

2-1-2016

Variables Prognostic for Delayed Union and Nonunion Following Ulnar Shortening Fixed With a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate.

Michael P. Gaspar

Thomas Jefferson University; Philadelphia Hand Center, michaelpgaspar@gmail.com

Patrick M. Kane

Thomas Jefferson University; Philadelphia Hand Center, patrick.kane@jefferson.edu

Ralph C Zohn

Philadelphia Hand Center

Taylor Buckley

Philadelphia Hand Center

Sidney M. Jacoby

*Thomas Jefferson University, sidneyjacoby@gmail.com**See next page for additional authors*

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp>Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gaspar, Michael P.; Kane, Patrick M.; Zohn, Ralph C; Buckley, Taylor; Jacoby, Sidney M.; and Shin, Eon K., "Variables Prognostic for Delayed Union and Nonunion Following Ulnar Shortening Fixed With a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate." (2016). *Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 89.

<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp/89>

Authors

Michael P. Gaspar, Patrick M. Kane, Ralph C Zohn, Taylor Buckley, Sidney M. Jacoby, and Eon K. Shin

1 **Variables Prognostic for Delayed Union and Nonunion Following**
2 **Ulnar Shortening fixed with a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate**

3

4 **ABSTRACT**

5 **PURPOSE**

6 To examine potential risk factors for development of delayed or nonunion following
7 elective ulnar shortening osteotomy using a dedicated osteotomy plating system.

8

9 **METHODS**

10 We performed a retrospective review of all patients who underwent elective ulnar
11 shortening using the TriMed single osteotomy dynamic compression plating system
12 by one of two fellowship-trained hand surgeons over a five-year period.
13 Demographic data and medical, surgical, and social histories were reviewed. Time to
14 bony union was determined radiographically by a blinded reviewer. Bivariate
15 statistical analysis was performed to examine the effect of explanatory variables on
16 the time to union and the incidence of delayed or nonunion. Those variables
17 associated with the development of delayed or nonunion were used in a
18 multivariate logistic regression model. Complications, including the need for
19 additional surgery, were also recorded.

20

21 **RESULTS**

22 Seventy-two ulnar shortening osteotomy procedures were performed in 69
23 patients. Delayed union, defined as ≥ 6 months to union, occurred in 8/72 cases

24 (11%). Four of 72 (6%) surgeries resulted in nonunions, all of which required
25 additional surgery. Hardware removal was performed in 13/72 (18%) of cases.
26 Time to union was significantly increased in smokers (6+/- 3 months) versus non-
27 smokers (3 +/- 1 months). On multivariate analysis, diabetics and active smokers
28 demonstrated a significantly higher risk of developing delayed union or nonunion.
29 Patient age, sex, body mass index, thyroid disease, workers compensation status,
30 alcohol use, and amount smoked daily did not have an effect on the time to union or
31 the incidence of delayed or nonunion.

32

33 **CONCLUSIONS**

34 Despite the use of an osteotomy-specific plating system, smokers and diabetics were
35 at significantly higher risk for both delayed union and nonunion following elective
36 ulnar shortening osteotomy. Other known risk factors for suboptimal bony healing
37 were not found to have a deleterious effect.

38

39 **LEVEL OF EVIDENCE**

40 Prognostic Level III

41

42 **INTRODUCTION**

43 Ulnar shortening osteotomy (USO) is a widely accepted surgical treatment option
44 for ulnar-sided wrist pain associated with multiple conditions, including triangular
45 fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) injuries, lunotriquetral (LT) ligament tears, and ulnar
46 impaction syndrome (UIS). [1-4] An USO can effectively treat pain associated with
47 TFCC injury, even in the absence of ulnar positive variance, and particularly when
48 prior TFCC debridement or repair has failed. [2] Ulnar impaction syndrome is the
49 direct result of positive static or dynamic ulnar variance, which causes the distal
50 ulna to abut against the ulnar carpus. This is manifested clinically by pain with
51 activities involving ulnar deviation and forearm rotation. [2, 4] By shortening and
52 leveling the ulna, USO offloads the ulnar carpus from the distal ulna, thereby
53 relieving pain. [1]

54

55 Reported outcomes following USO are generally favorable, although complications
56 including delayed or nonunion at the osteotomy site occur with variable incidence.
57 [5-9] As with any bone requiring fixation, the incidence of bony union after USO is
58 multifactorial, relying on a multitude of patient demographic, medical, and social
59 factors. Among the risk factors for development of nonunion or delayed union
60 following bony fixation, the most commonly studied are advancing age, [10-13]
61 malnutrition (including both a deficiency of nutrients or an excess, as in obesity),
62 [13-17] diabetes, [18-23], thyroid disease, [17, 24] smoking, [25-35] and alcohol use.
63 [36-38]

