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Chimeric Ad5.F35 vector evades anti-adenovirus serotype 5 neutralization opposing GUCY2C-targeted antitumor immunity


ABSTRACT

Background Adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) is a commonly used viral vector for transient delivery of transgenes, primarily for vaccination against pathogen and tumor antigens. However, endemic infections with Ad5 produce virus-specific neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) that limit transgene delivery and constrain target-directed immunity following exposure to Ad5-based vaccines. Indeed, clinical trials have revealed the limitations that virus-specific NAbs impose on the efficacy of Ad5-based vaccines. In that context, the emerging focus on immunological approaches targeting cancer self-antigens or neoepitopes underscores the unmet therapeutic need for more efficacious vaccine vectors.

Methods Here, we evaluated the ability of a chimeric adenoviral vector (Ad5.F35) derived from the capsid of Ad5 and fiber of the rare adenovirus serotype 35 (Ad35) to induce immune responses to the tumor-associated antigen guanylyl cyclase C (GUCY2C).

Results In the absence of pre-existing immunity to Ad5, GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses and antitumor efficacy induced by Ad5.F35 were comparable to Ad5 in a mouse model of metastatic colorectal cancer. Furthermore, like Ad5, Ad5.F35 vector expressing GUCY2C was safe and produced no toxicity in tissues with, or without, GUCY2C expression. Importantly, this chimeric vector resisted neutralization in Ad5-immunized mice and by sera collected from patients with colorectal cancer naturally exposed to Ad5.

Conclusions These data suggest that Ad5.F35-based vaccines targeting GUCY2C, or other tumor or pathogen antigens, may produce clinically relevant immune responses in more (≥90%) patients compared with Ad5-based vaccines (~50%).

INTRODUCTION

Immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies have revolutionized cancer treatment and cancer drug development by engaging the immune system to target various cancers. Despite this success, many tumors are immunologically “cold,” characterized by a dearth of immunogenic neoepitopes and lack of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, and remain refractory to checkpoint inhibitors. One emerging strategy to modify a cold tumor into one responsive to immunotherapy is through combination with cancer vaccines. The goal of this strategy is to use cancer vaccines to create a pool of tumor-reactive T cells with antitumor activity alone and/or in combination with checkpoint therapies. However, this approach is significantly limited by the paucity of effective vaccine platforms to safely deliver tumor-specific/associated antigens to elicit beneficial antitumor immunity.

The ability of adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) to mediate gene transfer and induce potent immune responses has made it a popular vector for experimental vaccines against cancer and infectious diseases. Indeed, there have been more than 400 clinical trials using the Ad5 vector, with most trials focused on developing cancer treatments. However, on natural infection, the host immune system develops neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) to the Ad5 capsid, limiting viral spread and blocking reinfection. Because Ad5 infections are endemic in many human populations, pre-existing NAbs present in >70% of the worldwide population limit Ad5-based vaccine strategies. These considerations highlight the need for improved vectors for use in vaccines targeting cancer and pathogen-associated antigens that can create therapeutic immune responses in the greatest number of patients. Importantly, while the adenovirus capsid is composed of hexon, penton, and fiber proteins, NAbs elicited by natural Ad5 infection in humans are directed primarily to the Ad5 fiber, suggesting that strategies to...
circuit pre-existing immunity to this element may improve Ad5-based vaccines.

Here, we sought to overcome pre-existing Ad5 NAbs by replacing the Ad5 fiber with that of a rare adenovirus serotype, Ad35 (international seroprevalence ~10%12–14), to improve antitumor immunity in mouse models expressing the gastrointestinal (GI) cancer antigen guanylyl cyclase C (GUCY2C). Preclinical models demonstrated that an Ad5-based GUCY2C-directed vaccine (Ad5-GUCY2C-S1) elicited CD8+ T-cell and antibody responses without autoimmunity.17 Moreover, those results were recapitulated in a recent first-in-human phase I clinical trial (NCT01972737) demonstrating that a humanized version of the vaccine (Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE) safely induced GUCY2C-specific CD8+ T-cell responses in patients with colorectal cancer following conventional therapies.21 However, patients possessing high pre-existing titers of NAbs against Ad5 failed to generate GUCY2C-specific immunity following Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE vaccination.21 To overcome Ad5 NAbs, we generated a chimeric Ad5 vector possessing the fiber of Ad35 (Ad5.F35) with equivalent safety and antitumor activity to Ad5 and resistance to Ad5 NAbs in mice and humans. This chimeric vaccine can be translated to patients with GI cancer to safely induce GUCY2C-specific immunity not only in those patients with low Ad5 immunity but also in those with high pre-existing Ad5 NAbs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Adenovirus vectors**

