.3276
CR	Nonviable	Nonviable	Surveillance (not	
			eligible for	- 47.14 ±
			transplant due to	1.60%
			age)	

			Surveillance (not	
CD	Nonviable	Nonviable	eligible for	- 53.06 ±
		NUTVIANE	transplant due to	0.10%
			age)	
	Farrivesed	Nervieble	Transplant after 16	- 46.96 ±
UK	Equivocai	NOTVIADIE	months	2.97%
			Retreated via	
PR	Viable	NI/Δ	TACE at 2 months,	- 23.97 ±
FIX	Viable		then transplant at	8.11%
			5 months	
			Retreated via	
	Viable	Viable	TACE at 11	
DD			months, transplant	- 35.34 ±
ГЛ			after 18 months,	3.28%
			explant showed no	
			viable tumor	
CP	New Job Constant Strength		Transplant after 17	- 41.15 ±
CIX	Normable	Normable	months	4.71%
			Retreated via	
PR	Equivocal	N1/A	TACE at 2 months,	- 40.87 ±
		N/A	on transplant	1.34%
			waitlist	

	Viable		Retreated via	
PR		N/A	TACE at 4 months,	- 29.72 ±
			transplant after 33	3.26%
			months	
CR	Equivocal	Nonviable	Transplant after 8	- 11.26 ±
OR			months	11.80%
			Retreated via	
CR	Equivocal	Equivocal	TACE at 7 months,	- 30.05 ±
			transplant after 10	5.67%
			months	
			Retreated via	
PR			TARE at 4 months,	
	Vieble	Ν/Δ	not eligible for	$\begin{array}{c} -29.72 \pm \\ 3.26\% \\ \hline \\ -11.26 \pm \\ 11.80\% \\ \hline \\ -30.05 \pm \\ 5.67\% \\ \hline \\ -8.12 \pm \\ 6.53\% \end{array}$
	Viable	IN/A	transplant due to	6.53%
			extrahepatic	
			malignancy	

	Table 3: Summary of average tumor perfusion (mL/s*mg) by treatment response					
	and observational time point.					
		Stable Disease	Partial Response	Complete Response		
		(n=1)	(n=8)	(n=6)		
	Post-Op	6.42 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.34 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 2.95 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.26 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 8.42 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>		
	1 Week	1.14 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.94 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 6.11 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	7.55 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 8.75 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>		
	2 Weeks	6.17 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.44 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 9.04 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.10 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 5.18 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>		
	2 Weeks –	-2.48 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-1.90 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> + 9.04 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	-4.16 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 5.18 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>		
	Post-Op					
	1 Week –	4 97 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1 61 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> + 6 11 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	-1 71 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> + 8 75 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>		
	Post-Op					
388	LL					
389						
390						
391						
392						
393						
394						
395						
396						
397						

Table 4: Summary of average fractional vascularity (% of tumor) by treatment					
response and observational time point.					
	Stable Disease	Partial Response	Complete Response		
	(n=1)	(n=8)	(n=6)		
Post-Op	60.92%	42.34 ± 31.02%	53.13 ± 27.16%		
1 Week	70.96%	26.29 ± 20.95%	33.39 ± 27.76%		
2 Weeks	65.15%	13.80 ± 9.92%	14.86 ± 15.35%		
			1		
2 Weeks –	+4.23%	-28.54 ± 9.92%	-38.27 ± 15.35%		
Post-Op					
1 Week –	+10.04%	-16.05 ± 20.95%	-19.67 ± 27.76%		
Post-Op					

#### 405 **References**

Abdel-Rahman O, Elsayed Z. Yttrium-90 microsphere radioembolisation for unresectable
 hepatocellular carcinoma. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2020.

408 Albrecht T, Urbank A, Mahler M, Bauer A, Doré CJ, Blomley MJ, Cosgrove DO, Schlief

- 409 R. Prolongation and optimization of Doppler enhancement with a microbubble US
- 410 contrast agent by using continuous infusion: preliminary experience. Radiology411 1998; 207:339-47.
- Andreana L, Isgrò G, Marelli L, Davies N, Yu D, Navalkissoor S, Burroughs AK. Treatment
   of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by intra-arterial infusion of radio-emitter
   compounds: Trans-arterial radio-embolisation of HCC. Cancer Treatment Reviews
   2012; 38:641-49.
- Carr Bl. Hepatic arterial 90Yttrium glass microspheres (Therasphere) for unresectable
   hepatocellular carcinoma: Interim safety and survival data on 65 patients. Liver
   Transplantation 2004; 10:S107-S10.
- Chong WK, Papadopoulou V, Dayton PA. Imaging with ultrasound contrast agents:
  current status and future. Abdominal Radiology 2018; 43:762-72.
- 421 Correas JM, Burns PN, Lai X, Qi X. Infusion versus bolus of an ultrasound contrast agent:
- 422 in vivo dose-response measurements of BR1. Invest Radiol 2000; 35:72-9.
- 423 Daecher A, Stanczak M, Liu J-B, Zhang J, Du S, Forsberg F, Leeper DB, Eisenbrey JR.
- 424 Localized microbubble cavitation-based antivascular therapy for improving HCC
  425 treatment response to radiotherapy. Cancer Letters 2017; 411:100-05.
- 426 Eisenbrey JR, Forsberg F, Wessner CE, Delaney LJ, Bradigan K, Gummadi S, Tantawi
- 427 M, Lyshchik A, O'Kane P, Liu J-B, Intenzo C, Civan J, Maley W, Keith SW, Anton

K, Tan A, Smolock A, Shamimi-Noori S, Shaw CM. US-triggered Microbubble
Destruction for Augmenting Hepatocellular Carcinoma Response to Transarterial
Radioembolization: A Randomized Pilot Clinical Trial. Radiology 2020; 298:45057.

- Fan ST, Mau Lo C, Poon RTP, Yeung C, Leung Liu C, Yuen WK, Ming Lam C, Ng KKC,
  Ching Chan S. Continuous Improvement of Survival Outcomes of Resection of
  Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A 20-Year Experience. Annals of Surgery 2011;
  253:745-58.
- Frampas E, Lassau N, Zappa M, Vullierme M-P, Koscielny S, Vilgrain V. Advanced
  Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Early evaluation of response to targeted therapy and
  prognostic value of Perfusion CT and Dynamic Contrast Enhanced-Ultrasound.
  Preliminary results. European Journal of Radiology 2013; 82:e205-e11.
- 440 Garcia-Barros M, Paris F, Cordon-Cardo C, Lyden D, Rafii S, Haimovitz-Friedman A,
- Fuks Z, Kolesnick R. Tumor Response to Radiotherapy Regulated by Endothelial
  Cell Apoptosis. Science 2003; 300:1155-59.
- 443 Ibrahim SM, Nikolaidis P, Miller FH, Lewandowski RJ, Ryu RK, Sato KT, Senthilnathan
- S, Riaz A, Kulik L, Mulcahy MF, Omary RA, Salem R. Radiologic findings following
  Y90 radioembolization for primary liver malignancies. Abdom Imaging 2009;
  34:566-81.
- Inchingolo R, Posa A, Mariappan M, Spiliopoulos S. Locoregional treatments for
  hepatocellular carcinoma: Current evidence and future directions. World J
  Gastroenterol 2019; 25:4614-28.

Jemal A, Ward EM, Johnson CJ, Cronin KA, Ma J, Ryerson B, Mariotto A, Lake AJ, Wilson
R, Sherman RL, Anderson RN, Henley SJ, Kohler BA, Penberthy L, Feuer EJ, Weir
HK. Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, 1975-2014, Featuring
Survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017; 109:djx030.

- 454 Kielar A, Fowler KJ, Lewis S, Yaghmai V, Miller FH, Yarmohammadi H, Kim C, Chernyak
- V, Yokoo T, Meyer J, Newton I, Do RK. Locoregional therapies for hepatocellular
  carcinoma and the new LI-RADS treatment response algorithm. Abdom Radiol
  (NY) 2018; 43:218-30.
- Kim S, Kim DY, An C, Han K, Won JY, Kim GM, Kim MJ, Choi JY. Evaluation of Early
  Response to Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma with Yttrium-90
  Radioembolization Using Quantitative Computed Tomography Analysis. Korean J
  Radiol 2019; 20:449-58.
- 462 Kolligs FT, Bilbao JI, Jakobs T, Iñarrairaegui M, Nagel JM, Rodriguez M, Haug A, D'Avola
- D, op den Winkel M, Martinez-Cuesta A, Trumm C, Benito A, Tatsch K, Zech CJ,
- Hoffmann R-T, Sangro B. Pilot randomized trial of selective internal radiation
  therapy vs. chemoembolization in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver
  International 2015; 35:1715-21.
- Kono Y, Lucidarme O, Choi S-H, Rose SC, Hassanein TI, Alpert E, Mattrey RF. Contrast enhanced Ultrasound as a Predictor of Treatment Efficacy within 2 Weeks after
   Transarterial Chemoembolization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Journal of
   Vascular and Interventional Radiology 2007; 18:57-65.

Krix M, Kiessling F, Farhan N, Schmidt K, Hoffend J, Delorme S. A multivessel model
describing replenishment kinetics of ultrasound contrast agent for quantification of
tissue perfusion. Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology 2003a; 29:1421-30.

Krix M, Kiessling F, Vosseler S, Kiessling I, Le-Huu M, Fusenig NE, Delorme S.
Comparison of intermittent-bolus contrast imaging with conventional power
Doppler sonography: quantification of tumour perfusion in small animals.
Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology 2003b; 29:1093-103.

Lefort T, Pilleul F, Mulé S, Bridal SL, Frouin F, Lombard-Bohas C, Walter T, Lucidarme
O, Guibal A. Correlation and Agreement Between Contrast-Enhanced
Ultrasonography and Perfusion Computed Tomography for Assessment of Liver
Metastases from Endocrine Tumors: Normalization Enhances Correlation.
Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology 2012; 38:953-61.

483 Lencioni R, Llovet JM. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular
484 carcinoma. Seminars in Liver Disease 2010; 30:52-60.

Lewandowski RJ, Kulik LM, Riaz A, Senthilnathan S, Mulcahy MF, Ryu RK, Ibrahim SM, 485 Sato KT, Baker T, Miller FH, Omary R, Abecassis M, Salem R. A Comparative 486 487 Analysis of Transarterial Downstaging for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Chemoembolization Radioembolization. Journal 488 Versus American of Transplantation 2009; 9:1920-28. 489

490 Llovet JM, Brú C, Bruix J. Prognosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: The BCLC Staging
491 Classification. Seminars in Liver Disease 1999; 19:329-38.

Llovet JM, Bruix J. Systematic review of randomized trials for unresectable hepatocellular

493 carcinoma: Chemoembolization improves survival. Hepatology 2003; 37:429-42.

- 494 Lyshchik A. Specialty Imaging: Fundamentals of CEUS. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Health
  495 Sciences, 2019.
- Moon AM, Weiss NS, Beste LA, Su F, Ho SB, Jin G-Y, Lowy E, Berry K, Ioannou GN. No
  Association Between Screening for Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Reduced
  Cancer-Related Mortality in Patients With Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2018;
  155:1128-39.e6.
- 500 Nam K, Stanczak M, Lyshchik A, Machado P, Kono Y, Forsberg F, Shaw CM, Eisenbrey
- 501JR. Evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma transarterial chemoembolization using502quantitative analysis of 2D and 3D real-time contrast enhanced ultrasound.

503 Biomedical physics & engineering express 2018; 4:035039.

- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, Carbone PP.
   Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.
   American Journal of Clinical Oncology 1982; 5:649-55.
- Riaz A, Kulik L, Lewandowski RJ, Ryu RK, Giakoumis Spear G, Mulcahy MF, Abecassis
  M, Baker T, Gates V, Nayar R, Miller FH, Sato KT, Omary RA, Salem R.
  Radiologic–pathologic correlation of hepatocellular carcinoma treated with internal
  radiation using yttrium-90 microspheres. Hepatology 2009; 49:1185-93.
- Riaz A, Lewandowski RJ, Kulik L, Ryu RK, Mulcahy MF, Baker T, Gates V, Nayar R,
  Wang E, Miller FH, Sato KT, Omary RA, Abecassis M, Salem R. Radiologicpathologic correlation of hepatocellular carcinoma treated with
  chemoembolization. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2010; 33:1143-52.
- Salem R, Gilbertsen M, Butt Z, Memon K, Vouche M, Hickey R, Baker T, Abecassis MM,
  Atassi R, Riaz A, Cella D, Burns JL, Ganger D, Benson AB, Mulcahy MF, Kulik L,

Lewandowski R. Increased Quality of Life Among Hepatocellular Carcinoma
Patients Treated With Radioembolization, Compared With Chemoembolization.
Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2013a; 11:1358-65.e1.

- 520 Salem R, Lewandowski RJ, Kulik L, Wang E, Riaz A, Ryu RK, Sato KT, Gupta R,
- 521 Nikolaidis P, Miller FH, Yaghmai V, Ibrahim SM, Senthilnathan S, Baker T, Gates
- 522 VL, Atassi B, Newman S, Memon K, Chen R, Vogelzang RL, Nemcek AA, Resnick
- 523 SA, Chrisman HB, Carr J, Omary RA, Abecassis M, Benson AB, 3rd, Mulcahy MF.
- 524 Radioembolization results in longer time-to-progression and reduced toxicity
- 525 compared with chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.
- 526 Gastroenterology 2011; 140:497-507.e2.
- Salem R, Mazzaferro V, Sangro B. Yttrium 90 radioembolization for the treatment of
   hepatocellular carcinoma: Biological lessons, current challenges, and clinical
   perspectives. Hepatology 2013b; 58:2188-97.
- 530 Serres S, O'Brien ER, Sibson NR. Imaging Angiogenesis, Inflammation, and Metastasis
- in the Tumor Microenvironment with Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Tumor
   Microenvironment and Cellular Stress 2014; 772:263-83.
- 533 Shaw CM, Eisenbrey JR, Lyshchik A, O'Kane PL, Merton DA, Machado P, Pino L, Brown DB, Forsberg F. Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Evaluation of Residual Blood Flow 534 After 535 to Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treatment With Transarterial 536 Chemoembolization Using Drug-Eluting Beads. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2015; 34:859-67. 537
- 538 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA: A Cancer Journal for 539 Clinicians 2020; 70:7-30.

- Tang MX, Mulvana H, Gauthier T, Lim AK, Cosgrove DO, Eckersley RJ, Stride E.
  Quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging: a review of sources of
  variability. Interface Focus 2011; 1:520-39.
- 543 Wakui N, Takayama R, Kamiyama N, Takahashi M, Shiozawa K, Nagai H, Watanabe M,
- Ishii K, Iida K, Igarashi Y. Diagnosis of hepatic hemangioma by parametric imaging
  using sonazoid-enhanced US. Hepatogastroenterology 2011; 58:1431-35.
- Yang JD, Hainaut P, Gores GJ, Amadou A, Plymoth A, Roberts LR. A global view of
  hepatocellular carcinoma: trends, risk, prevention and management. Nat Rev
  Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 16:589-604.
- Yang Y, Si T. Yttrium-90 transarterial radioembolization versus conventional transarterial
   chemoembolization for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic
   review and meta-analysis. Cancer Biol Med 2018; 15:299-310.
- 552 Zocco MA, Garcovich M, Lupascu A, Di Stasio E, Roccarina D, Annicchiarico BE, Riccardi
- L, Ainora ME, Ponziani F, Caracciolo G, Rapaccini GL, Landolfi R, Siciliano M, Pompili M, Gasbarrini A. Early prediction of response to sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: The role of dynamic contrast enhanced ultrasound. Journal of Hepatology 2013; 59:1014-21.