64 The detrimental effects of smoking on bony union in particular are well
65 documented. However, most of the clinical reports are focused on spinal or ankle
66 arthrodesis or on long-bone fractures treated with or without fixation. [25-35]
67 Similarly, though diabetes has also been shown to adversely affect bony healing,
68 most clinical reports pertain to fracture-fixation or arthrodesis of the foot and ankle.
69 [21-23] Furthermore, it is unclear to what degree this effect is directly related to
70 diabetes versus being related to an associated neuropathy. [39]

71

72 In a study investigating the effect of smoking on bony union following USO, Chen et
73 al reported that smokers took significantly longer to achieve bony union in
74 comparison to non-smokers while also demonstrating a significantly higher risk of
75 developing nonunion. [40] However, it is unclear if any other risk factors for
76 adverse bony healing were studied, or if underlying co-morbidities played any role
77 in the authors' findings. Additionally, this study was performed nearly 20 years ago,
78 using the standard 3.5 mm dynamic compression plate with freehand osteotomy
79 cuts.

80

81 As freehand osteotomy has been shown to be associated with a higher incidence of
82 nonunion, [9] it is unclear if smoking would have the same magnitude of effect on
83 bony union following USO when using newer techniques and procedure-specific
84 devices. The role that thyroid disease, alcohol use, obesity, and other variables may
85 play in the development of delayed union or nonunion remains unclear.

86

87 The purpose of this study was to examine the association of variables known to
88 adversely affect bone healing with time to bony union and rate of nonunion or
89 delayed union following elective ulnar shortening osteotomy using a dedicated
90 osteotomy plating system. Secondly, we investigated whether any of these
91 predictor variables increase the likelihood of other complications or the need for
92 additional surgery following USO. Thus, our null hypothesis was that there would be
93 no difference in the time to bony union and incidence of nonunion or complications
94 based on the studied variables following USO with a dedicated osteotomy plating
95 system.

96

97 **METHODS**

98 **Surgical technique and baseline data collection**

99 We retrospectively reviewed the charts of all patients who underwent USO from
100 January 2010 through December 2014 at our institution by one of two fellowship-
101 trained hand surgeons. All surgeries were performed with a single osteotomy
102 dynamic compression plating system (TriMed Ulnar Osteotomy Compression Plate,
103 *TriMed, Santa Clarita, CA*) using a similar technique to that previously described,
104 with the plate placed in the most anatomically accommodating position (volar
105 versus dorsal) as determined by the treating surgeon. [41] All patients were treated
106 identically with regards to post-operative splinting and immobilization for one
107 month, followed by mobilization exercises and formal supervised therapy. As per
108 our institution's standard, all patients were given a standardized questionnaire pre-
109 operatively, which included questions regarding smoking and tobacco history.

110 Patients who had not disclosed their smoking history, whether positive or negative,
111 were excluded from the study.

112

113 Demographic data, body mass index (BMI), workers compensation status, and
114 medical co-morbidities, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and
115 thyroid disease, were recorded for each patient. Social factors such as smoking and
116 alcohol use were also examined. Finally, the plate position at the time of surgery and
117 the degree to which the ulna was shortened, in millimeters, were also recorded. The
118 BMI, which was calculated using height and weight values obtained pre-operatively,
119 was unavailable for 4 patients. Those 4 patients were excluded from that particular
120 analysis. All other continuous variables and all categorical predictor variables were
121 obtained for every patient included in this study.

122

123 The primary outcome measured was time to bony union as measured
124 radiographically and confirmed by clinical examination. A fellowship-trained,
125 attending hand surgeon served as a blinded reviewer, assessing orthogonal
126 radiographs for cortical bridging across the osteotomy site beginning at 2 months
127 postoperatively and monthly thereafter until bony union was achieved, as has been
128 previously described. [31, 40] Physical examination data were correlated with
129 radiographic time to union by an additional study author to confirm that each
130 patient was pain-free at the osteotomy site at the time that radiologic union
131 determined by the blinded reviewer. For the purposes of this study, bony union was

132 only considered to have occurred when both radiographic and clinical parameters
133 had been met.

134

135 Based on the reviewer-determined time to union, all surgeries were initially
136 classified into one of three groups: *union* (above-mentioned criteria met within six
137 months from surgery), *delayed union* (criteria achieved after six months), or
138 *nonunion*. Nonunion has been previously described [30] and represents an
139 osteotomy site that either lacked congruence of at least three of four cortices at an
140 interval of six months or greater from the time of the USO or did not demonstrate
141 any radiographic change for three consecutive months and was associated with
142 clinical findings consistent with a nonunion (inability to bear weight through the
143 affected extremity, pain on palpation, or motion at the osteotomy site beginning
144 three months following the index USO). Secondary outcomes included rate of
145 revision for nonunion and other complications requiring additional surgery.

