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Clinical significance of obstructive sleep apnea in patients with acute coronary syndrome in relation to diabetes status

Xiao Wang, Jingyao Fan, Yunhui Du, Changsheng Ma, Xinliang Ma, Shaoping Nie, Yongxiang Wei

ABSTRACT

Objective The prognostic significance of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) according to diabetes mellitus (DM) status remains unclear. We aimed to elucidate the association of OSA with subsequent cardiovascular events in patients with ACS with or without DM.

Results Among 804 patients, 403 (50.1%) had OSA. OSA was associated with 2.5 times the risk of 1-year MACCE in patients with DM (22.3% vs 7.1% in the non-OSA group; adjusted HR = 2.49, 95% CI 1.16 to 5.35, p = 0.019), but not in patients without DM (8.5% vs 7.7% in the non-OSA group, adjusted HR = 0.94, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.75, p = 0.85). Patients with DM without OSA had a similar 1-year MACCE rate as patients without DM. The increased risk of events was predominately isolated to patients with OSA with baseline glucose or hemoglobin A1c levels above the median. Combined OSA had a similar 1-year MACCE rate as patients without DM. Further trials exploring the efficacy of OSA treatment in high-risk patients with ACS and DM are warranted.

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with extensive coronary atherosclerosis and accelerated plaque progression. Compared with non-diabetics, patients with DM are known to have a higher risk of recurrent cardiovascular events after acute coronary syndromes (ACS). Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is an increasingly recognized chronic disorder in patients with ACS, with reported incidence of 36% to 63% across various ethnicities. Recent evidence indicates OSA initiates and exacerbates coronary atherosclerosis and predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes post-ACS in the long run. Whether the prognostic significance of OSA in patients with ACS would vary according to DM status has not been characterized. Given the shared mechanisms by OSA and DM in promoting atherosclerosis, we hypothesized that concomitant OSA and

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

- Prior reports indicated that obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) may be associated with increased risk of recurrent cardiovascular events after acute coronary syndrome (ACS).
- Whether the prognostic significance of OSA in patients with ACS may be modified by diabetes mellitus (DM) status remains unclear.

What are the new findings?

- In this prospective cohort study involving 804 patients with ACS, OSA was associated with 2.5 times the risk of major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCEs) in patients with DM, but not in patients without DM. Patients with DM without OSA had a similar 1-year MACCE rate as patients without DM.
- The increased risk of adverse events was predominately isolated to patients with OSA with poor glucose control.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- The present study showed that OSA was only associated with increased risk of adverse events following ACS in patients with DM, therefore representing a high-risk subset most likely to respond to the intervention.
- Future trials evaluating the effects of OSA treatment in patients with DM and ACS are needed.
DM might exert a synergistic deleterious effect increasing future cardiovascular risk. Therefore, we performed a large prospective cohort study and elucidated the association of OSA with subsequent cardiovascular events in patients with ACS in relation to diabetes status.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Study design and participants**

This was a prospective cohort study to evaluate the impact of OSA on cardiovascular outcomes in patients presenting with ACS stratified by diabetes. The study design has been described previously. In brief, from June 2015 to May 2017, a total of 899 patients aged 18 years to 85 years and admitted for ACS were consecutively enrolled and received overnight sleep study in the Emergency and Critical Care Center of Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University. Exclusions included cardiogenic shock (n=5), cardiac arrest (n=6), malignancy (n=8), and failed sleep study (patients without adequate and satisfactory signal recording, n=13). This left 867 patients with a successful sleep study. Next, patients with predominantly central sleep apnea (≥50% central events or a central Apnea Hypopnea Index (AHI) ≥10/hours, n=20) and those receiving regular continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy (>3 months, n=10) after discharge were excluded. Thirty-three patients were lost to follow-up and were excluded from the analysis. The final cohort included 804 patients. This study conformed to the STRengthening the Reporting of OBServational studies in Epidemiology guidelines, and was conducted in accordance with the amended Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent.

All patients received standard care during hospitalization according to current guidelines. Type 2 DM was identified by patient history, supported by treatment details. Glucose and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels were obtained from non-fasting venous blood samples at admission. We described clinical, demographic, angiographic and procedural characteristics for each group according to DM status.

