

9-6-2017

Serum D-Dimer Test Is Promising for the Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection and Timing of Reimplantation.


Alisina Shahi
Thomas Jefferson University

Michael M. Kheir
Thomas Jefferson University

Majd Tarabichi
Thomas Jefferson University

Hamid R.S. Hosseinzadeh
Rowan University

Timothy L. Tan
Thomas Jefferson University
Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman_institute

 Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#)
See next page for additional authors

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Shahi, Alisina; Kheir, Michael M.; Tarabichi, Majd; Hosseinzadeh, Hamid R.S.; Tan, Timothy L.; and Parvizi, Javad, "Serum D-Dimer Test Is Promising for the Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection and Timing of Reimplantation." (2017). *Rothman Institute Faculty Papers*. Paper 96. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman_institute/96

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Rothman Institute Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Alisina Shahi, Michael M. Kheir, Majd Tarabichi, Hamid R.S. Hosseinzadeh, Timothy L. Tan, and Javad Parvizi

- 1 **Serum D-dimer is a Promising Test for the Diagnosis of Periprosthetic Joint Infection and**
- 2 **Timing of Reimplantation**

3 **ABSTRACT:**

4 *Background:* Despite the availability of battery of tests, the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint
5 infection (PJI) continues to be challenging. Introduction of synovial biomarkers has improved
6 the diagnosis, however, obtaining synovial fluid is invasive, occasionally impossible and carries
7 the risk of introduction of infection into the joint. There is a desperate need for a serum marker
8 of PJI. Serum D-dimer is a widely available test that detects fibrinolytic activities that occurs
9 during infection. We hypothesized that patients with PJI may have a high level of circulating D-
10 dimer and that the presence of high levels of serum D-dimer may be a sign of persistent infection
11 in patients awaiting reimplantation.

12 *Methods:* This prospective study was initiated to enroll patients undergoing primary and revision
13 arthroplasty. Our cohort consists of 245 patients undergoing primary arthroplasty (N=23),
14 revision for aseptic failure (N=86), revision for PJI (N=57), patients undergoing reimplantation
15 (N=29), and a group of patients with infection in a different site than the joint (N=50). PJI was
16 defined using the Musculoskeletal Infection Society criteria. All patients in the study had serum
17 D-dimer, erythrocyte sedimentation (ESR), and C-reactive protein (CRP) measured
18 preoperatively.

19 *Results:* The median D-dimer was statistically higher ($p < 0.0001$) in PJI patients
20 (1,100ng/mL, range: 243-8,487ng/mL) compared to (299ng/mL, range: 106-6,381ng/mL) in
21 patients with aseptic failure. Using the Youden's index, 850ng/mL was determined as the
22 optimal threshold for serum D-dimer for diagnosis of PJI. Serum D-dimer outperformed both the
23 ESR and the serum CRP with a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 93%. ESR and CRP had a
24 sensitivity of 73% and 79% and a specificity of 78% and 80%, respectively. The sensitivity and

25 specificity of ESR and CRP combined was 84%(95%CI:76-90%) and 47%(95%CI:36-58%),
26 respectively.

27 *Conclusion:* It appears that the serum D-dimer is a promising marker for diagnosis of PJI. This
28 test may also have a great utility for determining the optimal timing of reimplantation. This study
29 demonstrates that serum D-dimer can be utilized as a screening test for PJI.

30 **Level of Evidence:** Diagnostic Level II.

31 **INTRODUCTION:**

32 Despite its immense impact on patients and society, the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection
33 (PJI) remains imperfect and often very challenging¹. Currently an absolute test for diagnosis of
34 PJI does not exist, compelling the clinicians to rely on a combination of synovial and serological
35 tests².

36 Due to the lack of an absolute test, the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS) introduced a
37 set of diagnostic criteria for PJI that were recently modified by the International Consensus on
38 Periprosthetic Joint Infection (ICM)³. The latter includes major and minor diagnostic criteria.
39 The minor criteria include the measure of synovial fluid white blood cell count, neutrophil
40 differential, culture, and leukocyte esterase testing (**Table 1**). Although numerous serum markers
41 for PJI have been evaluated in the past including interleukin-6 (IL-6) and others ¹, the most widely
42 used serums tests for diagnosis of PJI are erythrocyte sedimentation rate(ESR) and C-reactive
43 protein(CRP)². With the exception of a recent synovial biomarker, namely alpha defensin, none
44 of the tests being used to diagnose PJI were developed for that purpose and their optimal
45 threshold for diagnosis of PJI remains unknown.³

46 Moreover, the levels of ESR and CRP may be normal in patients with PJI caused by slow
47 growing organisms such as *Propionibacterium acnes*^{4,5}. In fact the document introducing the
48 MSIS criteria for PJI explicitly states that the levels of some of these markers may be normal in
49 the presence of PJI caused by slow growing organisms that do not elicit physiological
50 inflammation and cautions clinicians in interpreting the level of serological markers in these
51 situations ⁶.