146

147 **Sample size and statistical analysis**

148 Using data from the study by Chen et al, [40] *a priori* power analysis was performed
149 to determine the sample size needed to detect a difference in time to union between
150 non-smokers and smokers in a 3:1 ratio using the Student t-test. Assuming a normal
151 distribution and effect size of 1.0, it was determined that we would need to enroll a
152 minimum of 11 smoking patients and 33 non-smoking patients in order to detect a
153 significant difference ($P < .05$) of 3 months in time to bony union between groups as
154 reported by Chen et al [40] with 80% power ($\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.2$).

155

156 Preliminary sub-analysis was performed to confirm no significant differences in
157 patient demographics and union rates between self-reported *non-smokers* and
158 *former smokers*, allowing us to combine both subgroups into a single *non-smoking*
159 cohort for data analysis. Bivariate statistical analysis with independent t-test was
160 used for comparing time to union, measured in months for dichotomous
161 explanatory variables. Nonunions were excluded from this analysis in order to avoid
162 the potential for skewing union times by the endpoint of revision surgery. Pearson
163 correlation was used to examine the correlation of continuous variables with time to
164 union.

165

166 Because delayed union and nonunion represent two mutually exclusive suboptimal
167 outcomes, the two categories were collapsed into a single category, denoted as
168 *delayed or nonunion*, to limit the potential for error from small cell-counts when
169 using contingency tables for bivariate analysis. Chi-square testing was used to
170 examine the association of *union* versus *delayed or nonunion*, with the previously
171 listed dichotomous variables. Variables determined to be statistically associated (P
172 ≤ 0.10) with the occurrence of delayed or nonunion in bivariate testing were used in
173 a forward stepwise multivariate binary logistic regression analysis. Odds ratios with
174 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined for all significant predictors and
175 model fit was confirmed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test.

176

177 **RESULTS**

178 Seventy-two USOs performed in 69 patients satisfied inclusion criteria for this
179 study. Forty-two patients were women and 27 were men. Forty surgeries (56%)
180 were performed on the dominant extremity, and 45 plates were placed dorsally,
181 with the remaining 27 placed volarly. Mean patient age at the time of surgery for the
182 entire cohort was 44.+/- 12 years, and mean time interval to union was 4 +/- 2
183 months for all patients. Delayed union occurred in eight cases (11%), and nonunion
184 occurred in four cases (6%).

185

186 **Bivariate Analysis**

187 **Time to Union**

188 Smoking was the only variable found to have a statistically significant effect on time
189 to union (6 +/- 3 months in smokers versus 3 +/- 1 months in non-smokers; $P =$
190 0.001). The number of cigarette packs smoked daily did not correlate with time to
191 bony union among the cohort of smokers. Time to union in diabetics was 5 +/- 1
192 months versus 4 +/- 2 months in non-diabetics. This difference was not statistically
193 significant ($P = 0.26$).

194

195 **Incidence of Delayed Union and Nonunion**

196 Smoking had a significant impact on the incidence of delayed union or nonunion,
197 which occurred in 10 of 17 (59%) smokers and two of 55 (4%) non-smokers ($P <$
198 0.001). (See [Table 1](#) for a demographic comparison of the smoking and non-smoking
199 cohorts.) Incidence of nonunion or delayed union in diabetics (38%) versus non-

200 diabetics (14%) approached statistical significance ($P = 0.094$). No other predictor
201 variables were found to be statistically associated ($P \leq 0.10$) with the incidence of
202 delayed union or nonunion. (See Table 2)

203

204 **Multivariate Analysis**

205 The final logistic model was found to be significant ($P = 0.000$) and correctly
206 predicted 90% of outcomes (*delayed or nonunion* versus *union*). In the final logistic
207 regression model, history of diabetes (odds ratio: 12.7; 95% CI, 1.03-17.5; $P = 0.045$)
208 and positive active smoking history (odds ratio: 65.0; 95% CI, 7.3-580; $P = 0.000$)
209 were associated with development of delayed or nonunion following USO.

