

6-14-2020

Brugada syndrome unmasked by fever: a comprehensive review of literature

Sohaib S. Roomi
Abington Jefferson Health

Waqas Ullah
Abington Jefferson Health

Hassan Abbas
Abington Jefferson Health

Hafez Abdullah
University of South Dakota

Usama Talib
North Shore Medical Center, Salem Hospital

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/emfp>

 [Part of the Emergency Medicine Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Roomi, Sohaib S.; Ullah, Waqas; Abbas, Hassan; Abdullah, Hafez; Talib, Usama; and Figueredod, Vincent, "Brugada syndrome unmasked by fever: a comprehensive review of literature" (2020). *Department of Emergency Medicine Faculty Papers*. Paper 118.
<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/emfp/118>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Emergency Medicine Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Sohaib S. Roomi, Waqas Ullah, Hassan Abbas, Hafez Abdullah, Usama Talib, and Vincent Figueredod



Brugada syndrome unmasked by fever: a comprehensive review of literature

Sohaib S. Roomi , Waqas Ullah , Hassan Abbas , Hafez Abdullah , Usama Talib & Vincent Figueredo

To cite this article: Sohaib S. Roomi , Waqas Ullah , Hassan Abbas , Hafez Abdullah , Usama Talib & Vincent Figueredo (2020) Brugada syndrome unmasked by fever: a comprehensive review of literature, Journal of Community Hospital Internal Medicine Perspectives, 10:3, 224-228, DOI: [10.1080/20009666.2020.1767278](https://doi.org/10.1080/20009666.2020.1767278)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20009666.2020.1767278>



© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group on behalf of Greater Baltimore Medical Center.



Published online: 14 Jun 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 61






View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Brugada syndrome unmasked by fever: a comprehensive review of literature

Sohaib S. Roomi ^a, Waqas Ullah ^a, Hassan Abbas^a, Hafez Abdullah ^b, Usama Talib^c
and Vincent Figueredo^d

^aAbington Memorial Hospital, Abington, PA, USA; ^bUniversity of South Dakota, Sioux Falls, SD, USA; ^cNorth Shore Medical Center, Salem Hospital, Salem, MA, USA; ^dSaint Mary Medical Center, Langhorne, PA, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: The Brugada pattern is identified on the EKG by a coved ST-segment elevation accompanied by a negative T wave in the early precordial leads in the absence of a cardiac structural abnormality. Brugada pattern and Brugada syndrome should be differentiated, as the latter is associated with an increased risk of sudden cardiac death.

Methods: The literature was searched using multiple databases to identify all the articles on Brugada pattern. Data were screened and analyzed by independent authors.

Results: Sixty articles, comprising 71 patients, were included in the study. The mean age of patients was 42.6 years, with a higher prevalence of Brugada pattern in men (83%) than women (17%). The most frequent findings associated with Brugada pattern was fever (83%). Other less common presentations included cough (21%), sore throat (10%), syncope (18%), abdominal pain (8%), and chest pain (7%). Comorbidities included pneumonia (30%), upper respiratory tract infections (14%) and smoking (14%). Among treatment modalities, 39% of patients had ICD placement performed, 44% received antibiotics, while 14% had supportive care. Adenosine was given to 3% of patients, while other antiarrhythmics like milrinone, amiodarone, sotalol, procainamide, flecainide, and nitroglycerin were given to 1% of patients. Most patients with Brugada syndrome had a satisfactory outcome, with only 4% mortality rate (WHAT ABOUT THE OTHER 11%?). Out of the 71 patients, 3% had persistent Brugada patterns, while 86% of patients recovered completely. There was no significant effect of ICD on mortality or Brugada pattern resolution (p 0.37).

Conclusion: Our study shows that fever is the main reason for unmasking the Brugada pattern in patients with this channelopathy. ICD placement in such patients is not recommended as it has no mortality benefits.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 11 January 2020
Accepted 15 April 2020

KEYWORDS

Brugada syndrome; sudden cardiac death; Brugada pattern; Right bundle branch block; ICD; EKG

1. Introduction

The Brugada pattern was first described in 1992 by Brugada, characterized by ST-segment elevation accompanied by a negative T wave in the early precordial leads without any cardiac structural abnormality [1]. In 1996, Yan and Antzelevitch proposed that this ST-segment elevation and right bundle branch block (RBBB) appearance had a cellular basis for the development of life-threatening arrhythmias [2]. This theory further confirmed by Kobayashi et al. and Miyazaki et al. [3–6] This review aims to summarize the known literature specifically on the Brugada pattern and also highlights the distinguishing features of Brugada pattern and Brugada syndrome.