Adenovirus containing mouse extracellular domain (GUCY2C1-1429) with the influenza HA107,119 CD4+ T-cell epitope known as site 1 (S1) was described previously (Ad5-GUCY2C-S1).20 Here, GUCY2C-S1 was cloned into pShuttle and subcloned into the E1 region of previously generated replication-deficient chimeric adenovirus (Ad5.F35) in which the Ad5 fiber was replaced by the Ad35 fiber22 to generate Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1. All adenovirus vaccines used in this study were produced in HEK293 cells and purified by cesium chloride ultracentrifugation under Good Laboratory Practices by the Baylor College of Medicine in the Cell and Gene Therapy Vector Development Lab and certified to be negative for replication-competent adenovirus, mycoplasma, and host cell DNA contamination. In vitro GUCY2C-expression experiments (dose–response and time–course) were carried out in A549 (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)) cells. Virus was added to the cultures at the indicated doses and culture supernatants were collected at the indicated time points. Relative GUCY2C levels were quantified in supernatants by western blot using 2 μg/mL MS7 mouse anti-GUCY2C monoclonal antibody23,24 and 0.1 μg/mL horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antimouse secondary antibody (Jackson Immun).

**Mice and immunizations**

Eight-week old male and female BALB/cJ mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory for experiments. Animal protocols were approved by the Thomas Jefferson University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol 02092). For immunizations, mice received 1010 or 1011 vp of Ad5-GUCY2C-S1, Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, or Ad5.F35-GFP (control) administered as two 50 μL intramuscular injections, one in each hind limb, using a 0.5 mL insulin syringe.

**Quantifying T-cell responses by ELISpot**

ELISpot assays were performed using a mouse interferon-γ (IFN-γ) single color ELISpot kit (Cellular Technology) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.26 Briefly, 96-well plates were coated with IFN-γ capture antibody overnight at 4°C. The next day, plates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and splenocytes from immunized mice were plated at 500,000 cells/well with no peptide or 10 μg/mL GUCY2C254-262 peptide in 0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in CTLY-TEST medium (Cellular Technology) for 24 hours at 37°C. For T-cell avidity studies, splenocytes were plated at 600,000–800,000 cells/well with decreasing concentrations of GUCY2C254-262 peptide (10 μg/mL to 56 μg/mL) normalized to 106 cells/well.26 After incubation, cells were removed, and development reagents were added to detect IFN-γ-producing spot-forming cells. The number of spot-forming cells per well was determined using the SmartCount and Autogate functions of an ImmunoSpot S6 Universal Analyzer (Cellular Technology). GUCY2C-specific responses were calculated by subtracting mean spot counts of 0.1% DMSO wells from peptide-stimulated wells.26

**Tumor studies**

GUCY2C-expressing mouse (BALB/c) CT26 colorectal cancer cells were used for in vivo tumor studies.17 Luciferase-expressing cells were generated by transduction with lentiviral supernatants produced by 293FT cells (Invitrogen) with pLenti4-V5-GW-luciferase.26 For tumor experiments, BALB/c mice were immunized with 1010 vp of Ad5-GUCY2C-S1, Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, or PBS (control) 7 days before delivering 5×105 CT26 cells into tail veins. Tumor burden was quantified weekly by subcutaneous injection of 3.75 mg of D-luciferin potassium salt (Gold Biotechnologies) in PBS followed by an 8 min incubation and imaging with a 10 s exposure using a Caliper IVIS Lumina XR imaging station (PerkinElmer). Total radiance (photons/second) was measured using Living Image In Vivo Imaging Software (PerkinElmer).