210

211 **Revisions and Additional Surgeries**

212 Of the four nonunions that occurred, one was in a non-smoking woman and was
213 associated with hardware failure. That patient had a history of cerebral palsy and
214 bore weight on her operative extremity for ambulation during the acute
215 postoperative phase. She complained of persistent pain at her osteotomy site and at
216 five-month follow-up was noted to have loosening of her distal two screws on
217 radiographs. Her revision surgery involved exchange of her distal three screws and
218 exploration of her osteotomy site, which proved unremarkable. No bone grafting
219 was performed, and the patient subsequently healed without incident five months
220 later. The same patient had undergone USO on her contralateral forearm two years
221 prior, which had healed uneventfully. The remaining three nonunions occurred in
222 active smokers and necessitated hardware revision with bone grafting and

223 placement of an additional compression plate at a right-angle to the osteotomy
224 plate. Detailed overview of the demographics and treatment course for the four
225 patients who developed nonunions are delineated in Online Appendix 1.

226

227 Symptomatic hardware necessitated plate removal in 13/72 (18%) of cases, with no
228 significant difference between any variables, including smokers vs. non-smokers,
229 diabetics vs. non-diabetics, and volar vs. dorsal plate positioning. One non-smoking
230 patient developed complex regional pain syndrome type I postoperatively and
231 required multiple stellate ganglion blocks. Another non-smoking patient developed
232 a suture granuloma requiring excision, though this occurred at the incision site of
233 her concomitant TFCC repair and was not directly related to her USO. There were no
234 postoperative infections in any patients.

235

236

237 **DISCUSSION**

238 Numerous mechanisms for the detrimental effects of smoking on bone healing at the
239 cellular level have been proposed, including decreased tissue perfusion and
240 oxygenation, endothelial changes leading to a pro-thrombotic state, and altered
241 osteoclast and osteoblast activity. [33, 42-50] Of the offending substances found in
242 cigarettes, nicotine, carbon monoxide and recently dioxin are the most commonly
243 studied. [33, 42, 43, 45, 48-50] Nicotine, in particular, is thought to play a key role in
244 this process, though the exact mechanism through which it acts remains somewhat
245 unclear. [42, 48, 49] Its inhibitory effects on bone healing are strongly supported by

246 both human and animal studies demonstrating that even short-term cessation of
247 nicotine prior to spinal arthrodesis resulted in improved incidence of union with the
248 optimal period of abstinence suggested to be roughly one month. [51-53]

249

250 Despite the known deleterious effects of nicotine and smoking on bone healing,
251 knowledge of a patient's smoking status is unlikely to change initial management in
252 most acute or emergent cases. For example, an open tibia fracture would still
253 necessitate emergent operative debridement and fixation regardless of a patient's
254 smoking history. However, in the elective setting, the decision to operate on an
255 active smoker is not clear-cut. In the aforementioned study by Chen et al, all USOs
256 were performed electively for UIS. Osteotomies were performed freehand, and
257 fixation was performed using a standard compression plate. [40] Our findings
258 demonstrate that, despite improved plate design and technique that allows for more
259 precise osteotomy cuts, smoking had a significant negative effect on bone healing
260 following USO.

261

262 Citing this risk, some surgeons routinely choose not to operate electively on active
263 smokers, given the potential for complications and prolonged post-operative course
264 associated with delayed union or nonunion. Unfortunately, basing this decision
265 solely on patient history may be misleading, as responses to self-report
266 questionnaires are inaccurate for some populations of smokers, particularly if some
267 aspect of secondary gain is involved. [54, 55] It is certainly plausible that actively-
268 smoking patients indicated for USO surgery may feel the need to misrepresent their

269 smoking history if full-disclosure were to preclude them from receiving surgery.
270 Conversely, in a prospective study, Bender et al found that nearly 90 percent of
271 orthopedic inpatients with a long-bone nonunion provided reliable smoking
272 histories as confirmed by serum cotinine levels. [56]

273

274 Approaching patients directly regarding their smoking status can be a difficult or
275 even uncomfortable task for surgeons and their patients. In situations where the
276 surgeon may suspect active tobacco use despite a patient's negative self-reported
277 history, a useful screening tool is urine or serum testing of cotinine, a major nicotine
278 metabolite. [57] Lee and colleagues demonstrated that an "add-on" urinary cotinine
279 test significantly enhanced the sensitivity of screening smokers scheduled for major
280 elective surgery when compared to self-reported smoking status alone. [58]

281 However, when such a test is warranted, care must be taken to avoid an adversarial
282 implication.

283

284 A promising finding is that peri-operative smoking cessation has demonstrated
285 improved bony union rates versus continued smoking in both animal and human
286 studies, even for periods as short as one month pre-operatively. [51-53] Our study
287 supports these data, as our sub-analysis found no difference between non-smokers
288 and former smokers with regards to the incidence of union and the incidence of
289 delayed or nonunion. This may be useful information for surgeons to cite when
290 discussing the potential benefits of smoking cessation with patients.