2. Materials and methods

A literature search for relevant articles was performed using MEDLINE (PubMed, Ovid), Embase, and Cochrane databases. A total of 60 articles were selected after initial screening and duplicates removal. The authors extracted data into an excel sheet identifying

presentation, risk factors, diagnostic modalities, management, and outcome of patients with Brugada pattern.

3. Results

A total of 71 patients were identified from the included 60 articles. The mean age was 42.6 years. Approximately 35% of the patients were 50 years or older. Of the reported cases, 83% were males, and 17% were females. Overall, the most common presentation was fever in 83% of patients. Upper respiratory symptoms were also reported frequently, including cough in 21%, sore throat in 10%. Besides these, syncope was present in 18%, abdominal pain in 8%, chest pain in 7%, arthralgia in 4%, nausea and vomiting in 4%, and pleuritic chest pain in 3%. The most common associated comorbidity was pneumonia, present in 30% of patients. An upper respiratory tract infection was present in 14% of the patients. Ventricular fibrillation was present in 8% and ventricular tachycardia in 4%. Other comorbidities are shown in Table 1

Table 1. This table depicts the epidemiology, most common presenting symptoms, and comorbidities associated with presentation of Brugada pattern.

Epidemiology (n = 71)	Percent patients	Presenting symptoms (n = 71)	
Mean age of presentation	42.6 years	Fever	83%
Age 50 and above	35%	Cough	21%
Male sex	83%	Syncope	18%
Associated Comorbidities	Percentage (n = 71)	Sore throat	10%
Pneumonia	30%	Abdominal pain	8%
Upper respiratory tract infection	14%	Chest pain	7%
Smoking	11%	Arthralgia	4%
Ventricular fibrillation	8%	Vomiting and nausea	4%
Hypertension	7%	Pleuritic chest pain	3%
Dyslipidemia	6%	Altered mental status	3%
Malaria	4%	Seizures,HSM, Diarrhea	1% each

Thirty nine percent of patients had an ICD placed for the Brugada pattern. Forty four percent of patients received antibiotics. As many of the patients presented with fever or an infection. One patient underwent defibrillation. Three percent received adenosine, and 1% received milrinone, amiodarone, sotalol, procainamide, flecainide or nitroglycerine. This is shown in Table 2

Most of the patients with the Brugada pattern had a good outcome. 86% of the patients recovered, while 4% died and 3% had persistent brugada pattern, no outcome data was available in 7% patients.

The clinical outcomes in these patients were further assessed based on presentation, comorbidities, and management. One important characteristic that was evaluated as if there was any association of ICD placement with better outcomes. A total of 27 patients had an ICD placed, and out of these patients, 26 (96%) recovered, while one patient had persistent Brugada pattern. There were no deaths recorded. On the other hand, in patients that did not receive an ICD, 3 (7%) of the patients died, 39 (88%) recovered, and 2 (5%) patients had persistent Brugada pattern. Even though the rate of death was much higher (6.8% vs. 0%) in patients who did not receive an ICD, this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.372). Figure 1

The outcomes of patients with different presentations, associations, co-morbidities, and management options were analyzed, as depicted in Table 3. There was no statistically significant association, based on chi-square test, between different outcomes and any of the above-described feature.

Table 2. Management of our patient population with Brugada syndrome.

Management	Percentage (n = 71)
Antibiotics	44%
Implantable Cardiac Defibrillators	39%
Supportive care	14%
Analgesics	10%
Steroids	2%
Cardioversion, defibrillation	1% each
Milrinone, adenosine, amiodarone, sotalol, procainamide, flecainide	1% each
Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	1%
Nitroglycerine	1%

4. Discussion

Our analysis indicates Brugada pattern is a relatively benign condition mostly unmasked by fever and has favourable outcomes if the underlying conditions are treated. These patients have channelopathy just like brugada syndrome patients but they do not have a history of sudden cardiac death in their family nor have been symptomatic in life, differentiating them from brugada syndrome. As per our study, patients with brugada pattern do not need ICD placement or antiarrhythmic medications. The brugada pattern resolves with the management of the underlying condition. Brigada pattern patients do not have symptoms, so they are usually unaware of their condition unless diagnosed incidentally on EKG.

5. Clinical presentation

Brugada pattern typically is unmasked by fever due to any cause, mostly in association with a chest infection. Our study found fever was associated with Brugada pattern in 83% of presenting patients. Further, we found the most frequent association was with pneumonia (30%) and upper respiratory tract infection (14%). Other findings associated with Brugada pattern included cough in 21% of the individuals, sore throat in 10%, syncope in 18%, abdominal pain in 8% and chest pain in 7%.