52 Recently, synovial fluid biomarkers have been shown to be useful in reaching or refuting the
53 diagnosis of PJI. Synovial fluid alpha defensin, when combined with synovial CRP, has

54 demonstrated a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 100% for the diagnosis of PJI.⁷ There are,
55 however, many issues with the use of synovial biomarkers for the diagnosis of PJI. Obtaining
56 synovial fluid is invasive and painful to patients. There are not infrequent occasions when either
57 inadequate amount of fluid is available to perform all tests or worse, no fluid is retrieved from
58 the joint. In addition there is a theoretical, yet real, concern for the introduction of infection into
59 the joint⁸ and in difficult aspirations, especially the hip, contamination of the aspirated fluid may
60 occur leading to false positive results⁹.

61 Another challenge relates to the lack of a reliable and easily accessible test that can help
62 determine the optimal timing of reimplantation. ESR and CRP are not reliable markers in this
63 situation as their level is often elevated in the postoperative period^{3,10}. Two independent studies
64 have demonstrated that the level of ESR and CRP at the time of reimplantation is not predictive
65 of treatment failure^{11,12}.

66 The aforementioned issues highlight the need for a reliable serum test that can help diagnose PJI
67 and possibly determine the optimal timing of reimplantation. We have been in search of such a
68 test over the past few years. Through a grant bestowed to us by the *****Blinded by JBJS*****, we
69 have evaluated over 30 serum and synovial markers for this purpose including D-dimer.

70 Numerous studies have shown that systemic and local infections result in fibrinolytic activities¹³⁻
71 ¹⁵. D-dimer has been traditionally used as a screening test for detecting deep venous thrombosis
72 (DVT) but largely abandoned because of its poor performance. More recently, serum D-dimer
73 has gained attention for its role in predicting poor outcome in sepsis and bacteremia^{16,17}. An *in*
74 *vivo* study on foals with septic arthritis also demonstrated a marked elevation in the level of
75 synovial fluid D-dimer in these animals¹⁴.

76 We hypothesized that patients with PJI may have high levels of circulating D-dimer, and that the
77 presence of high levels of D-dimer may be indicative of persistent infection in patients awaiting
78 reimplantation.

79

80 **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

81 Upon institutional review board approval, patients who underwent total joint arthroplasty (TJA)
82 were prospectively enrolled in this study from April 2015 to August 2016. Patients undergoing
83 primary and revision arthroplasty were included except those with any type of skin ulcer,
84 hematoma, recent trauma or dislocation (within two weeks), visible ecchymosis, prosthetic heart
85 valves, and those with a history of hypercoagulation disorders. The patients enrolled in this study
86 fall under five categories: those undergoing primary total joint arthroplasty (group A), revision
87 arthroplasty due to aseptic failure (group B), patients undergoing resection arthroplasty and
88 spacer insertion for the treatment of PJI (group C), patients with treated PJI undergoing
89 reimplantation surgery (reimplantation) (group D), and finally patients with known infection in a
90 site other than a joint (group E). None of the patients in groups A-D were thought to have
91 concurrent infections.

92 Sex, age, joint, and comorbid conditions including systemic inflammatory disease such as
93 rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, psoriasis, polymyalgia rheumatica,
94 sarcoidosis, inflammatory bowel disease, gout, hepatitis B and C, lymphocytic leukemia,
95 myelodysplastic syndrome, multiple myeloma were recorded. Moreover concurrent antibiotic
96 treatment (not including a single dose of prophylactic perioperative antibiotic), and isolated
97 organisms were noted for all the patients. A venous blood sample was obtained preoperatively on
98 the day of surgery and analyzed for serum D-dimer, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and

99 C-reactive protein (CRP). PJI was defined using the MSIS criteria¹⁸ (**Table 1**). As part of the
100 standard protocol at our institution, surgeons obtain at least three intraoperative tissue culture
101 specimens from patients undergoing revision arthroplasty. Cultures are then incubated for up to
102 fourteen days. Furthermore, when a pre-operative synovial fluid aspiration is performed, cell
103 count, neutrophil differential and cultures are requested.

104 Our cohort consists of 245 patients; primary arthroplasty (N=23), aseptic revisions (N=86),
105 revisions for PJI (N=57), reimplantations (N=29), and those that were clinically diagnosed with
106 infection in areas other than a joint (N=50), that included 34 cases of urinary tract infections, 9
107 cases of pneumonia, and 5 cases of upper respiratory infections. Eleven patients were excluded
108 that included history of trauma within 14 days of the surgery (3 patients), revision for dislocation
109 (3 patients), presence of extensive ecchymosis (2 patients), presence of prosthetic cardiac valve
110 (1 patient), and history of deep venous thrombosis (1 patient), and presence of skin ulcer on hand
111 (1 patient) (Figure 1). Patient demographics are presented in table 2.