291

292 Diabetic patients were also found to have an increased risk of delayed or nonunion
293 following USO in our multivariate analysis. This finding was consistent with the
294 known detrimental effects of diabetes on bony healing. [18-21] Though the overall
295 number of diabetics included in the study was small, our findings provide evidence
296 that diabetic patients are also subject to complications of bone healing following
297 USO, despite improved implant design and technique. Furthermore, our regression
298 model strongly suggested that diabetic smokers were at significant risk based on an
299 additive effect of the two individual risk factors. This is not unlike the findings of
300 Wukich and colleagues, who reported on complications following ankle fractures in
301 patients with *uncomplicated* versus *complicated* diabetes, where *complicated* was
302 defined as diabetes with concomitant end organ damage, such as peripheral
303 vascular disease. [59] They found that patients with complicated diabetes were over
304 three times more likely to develop nonunion and five times more likely to require
305 revision surgery than patients with uncomplicated diabetes. [59]

306

307 Schottel and colleagues reported a profound and somewhat concerning finding that
308 long-bone nonunions may have a vastly underappreciated toll on patients' health-
309 related quality of life. [60] In a study of over 800 patients, patients' self-assessment
310 of their own quality of life was measured by utility scores (ranging from 0.0 to 1.0)
311 using a time trade-off model, which asks patients to quantify a proportion of
312 remaining lifespan that they would trade away in order to obtain perfect health. The
313 authors found that patients with forearm nonunions demonstrated the worst utility
314 score of all long-bone nonunions and were ahead of only heart transplant

315 candidates with respect to medical conditions studied in historical controls. [60]
316 The applicability of these findings is somewhat uncertain with respect to our study,
317 as there was no mention of how many nonunions were not fracture-related, such as
318 following osteotomy, and the proportion of ulnar nonunions to those of the radius
319 was not reported. Nevertheless, the overlying implication is that nonunion involving
320 the forearm is a significantly devastating condition by patients' own assessment.

321

322 Those findings, in conjunction with results presented in our study, support our
323 general predilection against performing elective USO in patients confirmed or
324 highly-suspected to be actively smoking except in the rare case of severe,
325 uncontrolled pain. One author (EKS) has employed serum cotinine and nicotine
326 testing in his practice with noteworthy success in identifying patients who
327 misrepresented their recent smoking history. This is discussed far in advance with
328 the patient to ensure that compliance is seen as a joint-venture between the patient
329 and surgeon rather than a test of the patient's adherence. In addition, patients who
330 disclose a positive smoking history during initial consultation are referred to their
331 primary-care providers for methods of smoking cessation. This further
332 demonstrates the mutual goal for the best possible surgical outcome.

333

334 Finally, a commonly reported complication following USO is symptomatic hardware
335 requiring plate removal, with reported incidences from more recent studies ranging
336 from 24 to 55%. [7, 61-65] We found no correlation with smoking status or diabetes
337 history on the need for hardware removal, nor did we find a significant association

338 with plate placement, contrary to previous reports. [7] This reinforces the generally-
339 accepted fact that all patients should be counseled on the possibility of needing
340 additional surgery, even if bony union is achieved.

341

342 This study is not without limitations, including its retrospective nature. In addition,
343 all smoking histories were obtained from patient intake records, which were subject
344 to the previously mentioned bias of misrepresentation. Also, the majority of former
345 and current smokers included in this study only disclosed their current smoking
346 behavior without reporting a comprehensive smoking history including duration of
347 smoking cessation (in former smokers) and pack-year history. Although this limited
348 our ability to fully characterize patients' smoking history, previous clinical studies
349 have supported the concept that those two factors are less important in determining
350 incidence of union than active smoking status. [51, 52] Another study limitation
351 regards the design itself. Although the reviewer of radiographs was blinded and had
352 extensive experience reviewing x-rays, we recognize the imperfect nature of such
353 methodology, including potentially suboptimal imaging and the lack of
354 interobserver reliability testing. However, this is not unlike previous studies that
355 used radiographic interpretation to determine bony union. [31, 40] Another
356 limitation with this method is that patients were generally seen for follow-up at
357 monthly intervals, which increased the potential for overestimation of union times
358 given the time interval between visits.