Brugada pattern typically presents during adulthood (42.6% in our study). A study of 384 patients showed its occurrence in men predominantly (70%) [7]. Our study showed a higher prevalence in males (83%) compared to females (17%).

6. Molecular genetics and cellular mechanisms

Brugada syndrome shows an autosomal dominant mode of transmission. In 1998, Chen et al. demonstrated the link of Brugada syndrome to the alpha subunit of the cardiac sodium channel gene (SCN5A gene) [8]. The loss of function of this gene can be caused by a failure of expression of the channel,




Figure 1. This figure depicts the outcomes in patients who received and those who did not receive an ICD.

Table 3. This table depicts the association between different outcomes and different patient characteristics.

Characteristic	Recovery (percentages)	P-value (chi-square test)
Presentations		
Sex	92% vs 90% (males vs females)	0.49
Fever	90% vs 100% (fever vs no fever)	0.51
Syncope	91% vs 92% (syncope vs no syncope)	0.52
Pneumonia/URI	95% vs 88%	0.14
Associations/co-morbidities		
Family history of Brugada	71% vs 94% (family history vs no family history)	0.13
Family history of SCD	80% vs 92% (family history vs no family history)	0.17
Hypertension	100% vs 91% (Hypertension vs no Hypertension)	0.82
Smoking	88% vs 92% (smoking vs no smoking)	0.39
Management		
ICD	96% vs 89%	0.37
Antibiotics	94% vs 0.9%	0.22

accelerated inactivation of the pathway, inactivation of the channel to an intermediate state with a slow recovery, or a shift in voltage and time-dependent sodium channel activation, inactivation, or reactivation [9]. It was observed that the accelerated inactivation of sodium channels occurred at temperatures above the physiologic range suggesting the possible mechanism for Brugada pattern in a febrile state [10]. In 2002, Antzelevitch et al. identified patients of the Brugada pattern exhibiting fever-induced ventricular tachycardia (VT) [11].

Various studies conducted to understand the cellular mechanisms of Brugada pattern suggest a rebalancing of active currents at the end of phase 1 causing the ST-segment elevation or J wave observed in the Brugada pattern [12]. The accentuation of the J wave leads to a saddleback configuration of the repolarization waves. As the notch becomes more prominent due to the prolongation of an epicardial action potential, the direction of repolarization across the RV wall and transmural voltage gradient is reversed. This leads to a typical dome-like ST segment elevation and T wave inversion. (Figure 2)

7. Diagnosis

Brugada pattern is characterized by three types of repolarization patterns in the right precordial ECG leads. Out of these three patterns, type 1 pattern is diagnostic of Brugada, and it consists of a coved ST-segment elevation of more than 2 mm (0.2 mV) followed by T wave inversion. Type 2 ECG pattern of Brugada typically shows a saddleback appearance with an ST-segment elevation of ≥ 2 mm, a trough showing ≥ 1 mm ST elevation, and a positive or biphasic T wave [8]. In type 3, there is an ST-segment elevation of < 1 mm with a saddleback or coved appearance. The definitive diagnosis in types 2 and 3 is made by the presence of type 1 ST-segment elevation in more than one right precordial lead (V1 to V3) in the presence or absence of a sodium channel blocking drug [12]. The three types of Brugada patterns are illustrated in the figure below. (Figure 2)

It is essential to exclude other factors that could lead to these ECG abnormalities, such as atypical RBBB, left ventricular hypertrophy, acute pericarditis, dissecting aortic aneurysm, electrolyte abnormalities, or mechanical compression of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) [12].




Figure 2. EKG of a patient with Brugada syndrome with three characteristic patterns. The left panel shows a type 1 ECG, whereas the middle and right panels show type 2 and 3 ECGs.

8. Management

Over the past few years, a considerable amount of progress has been observed in the diagnosis and characterization of Brugada pattern and syndrome. However, the same cannot be said about its management. For Brugada syndrome, an ICD has proved to be the only definitive treatment [13]. However, its use is riddled with limitations. It is not a feasible treatment option in infants and young children, and in some parts of the world, its implantation is extremely expensive. Patients with Brugada pattern, however, require supportive care and treatment of febrile illness. There was no significant benefit of ICD or pacemaker noted in our review on the Brugada pattern.

Other options in Brugada syndrome are pharmacological therapy, including quinidine to restore the epicardial action potential dome, leading to normalization of the ST segment and preventing phase 2 reentry and development of VT [14]. However, its use in the prevention of sudden death has not been established. Other antiarrhythmic drugs such as amiodarone and beta-blockers have been observed to be ineffective, whereas class IA antiarrhythmic such as procainamide and class IC antiarrhythmics such as flecainide and propafenone are contraindicated [15]. Our study, however, focused on the management of the Brugada pattern only and revealed that 39% of patients had ICD placement

performed for Brugada pattern due to other compelling indications (family history of sudden deaths, low ejection fraction). Most patients (44%) were started on antibiotics for the treatment of underlying febrile illness while supportive care was given to 14% of patients. Only a few patients had antiarrhythmic therapies, adenosine (3%) and milrinone, amiodarone, sotalol, procainamide, flecainide, and nitroglycerin were given to 1% of patients.

9. Outcome

According to our study, most patients with the Brugada pattern had a satisfactory outcome, with a mortality rate of only 4%. Out of the 71 patients, 3% had persistent Brugada patterns, while 86% of patients recovered completely. When the recovery pattern of the different treatment modalities was assessed, 96.3% of patients with ICD placement had a complete recovery, while in patients who underwent conservative treatment, 88.6% recovered completely, 4.5% had persistent Brugada pattern, and 6.8% ($n = 3$) patients died.

10. Conclusions

Brugada syndrome is associated with a high incidence of sudden deaths in adults with structurally healthy hearts and should be managed with ICD, pacemaker, or

antiarrhythmic medications. Brugada pattern, on the other hand, is a benign condition usually unmasked by fever and can be reversed by alleviating the cause of illness. ICD has no mortality benefit in the Brugada pattern.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

ORCID

Sohaib S. Roomi  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5998-9309>

Waqas Ullah  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4850-0309>

Hafez Abdullah  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8261-6997>

References

- [1] Brugada P, Brugada J. Right bundle branch block, persistent ST segment elevation and sudden cardiac death: a distinct clinical and electrocardiographic syndrome. A multicenter report. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1992;20:1391–1396.
- [2] Yan GX, Antzelevitch C. Cellular basis for the electrocardiographic J wave. *Circulation.* 1996;93:372–379.
- [3] Kobayashi T, Shintani U, Yamamoto T, et al. Familial occurrence of electrocardiographic abnormalities of the Brugada-type. *Intern Med.* 1996;35:637–640.
- [4] Miyazaki T, Mitamura H, Miyoshi S, et al. Autonomic and antiarrhythmic drug modulation of ST-segment elevation in patients with Brugada syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1996;27:1061–1070.
- [5] Probst V, Wilde AA, Barc J, et al. SCN5A mutations and the role of genetic background in the pathophysiology of Brugada syndrome. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* 2009;2:552–557.
- [6] Antzelevitch C, Brugada P, Brugada J, et al. Brugada syndrome: a decade of progress. *Circ Res.* 2002;91:1114–1118.
- [7] Benito B, Sarkozy A, Mont L, et al. Gender differences in clinical manifestations of Brugada syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008 Nov 4;52(19):1567–1573.
- [8] Chen Q, Kirsch GE, Zhang D, et al. Genetic basis and molecular mechanisms for idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Nature.* 1998;392:293–296.
- [9] Bezzina CR, Rook MB, Wilde AAM. Cardiac sodium channel and inherited arrhythmia syndromes. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2001;49:257–271.
- [10] Dumaine R, Towbin JA, Brugada P, et al. Ionic mechanisms responsible for the electrocardiographic phenotype of the Brugada syndrome are temperature dependent. *Circ Res.* 1999;85:803–809.
- [11] Antzelevitch C, Brugada R. Fever and the Brugada syndrome. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2002;25:1537–1539.
- [12] Wilde AA, Postema PG, Di Diego JM, et al. The pathophysiological mechanism underlying Brugada syndrome: depolarization versus repolarization. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010 Oct 1;49(4):543–553.
- [13] Brugada J, Brugada R, Brugada P. Pharmacological and device approach to therapy of inherited cardiac diseases associated with cardiac arrhythmias and sudden death. *J Electrocardiol.* 2000;33(Suppl):41–47.
- [14] Yan GX, Antzelevitch C. Cellular basis for the Brugada syndrome and other mechanisms of arrhythmogenesis associated with ST-segment elevation. *Circulation.* 1999;100:1660–1666.
- [15] Brugada J, Brugada R, Brugada P. Right bundle-branch block and ST-segment elevation in leads V1 through V3. A marker for sudden death in patients without demonstrable structural heart disease. *Circulation.* 1998;97:457–460.