112 Patients were followed closely for a minimum of 6 months, the nature of complications and
113 reason for readmission or reoperation were recorded.

114

115 *Statistical Analysis*

116 Descriptive statistics were used to report all the laboratory values. The results of the diagnostic
117 tests were compared between the groups using Mann-Whitney test considering a p -value <0.005
118 as a significance of difference between the groups. The optimal threshold for D-dimer as a
119 diagnostic test for PJI was determined by Youden's J statistic ($J = \text{Sensitivity} + \text{Specificity} - 1$)
120 based on its correspondence with the diagnosis. The sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic

121 tests were calculated along with their 95% confidence intervals. All statistical analyses were
122 performed using GraphPad Prism, version 7.0a, GraphPad software Inc. California, USA.
123 Source of Funding: This study was funded in part by a grant from the Orthopaedic Research and
124 Education Foundation (OREF).

125

126 **RESULTS:**

127 Serum D-dimer was significantly higher in patients with PJI; median D-dimer was 1,110 ng/mL
128 (range: 243-8,487 ng/mL) in patients with PJI versus 299 ng/mL (range: 106-2,571 ng/mL) in
129 patients without infection undergoing aseptic revision (p -value <0.0001). The mean D-dimer was
130 212.5 ng/mL (range: 150-430 ng/mL) in the primary arthroplasty cohort, 399.9 ng/mL (range:
131 106-2,571 ng/mL) in the aseptic revision arthroplasty cohort, 1,634 ng/mL (range: 243-8,487
132 ng/mL) in PJI patients, 806.7 ng/mL (range: 170-6,381 ng/mL) in the reimplantation group, and
133 451 ng/mL (range: 150-1,420 ng/mL) in patients with infection in sites other than a joint (Figure
134 2).

135 The median ESR and CRP were also significantly higher in patients with PJI; the median ESR
136 was 46 mm/hr (range, 7 to 127 mm/hr) in patients with PJI undergoing resection compared to 15
137 mm/hr (range, 1 to 89 mm/hr) in patients undergoing revision due to aseptic failure (p <0.0001)
138 and for CRP the median was 37 mg/L (range, 2 to 328 mg/L) in the PJI group vs. 3 mg/L (range,
139 1 to 81 mg/L) in the non-infected cases (p <0.0001). The mean ESR was 15.3 mm/hr (1-36
140 mm/hr) in the primary arthroplasty cohort, 19.2 mm/hr (2-89 mm/hr) in the aseptic revision
141 arthroplasty cohort, 75.2 mm/hr (7-120 mm/hr) in PJI patients (patients who underwent revision
142 arthroplasty due to infection), 32.4 mm/hr (4-69 mm/hr) in the reimplantation group, and 72 (35-
143 121 mm/hr) in patients with infection in sites other than a joint (Figure 3). The mean CRP was

144 4.2 mg/L (1-20 mg/L) in the primary group, 8.2 mg/L (1-81 mg/L) in aseptic revisions, 56 mg/L
145 (2-328 mg/L) in PJI patients, 9.2 mg/L (1-27 mg/L) in the reimplantation group, and 47 mg/L (1-
146 179 mg/L) in patients with infection in sites other than a joint (Figure 4), (Table 3).

147

148 Using the MSIS thresholds (Table 1), serum CRP and ESR had a sensitivity of 79% (95%
149 Confidence interval [CI]: 66-88%) and 74% (95% CI: 60-84%) and a specificity of 80% (95%
150 CI: 72-86%) and 78% (95% CI: 70-85%), respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of ESR
151 and CRP combined was 84% (95% CI: 76-90%) and 47% (95% CI: 36-58%), respectively.

152 Using the calculated threshold for D-dimer (850 ng/mL), Serum D-dimer test had a better
153 sensitivity at 89% (95% CI: 77-95%) and a better specificity at 93% (95% CI: 86-96%) for
154 diagnosing PJI (Table 4). D-dimer was also useful in predicting the presence of infection at the
155 time of reimplantation. Five patients had elevated D-dimer at the time of reimplantation. Of these
156 patients who were reimplanted, two had a positive culture (*Propionibacterium acnes* in one and
157 *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in the other one) from intraoperative specimens (Patients #9 and #14
158 in Table 5). Both of these patients subsequently failed due to infection. It is interesting to note
159 that the corresponding CRP and ESR levels were falsely negative in both of these patients (CRP:
160 8 and 1 mg/L and ESR: 20 and 9 mm/hr). We are closely following the other three patients with
161 “false positive” D-dimer at the time of reimplantation.