359

360 **REFERENCES**

- 361 1. McBeath R, Katolik LI, Shin EK. Ulnar shortening osteotomy for ulnar
362 impaction syndrome. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2013;38(2):379-381.
- 363 2. Sachar K. Ulnar-sided wrist pain: evaluation and treatment of triangular
364 fibrocartilage complex tears, ulnocarpal impaction syndrome, and
365 lunotriquetral ligament tears. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2012;37(7):1489-1500.
- 366 3. Sammer DM, Rizzo M. Ulnar impaction. *Hand Clin.* 2010;26(4):549-557.
- 367 4. Iwatsuki K, Tatebe M, Yamamoto M, Shinohara T, Nakamura R, Hirata H.
368 Ulnar impaction syndrome: incidence of lunotriquetral ligament
369 degeneration and outcome of ulnar-shortening osteotomy. *J Hand Surg Am.*
370 2014 Jun;39(6):1108-13.
- 371 5. Ahsan ZS, Song Y, Yao J. Outcomes of ulnar shortening osteotomy fixed with a
372 dynamic compression system. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2013;38(8):1520-1523.
- 373 6. Clark SM, Geissler WB. Results of ulnar shortening osteotomy with a new
374 plate compression system. *Hand (N Y).* 2012;7(3):281-285.
- 375 7. Das De S, Johnsen PH, Wolfe SW. Soft Tissue Complications of Dorsal Versus
376 Volar Plating for Ulnar Shortening Osteotomy. *J Hand Surg Am.*
377 2015;40(5):928-933.
- 378 8. Fulton C, Frcsc RG, Faber KJ, et al. Outcome analysis of ulnar shortening
379 osteotomy for ulnar impaction syndrome. *Can J Plast Surg* 2012;20(1):1-5.
- 380 9. Sunil TM, Wolff TW, Scheker LR, McCabe SJ, Gupta A. A comparative study of
381 ulnar-shortening osteotomy by the freehand technique versus the Rayhack
382 technique. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2006;31:252-257.
- 383 10. Gruber R, Koch H, Doll BA, et al. Fracture healing in the elderly patient. *Exp*
384 *Gerontol* 2006;41:1080-93.
- 385 11. Robinson CM, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM, Wakefield AE. Estimating the
386 risk of nonunion following nonoperative treatment of a clavicular fracture. *J*
387 *Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004;86-A:1359-65.
- 388 12. Parker MJ. Prediction of fracture union after internal fixation of intracapsular
389 femoral neck fractures. *Injury* 1994;25(Suppl 2):3-6.

- 390 13. Green E, Lubahn JD, Evans J. Risk factors, treatment, and outcomes associated
391 with nonunion of the midshaft humerus fracture. *J Surg Orthop Adv*. 2005
392 Summer;14(2):64-72.
- 393 14. Cao JJ. Effects of obesity on bone metabolism. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2011 Jun
394 15;6:30.
- 395 15. Hughes MS, Kazmier P, Burd TA, et al. Enhanced fracture and soft-tissue
396 healing by means of anabolic dietary supplementation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*.
397 2006;88:2386-2394.
- 398 16. Day SM, DeHeer DH. Reversal of the detrimental effects of chronic protein
399 malnutrition on long bone fracture healing. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2001;15:47-53.
- 400 17. Brinker MR, O'Connor DP, Monla YT, Earthman TP. Metabolic and endocrine
401 abnormalities in patients with nonunions. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2007
402 Sep;21(8):557-70.
- 403 18. Jiao H, Xiao E, Graves DT. Diabetes and Its Effect on Bone and Fracture
404 Healing. *Curr Osteoporos Rep*. 2015 Oct;13(5):327-35.
- 405 19. Cozen L. Does diabetes delay fracture healing? *Clin Orthop* 1972;82:134-140.
- 406 20. Loder RT. The influence of diabetes mellitus on the healing of closed
407 fractures. *Clin Orthop* 1988;232:210-216.
- 408 21. Jones KB, Maiers-Yelden KA, Marsh JL, Zimmerman MB, Estin M, Saltzman
409 CL. Ankle fractures in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*
410 87:489-495, 2005.
- 411 22. Perlman MH, Thordarson DB. Ankle fusion in a high risk population: an
412 assessment of nonunion risk factors. *Foot Ankle Int*. 1999 Aug;20(8):491-6.
- 413 23. Dodson NB, Ross AJ, Mendicino RW, Catanzariti AR. Factors affecting healing
414 of ankle fractures. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 2013 Jan-Feb;52(1):2-5.
- 415 24. Urabe K, Hotokebuchi T, Oles KJ, et al. Inhibition of endochondral ossification
416 during fracture repair in experimental hypothyroid rats. *J Orthop Res*
417 1999;17:920-925.
- 418 25. Adams CI, Keating JF, Court-Brown CM. Cigarette smoking and open tibial
419 fractures. *Injury*. 2001 Jan;32(1):61-5.

- 420 26. Castillo RC, Bosse MJ, MacKenzie EJ, Patterson BM; LEAP Study Group. Impact
421 of smoking on fracture healing and risk of complications in limb-threatening
422 open tibia fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2005 Mar;19(3):151-7.
- 423 27. Cobb TK, Gabrielsen TA, Campell DC, Wallrichs SL, Ilstrup DM. Cigarette
424 Smoking and Nonunion after Ankle Arthrodesis. *Foot and Ankle*. 1994:64-67.
- 425 28. Ding L, He Z, Xiao H, Chai L, Xue F. Factors affecting the incidence of aseptic
426 nonunion after surgical fixation of humeral diaphyseal fracture. *J Orthop Sci*.
427 2014;19(6600):973-977.
- 428 29. Harvey EJ, Agel J, Selznick HS, Chapman JR, Henley MB. Deleterious effect of
429 smoking on healing of open tibia-shaft fractures. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*.
430 2002 Sep;31(9):518-21.
- 431 30. Hernigou J, Schuind F. Smoking as a predictor of negative outcome in
432 diaphyseal fracture healing. *Int Orthop*. 2013;37:883-887.
- 433 31. Krannitz KW, Fong HW, Fallat LM, Kish J. The Effect of Cigarette Smoking on
434 Radiographic Bone Healing After Elective Foot Surgery. *J Foot Ankle Surg*.
435 2009;48(5):525-527.
- 436 32. Schmitz MA, Finnegan M, Natarajan R, Champine J. Effect of smoking on tibial
437 shaft fracture healing. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1999 Aug;365:184-200.
- 438 33. Scolaro J, Schenker ML, Yannascoli S, Baldwin K, Mehta S, Ahn J. Cigarette
439 Smoking Increases Complications Following Fracture: A Systematic Review *J*
440 *Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96:674-681.
- 441 34. Silcox DHI, Daftari T, Boden SD, Schimandle JH, Hutton WC, Whitesides TEJ.
442 The effect of nicotine on spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1995;20:1549-
443 1553.
- 444 35. Taitsman LA, Lynch JR, Agel J, Barei DP, Nork SE. Risk factors for femoral
445 nonunion after femoral shaft fracture. *J Trauma*. 2009 Dec;67(6):1389-92.
- 446 36. Chakkalakal DA. Alcohol-induced bone loss and deficient bone repair. *Alcohol*
447 *Clin Exp Res*. 2005;29:2077-2090.
- 448 37. Nyquist F, Berglund M, Nilsson BE, Obrant KJ. Nature and healing of tibial
449 shaft fractures in alcohol abusers. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1997;32(1):91-95.

- 450 38. Garcia-Sanchez A, Gonzalez-Calvin JL, Diez-Ruiz A, Casals JL, Gallego-Rojo F,
451 Salvatierra D. Effect of acute alcohol ingestion on mineral metabolism and
452 osteoblastic function. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1995;30(4):449-453.
- 453 39. Shibuya N, Humphers JM, Fluhman BL, Jupiter DC. Factors associated with
454 nonunion, delayed union, and malunion in foot and ankle surgery in diabetic
455 patients. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 2013 Mar-Apr;52(2):207-11.
- 456 40. Chen F, Osterman AL, Mahony K. Smoking and bony union after ulna-
457 shortening osteotomy. *Am J Orthop*. 2001;30(6):486-489.
- 458 41. Pouliot M, Yao J. Ulnar Shortening Osteotomy Utilizing a TriMed Ulnar
459 Osteotomy System. *Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg*. 2014;18(2):72-76.
- 460 42. Gullihorn L, Karpman R, Lippiello L. Differential effects of nicotine and smoke
461 condensate on bone cell metabolic activity. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2005 Jan;19(1):
462 17-22.
- 463 43. Hsu EL, Sonn K, Kannan A, Bellary S, Yun C, Hashmi S, Nelson J, Mendoza M,
464 Nickoli M, Ghodasra J, Park C, Mitchell S, Ashtekar A, Ghosh A, Jain A, Stock SR,
465 Hsu WK. Dioxin Exposure Impairs BMP-2-Mediated Spinal Fusion in a Rat
466 Arthrodesis Model. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015 Jun 17;97(12):1003-10.
- 467 44. Jensen JA, Goodson WH, Hopf HW, Hunt TK. Cigarette smoking decreases
468 tissue oxygen. *Arch Surg*. 1991 Sep;126(9):1131-4.
- 469 45. Korkalainen M, Kallio E, Olkku A, Nelo K, Ilvesaro J, Tuukkanen J, Mahonen A,
470 Viluksela M. Dioxins interfere with differentiation of osteoblasts and
471 osteoclasts. *Bone*. 2009 Jun;44(6):1134-42.
- 472 46. Patel R, Wilson R. The effect of smoking on bone healing A systematic review.
473 *Bone Jt Res*. 2013;2(6):102-111.
- 474 47. Porter SE, Hanley EN. The musculoskeletal effects of smoking. *J Am Acad*
475 *Orthop Surg*. 2001;9(1):9-17.
- 476 48. Raikin SM, Landsman JC, Alexander VA, Froimson MI, Plaxton NA. Effect of
477 nicotine on the rate and strength of long bone fracture healing. *Clin Orthop*
478 *Relat Res* 1998. 353:231-237

- 479 49. Rothem DE, Rothem L, Soudry M, Dahan A, Eliakim R. Nicotine modulates
480 bone metabolism-associated gene expression in osteoblast cells. *J Bone Miner*
481 *Metab.* 2009;27(5):555-61.
- 482 50. Yan C, Avadhani NG, Iqbal J. The effects of smoke carcinogens on bone. *Curr*
483 *Osteoporos Rep.* 2011 Dec;9(4):202-9.
- 484 51. Glassman SD, Anagnost SC, Parker A, Burke D, Johnson JR, Dimar JR. The
485 effect of cigarette smoking and smoking cessation on spinal fusion. *Spine.*
486 2000; 25(20): 2608–2615
- 487 52. Truntzer J, Vopat B, Feldstein M, Matityahu A. Smoking cessation and bone
488 healing: optimal cessation timing. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2015;25:211-
489 215.
- 490 53. Wing KJ, Fisher CG, O'Connell JX, Wing PC. Stopping nicotine exposure before
491 surgery. The effect on spinal fusion in a rabbit model. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).*
492 2000;25(1):30-34.
- 493 54. Coon D, Tuffaha S, Christensen J, Bonawitz SC. Plastic Surgery and Smoking.
494 *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2013;131:385-391.
- 495 55. Curry LE, Richardson a., Xiao H, Niaura RS. Nondisclosure of Smoking Status
496 to Health Care Providers Among Current and Former Smokers in the United
497 States. *Heal Educ Behav.* 2012.
- 498 56. Bender D, Haubruck P, Boxriker S, Korff S, Schmidmaier G, Moghaddam A.
499 Validity of subjective smoking status in orthopedic patients. *Ther Clin Risk*
500 *Manag.* 2015 Aug 27;11:1297-303.
- 501 57. Cropsey KL, Trent LR, Clark CB, Stevens EN, Lahti AC, Hendricks PS. How low
502 should you go? Determining the optimal cutoff for exhaled carbon monoxide
503 to confirm smoking abstinence when using cotinine as reference. *Nicotine*
504 *Tob Res.* 2014 Oct;16(10):1348-55.
- 505 58. Lee A, Gin T, Chui PT, Tan PE, Chiu CH, Tam TP, Samy W. The accuracy of
506 urinary cotinine immunoassay test strip as an add-on test to self-reported
507 smoking before major elective surgery. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013
508 Oct;15(10):1690-5.

- 509 59. Wukich DK, Joseph A, Ryan M, Ramirez C, Irrgang JJ. Outcomes of ankle
510 fractures in patients with uncomplicated versus complicated diabetes. *Foot*
511 *Ankle Int.* 2011 Feb;32(2):120-30.
- 512 60. Schottel PC, O'Connor DP, Brinker MR. Time Trade-Off as a Measure of
513 Health-Related Quality of Life: Long Bone Nonunions Have a Devastating
514 Impact. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2015 Sep 2;97(17):1406-10.
- 515 61. Chen NC, Wolfe SW. Ulna shortening osteotomy using a compression device. *J*
516 *Hand Surg Am.* 2003;28:88-93.
- 517 62. Iwasaki N, Ishikawa J, Kato H, Minami M, Minami A. Factors affecting results
518 of ulnar shortening for ulnar impaction syndrome. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*
519 2007;465(465):215-219.
- 520 63. Lauder AJ, Luria S, Trumble TE. Oblique ulnar shortening osteotomy with a
521 new plate and compression system. *Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg.*
522 2007;11(1):66 -73.
- 523 64. Luria S, Lauder AJ, Trumble TE. Comparison of Ulnar-Shortening Osteotomy
524 With a New Trimed Dynamic Compression System Versus the Synthes
525 Dynamic Compression System: Clinical Study. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2008;33:1493-
526 1497.
- 527 65. Pomerance J. Plate removal after ulnar-shortening osteotomy. *J Hand Surg*
528 *Am.* 2005;30:949-953.

529

530 **Table 1.** Demographic comparison of the smoking and non-smoking cohorts.

531

Variable	Data		P-value
	Non-smoker (N = 55)	Active Smoker (N = 17)	
Age (in years)	44 +/- 13	45 +/- 11	0.95
Female	33 (60%)	10 (59%)	0.93
Diabetic	6 (11%)	2 (12%)	0.92
Drinks alcohol (min. one drink/week)	26 (47%)	4 (53%)	0.68
Workers' Compensation related	30 (50%)	5 (42%)	0.60

532

533

534 **Table 2.** