

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Faculty Papers Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

1-2013

Identification of phosphorylation sites in the COOH-terminal tail of the μ -opioid receptor.

Ying-Ju Chen University of Bristol

Sue Oldfield University of Bristol

Adrian J. Butcher University of Leicester

Andrew B. Tobin University of Leicester

Kunal Saxena Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp University of Briston Part of the Neurology Commons, and the Neurosciences Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Chen, Ying-Ju; Oldfield, Sue; Butcher, Adrian J.; Tobin, Andrew B.; Saxena, Kunal; Gurevich, Vsevolod V.; Benovic, Jeffrey L.; Henderson, Graeme; and Kelly, Eamonn, "Identification of phosphorylation sites in the COOH-terminal tail of the μ-opioid receptor." (2013). *Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Faculty Papers*. Paper 106.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/bmpfp/106

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Ying-Ju Chen, Sue Oldfield, Adrian J. Butcher, Andrew B. Tobin, Kunal Saxena, Vsevolod V. Gurevich, Jeffrey L. Benovic, Graeme Henderson, and Eamonn Kelly



NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurochem. 2013 January ; 124(2): 189–199. doi:10.1111/jnc.12071.

Identification of phosphorylation sites in the COOH-terminal tail of the µ-opioid receptor

Ying-Ju Chen^{a,1}, Sue Oldfield^{a,1}, Adrian J Butcher^{b,1}, Andrew B Tobin^b, Kunal Saxena^a, Vsevolod V Gurevich^c, Jeffrey L Benovic^d, Graeme Henderson^a, and Eamonn Kelly^a ^a School of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Bristol, UK

^b MRC Toxicology Unit, University of Leicester, Hodgkin Building, Lancaster Road , LE1 9HN, UK

^cDepartment of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, USA

^dDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, USA

Abstract

Phosphorylation is considered a key event in the signalling and regulation of the μ opioid receptor (MOPr). Here we used mass spectroscopy to determine the phosphorylation status of the Cterminal tail of the rat MOPr expressed in HEK-293 cells. Under basal conditions, MOPr is phosphorylated on Ser³⁶³ and Thr³⁷⁰, while in the presence of morphine or [D-Ala2, NMe-Phe4, Gly-ol5]-enkephalin (DAMGO), the COOH-terminus is phosphorylated at three additional residues, Ser³⁵⁶, Thr³⁵⁷, and Ser³⁷⁵. Using N-terminal Glutathione S Transferase (GST) fusion proteins of the cytoplasmic, C-terminal tail of MOPr and point mutations of the same, we show that, in vitro, purified G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2) phosphorylates Ser³⁷⁵, PKC phosphorylates Ser³⁶³ whilst CaMKII phosphorylates Thr³⁷⁰. Phosphorylation of the GST fusion protein of the C-terminal tail of MOPr enhanced its ability to bind arrestin-2 and -3. Hence, our study identifies both the basal and agonist-stimulated phospho-acceptor sites in the C-terminal tail of MOPr, and suggests that the receptor is subject to phosphorylation and hence regulation by multiple protein kinases.

Keywords

 μ opioid receptor; mass spectrometry; phosphorylation; kinases; arrestins; desensitization

Introduction

Phosphorylation of MOPr has been implicated in the desensitization and trafficking of the receptor, as well as in the development of opioid tolerance in the intact organism (Johnson et

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Eamonn Kelly, School of Physiology and Pharmacology, Medical Sciences Building, University Walk, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TD, UK. E.Kelly@bristol.ac.uk Tel +44 (0)117 331 1402 Fax +44 (0)117 33 12288. ¹These authors contributed equally to the work.

Note: The LC-MS/MS data described here was first presented as an abstract at the July 2010 INRC in Malmo, Sweden (http:// www.inrcworld.org/2010/INRC%20program&abstract%20Final.pdf) page 99.

al. 2005; Dang and Christie 2012; Kelly et al. 2008; Kelly 2011). The identity of phosphorylated amino acids in the intracellular regions of MOPr is therefore of considerable interest and importance. Using a $[^{32}P]$ orthophosphate metabolic prelabelling approach, in conjunction with site-directed mutagenesis, previous studies have identified amino acids in MOPr that are phosphorylated under basal conditions or in response to opioid agonist (Deng et al. 2000; El Kouhen et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2002; Schulz et al. 2004; Ozsoy et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007; Chu et al. 2008). However there remains disagreement as to the identity of phosphorylated residues, as well as the role of such residues in the regulation of MOPr (Pak et al. 1997; Burd et al. 1998; Deng et al. 2000; El Kouhen et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2002; Schulz et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2005; Ozsoy et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007; Chu et al. 2008). This may in part be due to the previous reliance on the use of MOPr point mutations to confirm phosphorylation data. Such mutations might influence receptor function in ways that are not related to the phosphorylation of that particular residue (Kim et al. 2005). A better approach would be the direct identification of phosphorylated residues in the receptor by mass spectrometry. This has been achieved for some G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) including the β_2 -adrenoceptor (Trester-Zedlitz *et al.* 2005; Nobles *et al.* 2011), the V2 vasopressin receptor (Wu et al. 2008) and the CXCR4 receptor (Busillo et al. 2010). In the case of opioid receptors, mass spectrometry has been employed to sequence the purified MOPr and KOPr (Christoffers et al. 2003; Wannemacher et al. 2008). Very recently it has also been applied to study the phosphorylation sites of the C-terminal tail of MOPr (Lau et al. 2011; Feng et al. 2011; Moulédous et al. 2012).

Apart from the identification of phospho-acceptor sites, the kinases that mediate these phosporylatyion events are generally not known. However G protein-coupled receptor kinases have been implicated in the phosphorylation of Ser³⁷⁵ (Schulz *et al.* 2004). Here we use Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) to identify phosphorylated amino acids in the cytoplasmic, C-terminal tail of MOPr. We find that this region of MOPr is phosphorylated on multiple residues both under basal conditions and upon stimulation by agonist. Furthermore, using GST fusion proteins of the C-terminal tail of the receptor we identify, *in vitro*, possible sites for phosphorylation by both GRK2 and second messenger-dependent protein kinases. Finally, we demonstrate that phosphorylation of the C-terminal tail of MOPr increases its ability to interact with arrestins.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HEK293 cells stably expressing MOPr (~0.5 pmol/mg membrane protein) tagged at the NH_2 terminus with hemagglutinin (HA) were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, 200 µg/ml geneticin (PAA, Pasching, Austria), 10 U/ml penicillin and 10 µg/ml streptomycin. For experimental purposes, cells were grown to approximately 90% confluence and incubated with 10 µM DAMGO, 30 µM morphine or with no drug for 10 min, washed twice in ice-cold phosphate buffered saline and scraped into lysis buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA containing protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche Products, Welwyn Garden City,

Affinity purification of HA-tagged MOPr and tryptic digestion

A flowchart outlining the methodology is shown in Fig. 1A. Cells from 12×150 cm² flasks were scraped into 25 ml lysis buffer and lysed with a Polytron homogeniser. Nuclei and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 5 min, and the supernatant was diluted to 50 ml with lysis buffer and subjected to centrifugation at 40,000 g for 1 h. The resultant membrane pellet was washed with lysis buffer and then dissolved in 5 ml lysis buffer containing 1% of the detergent NP40. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation and the supernatant diluted 2-fold with 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl. MOPr was immunoprecipitated by mixing with agarose beads coupled to anti-HA antibody (200 µl) (Roche Products) at 4°C overnight. Beads were then washed three times with 20 mM Tris/HCl pH7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40. Bound proteins were eluted into SDS sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with colloidal Coomassie blue and the band corresponding to MOPr (~80kD) was excised. (For the purposes of photography one gel was stained using the ProteoSilverTM Plus Silver Stain kit (Bio-Rad laboratories, Hemel Hempstead, UK) according to the supplier's instructions; see Fig. 1B). The gel slice was washed three times for 15 min with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Reduction and alkylation of cysteine residues was performed by incubation of the gel slice in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 10 mM dithiothreitol at 60°C for 30 min followed by incubation in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 100 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min in the dark. Gel slices were washed 3 times for 5 min with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 50% acetonitrile and incubated overnight at 37°C in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 1 µg sequencing grade trypsin (Promega, Southampton, UK). The solvent was removed in a under vacuum in a centrifugal concentrator and the peptides redissolved in 0.1% triflouroacetic acid.

LC-MS/MS

LC-MS/MS was carried out upon each sample using an LTQ (Linear Trap Quadrupole) Orbitrap mass spectrometer by the Protein and Nucleic Acid Chemistry Laboratory at the University of Leicester. Briefly, peptides resulting from in-gel digestion were loaded at high flow rate onto a reverse-phase trapping column (0.3 mm i.d. \times 1 mm), containing 5 μ m C18 300 Å Acclaim PepMap media (Dionex, Camberley, UK) and eluted through a reversephase capillary column (75 µm i.d. × 150 mm) containing Symmetry C18 100 Å media (Waters, Elstree, UK). The output from the column was sprayed directly into the nanospray ion source of the LTO Orbitrap mass spectrometer. The resulting spectra were analysed against the UniProtKB/SwissProt database using MASCOT software (Matrix Science Ltd., London, UK) with peptide tolerance set to 5 ppm and the MS/MS tolerance set to 0.6 Daltons. Fixed modifications were set as carbamidomethyl cysteine with variable modifications of phosphoserine, phosphothreonine, phosphotyrosine and oxidised methionine. The enzyme was set to Trypsin/P and up to 2 missed cleavages were allowed. Peptides with a MASCOT score greater than 20 and where the probability (P) that the observed match was a random event was <0.05 were included in the analysis. The spectra of peptides reported as being phosphorylated were interrogated manually to confirm the precise

sites of phosphorylation. For each condition (i.e. plus DAMGO or morphine) the experiment was conducted 3 times and the results presented as a summary in Fig. 2.

GST-fusion protein expression and purification

The Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins, including GST-rat MOPr-2nd intracellular loop (GST-2IL), GST-rat MOPr-3rd intracellular loop (GST-3IL), and GST-rat MOPr C-terminal tail (GST-CT) were expressed in the pGEX-4T-1 vector. The sequences for MOPr-2IL, -3IL, and -CT were, respectively,

S¹⁶²VDRYIAVCHPVKALDFRTPRNAK¹⁸⁵;

L²⁵⁷RLKSVRMLSGSKEKDRNLRRITRMV²⁸²;

N³²⁸SCLNPVLYAFLDENFKRCFREFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTRVRQNTREHPSTANTVDRT NHQLENLEAETAPLP³⁹⁸. To generate a specific amino acid mutation in the GST fusion protein of MOPr-CT, the GeneTailorTM Site-Directed Mutagenesis System kit (Invitrogen, Paisley UK) was used. All the recombinant pGEX-4T-1 plasmids were transformed into BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* competent cells. Bacteria were grown in LB broth and protein expression induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; Apollo Scientific, Stockport UK) at 15°C overnight.

Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,040 *g* and 4°C for 15 min. The cell pellets were then resuspended in a lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl) supplemented with 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and protease inhibitors (0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, 4 µg/ml leupeptin, and 4 µg/ml pepstatin), followed by 4 periods of sonication (30 sec each on dial 3, Misonix sonicator XL2020) to disrupt the cells. Triton-X 100 (1 % final concentration) was added to sonicated cells, followed by a further incubation at 4°C with gentle shaking to ensure efficient cell lysis. The unwanted pellets were removed by centrifugation of the lysate at 43,100 *g* at 4°C for 20 min. The supernatant containing the GST-fusion proteins was further incubated at 4°C overnight, with a 50% slurry of glutathione sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK), which had been prewashed and resuspended in lysis buffer. The GST-fusion protein-bound beads were then collected by a brief spin in a microcentrifuge, washed twice in lysis buffer, resuspended in 1 volume of lysis buffer and 2 volumes of glycerol and stored at -20°C.

Kinase-induced phosphorylation of GST fusion proteins

CaMKII requires activation by autophosphorylation before being used in the phosphorylation reaction. The activation reaction contained 1 μ l (500 units) of CaMKII (New England BioLabs, Hitchin, UK) in the presence of 20 μ M ATP, 1 mM calcium chloride, and 0.075 μ M calmodulin in a final volume of 10 μ l. Activation of the CaMKII mixture was performed at 30°C for 10 min to enable the autophosphorylation and hence activation of CaMKII.

The GST-fusion proteins immobilized on glutathione sepharose beads were washed and resuspended in assay buffer (20 mM HEPES pH7.4, 1 mM dithiothreitol) up to a final volume of 10 μ l. The CaMKII-induced phosphorylation was initiated by incubating 5 μ l of sample proteins in the presence of 10 μ l of pre-activated CaMKII mixture, 5 μ l of ATP

mixture (0.02 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂), and 2.8 μ Ci [γ -³²P]ATP to a final volume of 25 μ l. The final concentration of CaMKII was 100 nM. The phosphorylation reaction was allowed to proceed at 30°C for 15 min.

For PKC-induced phosphorylation, 5 μ l washed beads were incubated with PKC (Calbiochem, Nottingham Uk, final concentration 100 nM), 5 μ l ATP mixture, and 2.8 μ Ci [γ -³²P]ATP, in a final volume of 25 μ l at 30°C for 15 min.

For GRK2-induced phosphorylation, the washed beads were resuspended in 20 mM HEPES pH7.4, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 1 mM EDTA. Reaction mixtures contained 5 μ l sample protein, 100 nM GRK2, 5 μ l of ATP mixture, and 2.8 μ Ci of [γ -³²P]ATP in a total volume of 25 μ l and were incubated at 30°C for 15 min.

The kinase-induced phosphorylation reactions were stopped by the addition of 5 x SDS sample buffer to the reactions and heating at 100°C for 5 min. The proteins were then resolved by 12 % SDS-PAGE. The protein bands were visualized by staining with Coomassie blue; the gels with phosphorylated bands were visualised by autoradiography.

The software Image J (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2009) was used to quantify the density of the phosphorylated bands on the autoradiograph, and the protein bands on the Coomassie blue-stained gel. The level of phosphorylation was quantified by normalizing the density of phosphorylated bands to the density of their corresponding protein bands, which represented the amount of protein used in each experiment. If no phosphorylated band was evident on the autoradiograph, the position corresponding to the protein band on the gel was identified and used in quantification. In the assays to identify phosphorylation sites for each kinase, after quantification as above, the phosphorylation level of each fusion protein containing the mutant MOPr sequence was compared to the phosphorylation level of the corresponding fusion protein containing WT sequence (taken as 100%).

Arrestin interaction with GST fusion proteins

Arrestin-2 and -3 were purified as previously described (Gurevich and Benovic, 2000; Zhan et al., 2011). GST fusion proteins on sepharose beads were made up to a total volume of 500 µl in assay buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl), and different amounts of purified arrestin-2 or arrestin-3 (final concentrations of 40, 200, 500, 1000, 1500 and 2000 ng/ml; 2000 ng/ml is approximately equal to 44 nM) were added, and mixed by rotation at 4°C different lengths of time (30 min, 1 h or 2.5 h). Following the incubation, beads were recovered by centrifugation and washed three times with assay buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE. For Western blotting, proteins were transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membrane and the membrane stained with Ponceau S to determine the amount of GST-fusion protein present. Following this the amount of arrestin-2 or -3 bound was determined using a rabbit polyclonal pan-arrestin antibody (1:3,000 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and a donkey anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibody (1:12,000 dilution; GE Healthcare). Amounts of GST-fusion protein and arrestin-2 or -3 present on membranes, were quantified using Image J.

Statistics

An unpaired *t* test was further used to compare the phosphorylation of GST fusion proteins to that of GST alone. A one-sample *t* test was used to compare the phosphorylation of mutants to the wild type GST fusion proteins, and to compare the binding of arrestins to different GST fusion proteins, and to phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated fusion proteins. Differences were regarded as significant when p < 0.05.

Results

Identification of phosphorylation sites in the C-terminal tail of MOPr in intact cells

When MOPr was affinity purified from HEK293 cells and subjected to SDS-PAGE, a band at the expected molecular weight for the rat MOPr (~ 80kDa) was observed (Fig. 1B). Mass spectrometric analysis of the purified MOPr identifed a series of peptide derived from the intracellular C-terminal tail. MS/MS sequencing of these peptides provided coverage of the entire C-terminal tail and identified a number of phospho-acceptor sites (Fig. 2 and see Supplementary Fig. 1). To assess the selective phosphorylation on the C-terminal tail of MOPr under basal and agonist-stimulated conditions, the HEK293 cells stably expressing HA-MOPr were incubated in the absence or presence of saturating concentrations of DAMGO (10 μ M) or morphine (30 μ M) for 10 min. Under basal conditions, Ser³⁶³ and Thr³⁷⁰ were found to be phosphorylated. In replicate 1, a singly phosphorylated peptide corresponding to the sequence around Ser³⁶³ was present but it was not possible to distinguish whether it was phosphorylated on Ser³⁶³ or Thr³⁶⁴. However, the MS/MS spectra generated in replicate 2 clearly showed the site to be Ser³⁶³.

On stimulation of the receptor with either morphine or DAMGO, these residues were still phosphorylated and three additional phosphorylation sites were found on Ser³⁵⁶, Thr³⁵⁷ and Ser³⁷⁵ (Fig. 2A). A summary of phosphorylated residues in the C-terminal tail of MOPr is shown in Fig. 2B.

GRK2 and second messenger-dependent protein kinases phosphorylate distinct residues on the C-terminal tail of MOPr expressed as a GST fusion protein

We investigated the *in vitro* ability of CaMKII, PKC and GRK2 to phosphorylate GST fusion proteins of the MOPr C-terminal tail *in vitro* using $[\gamma$ -³²P]-ATP incorporation. In preliminary experiments, we tested the ability of these kinases to phosphorylate the 2nd and 3rd intracellular loops of MOPr in comparison to the C-terminal tail. All three kinases were able to phosphorylate intracellular regions of MOPr expressed as GST-fusion proteins, whilst GST alone was not phosphorylated by any of the kinases (Fig. 3). Notably the GST-3IL was markedly phosphorylated by each kinase, whilst phosphorylation of the GST-CT was also observed with the kinases, with GRK2 being particularly effective in phosphorylating this region (Fig. 3). The specificity of CaMKII- and PKC-induced phosphorylation was confirmed with the selective inhibitors KN93 (10 µM) or GF109203X (10 µM), respectively (Supplementary Fig. 2A and B).

Further experiments were directed at identifying the phosphorylated residues in the COOH terminal tail of MOPr, since this region is mostly associated with receptor regulation (Kelly

2011). Point mutations were introduced into GST fusion proteins at residues likely to undergo phosphorylation. These experiments (Fig. 4) indicated clearly that, under these *in vitro* conditions, Thr³⁷⁰ is the main substrate for CaMKII phosphorylation, Ser³⁶³ the main substrate for PKC phosphorylation, and Ser³⁷⁵ the main substrate for GRK2 phosphorylation. In addition, CaMKII-induced phosphorylation of the Ser³⁶³Ala mutant was moderately but significantly increased, whilst PKC-induced phosphorylation of the Ser³⁵⁶Ala/Ser³⁵⁷Ala double mutant was moderately but significantly reduced.

Arrestin-2 and -3 binding to the intracellular regions of MOPr

The ability of arrestin-2 and -3 to bind to GST fusion proteins of the intracellular regions of MOPr *in vitro* was also examined. In preliminary experiments, the interaction of purified arrestin-2 or -3 with GST-CT was shown to be concentration- and time-dependent (Supplementary Fig. 3 and 4), the latter being similar to that previously reported for arrestin interaction with other GPCRs (Gurevich et al., 1995). The ability of purified arrestins to interact with the intracellular regions of MOPr was then tested. Interestingly, whereas arrestin-3 bound avidly to GST-2IL and GST-3IL as well as GST-CT (Fig. 5C and D), arrestin-2 interacted much more readily with the C-terminal tail fusion protein than with those of the intracellular loops (Fig. 5A and B). We also tested the ability of GST-CT to interact with arrestins following phosphorylation by GRK2, PKC or CaMKII. The fusion protein was phosphorylated by the kinases as described above. Following this *in vitro* phosphorylation, the GST fusion protein was incubated with arrestin-2 or arrestin-3. Under these conditions phosphorylation by each of the three kinases enhanced the ability of arrestin-2 and -3 to bind to the GST fusion protein of MOPr, with the greatest increase in interaction being seen following GRK2 phosphorylation (Fig. 6).

Discussion

These results provide unequivocal identification of phosphorylated residues in the Cterminal tail of MOPr expressed in HEK293 cells. The key findings of this work are that in these cells MOPr is phosphorylated in the absence of agonist; that DAMGO- and morphineinduced phosphorylation involves multiple but similar residues in the C-terminal tail; that *in vitro* three kinases, CaMKII, PKC, and GRK2 phosphorylate distinct residues in the Cterminal tail of MOPr; that *in vitro* arrestin-2 and -3 interact with the C-terminal tail of MOPr, and that this interaction is increased following kinase-induced phosphorylation of the protein.

A cluster of four amino acids (Thr³⁵⁴Ser³⁵⁵Ser³⁵⁶Thr³⁵⁷; refer to Fig. 2B) in the C-terminal tail of MOPr was previously reported to mediate agonist-induced desensitization of receptor responsiveness (Wang 2000), with Ser³⁵⁵ and Thr³⁵⁷ in particular being identified as likely phosphoacceptor sites (Wang et al., 2002). However others have reported that this cluster is not involved in either agonist-induced phosphorylation or desensitization of MOPr (Deng *et al.* 2000; El Kouhen *et al.* 2001). Here we confirm that Thr³⁵⁷ is phosphorylated in response to agonist and also identify Ser³⁵⁶ as a phosphorylated residue, rather than Ser³⁵⁵ as suggested previously (Wang *et al.* 2002). Furthermore our *in vitro* ³²P-incorporation experiments identify PKC as a possible kinase for one or both of these sites, however it is

also possible that mutation on this Ser/Thr pair instead affects the PKC-induced phosphorylation of the nearby Ser³⁶³.

On the basis of point mutations, Ser³⁶³ and Thr³⁷⁰ in the C-terminal tail of MOPr were suggested to be phosphoacceptor sites (El Kouhen et al. 2001). The present results using mass spectrometry confirm this and, in addition, demonstrate that these residues can be phosphorylated in the absence of agonist treatment. However this does not preclude the possibility that these residues are further phosphorylated in the presence of agonist. A recent study (Doll et al. 2011) with antiphosphoreceptor antibodies also identifies Ser³⁶³ and Thr³⁷⁰ as phosphoacceptor residues, although these authors concluded that Thr³⁷⁰ was only phosphorylated in response to DAMGO, and not with morphine or under basal conditions. Another recent study (Feng et al. 2011) indicated that phorbol ester-induced desensitization of MOPr is mediated by PKC-dependent phosphorylation of Ser³⁶³. Interestingly using the in vitro GST fusion protein ³²P-incorporation assay, we now show that Ser³⁶³ and Thr³⁷⁰ are preferentially phosphorylated by PKC and CaMKII, respectively. MOPr has been suggested to be pre-phosphorylated by PKC (Johnson et al. 2006), which may underlie the ability of morphine to induce MOPr desensitization in neurones (Bailey et al. 2009a; Bailey et al. 2009b). In addition CaMKII activation has been implicated in morphine tolerance (Mestek et al. 1995; Koch et al. 1997; Fan et al. 1999). It remains to be seen whether or not such effects are indeed due to phosphorylation of these residues on MOPr by these second messenger-dependent kinases, or instead due to phosphorylation of other cellular substrates that contribute to morphine tolerance.

An anti-phosphoserine³⁷⁵ antibody has been developed and its use has already demonstrated that Ser³⁷⁵ is phosphorylated in an agonist-dependent manner (Schulz et al. 2004; Chu et al. 2008; McPherson et al. 2010). We confirm here that this residue is indeed phosphorylated in response to agonist. Mutation of this Ser³⁷⁵ has been reported to inhibit agonist-induced desensitization (Ozsoy et al. 2005; Chu et al. 2008) and internalization of MOPr (El Kouhen et al. 2001), although in another study this mutation inhibited morphine- but not DAMGOinduced desensitization (Schulz et al. 2004), whilst in yet other studies MOPr constructs with multiple mutations including of Ser³⁷⁵ were still able to undergo agonist-induced desensitization similar to the wild type MOPr (Pak et al. 1997; Deng et al. 2000). Clearly the precise role of Ser³⁷⁵ and its phosphorylation in MOPr function requires further study. On the other hand, Ser³⁷⁵ has been suggested to be the phosphorylation site for GRK2 (Schulz et al., 2004) and *in vitro* phosphorylation data from the present study suggests that GRK2 is the likely kinase involved, although other GRKs may also be involved in vivo. In addition the ability of GRK2 to phosphorylate the agonist-occupied receptor is likely to be much greater than its ability to phosphorylate receptor fusion protein sequences (Benovic et al., 1990). A recent study identifies further phosphoacceptor sites in a cluster (S³⁷⁵TANT) around Ser³⁷⁵ including Thr³⁷⁶ and Thr³⁷⁹ (Lau et al. 2011; Moulédous et al. 2012); phosphorylation of these residues was associated with arrestin interaction with MOPr (Lau et al. 2011). However with regard to the data in the present study, it will be interesting to assess the effect of mutating the residues whose phosphorylation is agonist-dependent (Ser³⁵⁶, Thr³⁵⁷ and Ser³⁷⁵), on agonist-induced desensitization and trafficking of MOPr.

On the basis of mutation data Thr³⁹⁴ has been identified in more than one study as a possible phosphoacceptor site (Pak et al. 1997; Deng et al. 2000). We were unable to detect phosphorylation of this residue, strongly suggesting that Thr³⁹⁴ is not phosphorylated. However with our present data we cannot absolutely rule out Thr³⁹⁴ as a phosphoacceptor site since it remains theoretically possible that the relevant phosphopeptide was missed in our analysis, but it is noteworthy that phosphorylation in the distal section of the COOHterminus of MOPr was not detected in other recent studies (Lau et al. 2011; Moulédous et al. 2012). Mutation of Thr³⁹⁴ has also been reported to markedly reduce agonist-induced desensitization and down regulation of MOPr (Pak et al. 1997; Deng et al. 2000), whilst a mutant MOPr with Thr³⁹⁴ mutated to alanine displays increased internalization and resensitization (Wolf et al. 1999). However, if Thr³⁹⁴ is not phosphorylated, then it is possible that this residue or the region of the COOH-terminus around it has some wider role in controlling MOPr function, such as a permissive role in kinase recruitment or perhaps this region of MOPr interacts with scaffolding proteins that are important for appropriate receptor regulation. The latter is supported by the finding that mutation of three glutamate residues close to Thr³⁹⁴ also inhibited MOPr desensitization (Pak et al. 1997).

With regard to the GST-fusion protein phosphorylation data in this study, it should be noted that the phosphorylation of a peptide by a particular kinase may not always reflect events in the intact cell. In addition the potential interaction of the C-terminal tail of the intact MOPr with other intracellular regions of the receptor, or with interacting proteins or even the membrane itself could influence the nature and extent of phosphorylation. These potential influences would be absent in the GST-fusion protein experiments. Furthermore, there is the question of the cellular activation of the three kinases identified as mediating the phosphorylation of the C-terminal tail. Whilst there is clear evidence that following MOPr activation, GRK (Doll et al. 2012) and PKC (Chu et al. 2010) are activated, there is less evidence to implicate CaMKII (Mestek et al. 1995, Sanchez-Blazquez et al. 2008), although in the intact brain these kinases could be activated by ongoing neuronal activity and consequent increases in intracellular free Ca²⁺; such a mechanism would give rise to heterologous regulation of MOPr. Furthermore the present results report phosphorylation of MOPr expressed in HEK293 cells, but it is possible that the receptor phosphorylation profile would be different in another cellular context such as neurones (Tobin et al. 2008; Torrecilla et al. 2007). Nevertheless it is of interest that morphine-induced desensitization of MOPr in the locus coeruleus requires ongoing PKC activity (Bailey et al. 2009a; Bailey et al. 2009b), suggestive of the possibility that basal MOPr phosphorylation also occurs in neurones. It is also possible that MOPr is phosphorylated at intracellular sites in addition to the C-terminal tail; for example Thr¹⁸⁰ in the third intracellular loop of MOPr has been suggested to be a GRK phosphorylation site (Celver et al., 2001).

Previous studies have suggested that non-visual arrestins interact poorly if at all with the intracellular regions of MOPr (Cheng *et al.* 1998; Cen *et al.* 2001), although our recent work indicates that arrestin-3 does associate with intact MOPr in intact cells in an agonist-dependent manner (McPherson *et al.* 2010). In the present study we found a robust interaction between purified arrestins and GST fusion proteins, with both arrestin-2 and -3 being able to associate with the GST fusion protein of the C-terminal tail of MOPr.

Interestingly we found that phosphorylation of the GST-CT increased its interaction with each arrestin. Under the conditions of the assay GRK2 phosphorylation produced the greatest increase in arrestin association. Whether or not phosphorylation of Ser³⁶³ or Thr³⁷⁰ affect arrestin association or MOPr trafficking in intact cells is less clear, but it should be noted that Ser³⁶³ is basally phosphorylated but does not lead to constitutive arrestin association with the receptor (Zhang et al., 1998; McPherson et al., 2010). Indeed, mutation of Ser³⁶³ actually enhances agonist-induced internalization of MOPr (El Kouhen et al. 2001). On the other hand previous studies have shown that GPCRs normally require phosphorylation of two or more residues for tight binding of arrestins to occur (Gurevich et al., 1995; Vishnivetskiy et al., 2007), perhaps explaining the inability of arrestin to associate with the basally-phosphorylated MOPr in intact cells. Recent work also indicates that dependency on GPCR phosphorylation versus receptor activation for arrestin association is receptor subtype-dependent (Gimenez et al. 2012). The present results and those from other studies (Lowe et al., 2002; Lau et al. 2011; see references in Gurevich and Gurevich, 2006) suggest that arrestin association with the C-terminal tail of MOPr as well as other GPCRs has both phosphorylation-dependent and phosphorylation-independent components.

In conclusion, we have identified phosphorylated residues in the C-terminal tail of the rat MOPr stably expressed in HEK293 cells by LC-MS/MS. We have also identified candidate kinases for the phosphorylation of some of these residues, and show that phosphorylation by a range of protein kinases can enhance the association of arrestins with MOPr. Together these findings support the idea that MOPr signalling and regulation can be modulated by multiple kinase-dependent mechanisms.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare. This work was supported by a project grant to EK from the Medical Research Council UK, and NIH grant GM077561 to VVG. ABT and AJB are supported by the Medical Research Council UK. The proteomic mass spectrometry experiments were conducted by the Protein and Nucleic Acid Chemistry Laboratory (PNACL) at Leicester University.

Abbreviations used

MOPr	μ opioid receptor		
DAMGO	[D-Ala ² , NMe-Phe ⁴ , Gly-ol ⁵]-enkephalin		
GPCR	G protein-coupled receptor		
GRK2	G protein-coupled receptor		
HA	hemagglutinin		
LC-MS/MS	Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectoscopy		
LTQ	Linear Trap Quadrupole		

MOPr

µ opioid receptor

References

- Bailey C, Llorente J, Gabra B, Smith F, Dewey W, Kelly E, Henderson G. Role of protein kinase C and μ-opioid receptor (MOPr) desensitization in tolerance to morphine in rat locus coeruleus neurons. Eur. J. Neurosci. 2009a; 29:307–18. [PubMed: 19200236]
- Bailey C, Oldfield S, Llorente J, Caunt CJ, Teschemacher AG, Roberts L, McArdle CA, Smith FL, Dewey WL, Kelly E, Henderson G. Involvement of PKCα and G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 in agonist-selective desensitization of μ-opioid receptors in mature brain neurons. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 2009; 158:157–64. [PubMed: 19309357]
- Benovic JL, Onorato J, Lohse MJ, Dohlman HG, Staniszewski C, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. Synthetic peptides of the hamster beta 2-adrenoceptor as substrates and inhibitors of the beta-adrenoceptor kinase. Brit. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1990; 30(Suppl 1):3S–12S. [PubMed: 2176526]
- Burd A, El-Kouhen R, Erickson L, Loh H, Law P. Identification of serine 356 and serine 363 as the amino acids involved in etorphine-induced down-regulation of the μ-opioid receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273:34488–95. [PubMed: 9852117]
- Busillo J, Armando S, Sengupta R, Meucci O, Bouvier M, Benovic J. Site-specific phosphorylation of CXCR4 is dynamically regulated by multiple kinases and results in differential modulation of CXCR4 signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2010; 285:7805–17. [PubMed: 20048153]
- Celver JP, Lowe J, Kovoor A, Gurevich VV, Chavkin C. Threonine 180 is required for GRK3 and arrestin3 mediated desensitization of Mu opioid receptor in *Xenopus* oocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276:4894–4900. [PubMed: 11060299]
- Cen B, Xiong Y, Ma L, Pei G. Direct and differential interaction of beta-arrestins with the intracellular domains of different opioid receptors. Mol. Pharmacol. 2001; 59:758–764. [PubMed: 11259620]
- Cheng ZJ, Yu QM, Wu YL, Ma L, Pei G. Selective interference of beta-arrestin 1 with kappa and delta but not mu opioid receptor/G protein coupling. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273:24328–24333. [PubMed: 9733719]
- Christoffers K, Li H, Keenan S, Howells R. Purification and mass spectrometric analysis of the µ opioid receptor. Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 2003; 118:119–31. [PubMed: 14559361]
- Chu J, Zheng H, Loh H, Law P. Morphine-induced μ-opioid receptor rapid desensitization is independent of receptor phosphorylation and β-arrestins. Cell. Signal. 2008; 20:1616–24. [PubMed: 18558479]
- Chu J, Zheng H, Zhang Y, Loh HH, Law PY. Agonist-dependent mu-opioid receptor signaling can lead to heterologous desensitization. Cell Signal. 2010; 22:684–696. [PubMed: 20043990]
- Dang VC, Christie MJ. Mechanisms of rapid opioid receptor desensitization, resensitization and tolerance in brain neurons. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 2012; 165:1704–1716. [PubMed: 21564086]
- Deng H, Yu Y, Pak Y, O'Dowd B, George S, Surratt C, Uhl G, Wang J. Role for the C-terminus in agonist-induced µ opioid receptor phosphorylation and desensitization. Biochemistry. 2000; 39:5492–9. [PubMed: 10820022]
- Doll C, Konietzko J, Pöll F, Koch T, Höllt V, Schulz S. Agonist-selective patterns of mu-opioid receptor phosphorylation revealed by phosphosite-specific antibodies. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 2011; 164:298–307. [PubMed: 21449911]
- Doll C, Poll F, Peuker K, Loktev A, Gluck L, Schulz S. Deciphering μ-opioid receptor phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in HEK293 cells. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2012 doi: 10.1111/j. 1476-5381.2012.02080.x.
- El Kouhen R, Burd A, Erickson-Herbrandson L, Chang C, Law P, Loh H. Phosphorylation of Ser³⁶³, Thr³⁷⁰, and Ser³⁷⁵ residues within the carboxyl tail differentially regulates mu-opioid receptor internalization. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276:12774–80. [PubMed: 11278523]
- Fan GH, Wang LZ, Qiu HC, Ma L, Pei G. Inhibition of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II in rat hippocampus attenuates morphine tolerance and dependence. Mol. Pharmacol. 1999; 56:39–45. [PubMed: 10385682]

- Feng B, Li Z, Wang JB. Protein kinase C-mediated phosphorylation of the μ-opioid receptor and its effects on receptor signalling. Mol. Pharmacol. 2011; 79:768–75. [PubMed: 21212139]
- Gimenez LE, Kook S, Vishnivetskiy SA, Ahmed MR, Gurevich EV, Gurevich VV. Role of Receptorattached Phosphates in Binding of Visual and Non-visual Arrestins to G Protein-coupled Receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 2012; 287:9028–9040. [PubMed: 22275358]
- Gurevich VV, Dion SB, Onorato JJ, Ptasienski J, Kim CM, Sterne-Marr R, Hosey MM, Benovic JL. Arrestin interactions with G protein-coupled receptors: Direct binding studies with rhodopsin, β2adrenergic, and m2 muscarinic cholinergic receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 1995; 270:720–731. [PubMed: 7822302]
- Gurevich, VV.; Benovic, JL. Arrestin: mutagenesis, expression, purification, and functional characterization.. In: Palczewski, K., editor. Methods in Enzymology. Vol. 315. 2000. p. 422-437.
- Gurevich VV, Gurevich EV. The structural basis of arrestin-mediated regulation of G-protein-coupled receptors. Pharmacol. Ther. 2006; 110:465–502. [PubMed: 16460808]
- Johnson E, Christie M, Connor M. The role of opioid receptor phosphorylation and trafficking in adaptations to persistent opioid treatment. Neurosignals. 2005; 14:290–302. [PubMed: 16772732]
- Johnson E, Oldfield S, Braksator E, Gonzalez-Cuello A, Couch D, Hall KJ, Mundell SJ, Bailey CP, Kelly E, Henderson G. Agonist-selective mechanisms of μ-opioid receptor desensitization in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. Mol. Pharmacol. 2006; 70:676–85. [PubMed: 16682505]
- Kelly E. The subtleties of μ-opioid receptor phosphorylation. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 2011; 164:294–297. [PubMed: 21449916]
- Kelly E, Bailey CP, Henderson G. Agonist-selective mechanisms of GPCR desensitization. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 153 Suppl. 2008; 1:S379–388.
- Kim C, Braud S, Isaac J, Roche K. Protein kinase C phosphorylation of the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 on Serine 839 regulates Ca²⁺ oscillations. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:25409–15. [PubMed: 15894802]
- Koch T, Kroslak T, Mayer P, Raulf E, Höllt V. Site mutation in the rat mu-opioid receptor demonstrates the involvement of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II in agonistmediated desensitization. J. Neurochem. 1997; 69:1767–1770. [PubMed: 9326307]
- Lau EK, Trester-Zedlitz M, Trinidad JC, Kotowski SJ, Krutchinsky AN, Burlingame AL, Von Zastrow M. Quantitative encoding of the effect of a partial agonist on individual opioids receptors by multisite phosphorylation and threshold detection. Sci. Signal. 2011; 4(185):ra52. [DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.2001748]. [PubMed: 21868358]
- Lowe JD, Celver JP, Gurevich VV, Chavkin C. Mu opioid receptors desensitize less rapidly than delta opioid receptors due to less efficient activation of arrestin. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:15729–35. [PubMed: 11861651]
- McPherson J, Rivero G, Baptist M, llorente J, Al-Sabah S, Krasel C, Dewey WL, Bailey CP, Rosethorne EM, Charlton SJ, Henderson G, Kelly E. μ-Opioid receptors: correlation of agonist efficacy for signalling with ability to activate internalization. Mol. Pharmacol. 2010; 78:756–66. [PubMed: 20647394]
- Mestek A, Hurley J, Bye L, Campbell A, Chen Y, Tian M, Liu J, Schulman H, Yu L. The human mu opioid receptor: modulation of functional desensitization by calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase and protein kinase C. J. Neurosci. 1995; 15:2396–2406. [PubMed: 7891175]
- Mouledous L, Froment C, Dauvillier S, Burlet-Schiltz O, Zajac JM, Mollereau C. GRK2-mediated trans-phosphorylation contributes to the loss of function of mu opioid receptors induced by neuropeptide FF (NPFF2) receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 2012; 287:12736–49. [PubMed: 22375000]
- Nobles KN, Xiao K, Ahn S, et al. Distinct phosphorylation sites on the β (2)-adrenergic receptor establish a barcode that encodes differential functions of β -arrestin. Sci. Signal. 2011; 4:ra51. [PubMed: 21868357]
- Ozsoy H, Thakker D, Standifer K. Orphanin FQ/nociceptin potentiates [D-Ala²,N-Me-Phe⁴,Gly⁵-ol]enkephalin-induced μ-opioid receptor phosphorylation. Mol. Pharmacol. 2005; 68:447–56. [PubMed: 15890842]
- Pak Y, O'Dowd B, George S. Agonist-induced desensitization of the μ opioid receptor is determined by threonine 394 preceded by acidic amino acids in the COOH-terminal tail. J. Biol. Chem. 1997; 272:24961–5. [PubMed: 9312100]

- Sanchez-Blazquez P, Rodriguez-Munoz M, Montero C, de la Torre-Madrid E, Garzon J. Calcium/ calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II supports morphine antinociceptive tolerance by phosphorylation of glycosylated phosducin-like protein. Neuropharmacol. 2008; 54:319–330.
- Schulz S, Mayer D, Pfeiffer M, Stumm R, Koch T, Höllt V. Morphine induces terminal μ-opioid receptor desensitization by sustained phosphorylation of serine-375. EMBO J. 2004; 23:3282–9. [PubMed: 15272312]
- Tobin A, Butcher A, Kong K. Location, location, location...site-specific GPCR phosphorylation offers a mechanism for cell-type-specific signalling. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2008; 29:413–20. [PubMed: 18606460]
- Torrecilla I, Spragg E, Poulin B, McWilliams P, Mistry S, Blaukat A, Tobin A. Phosphorylation and regulation of a G protein-coupled receptor by protein kinase CK2. J. Cell. Biol. 2007; 177:127–37. [PubMed: 17403928]
- Trester-Zedlitz M, Burlingame A, Kobilka B, von Zastrow M. Mass spectrometric analysis of agonist effects on posttranslational modifications of the β-2 adrenoceptor in mammalian cells. Biochemistry. 2005; 44:6133–43. [PubMed: 15835901]
- Vishnivetskiy SA, Raman D, Wei J, Kennedy MJ, Hurley JB, Gurevich VV. Regulation of arrestin binding by rhodopsin phosphorylation level. J. Biol. Chem. 2007; 282:32075–83. [PubMed: 17848565]
- Wang H. A cluster of Ser/Thr residues at the C-terminus of μ-opioid receptor is required for G proteincoupled receptor kinase 2-mediated desensitization. Neuropharmacology. 2000; 39:353–63. [PubMed: 10698001]
- Wang H, Chang W, Hsu C, Huang P, Chow Y, Li A. Identification of two C-terminal amino acids, Ser³⁵⁵ and Thr³⁵⁷, required for short-term homologous desensitization of mu-opioid receptors. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2002; 64:257–66. [PubMed: 12123746]
- Wang H, Guang W, Barbier E, Shapiro P, Wang J. μ Opioid receptor mutant, T³⁹⁴A, abolishes opioidmediated adenylyl cyclase superactivation. Neuroreport. 2007; 18:1969–73. [PubMed: 18007196]
- Wannemacher K, Terskiy A, Bian S, Yadav P, Li H, Howells R. Purification and mass spectrometric analysis of the kappa opioid receptor. Brain Res. 2008; 1230:13–26. [PubMed: 18656460]
- Wolf R, Koch T, Schulz S, Klutzny M, Schröder H, Raulf E, Bühling F, Höllt V. Replacement of threonine³⁹⁴ by alanine facilitates internalization and resensitization of the rat μ opioid receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. 1999; 55:263–8. [PubMed: 9927617]
- Wu S, Birnbaumer M, Guan Z. Phosphorylation analysis of G protein-coupled receptor by mass spectrometry: identification of a phosphorylation site in V2 vasopressin receptor. Anal. Chem. 2008; 80:6034–7. [PubMed: 18578504]
- Zhang J, Ferguson SS, Barak LS, Bodduluri SR, Laporte SA, Law PY, Caron MG. Role for G proteincoupled receptor kinase in agonist-specific regulation of mu-opioid receptor responsiveness. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 1998; 95:7157–62. [PubMed: 9618555]
- Zhan X, Gimenez LE, Gurevich VV, Spiller BW. Crystal structure of arrestin-3 reveals the basis of the difference in receptor binding between two non-visual subtypes. J. Mol. Biol. 2011; 406:467–478. [PubMed: 21215759]

Α 12 x T150 flasks of HEK293/HA-MOPr Incubate in presence/absence of agonist Prepare membranes Affinity purify HA-MOPr with anti-HA resin Elute with SDS-sample buffer Run on SDS gel, stain with colloidal Coomassie blue, cut out receptor band Digest with trypsin - extract tryptic peptides MALDI-ToF HPLC- Orbitrap mass spectrometry mass spectrometry MW (Kd)

В



Fig. 1.

(A) Flow diagram of methodology for MOPr purification and preparation for LC-MS/MS. (B) Gel stained with ProteoSilverTM Plus Silver Stain to demonstrate purity of the MOPr preparation.

Α

В

μ opioid receptor phosphopeptides

Peptide sequence	Mascot ion score	Residue number	
Non-stimulated			
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	68.6	349-365	
(R)QNTREHPSTANTVDR(T)	42.0	368-382	
Morphine stimulated			
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	59.7	349-365	
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	41.0	349-365	
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	71.4	349-365	
(R)VRQNTREHPSTANTVDR(T)	39.5	366-382	
(R)EHPSTANTVDR(T)	42.2	372-382	
(R)QNTREHPSTANTVDR(T)	54.2	368-382	
DAMGO stimulated			
(R)QNTREHPSTANTVDR(T)	55.6	368-382	
(R)EHPSTANTVDR(T)	36.6	372-382	
(R)QNTREHPSTANTVDR(T)	52.4	368-382	
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	44.1	349-365	
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	73.8	349-365	
(R)EFCIPTSSTIEQQNSTR(V)	60.4	349-365	

Summary of μ opioid receptor phosphorylation

1	MDSSTGPGNT	SDCSDPLAQA	SCSPAPGSWL	NLSHVDGNQS	DPCGLNRTGL
51	GGNDSLCPQT	GSPSMVTAIT	IMALYSIVCV	VGLFGNFLVM	YVIVRYTKMK
101	TATNIYIFNL	ALADALATST	LPFQSVNYLM	GTWPFGTILC	KIVISIDYYN
151	MFTSIFTLCT	MSVDRYIAVC	HPVKALDFRT	PRNAKIVNVC	NWILSSAIGL
201	PVMFMATTKY	RQGSIDCTLT	FSHPTWYWEN	LLKICVFIFA	FIMPVLIITV
251	CYGLMILRLK	SVRMLSGSKE	KDRNLRRITR	MVLVVVAVFI	VCWTPIHIYV
301	IIKALITIPE	TTFQTVSWHF	CIALGYTNSC	LNPVLYAFLD	ENFKRCFREF
351	CIPTS <mark>ST</mark> IEQ	QNSTRVRQNT	REHPSTANTV	DRTNHQLENL	EAETAPLP

Fig. 2.

Identity of phosphorylated residues in MOPr. (**A**) MOPr phosphopeptides obtained in the presence or absence of morphine or DAMGO. Phosphorylated Ser or Thr residues are denoted by larger red letters. Spectra were analysed against the UniProtKB/SwissProt database using MASCOT software with peptide tolerance set to 5 ppm and the MS/MS tolerance set to 0.6 Daltons. The spectra of peptides reported as being phosphorylated were interrogated manually to confirm the precise sites of phosphorylation. (**B**) Summary of phosphorylation sites in the MOPr C-terminal tail. The phosphorylated Ser or Thr residues (Ser³⁵⁶, Thr³⁵⁷, Ser³⁶³, Thr³⁷⁰, and Ser³⁷⁵) are underlined, as is the NPXXY motif, at the base of the 7th transmembrane domain where the C-terminus begins. The mass spectrometry experiments described here were carried out three times for each condition. The data presented is a summary of these experiments and the spectra shown in Supplementary Fig. 1 are representative of the results obtained.



Fig. 3.

In vitro phosphorylation of intracellular regions of MOPr. GST fusion proteins (GST alone, GST-2IL, GST-3IL, GST-CT) immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads were incubated with purified CaMKII (preactivated), PKC, or GRK2 in the presence of $[\gamma^{32}P]ATP$. Following SDS-PAGE, kinase-induced phosphorylation was detected by autoradiography (top panels), whilst the relative amounts of GST-fusion proteins were determined with Coomassie blue (middle panels). Bar graphs showing quantitation of phosphorylation are shown (bottom panels). Values are means ± SEM from 3-4 independent experiments in each case. All three kinases produced significant phosphorylation of the 3rdIL and CT of MOPr (unpaired *t* test, *** *p* < 0.001, ** *p* < 0.01 compared to GST alone).



Fig. 4.

Identification of *In vitro* phosphorylation sites in the C-terminal tail of MOPr. GST fusion proteins (wild type and mutant) immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads were incubated with purified CaMKII (preactivated), PKC, or GRK2 in the presence of $[\gamma^{32}P]ATP$. Following SDS-PAGE, kinase-induced phosphorylation was detected by autoradiography (top panels), whilst the relative amounts of GST-fusion proteins were determined with Coomassie blue (middle panels). Bar graphs showing quantitation of phosphorylation relative to wild type (CT) constructs are shown (bottom panels). Values are means ± SEM from 3-4 independent experiments in each case. CaMKII phosphorylation was significantly reduced in the Thr³⁷⁰Ala mutant, PKC phosphorylation in the Ser³⁶³Ala mutant, and GRK2 phosphorylation in the Ser³⁷⁵Ala mutant (one sample *t* test, *** p< 0.001 compared to respective wild type). PKC phosphorylation was also reduced in the Ser³⁵⁶Ala/Thr³⁵⁷Ala double mutant (* p< 0.01 compared to respective wild type).

Chen et al.



Fig. 5.

Arrestin-2 and -3 interaction with GST fusion proteins of the intracellular regions of MOPr. Purified (**A**, **B**) arrestin-2 or (**C**, **D**) arrestin-3 (final concentration 500 ng/ml in each case, approximately 11 nM) was incubated with GST alone or with GST-2IL, GST-3IL or GST-CT. Example blots are shown in (**A**) and (**C**), whilst bar graps of data from 3-4 experiments are shown in (**B**) and (**D**). These results are shown as a % of arrestin binding to the GSTCT, taken as 100%, with error bars representing S.E.M. * p < 0.05 compared to binding of relevant arrestin to GST-CT, one-sample *t* test.

Chen et al.



Fig. 6.

Phosphorylation increases the interaction of arrestin-2 and -3 with the GST-fusion protein of the C-terminal tail of MOPr. The GST-CT was subjected to phosphorylation by CaMKII, PKC, or GRK2 as described in the Methods section and their ability to interact with arrestin-2 or -3 (final concentration 500 ng/ml in each case, approximately 11 nM) was compared with that for nonphosphorylated GST-CT. Representative blots of the interaction of arrestin-2 (**A**) and arrestin-3 (**B**) with the non-phosphorylated and GRK2-phosphorylated GST-CT are shown, as well as (**C**) a bar graph showing data from at least 4 independent experiments. In all cases, phosphorylation increased arrestin-2 and arrestin-3 binding to the GST-CT. These results are shown as a % of arrestin binding to the non-phosphorylated GST-CT, taken as 100%, with error bars representing S.E.M. * p < 0.05 compared to binding of relevant arrestin to non-phosphorylated GST-CT (taken in each case as 100%), one-sample *t* test.