162 Seventeen patients in our cohort required reoperations (Table 5). 15 patients underwent revision
163 surgery for infection; of which, 10 patients subsequently were reimplanted. Among these 10
164 patients, D-dimer decreased below its threshold level in 7 patients at the time of reimplantation.
165 The culture results of the PJI patients are provided in table 6. The rate of culture negative PJI in
166 the cohort was 33% (19/57). The false negative rate for D-dimer in this subgroup was 5% (1/19)

167 whereas it was 47% (9/19) for CRP and 52% (10/19) for ESR (Table 7). The data relating to
168 patients with infection in sites other than a joint was very interesting. All 50 patients (100%) had
169 elevated ESR (>30 mm/hr), 42 patients (84%) had elevated CRP (>10 mg/L), and the D-dimer
170 was elevated above 850 ng/dL in 6 patients (12%).

171

172 **DISCUSSION:**

173 This is, to our knowledge, the first study that evaluates the role of serum D-dimer as a diagnostic
174 test for PJI and predicting the presence of infection in patients awaiting reimplantation. In the
175 given cohort that we assembled over the past two years, D-dimer was more accurate than ESR
176 and CRP, even when combined, in diagnosing PJI and also predicting the presence of infection at
177 the time of reimplantation. Out of five patients with “elevated” D-dimer at the time of
178 reimplantation, two patients had a positive culture from the samples taken during reimplantation.
179 ESR and CRP were both normal in these two patients. Both of these patients subsequently failed
180 due to infection. Thus, we believe that the sensitivity and specificity of D-dimer is likely higher
181 than calculated in this cohort as some of the patients with “positive” D-dimer who were
182 classified as non-infected, may indeed have infection by slow growing organisms that did not
183 elicit physiological inflammation and failed to meet the MSIS criteria for PJI. The MSIS
184 workgroup proposing the PJI definition cautioned clinicians about such a possibility, when
185 organisms like *P. acnes* causing PJI may not elicit adequate inflammation and all minor criteria
186 may be negative^{19,20}. Thus, using the MSIS criteria for these patients may have adversely
187 affected the performance of D-dimer.

188 Clinicians are familiar with serum D-dimer as it has been used, albeit with disappointing
189 performance, in screening patients for venous thromboembolism (VTE).²¹⁻²³ In recent years

190 evidence has been emerging to suggest that the D-dimer levels are likely to rise in the setting of
191 systemic inflammation and infection, especially in a joint.^{14,16,17} Busso et al.²⁴ explained how
192 D-dimer levels are elevated in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Inflamed synovium secretes a
193 significant amount of fibrin and degradation of these proteins subsequently leads to an increased
194 concentration of serum and synovial fluid D-dimer.²⁴ Studies have also shown that coagulation
195 factors that are formed following activation of the coagulation cascade can have
196 proinflammatory effects.^{25,26} Inducible tissue factor expression has been reported in endothelial
197 cells and monocytes following *in vitro* augmentation with proinflammatory factors, such as
198 cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor [TNF]).²⁷ Furthermore, several studies have
199 shown that fibrin(ogen) itself can mediate and enhance the inflammatory response²⁸⁻³⁰. In fact
200 an older study by Ribera et al.¹⁴ demonstrated that the concentration of synovial fluid D-dimer
201 increased several folds in foals with septic joint disease, endorsing the fact that D-dimer is
202 involved in mediating inflammation/infection in the joint. The increased fibrinolytic activity and
203 generation of byproducts such as D-dimer are believed to localize the infecting organisms or
204 inflammatory cells and thus prevent their systemic damage. The byproduct of this fibrinolytic
205 activity also “leaks” into the circulation and can thus be measured.

206 Serum D-dimer levels has been shown to be a significant prognostic factor in patients with
207 systemic sepsis. Rodelo et al.³¹ reported that higher levels of D-dimer are associated with an
208 increased 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis and emphasized the prognostic role of D-dimer
209 for septic patients.

210 This study has several strengths. First, patients were recruited prospectively and unlike most
211 diagnostic studies that limit their population to patients without concurrent inflammatory
212 conditions, our cohort was heterogeneous and included patients with inflammatory conditions,

213 metallosis, polyethylene wear, as well as those who were receiving ongoing antibiotic therapy.
214 We believe that the inclusion of these patients provided a more realistic clinical situation
215 allowing for the evaluation of D-dimer in clinical settings. As part of our ongoing efforts, we
216 investigated numerous other serum biomarkers in an animal model of PJI and also in a small
217 cohort of patients and found that D-dimer outperformed all of the other serum markers of
218 infection¹. The second strength of this study is that we included a cohort of “positive control”
219 patients with infection at sites other than a joint. This allowed us to assess whether D-dimer is
220 elevated by non-joint related infections. It certainly appeared that D-dimer is a better test than
221 ESR and CRP in this clinical setting as it was elevated in only 12% of patients compared to ESR
222 being elevated in 100% and CRP being elevated in 84% of patients. The other strength of this
223 study is that it evaluated the role of a serum marker for patients undergoing reimplantation,
224 arguably the most understudied area in orthopedic infections. D-dimer appeared to have an
225 impressive performance in that setting also. Finally, we used statistical methods to determine the
226 appropriate threshold for D-dimer for diagnosis of PJI. Although the latter could change with
227 addition of further data from our institution or others, it is a great starting point and a guide to
228 clinicians who may wish to use this test.

229 The study suffers some limitations and our findings should be interpreted in light of these
230 shortcomings. There is no “gold standard” for the diagnosis of PJI, therefore, some of the
231 patients that were allocated in the non-infection group might be in fact, infected and the reverse
232 may also be true. The MSIS criteria for PJI, however, is universally accepted as the best
233 definition for PJI³ and was used as the gold standard in this study and the analyses that were
234 performed. Although patients with systemic inflammatory diseases and those who received
235 immunosuppressive therapies were not excluded from this study, our cohort contains a few

236 patients with these conditions. In the absence of a large number of patients, we refrain from
237 making comments regarding the value of D-dimer in evaluating patients for PJI who have
238 concurrent inflammatory joint disease. We are, in a follow-up study, examining this issue.
239 Lastly, the lack of frozen section in these patients may be considered as a shortcoming. We do
240 not routinely perform frozen section or histology in our patients undergoing revision surgery or
241 reimplantation due to the fact that we believe the latter, at least at our institution, has serious
242 limitations. Therefore, data related to frozen section or histology was not available for the
243 comparisons that were performed in this study.

244 This study, for the first time, demonstrates the real value of serum D-dimer for diagnosis of PJI
245 and in determining the presence of infection in patients undergoing reimplantation. Based on the
246 findings of this study, we believe that serum D-dimer, an inexpensive and universally available
247 test, should be added to the work-up of patients for PJI. Elevated D-dimer for patients
248 undergoing reimplantation should be taken seriously as it could be an indication of persistent
249 infection.

250 **References:**

- 251 1. Deirmengian C, Kardos K, Kilmartin P, Cameron A, Schiller K, Parvizi J. Diagnosing
252 periprosthetic joint infection: has the era of the biomarker arrived? *Clin Orthop*.
253 2014;472(11):3254-3262. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3543-8.
- 254 2. Parvizi J, Valle CJD. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: Diagnosis and Treatment of
255 Periprosthetic Joint Infections of the Hip and Knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*.
256 2010;18(12):771-772.
- 257 3. Larsson S, Thelander U, Friberg S. C-reactive protein (CRP) levels after elective orthopedic
258 surgery. *Clin Orthop*. 1992;(275):237-242.
- 259 4. Kanafani ZA, Sexton DJ, Pien BC, Varkey J, Basmania C, Kaye KS. Postoperative Joint
260 Infections Due to Propionibacterium Species: A Case-Control Study. *Clin Infect Dis*.
261 2009;49(7):1083-1085. doi:10.1086/605577.
- 262 5. Piper KE, Fernandez-Sampedro M, Steckelberg KE, et al. C-Reactive Protein, Erythrocyte
263 Sedimentation Rate and Orthopedic Implant Infection. *PLOS ONE*. 2010;5(2):e9358.
264 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009358.
- 265 6. Parvizi J, Zmistowski B, Berbari EF, et al. New definition for periprosthetic joint infection:
266 from the Workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society. *Clin Orthop*.
267 2011;469(11):2992-2994. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2102-9.
- 268 7. Deirmengian C, Kardos K, Kilmartin P, Cameron A, Schiller K, Parvizi J. Combined
269 measurement of synovial fluid α -Defensin and C-reactive protein levels: highly accurate for
270 diagnosing periprosthetic joint infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96(17):1439-1445.
271 doi:10.2106/JBJS.M.01316.
- 272 8. Poss R, Thornhill TS, Ewald FC, Thomas WH, Batte NJ, Sledge CB. Factors influencing
273 the incidence and outcome of infection following total joint arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop*.
274 1984;(182):117-126.
- 275 9. Ali F, Wilkinson JM, Cooper JR, et al. Accuracy of Joint Aspiration for the Preoperative
276 Diagnosis of Infection in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2006;21(2):221-226.
277 doi:10.1016/j.arth.2005.05.027.
- 278 10. Bilgen O, Atici T, Durak K, Karaeminoğullari null, Bilgen MS. C-reactive protein values
279 and erythrocyte sedimentation rates after total hip and total knee arthroplasty. *J Int Med*
280 *Res*. 2001;29(1):7-12.
- 281 11. Kusuma SK, Ward J, Jacofsky M, Sporer SM, Della Valle CJ. What is the role of
282 serological testing between stages of two-stage reconstruction of the infected prosthetic
283 knee? *Clin Orthop*. 2011;469(4):1002-1008. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1619-7.
- 284 12. Ghanem E, Antoci V, Pulido L, Joshi A, Hozack W, Parvizi J. The use of receiver operating
285 characteristics analysis in determining erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive

- 286 protein levels in diagnosing periprosthetic infection prior to revision total hip arthroplasty.
287 *Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis.* 2009;13(6):e444-e449.
288 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2009.02.017.
- 289 13. Gando S. Role of fibrinolysis in sepsis. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2013;39(4):392-399.
290 doi:10.1055/s-0033-1334140.
- 291 14. Ribera T, Monreal L, Armengou L, Ríos J, Prades M. Synovial fluid D-dimer concentration
292 in foals with septic joint disease. *J Vet Intern Med Am Coll Vet Intern Med.*
293 2011;25(5):1113-1117. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2011.0758.x.
- 294 15. Michelin E, Snijders D, Conte S, et al. Procoagulant activity in children with community
295 acquired pneumonia, pleural effusion and empyema. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2008;43(5):472-
296 475. doi:10.1002/ppul.20795.
- 297 16. Gris J-C, Bouvier S, Cochery-Nouvellon E, Faillie J-L, Lissalde-Lavigne G, Lefrant J-Y.
298 Fibrin-related markers in patients with septic shock: individual comparison of D-dimers and
299 fibrin monomers impacts on prognosis. *Thromb Haemost.* 2011;106(6):1228-1230.
300 doi:10.1160/TH11-07-0489.
- 301 17. Schwameis M, Steiner MM, Schoergenhofer C, et al. D-dimer and histamine in early stage
302 bacteremia: A prospective controlled cohort study. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2015;26(10):782-786.
303 doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2015.10.024.
- 304 18. Gehrke T, Parvizi J. Proceedings of the International Consensus Meeting on Periprosthetic
305 Joint Infection. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29(2):4. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.09.024.
- 306 19. Nodzo SR, Westrich GH, Henry MW, Miller AO. Clinical Analysis of Propionibacterium
307 acnes Infection After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(9):1986-1989.
308 doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.02.025.
- 309 20. Zeller V, Ghorbani A, Strady C, Leonard P, Mamoudy P, Desplaces N. Propionibacterium
310 acnes: An agent of prosthetic joint infection and colonization. *J Infect.* 2007;55(2):119-124.
311 doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2007.02.006.
- 312 21. H B, P de M, A P, G R. Plasma measurement of D-dimer as diagnostic aid in suspected
313 venous thromboembolism: an overview. *Thromb Haemost.* 1994;71(1):1-6.
- 314 22. Hansrani V, Khanbhai M, McCollum C. The Diagnosis and Management of Early Deep
315 Vein Thrombosis. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* September 2016. doi:10.1007/5584_2016_103.
- 316 23. Palareti G, Legnani C, Cosmi B, Guazzaloca G, Pancani C, Coccheri S. Risk of Venous
317 Thromboembolism Recurrence: High Negative Predictive Value of D-dimer Performed
318 after Oral Anticoagulation Is Stopped. *Thromb Haemost.* 2002;87(1):7-12.
- 319 24. Busso N, Hamilton JA. Extravascular coagulation and the plasminogen activator/plasmin
320 system in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2002;46(9):2268-2279.
321 doi:10.1002/art.10498.

- 322 25. Cicala C, Cirino G. Linkage between inflammation and coagulation: an update on the
323 molecular basis of the crosstalk. *Life Sci.* 1998;62(20):1817-1824.
- 324 26. Cirino G, Cicala C, Bucci MR, Sorrentino L, Maraganore JM, Stone SR. Thrombin
325 functions as an inflammatory mediator through activation of its receptor. *J Exp Med.*
326 1996;183(3):821-827.
- 327 27. Contrino J, Goralnick S, Qi J, Hair G, Rickles FR, Kreutzer DL. Fibrin induction of tissue
328 factor expression in human vascular endothelial cells. *Circulation.* 1997;96(2):605-613.
- 329 28. Kitching AR, Holdsworth SR, Ploplis VA, et al. Plasminogen and plasminogen activators
330 protect against renal injury in crescentic glomerulonephritis. *J Exp Med.* 1997;185(5):963-
331 968.
- 332 29. Schuster V, Seidenspinner S, Zeitler P, et al. Compound-heterozygous mutations in the
333 plasminogen gene predispose to the development of ligneous conjunctivitis. *Blood.*
334 1999;93(10):3457-3466.
- 335 30. Perez RL, Roman J. Fibrin enhances the expression of IL-1 beta by human peripheral blood
336 mononuclear cells. Implications in pulmonary inflammation. *J Immunol Baltim Md 1950.*
337 1995;154(4):1879-1887.
- 338 31. Rodelo JR, De la Rosa G, Valencia ML, et al. D-dimer is a significant prognostic factor in
339 patients with suspected infection and sepsis. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2012;30(9):1991-1999.
340 doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2012.04.033.

341

342 **Figure Legend:**

343 **Figure 1.** Number of included and excluded patients in each study group.

344 **Figure 2.** D-dimer levels in the study groups. The red line determines the calculated threshold
345 for diagnosis of PJI (850 ng/mL). Group A: Primary arthroplasties, Group B: Aseptic revisions,
346 Group C: Revisions for infection, Group D: Reimplantations, and Group E: Patients with
347 infection in sites other than a joint. One of the patients in Group C had a D-dimer of 8,487
348 ng/mL that is not represented in the graph.

349
350 **Figure 3.** ESR levels in the study groups. The red line determines the threshold recommended by
351 the musculoskeletal infection society (30 mm/hr). Group A: Primary arthroplasties, Group B:
352 Aseptic revisions, Group C: Revisions for infection, Group D: Reimplantations, and Group E:
353 Patients with infection in sites other than a joint.

354
355 **Figure 4.** CRP levels in the study groups. The red line determines the threshold recommended
356 by the musculoskeletal infection society (10 mg/L). Group A: Primary arthroplasties, Group B:
357 Aseptic revisions, Group C: Revisions for infection, Group D: Reimplantations, and Group E:
358 Patients with infection in sites other than a joint.

359

360 **Table 1.** Definition of PJI according to the musculoskeletal infection society and the threshold
 361 for the minor diagnostic criteria.

362

PJI is present when one of the major criteria or three out of five minor criteria exist		
Major Criteria	1) Two positive periprosthetic cultures with phenotypically identical microorganism <u>OR</u> 2) A sinus tract communicating with the joint	
Minor Criteria		Recommended Threshold
	1) Elevated serum CRP <u>AND</u> ESR	10 mg/L 30 mm/hr
	2) Elevated SF WBC count <u>OR</u> Changes in the leukocyte esterase strip	3,000 cells/ μ L + Or ++
	3) Elevated SF PMN%	80%
	4) Positive histological analysis of the periprosthetic tissue	>5 neutrophil per high power field in 5 high power fields (\times 400)
	5) A single positive culture	

363

364

365 **Table 2.** Demographics of the study groups. Group A: Primary arthroplasty, Group B: Aseptic
 366 revisions, Group C: First stage of a two stage exchange revision protocol, Group D: Second stage
 367 of a two stage exchange protocol (reimplantation), Group E: Patients with infections other than
 368 periprosthetic joint infection.

369

	Group A (N=23)	Group B (N=86)	Group C (N=57)	Group D (N=29)	Group E (N=50)	<i>p</i> -value
Sex	12 Male/11 Female	49 Male/37 Female	24 Male/33 Female	16 Male/13 Female	28 Male/22 Female	>0.05
Age (years)	65.3 (44- 75)	63.6 (51- 81)	59.7 (49- 76)	62.2 (51- 77)	56.2 (44- 78)	
Presence of systemic inflammatory condition	2 patients	5 patient	4 patients	1 patient	2 patients	
Joint	9 Knee/ 14 Hip	40 Knee/46 Hip	35 Knee/ 22 Hip	14 Knee/ 15 Hip	Not applicable	

370

371

372 **Table 3. Comparing laboratory values between two cohorts of patients with infection either**
 373 **in a joint (Group C) or elsewhere in the body (Group E)**

Table 3.			
	Patients with periprosthetic joint infection (Group C) (N=57)	Patients with infection in sites other than a joint (Group E) (N=50)	<i>p</i>-value
D-Dimer (ng/dL)*	1110 (243 to 8,487)	335 (150 to 1,420)	<0.0001
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/Hr)*	46 (7 to 127)	67 (35 to 121)	0.0016
C-reactive protein (mg/L)*	37 (2 to 328)	42 (1 to 79)	0.9732

381 *Laboratory values are presented as median and (range).

382

383 Table 4. Performance of the serum tests for diagnosing periprosthetic joint infection. ESR:
384 Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, CRP: C-reactive Protein.

385

	ESR	CRP	D-Dimer
TN	110	112	128
FN	15	12	6
FP	30	28	10
TP	42	45	51
Sensitivity	73.68%	78.95%	89.47%
SE of Sensitivity	5.83%	5.40%	4.06%
Specificity	78.57%	80.00%	92.75%
SE of Specificity	3.47%	3.38%	2.21%
PPV	58.33%	61.64%	83.61%
SE of PPV	5.81%	5.69%	4.74%
NPV	88.00%	90.32%	95.52%
SE of NPV	2.91%	2.66%	1.79%
+LR	3.43	3.94	12.34
-LR	0.33	0.26	0.11

386

387 TN: True Negative, FN: False Negative, FP: False positive, TP: True Positive, SE: Standard
388 Error, PPV: Positive Predictive Value, NPV: Negative Predictive Value, +LR: Positive
389 Likelihood Ratio, -LR: Negative Likelihood Ratio

390

Table 5. Patients that required reoperation in our study cohort. N/A: not available.

Name	Date of Procedure	Primary	Aseptic Revision	Revision for PJI	Reimplantation	CRP (mg/L)	ESR (mm/Hr)	D-dimer (ng/mL)	Intraoperative Cultures
Patient #1	8/19/2015			X		145	120	3,051	MSSA
	4/8/2016			X		16	40	3,664	MSSA
	6/22/2016				X	9	69	170	NEGATIVE
Patient #2	1/27/2016			X		179	105	959	STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS
	4/22/2016				X	6	18	579	NEGATIVE
Patient #3	4/24/2016			X		328	83	978	MSSA
	7/18/2016				X	20	29	762	NEGATIVE
Patient #4	2/9/2016			X		21	33	2,536	STAPHYLOCOCCUS EPIDERMIDIS
	4/12/2016			X		4	22	973	NEGATIVE
Patient #5	4/27/2016			X		122	1270	930	MSSA
	7/13/2016				X	11	14	548	NEGATIVE
Patient #6	3/30/2016			X		43	60	1,228	STAPHYLOCOCCUS EPIDERMIDIS
	5/25/2016			X		77	47	1,502	MSSA
Patient #7	5/31/2016			X		3	14	910	NEGATIVE
	7/19/2016				X	7	29	637	NEGATIVE
Patient #8	6/6/2016		X			14	44	298	N/A
	6/28/2016			X		137	89	776	MSSA
Patient #9	2/2/2016			X		25	60	1,101	STAPHYLOCOCCUS EPIDERMIDIS
	4/12/2016				X	8	20	1,038	STAPHYLOCOCCUS EPIDERMIDIS
Patient #10	3/25/2016			X		26	34	2,060	GROUP B STREPTOCOCCUS
	6/17/2016				X	6	12	614	NEGATIVE
Patient #11	5/26/2015			X		8	7	1,110	P.ACNES
	3/22/2016			X		35	73	928	NEGATIVE
Patient #12	4/27/2015	X				1	11	271	N/A
	3/14/2016		X			1	13	311	N/A
Patient #13	3/1/2016			X		65	36	2,038	NEGATIVE
	5/27/2016				X	11	27	2,113	NEGATIVE
Patient #14	10/23/2015			X		109	48	8,487	STREP SANGUINIS
	3/11/2016				X	1	9	6,381	P.ACNES
Patient #15	3/23/2016			X		127	86	1,483	MSSA
	6/10/2016				X	6	30	877	NEGATIVE
Patient #16	6/3/2015			X		47	69	995	NEGATIVE
	6/29/2016			X		37	45	1,391	MRSA
Patient #17	6/7/2016				X	4	47	204	NEGATIVE
	7/26/2016			X		34	120	521	SERRATIA MARCESCENS

Table 6. Culture results in patients who underwent revision surgery due to periprosthetic joint infection.

Culture results	Count
<i>Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	9
<i>Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4
<i>Propionibacterium acnes</i>	3
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae Group B</i>	2
<i>Polymicrobial</i>	2
<i>Anaerobic gram positive cocci</i>	1
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	1
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i>	1
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	1
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	1
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1
Negative Cultures	19

Table 7. Periprosthetic joint infections with negative culture. The false-negative laboratory values are marked in yellow (Thresholds are based on the Musculoskeletal Infection Society diagnostic criteria for periprosthetic joint infection. D-dimer’s threshold [850 ng/mL] is calculated based on the results of this study).

Patient number	CRP (mg/L)	ESR (mm/hr)	D-dimer (ng/mL)
1	57	80	911
2	37	13	1906
3	32	13	2166
4	10	29	1106
5	89	94	2577
6	78	93	2258
7	5	25	999
8	65	36	2038
9	6	21	929
10	35	73	928
11	4	22	973
12	8	10	923
13	13	66	2631
14	8	17	770
15	3	14	910
16	31	36	1265
17	2	10	243
18	2	31	4733
19	8	31	1681

Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.

