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Efficient and Robust NK-Cell Transduction With Baboon Envelope Pseudotyped Lentivector


INTRODUCTION

The relative resistance of NK cells to transduction hampers the study of NK-cell biology and the development of NK cell-based immunotherapy. VSV-G-LVs, classically used to generate chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells (1), do not efficiently transduce NK-cells. RD114-pseudotype viral vectors represent an attractive alternative since their entry receptor, the sodium-dependent neutral amino acid transporter (ASCT2) (2, 3), is widely expressed in the hematopoietic lineage (4).
However, despite encouraging initial report, RD114-based viral vectors only transduce NK-cells at low levels. Nevertheless, they recently enabled clinical development of cord-blood derived CAR-NK-cells (5). To fill the need for an efficient method for transducing NK cells, we investigated alternative pseudotyping proteins. Since the Baboon envelope pseudotyped lentiviral vector (BaEV-LV) binds ASCT1 (6) in addition to ASCT2 for viral entry, we assessed their efficacy to transduce NK-cells for therapeutic purposes. As observed independently by Bari et al. (7) our data demonstrate the efficacy of BaEV-LV in NK-cell transduction.

METHODS

Cells and Culture Condition

Blood samples were obtained from healthy volunteers after informed consent (IRB-approved protocol #CER-3527). NK-cells were enriched from PBMC using a CD56-positive selection kit (Stemcell Technologies, Canada). NK cells were expanded using the Amplification and Expansion System (NKAES) with irradiated K562mbIL21 or K562mbIL15 feeder cells as described (8, 9). Alternatively, NK-cells were amplified using NK-MACS Medium (130-114-429, Miltenyi) system according to manufacturer’s instructions. RS4;11 (ATCC) CD19/22KO were generated using purified Cas9 protein and two gRNA targeting CD19 or CD22 (Integrated DNA Technologies). CD19KO and/or CD22KO cells were FACs-sorted based on loss of surface marker expression. Cells were cultured in DMEM (Wisent) or RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FCS and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). Media were supplemented with 100 UI/mL IL-2 (Proleukin—Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Canada) for NK-cell cultures.

Plasmids and Viral Production

An UCOE sequence (10) was added to the lentiviral vector pHRSIN-SFFV-eGFP (11) upstream of the SFFV promoter to produce pHUS-GFP vector. For the CAR-expression vector, GFP in pHUS-GFP was replaced by an anti-CD22 CAR (m971 ScFv) fused to 28BBz constructed from 28z and BBz (Dr. Orentas, National Cancer Institute) (12). For the dual CAR-expression vector, the GFP-cassette was replaced by 2nd-generation anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 CARs, separated by a self-cleaving T2A peptide (Figure 3A). The pMD2.G (VSV-G) was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid#12259; http://n2t.net/ addgene: 12259; RRID:Addgene_12259) and pLTR-RD114A (13) (RD114) was a gift from Jakob Reiser (Addgene plasmid#17576; http://n2t. net/addgene:17576; RRID:Addgene_17576). The Measles virus (MV-LV) and BaERVLess envelope plasmids were used as previously described (6). Titration was performed on HEK293T cells (ATCC) using serial virus dilutions (6).

Viral Transduction

NKAES were transduced after 1 week of expansion. One day before transduction, a 12-well plate was coated with RetroNectin (Takara). The following day, concentrated vectors at indicated multiplicity of infection (MOI), were added to coated plates for 4 h at 37°C. Then NK cells were seeded in these wells in IL-2-supplemented medium and protamine sulfate (Pharmaceutical Partners of Canada Inc.) (8 µg/mL). The plates were then centrifuged at 1,000 g for 1 h and incubated at 37°C overnight. The next day, IL-2-supplemented medium was added to each well. Transduction was assessed on day 3 or day 5 after transduction for NKAES and freshly isolated NK-cells (FI-NK), respectively.

Flow Cytometry

All samples were stained with anti-CD56-APC, anti-CD3-FITC (Biolegend) and 7AAD (BD Biosciences). Transgene expression was detected by flow cytometry on 7AAD− CD56(-APC)+ CD3(-PE)+ cells (Biolegend). For NK-cell receptor detection, samples were stained with DAPI, CD56-BV711, CD16-BV786, NKp30-AF647, NKp44-PE, NKp46-BV421 (Biolegend), NKGD2-APC (BD Biosciences), and NKGD2-APC (Millenyi Biotec), CD3-BV650 and CD19-APC-Cy7 (Biolegend) markers were used as a gating exclusion strategy for the NK cell staining. Receptor expression was assessed on DAPI− CD56-(BV711)+ CD3(-BV650)- cells. To detect CAR-expression, cells were incubated with 2µS1glec2(CD22)-Fc chimera (50 mg/mL, R&D) for 30 min at 4°C, washed and stained with anti-Fc-PE (Jackson Immune).

Cytotoxicity Assay

Cytotoxicity was assessed 24 h after cell contact by flow cytometry. Targets cells were loaded with PKH26 dye (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s directives and seeded in 96 well round bottom plates. Effector cells were then added at different effector:target ratios and medium alone was added to control wells. Before acquisition, 7AAD was added to each well to discriminate dead cells. The cytotoxicity was calculated as: Cytoxicity (%) = [1-live targets (sample)/live targets (control)] × 100%.

mRNA Quantification

RNA-seq expression studies were independently performed in two laboratories (Accession #GSE128696, #GSE129044). For the FI-NK vs. IL-21-NKAES/IL-15-NKAES comparisons, extraction of total RNA was done using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and Total RNA Purification Plus Kit (Norgen Biotech), respectively. The quality of RNA was verified with 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) prior to preparation of sequencing libraries with the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep v2 Kit. Quality of libraries was verified via Agilent 4200 Tapestation using a High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape Assay kit. For the IL-15 NKAES analysis, approximately 60–80 million paired-end 150 bp sequence reads per library were generated, whereas for the IL-21 NKAES analysis, 30 million single-end 101 bp sequence reads per library were generated, both using Illumina HiSeq4000 platform. Kallisto, an RNA quantification program based on psuedoalignment was used to obtain read count estimates per gene (14). The differential gene expression analysis was done using DESeq2, edgeR, and limma R packages.
Statistical Analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM 8.0 (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance was determined by one-way or 2-way ANOVA with multiple testing and Bonferroni correction or using simple multiple T-tests with Holm-Sidak correction.

Study Approval
Blood samples were obtained from healthy volunteers after informed and written consent. The study was approved by the institutional ethical board of the CHU Sainte-Justine (approved protocol #CER-3527).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
We first transduced NK cells expanded using the Amplification and Expansion System (NKAES) and freshly isolated NK-cells (FI-NK) with an eGFP-encoding LV and observed that in both cases, BaEV-LVs outperformed VSV-G-, MV-, and RD114- LVs (Figure 1A, 83.4 % mean transduction rate vs. 15.7, 13.7, and 37.8% for NKAES, p < 0.0001, and 23.0% vs. 10.4%, 2.1 and 7.8% for FI-NK, p < 0.0001, respectively). The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of GFP after transduction in NK cells was similar for BaEV, VSV-G and RD114 and significantly lower for MV-LV in NKAES (Figure 1B). The mean transduction rate with
BaEV-LVs was higher than 60% for NKAES even at low MOI of 1, and ranged from 12.4% at a MOI of 1 to a maximum of 27.2% at a MOI of 10 for FI-NK (Figure 1C). Transgene expression persisted over time after transduction with BaEV-LVs, although a decrease was observed from 70.6 to 61.4% in 14 days for NKAES (p = 0.06). Transduced FI-NK could be easily amplified after transduction (not shown). High transduction rates were also observed after NK-cell expansion on K562-mbIL15-4I1BL feeder cells (8) or feeder-free NK MACS medium (15) (Figure 1D).

NK-cell receptors expression was assessed on untouched and BaEV-LV treated NKAES, which were either transduced (GFP+) or non-transduced (GFP-) (Figure 1E). There was no difference in CD56, CD16, NKG2D, NKG2A, NKp30, NKp44, and NKp46 receptors expression, suggesting that those markers are neither linked to the transduction efficiency, nor affected by the transduction (Figure 1E), unlike what has been recently reported (7). This difference could be attributed to the different expansion system used in our study.

The number of recovered living cells in both NKAES and FI-NK was preserved after transduction with BaEV-LVs (Figure 2A) although MV-LV transduction on NKAES yielded more living recovered cells than BaEV-LV transduction (p < 0.05). Although the percentage of dead cells in culture was low for all conditions.
(Figure 2B), it was higher in NKAES transduced with MV-LV and RD114-LV as compared to BaEV ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively). Together these results suggest that BaEV-LV transduction did not affect viability nor NK-cell proliferation.

We then assessed whether NK-cell cytotoxic function was preserved after BaEV-LV transduction and confirmed that the cytotoxicity of eGFP-transduced NKAES cells against K562 cells was equivalent to non-transduced NKAES (Figures 2C,D).

RNAseq analyses of both FI-NK and NKAES showed that ASCT1 and ASCT2 mRNAs were detected at significantly higher frequency in both IL-15- and IL-21-NKAES than in FI-NK (Figures 2E,F), which may explain the higher transduction rate of NKAES. These data were confirmed by qPCR (not shown). Also, the expression of both BaEV receptors by NK cells may explain the higher transduction efficacy of BaEV-LVs as compared to RD114 which use only one of those receptors.

CAR-expressing NK-cells represent one of the most relevant clinical applications of efficient NK-cell transduction. We first tested a single 3rd generation CAR construct recognizing CD22 (Figure 3A). We obtained a transduction rate of $38.3\% \pm 23.8\%$ (mean ± SD) of NKAES and a high sustained level of CAR-expression ($58.4\% \pm 7.8\%$; mean ± SD) after sorting and re-expansion (Figures 3B,C). We demonstrated that CD22-CAR-NK-cells efficiently and specifically killed B-ALL RS4.11 target cells, which were resistant to untransduced NKAES (Figure 3D). We could obtain $5 \times 10^5$ CAR-expressing cells from $5 \times 10^5$ transduced cells after an expansion of 14 days (not shown). Since transgene size affects transduction efficacy (16, 17), we
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**FIGURE 3** | BaEV-LVs allow robust CAR-expression in NK-cells. (A) Schematic representation of the different LVs used for NK-cell transduction using BaEV-LV (relative scale according to size in base pairs). (B) Percentage of transduced NKAES cells using BaEV-LVs coding for an anti-CD22 CAR, assessed at day 3 after transduction (“transduced”; $n = 15$) and after sorting and 1 week of re-expansion (“sorted/expanded”; $n = 3$). (C) Flow cytometry plot representative of CAR-CD22 expression after NK-cell transduction with BaEV-LVs. (D) Cytotoxic assays of NKAES (either untransduced or CAR-CD22-NK-cells) assessed at day 3 after transduction (‘transduced’; $n = 9$) and after sorting and 2 weeks of re-expansion (“sorted/expanded”; $n = 3$). (E) Flow cytometry plot representative of dual CAR expression after NK-cell transduction with BaEV-LVs. (G) Cytotoxic assays using NKAES cells transduced with a dual CAR (left panel) or untransduced NKAES (right panel) assessed at day 3 after transduction (‘transduced’; $n = 9$) and after sorting and 2 weeks of re-expansion (“sorted/expanded”; $n = 3$).
also tested a dual CAR-expressing vector with two independent chains recognizing CD19 and CD22. The length of the dual CAR-C
D22/19 LV had a significant impact on virus production and NK transduction was lower (23.1 ± 20.5%; mean ± SD) (Figures 3E,F). However, we were able to sort and re-expand these dual-CAR-transduced NK-cells for 2 weeks, keeping the transgene expression at a high level (79.0 ± 8.7%; mean ± SD) (Figure 3E). These CAR-C
D22/19-NKAES killed efficiently CD19KO or CD22KO-RS4;11 cells, which suggest that this strategy could be efficient for preventing tumor evasion to CAR therapy (18, 19) (Figure 3G).

In this study we showed that BaEV-LV is an efficient and robust tool to transduce NK cells. As a proof-of-concept, we generated large numbers of engineered CAR-NK-cells, which induced specific killing of antigen-bearing cancer cells, even with a large dual CAR-LV construct. This technique was robust and reproducible in different expansion systems, including a feeder-cell-free system. The higher level of transduction could open up possibilities for the use of this method to generate an immunotherapeutic product. The prevalence of receptors, as seen by RNAseq, could explain the difference seen between the transduction of activated and resting NK cells. The fact that activated NK cells express both entry receptors at high level could also explain why this envelope protein is more efficient than the others. The development of such a tool could have a major impact on both basic research of NK-cell biology study and NK-cell-based immunotherapy.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study have been deposited in GEO database of NCBI (#GSE128696, #GSE129044).

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the institutional ethical board of the CHU Sainte-Justine (approved protocol #CER-3527). The participants (healthy volunteers) provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AC, WL, PB, SN, HR, SS, MG, and CT-L performed the experiments. AC, WL, PB, and KB wrote the manuscript. NC and DL generated the RNAseq data on IL-21 expanded NKAES cells and participated in the redaction of the manuscript. JS and LB generated the RNAseq data on IL-15 expanded NKAES cells and participated in the redaction of the manuscript. RD recruited participants and collected samples. EH generated the hypotheses, conceptualized the study, and wrote the manuscript. EV provided BaEVTRless encoding plasmid, discussed results, and wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (#PTJ-156347 and # 130246), from the Research Chair in Pediatric Immunology by the Bank of Montreal (BMO) and from the Fonds d’innovation thérapeutique (FIT) from the Fondation Charles Bruneau to EH. WL was supported by a Fonds de Recherche en Santé du Québec (FRQS) scholarship award and AC by the Cole Foundation scholarship award. SN was supported by the Fondation Bettencourt-Schueller (Ecole de l’INSEMr-Liliane Bettencourt) and a fellowship from the Fondation ARC pour la recherche sur le cancer. EV was supported by Lentigen/Miltenyi Inc. (Boro Dropulic) for this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Dario Campana (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital) for the K562-mbIL15-41BBL cell line, and Dr. Rimas J. Orentas from the National Cancer Institute for the CAR-C
D22 construct. This manuscript has been released as a Pre-Print at bioRxiv (20).

REFERENCES


**Conflict of Interest:** EV has a patent EP2761010 licensed to Lentigen/Miltenyi Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Colamartino, Lemieux, Bifsha, Nicoletti, Chakravarti, Sanz, Roméro, Selleri, Bélund, Guiot, Tremblay-Laganière, Dicaire, Barreiro, Lee, Verhoeyen and Haddad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.