**Overnight sleep study**

The diagnosis of OSA was assessed by an overnight portable cardiorespiratory polygraphy (ApneaLink Air, Resmed, Australia) after clinical stabilization during hospitalization (median 2 days (1 to 3 days) after admission). Sleep studies were scored according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine 2007 guidelines. Sleep studies of <3 hours of satisfactory signal recording were considered invalid and excluded from analysis. All studies were scored manually twice (by XW and JF), both of whom were blinded to the demographic and clinical characteristics. Further analysis was performed in cases of discrepancy by a senior consultant in sleep medicine (YW). Nasal airflow, thoracoabdominal movements, pulse oximetry, and snoring episodes were recorded. An apnea was defined as total cessation of airflow for ≥10s (obstructive if thoracoabdominal movement was present, and central if thoracoabdominal movement was absent). A hypopnea was defined as an airflow reduction of 30% for ≥10s and was associated with a drop in arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) >4%. The total AHI was defined as the number of apneas and hypopneas per hour of total recording time. OSA was defined as AHI ≥15 events/hour. Patients with AHI <15 events/hour were considered as the non-OSA group. Patients with OSA (AHI ≥15), particularly those with excessive daytime sleepiness, were referred to the sleep center for further evaluation.

**End points**

The primary end point was major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event (MACCE), defined as a composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, ischemia-driven revascularization, or hospitalization for unstable angina or heart failure. Secondary end points included the components of primary end point, all-cause death, repeat revascularization, and a composite of all events. All end points were defined according to the proposed definitions by the Standardized Data Collection for Cardiovascular Trials Initiative. Patients were followed up from the time of the sleep study and at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and every 6 months thereafter. Clinical events were collected via clinic visit, medical records, or telephone calls and led by an investigator (SN) who was blinded to the patients’ sleep results. All clinical events were independently adjudicated by the clinical event committee blinded to the OSA and DM status. The committee also reviewed the source documents and established the necessity for hospital admission and/or revascularization.

**Statistical analyses**

We reported the impact of OSA on MACCE and other cardiovascular events by DM status, the median of serum glucose (5.9 mmol/L), and the median of HbA1c (6.0%). Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted in OSA and non-OSA groups stratified by DM status, dichotomized serum glucose and HbA1c, and compared by log-rank test. The relationship between OSA and the end points by DM status were analyzed by Cox proportional hazard models. Baseline variables that were considered clinically relevant or that showed a univariate relationship with outcome were entered into multivariable Cox regression models. Variables for inclusion were carefully chosen, given the number of events available, to ensure parsimony of the final models. If a patient experienced more than one event, only the first event was included in the analysis. Adjusted HR with 95% CI was calculated.

Receiver operating curve (ROC) analyses were performed to identify parameters of OSA to predict MACCE in patients with DM. The optimal cut-off value was estimated by area under the curve (AUC) via Youden’s Index (the maximum value of (sensitivity+specificity − 1)). We calculated event rates based on single or combined OSA characteristics.

Continuous variables were presented as mean±SD or median (first and third quartiles), and were compared by Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical
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variables were shown as the number (percentage), and were compared using χ² statistics or Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate. All analyses were conducted with SPSS V.22.0 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, New York, USA). A two-sided p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patients

A total of 804 patients (82.6% male; 57.5±10.2 years) was included in the final analysis. Of these, 248 (30.8%) had DM and 403 (50.1%) had OSA. Patients with DM were older, more likely to be female, and had a higher incidence of hypertension and hyperlipidemia, but were less likely to be current smokers. The prevalence of OSA was similar in both DM and non-DM groups. Sleep study results were comparable between DM and non-DM patients (online supplementary eTable 1).

The baseline characteristics of OSA and non-OSA groups according to DM status are presented in table 1 and online supplementary eTable 2. Patients with OSA exhibited higher body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio in both subgroups. Baseline glucose and HbA1c levels tended to be higher in patients with OSA, irrespective of DM status. Multivessel disease was more frequent in the OSA group compared with the non-OSA group, with numerically more percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) procedures in the OSA group. Other information was generally well matched between OSA and non-OSA patients in the DM and non-DM subgroups.

Outcomes of OSA versus non-OSA patients in relation to diabetes status and glucose control

Among patients with DM, the presence of OSA was strongly associated with a higher rate of MACCE compared with patients without OSA (22.3% vs 7.1%; HR=2.89, 95% CI 1.36 to 6.17, p=0.006). In contrast, the incidence of MACCE was similar between OSA and non-OSA groups in patients without DM (8.5% vs 7.7%; HR=1.06, 95% CI 0.59 to 1.90, p=0.85; table 2, figures 1A and 2). A similar finding was observed in multivariable Cox regression analysis (table 2). Patients with DM without OSA had a similar 1-year rate of MACCE as all patients without DM (7.1% vs 8.1%; HR=0.97, 95% CI 0.47 to 1.98, p=0.93). There was also no significant difference in the incidence of MACCE in DM and non-DM patients without OSA (7.1% vs 7.7%; HR=0.99, 95% CI 0.45 to 2.13, p=0.97). Conversely, in patients with OSA, the 1-year MACCE rate was greater in patients with DM compared with non-DM patients (22.3% vs 8.5%; HR=2.70, 95% CI 1.56 to 4.67, p<0.001). There was a trend towards increased risk of hospitalization for unstable angina in OSA versus non-OSA groups in patients with DM (table 2). Other crude number of events are listed in online supplementary eTable 3.

For patients with glucose levels above the median of 5.9 mmol/L, the presence of OSA was associated with a higher incidence of MACCE compared with patients without OSA (17.4% vs 6.8%; HR=2.36, 95% CI 1.25 to 4.45, p=0.008; figures 1B and 2). Likewise, an increased risk of MACCE was observed in the OSA group among patients with HbA1c levels above the median of 6.0% (18.4% vs 8.3%; HR=2.03, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.70, p=0.022; figures 1C and 2). In contrast, there were no significant differences in MACCE rates among patients with glucose or HbA1c lower than median levels (figure 2).

OSA-related characteristics to predict MACCE in patients with DM

ROC analysis was performed to identify OSA-related characteristics predictive of MACCE in patients with DM. The minimum SaO₂ and the duration of time with SaO₂ <90% (TSA90) that predicted MACCE was a cut-off value of 80% (AUC=0.603; p=0.048) and 22 min (AUC=0.639; p=0.01), respectively. The rate of MACCE based on single or combined OSA characteristics is shown in figure 3. The MACCE rate increased to 31.0% with concomitant OSA and TSA90 >22 min, compared with 9.8% when both were absent (HR=3.39, 95% CI 1.73 to 6.06, p<0.001).

DISCUSSION

The present analysis reveals a synergistic relationship between DM and OSA, such that patients with DM and OSA had a 2.5-fold higher rate of MACCE compared with patients with DM but without OSA after ACS onset. In contrast, among non-DM patients, the incidence of MACCE was similar between the OSAs and non-OSA groups. The increased risk of adverse events was predominately isolated to OSA patients with poor glucose control. The presence of OSA combined with increased hypoxia duration further exacerbated this risk in patients with DM.

Several small observational studies have demonstrated the association between OSA and increased risk of adverse outcomes following ACS. Our study extends prior analysis by focusing on the role of OSA in a high-risk subset of patients with DM. The prevalence of OSA is high in patients with DM, both comorbidities accelerate progression of atherosclerosis, predisposing to recurrent events in those that develop ACS. In the present study, the presence of OSA significantly predicted subsequent cardiovascular events in patients with DM, but not in non-DM patients (consistent with the Sleep and Stent Study). Moreover, our study provided detailed information regarding glucose control and found that the increased risk of MACCE was observed only in patients with OSA with higher glucose or HbA1c levels. These results indicate that patients with combined OSA and DM represent a very high-risk group for future adverse events.

Emerging evidence has demonstrated a close relationship between OSA and incident diabetes. OSA-mediated intermittent hypoxemia and sleep fragmentation are likely contributing factors leading to abnormal glucose metabolism. Mechanistically, recurrent cycles of hypoxemia with reoxygenation promote oxidative stress, systemic inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction, all implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetes and related
Table 1  Baseline patient characteristics in the OSA versus non-OSA groups according to diabetes status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=804)</th>
<th>Diabetes (n=248)</th>
<th>No diabetes (n=556)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OSA (n=121)</td>
<td>Non-OSA (n=127)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>57.5±10.2</td>
<td>59.4±9.6</td>
<td>58.7±9.7</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>664 (82.6)</td>
<td>91 (75.2)</td>
<td>97 (76.4)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.7±3.6</td>
<td>27.4±3.0</td>
<td>26.1±3.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.95 to 1.01)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference, cm</td>
<td>40 (38 to 42)</td>
<td>41 (39 to 43)</td>
<td>40 (38 to 42)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>530 (65.9)</td>
<td>92 (76.0)</td>
<td>95 (74.8)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>210 (26.1)</td>
<td>43 (35.5)</td>
<td>41 (32.3)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke</td>
<td>76 (9.5)</td>
<td>20 (16.5)</td>
<td>17 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior myocardial infarction</td>
<td>118 (14.7)</td>
<td>20 (16.5)</td>
<td>22 (17.3)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior PCI</td>
<td>141 (17.5)</td>
<td>27 (22.3)</td>
<td>24 (18.9)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CABG</td>
<td>11 (1.4)</td>
<td>6 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>288 (35.8)</td>
<td>56 (46.3)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>406 (50.5)</td>
<td>49 (40.5)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous</td>
<td>110 (13.7)</td>
<td>16 (13.2)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.9 (5.3 to 7.3)</td>
<td>8.5 (6.8 to 11.0)</td>
<td>7.4 (6.2 to 9.3)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1c, %</td>
<td>6.0 (5.6 to 6.9)</td>
<td>7.8 (6.7 to 9.2)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.4 to 8.4)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>2.3 (0.8 to 8.6)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.0 to 8.9)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.7 to 4.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>60 (55 to 65)</td>
<td>60 (55 to 65)</td>
<td>63 (57 to 66)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>347 (43.2)</td>
<td>59 (48.8)</td>
<td>120 (42.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td>203 (25.2)</td>
<td>25 (20.7)</td>
<td>66 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>254 (31.6)</td>
<td>37 (30.6)</td>
<td>96 (34.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures</td>
<td>Coronary angiography</td>
<td>786 (97.8)</td>
<td>119 (98.3)</td>
<td>123 (96.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivessel disease</td>
<td>61.2 (481/786)</td>
<td>88/119 (73.9)</td>
<td>69/123 (56.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>490 (60.9)</td>
<td>74 (61.2)</td>
<td>66 (52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>80 (10.0)</td>
<td>14 (11.6)</td>
<td>17 (13.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=804)</th>
<th>Diabetes (n=248)</th>
<th>Non-diabetes (n=556)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>OSA (n=282)</th>
<th>Non-OSA (n=274)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medications on discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>754 (93.8)</td>
<td>112 (92.6)</td>
<td>116 (91.3)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>269 (95.4)</td>
<td>257 (93.8)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thienopyridine</td>
<td>720 (89.6)</td>
<td>107 (88.4)</td>
<td>111 (87.4)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>262 (92.9)</td>
<td>240 (87.6)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blockers</td>
<td>611 (76.0)</td>
<td>91 (75.2)</td>
<td>97 (76.4)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>222 (78.7)</td>
<td>201 (73.4)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEIs/ARBs</td>
<td>564 (70.1)</td>
<td>83 (68.6)</td>
<td>96 (75.6)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>208 (73.8)</td>
<td>177 (64.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>762 (94.8)</td>
<td>114 (94.2)</td>
<td>116 (91.3)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>271 (96.1)</td>
<td>261 (95.3)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD, median (IQR), n (%), or n/N (%).
ACEI, ACE inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; Hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C reactive protein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NSTEMI, non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST segment elevation myocardial infarction.

### Table 2

Clinical outcomes in OSA versus non-OSA groups according to diabetes status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>No diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACCE</td>
<td>2.89 (1.36 to 6.17)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular death†</td>
<td>5.11 (0.60 to 43.77)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction†</td>
<td>2.75 (0.28 to 26.72)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke†</td>
<td>3.98 (0.44 to 35.73)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemia-driven revascularization</td>
<td>1.52 (0.50 to 4.66)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization for unstable angina</td>
<td>2.49 (0.97 to 6.38)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization for heart failure†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All repeat revascularization</td>
<td>1.81 (0.67 to 4.90)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite of all events</td>
<td>2.89 (1.40 to 5.93)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus, clinical presentation (acute myocardial infarction vs unstable angina).
†Univariate and/or multivariate Cox regression was not done due to no or few number of events.
MACCE, major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea.
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Figure 1  Kaplan-Meier curves for MACCE in OSA versus non-OSA groups according to diabetes status and glucose control. The cumulative incidences of MACCE are shown in OSA and non-OSA groups, stratified on the basis of diabetes or no diabetes (A), glucose level above or below the median of 5.9 mmol/L (B), and HbA1c above or below the median of 6.0% (C). DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; MACCE, major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea.

macrovascular and microvascular complications.\textsuperscript{30} Noteworthy, there is reverse causality between OSA and DM, as diabetes neuropathy may worsen OSA and nocturnal hypoxemia by affecting central control of respiration.\textsuperscript{31-33} The significant interaction between OSA and DM may exert a synergistic effect to promote the development and progression of atherosclerosis and increase ischemic events in patients with ACS. In our study, the presence of OSA and DM was associated with a very high rate of multivessel disease. Patients with concomitant OSA and DM were at the highest risk of incurring a MACCE. The combination of OSA and increased hypoxia duration increased the MACCE rate to 31.0% in patients with DM.

Specifically, most events in this study were rehospitalizations for unstable angina and repeat revascularization (mostly attributed to non-target vessels). Cardiovascular death, MI, and stroke were less common. Prior studies have demonstrated that long-term adverse outcomes in patients with DM were predominantly caused by new lesions in non-culprit vessels.\textsuperscript{34} Similarly, untreated OSA was also associated with increased risk of repeat revascularization (mainly in non-target lesions).\textsuperscript{35} Patients with OSA and DM, given shared mechanisms, are more prone to native plaque progression and subsequent cardiac ischemic events.

On the other hand, patients without DM represent a relatively low-risk subset compared with those with DM. According to intravascular ultrasound analysis, non-DM patients had fewer lesions across the coronary tree and had less necrotic core as well as a lower frequency of thin-cap fibroatheromas, indicating a lower risk of plaque vulnerability.\textsuperscript{36, 37} Although OSA could promote oxidative stress and inflammatory responses, resulting in endothelial dysfunction and reduction of repair capacity,\textsuperscript{36} it may have less effect in non-DM patients. In our study, OSA was
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Figure 2  Outcomes of MACCE in OSA vs non-OSA groups according to diabetes status and glucose control. Shown are the crude incidences of MACCE, unadjusted HR, and 95% CI in patients with OSA and non-OSA patients in each subgroup. HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; MACCE, major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea.

not associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events following ACS in non-DM patients, a finding also observed in another study including patients undergoing PCI. 27 Thus, it is more reasonable and clinically significant to screen for OSA in high-risk patients with ACS (DM, etc).

Currently, data from randomized controlled trials do not support a role of CPAP for reducing cardiovascular events among patients with established cardiovascular disease. 39-40 In the RICCADSA (Randomized Intervention with Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in CAD and OSA) Study, 224 non-sleepy patients with OSA and coronary artery disease (CAD) were randomized to CPAP or usual care. At a median follow-up of 57 months, no difference was found in a composite end point of repeat revascularization, MI, stroke, or cardiovascular death. 39 The negative results may be partly explained by the fact that patients are under guideline-based optimal medical therapy, including intensive antiplatelet therapy, and control of blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and glucose, which are also the intermediate mechanisms implicated in OSA. 41 More importantly, the RICCADSA trial included the entire spectrum of patients with CAD, with only 25% of patients having DM, thereby limiting the sample to a potentially lower-risk group. 39 In the present study, only patients with combined DM and OSA had a significantly increased risk of future events after ACS, therefore representing a high-risk subset most likely to respond to the intervention. Conversely, patients with DM without OSA had a similar prognosis as patients without DM (with or without OSA). The presence of OSA seems to be a key modifier contributing to the negative effect of DM in patients with ACS. Thus, for patients with ACS and DM, it is important to screen for OSA and intervention may be needed, although more trials evaluating the effects of OSA treatment in this high-risk subgroup are warranted.

Study limitations
First, the size of the DM cohort with 248 patients was modest. However, the primary outcome showed a significant difference between the OSA and non-OSA groups in patients with DM. Also, the results based on glucose and HbA1c levels were consistent with the main findings. Second, the diagnosis of OSA was based on portable polygraphy, a method that may underestimate AHI due to overestimating actual sleeping time. Third, although OSA severity may be overestimated during the acute setting of ACS but not stable CAD, 42-44 this is true for OSA assessment in the setting of any high-risk acute disease including heart failure. Additionally, the patients in our study received a sleep study after clinical stabilization would not be associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events following ACS in non-DM patients, a finding also observed in another study including patients undergoing PCI. 27 Thus, it is more reasonable and clinically significant to screen for OSA in high-risk patients with ACS (DM, etc).

Currently, data from randomized controlled trials do not support a role of CPAP for reducing cardiovascular events among patients with established cardiovascular disease. 39-40 In the RICCADSA (Randomized Intervention with Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in CAD and OSA) Study, 224 non-sleepy patients with OSA and coronary artery disease (CAD) were randomized to CPAP or usual care. At a median follow-up of 57 months, no difference was found in a composite end point of repeat revascularization, MI, stroke, or cardiovascular death. 39 The negative results may be partly explained by the fact that patients are under guideline-based optimal medical therapy, including intensive antiplatelet therapy, and control of blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and glucose, which are also the intermediate mechanisms implicated in OSA. 41 More importantly, the RICCADSA trial included the entire spectrum of patients with CAD, with only 25% of patients having DM, thereby limiting the sample to a potentially lower-risk group. 39 In the present study, only patients with combined DM and OSA had a significantly increased risk of future events after ACS, therefore representing a high-risk subset most likely to respond to the intervention. Conversely, patients with DM without OSA had a similar prognosis as patients without DM (with or without OSA). The presence of OSA seems to be a key modifier contributing to the negative effect of DM in patients with ACS. Thus, for patients with ACS and DM, it is important to screen for OSA and intervention may be needed, although more trials evaluating the effects of OSA treatment in this high-risk subgroup are warranted.
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First, the size of the DM cohort with 248 patients was modest. However, the primary outcome showed a significant difference between the OSA and non-OSA groups in patients with DM. Also, the results based on glucose and HbA1c levels were consistent with the main findings. Second, the diagnosis of OSA was based on portable polygraphy, a method that may underestimate AHI due to overestimating actual sleeping time. Third, although OSA severity may be overestimated during the acute setting of ACS but not stable CAD, 42-44 this is true for OSA assessment in the setting of any high-risk acute disease including heart failure. Additionally, the patients in our study received a sleep study after clinical stabilization would not be associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events following ACS in non-DM patients, a finding also observed in another study including patients undergoing PCI. 27 Thus, it is more reasonable and clinically significant to screen for OSA in high-risk patients with ACS (DM, etc).

Currently, data from randomized controlled trials do not support a role of CPAP for reducing cardiovascular events among patients with established cardiovascular disease. 39-40 In the RICCADSA (Randomized Intervention with Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in CAD and OSA) Study, 224 non-sleepy patients with OSA and coronary artery disease (CAD) were randomized to CPAP or usual care. At a median follow-up of 57 months, no difference was found in a composite end point of repeat revascularization, MI, stroke, or cardiovascular death. 39 The negative results may be partly explained by the fact that patients are under guideline-based optimal medical therapy, including intensive antiplatelet therapy, and control of blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and glucose, which are also the intermediate mechanisms implicated in OSA. 41 More importantly, the RICCADSA trial included the entire spectrum of patients with CAD, with only 25% of patients having DM, thereby limiting the sample to a potentially lower-risk group. 39 In the present study, only patients with combined DM and OSA had a significantly increased risk of future events after ACS, therefore representing a high-risk subset most likely to respond to the intervention. Conversely, patients with DM without OSA had a similar prognosis as patients without DM (with or without OSA). The presence of OSA seems to be a key modifier contributing to the negative effect of DM in patients with ACS. Thus, for patients with ACS and DM, it is important to screen for OSA and intervention may be needed, although more trials evaluating the effects of OSA treatment in this high-risk subgroup are warranted.

Study limitations
First, the size of the DM cohort with 248 patients was modest. However, the primary outcome showed a significant difference between the OSA and non-OSA groups in patients with DM. Also, the results based on glucose and HbA1c levels were consistent with the main findings. Second, the diagnosis of OSA was based on portable polygraphy, a method that may underestimate AHI due to overestimating actual sleeping time. Third, although OSA severity may be overestimated during the acute setting of ACS but not stable CAD, 42-44 this is true for OSA assessment in the setting of any high-risk acute disease including heart failure. Additionally, the patients in our study received a sleep study after clinical stabilization...
CONCLUSIONS
The present study demonstrated that in high-risk patients with ACS and DM, the presence of OSA was associated with a higher incidence of MACCE compared with patients without OSA. The increased risk associated with OSA was not observed in non-DM patients. Moreover, the prognosis of patients with DM, but without OSA, was similar to patients without DM. Further randomized trials exploring the efficacy of OSA treatment in the high-risk population with both DM and ACS are highly warranted.
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