**Antibody neutralization assay**

Serum samples were obtained previously from patients before immunization with Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE (NCT01972737) approved by the Thomas Jefferson University Institutional Review Board.21 Neutralizing antibody titers against Ad5 and Ad5.F35 vectors were quantified as
described. Briefly, dilutions of heat-inactivated serum samples were added to 96-well culture plates containing 10^5 A549 cells (ATCC) and infected with 10^6 vp of GFP-expressing Ad5 or Ad5.F35 virus (Ad5-CMV-eGFP or Ad5.F35-CMV-eGFP, respectively; Baylor Vector Development Lab). Following a 41-hour incubation at 37°C, eGFP fluorescence (490 nm excitation, 510 nm emission) was quantified using a POLARstar Optimate plate reader (BMG Labtech). Sample fluorescence was normalized to control wells containing cells and virus (0% neutralization) or wells containing cells alone (100% neutralization). Titers were quantified using non-linear regression as the serum dilution producing 50% neutralization (Prism v8, GraphPad Software).

Ad5 neutralizing immunity studies
To induce anti-Ad5 immunity, mice were exposed intranasally to 10^10 Ad5-GFP once or twice at a 4-week interval. Thirty days after the last exposure, Ad5 NAbs were quantified in sera as described above and mice were immunized intramuscularly with 10^11 vp of Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 or Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1.

Biodistribution and toxicology study
BALB/c mice were immunized intramuscularly with a single dose of 10^11 vp of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, three doses of 10^11 vp of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 at 28-day intervals, or PBS (control). Animals were monitored for adverse events once daily with additional evaluations on the day of dosing (5 min, 1 hour, and 3 hours after dosing). On days 14 and 90, designated animals were sacrificed and brain, salivary glands, stomach, small intestine, colon, heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, and injection site were harvested and weighed for histopathological analysis by a blinded pathologist (pathology evaluation was performed by IDEXX BioAnalytics) and detection of viral DNA by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using the previously described assay for the GUCY2C transgene. Also, spleens were collected for histopathological analysis and detection of viral DNA as described above, as well as quantification of GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses by IFN-γ ELISpot as described above.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism Software v8. Statistical significance was considered as follows: ns=p > 0.05, * p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001. Cohort sizes were powered based on prior studies with β=0.2 and α=0.05. For multiple comparisons of survival outcomes, significance thresholds were corrected using the Bonferroni method. To identify vaccine-induced T-cell responders and non-responders, a previously described modified distribution-free resampling approach was employed and positive T-cell responses were defined as 2× compared with DMSO and >20-specific spots/10^6 cells. To determine the impact of gender and number of vaccinations on responses, log-transformed vaccine response magnitude was compared in mice of different genders, cohorts, and treatment regimens for up to three-way interactions, with stepwise backward variable selection by Akaike information criterion using R package MASS.

RESULTS
Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 and Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 vectors
While Ad5 seroprevalence worldwide exceeds 70% (>90% in some regions), Ad35 is ~10% and associated with lower titers (figure 1A). Thus, we constructed a chimeric adenovirus (Ad5.F35) composed of Ad5 in which the fiber was replaced by the Ad35 fiber and evaluated its ability to induce GUCY2C-specific immunity and resist Ad5-specific immunity in humans and mice. Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 is a replication-deficient human Ad5 expressing the mouse GUCY2C extracellular domain fused to the I-E^k-restricted CD4+ epitope known as site 1 at its C-terminus. To generate Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, the Ad5 fiber (L5) was replaced with the Ad35 fiber (figure 1B). Replication-deficient Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 and Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 generated in HEK293 cells produced dose-dependent (figure 1C) and time-dependent (figure 1D) expression of GUCY2C-S1 protein in A549 human alveolar basal epithelial cells in vitro.

Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 induces GUCY2C-specific antitumor immunity
Following in vitro validation of GUCY2C expression by Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, we confirmed its ability to induce GUCY2C-specific immune responses after vaccination in vivo. BALB/c mice immunized intramuscularly with 10^10 vp of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 produced 54% lower GUCY2C-specific CD8+ T-cell responses (figure 2A), and no GUCY2C-specific antibody responses (figure 2B), compared with Ad5-GUCY2C-S1. Importantly, Ad5 and Ad5.F35 vaccines produced GUCY2C-specific CD8+ T cells of comparable avidity (figure 2C), a critical determinant of the antitumor efficacy of GUCY2C-targeted vaccines. In contrast, GUCY2C-specific antibody responses have no detectable antitumor activity. Similarly, Ad5 and Ad5.F35 vaccines produced comparable S1-specific CD4+ T-cell responses (figure 2D).

Previous studies revealed that Ad5-GUCY2C vaccines induced protective antitumor CD8+ T-cell responses in murine models of metastatic colorectal cancer. As previously demonstrated, Ad5 vaccination nearly eliminated metastatic tumor burden (figure 3A,B), delayed disease progression (figure 3C), and improved survival (figure 3D). Similarly, Ad5.F35 also reduced tumor burden (figure 3A,B), disease progression (figure 3C), and prolonged survival (figure 3D). Importantly, the efficacy of Ad5-based and Ad5.F35-based GUCY2C vaccines in
reducing tumor burden, opposing disease progression, and promoting survival was identical (figure 3A–D).

Ad5.F35 resists Ad5-directed immunity in mice and humans. NAbs against Ad5 correlated with poor GUCY2C-specific immune responses in patients receiving Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE vaccination, and prior exposure of mice to Ad5 similarly blunted vaccine-induced immunity. Ad5.F35-based vaccine resistance to pre-existing Ad5 immunity was quantified in a model of respiratory pre-exposure to Ad5, the natural route of infection in patients, followed by vaccination and quantification of GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses. Control mice (not pre-exposed to Ad5; naive) and those that were pre-exposed once (1×) or twice (2×) to intranasal Ad5 were vaccinated after 4 weeks with intramuscular Ad5 or Ad5.F35 expressing GUCY2C-S1, and immune responses were quantified 2 weeks later.

Figure 1  Construction of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 and antigen expression. (A) Reported international seroprevalence of Ad5 and Ad35.12 (B) The L5 gene encoding the fiber protein from Ad5 was replaced with the L5 gene from Ad35, producing the chimeric adenoviral vector Ad5.F35. Recombinant Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 was produced by inserting mouse GUCY2C-S1 into the E1 region of E1/E3 deleted Ad5.F35. (C and D) The human alveolar basal epithelial cell line, A549, was transduced in duplicate with Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) from 0 to 10,000 for 48 hours (C) or at an MOI of 10,000 for 0, 24, 48, and 72 hours (D). Supernatants from infected cells were analyzed for GUCY2C-S1 protein expression by immunoblot. Protein expression was quantified by densitometry and plotted relative to uninfected cells. Error bars indicate mean±SEM. Ad5, adenovirus serotype 5.

Figure 2  Immunogenicity of Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 and Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1. (A–D) BALB/c mice (n=4–7 mice/group) were immunized intramuscularly with control or 10^10 vp of Ad5-GUCY2C-S1 or Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 and serum and splenocytes were collected 14 days later. GUCY2C-specific CD8+ T-cell responses were quantified by interferon gamma (IFN-γ) ELISpot (A) and antibodies were quantified by ELISA (B). (C) GUCY2C-specific T-cell avidity measurements were analyzed by ELISpot using non-linear regression (log(agonist) versus normalized response) with comparisons made using the extra sum-of-squares F test. Avidity plots depict the regression line (solid) with 95% CIs (dashed). (D) S1-specific CD4+ T-cell responses were measured by IFN-γ ELISpot. T-cell responses in (A) and (D) were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance. Values in (A), (B), and (D) indicate individual animals, and bars in (A) and (D) indicate means. TCR, T-cell receptor; Ad5, adenovirus serotype 5.
NAb titers were substantially lower than Ad5-low (<1:200) Ad5 NAbs titers (figure 4A). As expected, one Ad5 pre-exposure induced moderate (<1:200) Ad5 NAb titers (online supplementary figure S1) and reduced GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses ~75%, while two pre-exposures induced high (>1:200) Ad5 NAb titers (online supplementary figure S1) and reduced GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses >90% following Ad5 vaccination (figure 4B). In contrast, GUCY2C-specific T-cell responses were reduced only 60% (1× pre-exposure) and 80% (2× pre-exposure) following Ad5.F35 vaccination (figure 4B). Importantly, Ad5.F35 produced T-cell responses in a substantially greater fraction of the population (80% cohort responses), compared with Ad5 (30% cohort responses), following serial pre-exposures to Ad5 (figure 4C).

These observations in mice were recapitulated using sera from patients with colorectal cancer in the Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE phase I trial (NCT01972737). Here, Nab titers against Ad5 and Ad5.F35 were quantified using an established Ad5/Ad5.F35 reporter virus inhibition bioassay in serum samples collected prior to vaccination with Ad5-GUCY2C-PADRE. In these patients, Ad5.F35-specific Nab titers were substantially lower than Ad5-specific titers (figure 4D). Most importantly, 50% of patients possessed low (<1:200) Ad5 Nab titers (figure 4D,E) which closely correlated with a 40% GUCY2C-specific response rate. In striking contrast, 90% had low Ad5.F35 Nab titers, suggesting that the vast majority of patients immunized with Ad5.F35-based vaccines could produce GUCY2C-specific responses (figure 4E). Collectively, these observations suggest that pre-existing viral immunity induced by repeated environmental exposures which neutralizes Ad5 delivery platforms may be overcome by the chimeric Ad5.F35 vector to enhance fractional population vaccine responses.

**Safety, biodistribution, and toxicity of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1**

Food and Drug Administration IND (Investigational New Drug)-enabling studies quantified the toxicity, biodistribution, and immunogenicity of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 in BALB/c mice, employing three schemes to examine acute and chronic effects (figure 5A). Cohorts, balanced for sex, received 1011 Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 either as a single intramuscular injection or as three intramuscular injections spaced 4 weeks apart, monitored daily, and sacrificed on day 14 or 90 for analysis, as indicated (figure 5A). There were no signs of acute or chronic toxicity in the in-life phase by observation, weight changes, or survival (figure 5B–D). Similarly, there were no clinically significant differences in organ weights (online supplementary figure S2) or histopathology (not shown) at necropsy. Small statistical differences in organ weights were considered clinically insignificant and were unrelated to vaccine exposure (dose, time) (online supplementary figure S2). Biodistribution, quantified by qPCR, detected Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1 at the injection site and in the spleen, but not appreciably in other organs, after acute and chronic exposures (online supplementary figure S3). Moreover, robust CD8+ T-cell responses were quantified at day 14 that persisted through day 90 in 70% of mice after a single administration (figure 5E–G). As expected, CD8+ T-cell responses were greater, and persisted in more mice (100%), at 90 days after three vaccinations (figure 5E–G).

**DISCUSSION**

Through decades of gene therapy trials, Ad5 has remained a popular vector, while high Ad5 seroprevalence remains a barrier to universal vaccination. Natural respiratory infection can generate long-lived antibodies that neutralize Ad5-based vaccines, eliminating transgene delivery and potential therapeutic benefit. In that context, Ad5 seroprevalence is >70% across multiple countries, highlighting an unmet need for alternative vectors. Here, we demonstrate that the chimeric Ad5.F35 resists pre-existing Ad5 immunity and induces transgene-specific antitumor immunity. Indeed, Ad5.F35 is less susceptible to neutralization associated with Ad5 exposure in mice and humans and generates a substantially higher proportion of vaccine responders in mice pre-exposed to Ad5. These observations support the suggestion that Ad5.F35...
will produce a higher proportion of vaccine responders in patient populations.

The extent to which NAbs to the Ad5 fiber limit reinfection is controversial. In some studies, replacing the Ad5 fiber with that of another serotype circumvents pre-existing Ad5 immunity. In contrast, other studies suggest that these chimeric adenoviruses do not evade pre-existing Ad5 NABs, suggesting the hexon as the major target of antibody neutralization. In contrast to those previous studies, which generated pre-existing Ad5 immunity by intramuscular or intravenous administration, here Ad5 immunity was induced by intranasal exposure in mice, recapitulating natural human respiratory infection. Moreover, natural pre-existing Ad5 NABs in patients with colorectal cancer, uniformly produced by repeated respiratory infections, similarly were overcome by the Ad5.F35 vector. Importantly, the quality of antibody responses following adenovirus infection is dependent on the route of exposure. Indeed, respiratory infections elicit fiber-specific NABs while intramuscular exposure induce capsid-specific NABs. These qualitative differences in NAB responses, reflecting varying routes of immunization, may contribute to observational discrepancies between laboratories. The present studies, using relevant animal models, confirmed and validated with patient samples, support the suggestion that Ad5.F35-based vaccines should produce clinically relevant immune responses in a substantial (~90%) proportion of patients.
Recognizing the pervasive limitations imposed by endemic Ad5 immunity in global populations, there is an emerging interest in alternative serotypes and chimeric constructs as a tractable strategy in vaccine development. Ad26, Ad35, and Ad48 vectors have been advanced into phase I clinical trials. In that regard, a comparison of Ad5, Ad26, Ad35, and Ad48 immunity among healthy patients revealed that endemic Ad35 seropositivity was lowest across global populations, reinforcing chimeric strategies employed herein. Similarly, the first hexon-chimeric adenovirus, comprising Ad5 and Ad48 components, was safe and immunogenic in patients. Interestingly, Ad5-Ad35 chimeric vectors more efficiently transduce a variety of human cell types in vitro compared with either parental vector. These observations underscore the future potential of intelligently designed chimeric adenoviruses strategically constructed to deliver transgenes for replacement therapy or vaccination and targeted precisely to the cellular or disease context.

While antitumor efficacy was equivalent, CD8+ T-cell responses were lower, and antibody responses were absent, for Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-S1, compared with Ad5-GUCY2C-S1. However, the antitumor efficacy of GUCY2C-directed immunotherapy is driven primarily by T-cell avidity, rather than effector T-cell quantity. In that context, the functional avidity of GUCY2C-specific CD8+ T cells following Ad5 and Ad5.F35 immunizations were equivalent, consistent with their comparable antitumor efficacy. Quantitative differences in transgene-specific immunity between vectors may reflect a variety of factors. Thus, the quantity and persistence of GUCY2C-S1 transgene following Ad5.F35 immunization is lower compared with Ad5, consistent with prior observations that Ad5 transduction efficiency in vivo may be several-fold higher than Ad5.F35. Moreover, the Ad5 fiber binds to CXADR (coxackievirus and adenovirus receptor) while the Ad35 fiber binds to CD46, suggesting the two viruses may infect distinct cell types.
While checkpoint inhibitors have generated practice-shifting results in the clinic and defined immunotherapy as an effective strategy for the treatment of several malignancies, they have not been universally successful. In that context, the dearth of neoepitopes in many cancer types, including microsatellite stable colorectal and pancreatic (second and third leading causes of cancer mortality, respectively), makes them insensitive to checkpoint blockade. Indeed, examination of neoepitopes presented on the surface of five colorectal cancer specimens revealed a total of three neoepitopes. Thus, vaccines targeting cancer-associated self-antigens have re-emerged, alone and in combination with checkpoint inhibitors, as a strategy to prevent and treat metastases from these cold tumors.

Checkpoint inhibitors have become first-line therapy in the metastatic setting for some cancers, while chimeric antigen receptor expressing T cells (CAR-T) cells are being deployed in patients with metastatic and refractory disease. In contrast, few cancer immunotherapies have been developed for early-stage cancer patients with "no evidence of disease" (NED) following conventional surgical/radio/chemotherapies, who are at significant risk of disease recurrence. Indeed, ~25% of stage II, and ~50% of stage III, patients with colorectal cancer recur following surgery and chemotherapy, while 70% of patients with resectable pancreatic cancer experience recurrence. Vaccines targeting tumor-associated antigens, such as Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-PADRE, may provide safe and effective immunotherapies for the secondary prevention of metastatic disease in patients with NED who are otherwise ineligible to receive checkpoint inhibitors or CAR-T cells.

The present studies suggest that the chimeric adenoviral vector Ad5.F35 may be preferable to the widely used Ad5 vector and warrants further investigation. Indeed, they suggest that ongoing clinical investigations of GUCY2C-directed immunotherapy in patients with GUCY2C-expressing cancers, including colorectal, pancreatic, gastric, and esophageal, could benefit from using the Ad5.F35, rather than the Ad5, vector. In that context, an upcoming clinical trial will examine the safety, immunogenicity, and resistance to pre-existing immunity of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-PADRE in patients with GI cancer (NCT04111172). Safe generation of GUCY2C-targeted immunity in a high proportion of patients will lead to efficacy trials to establish the ability of Ad5.F35-GUCY2C-PADRE to prevent recurrence following standard therapy in patients with GI cancer, who represent 25% of all cancer deaths and for whom established immunotherapies are ineffective.
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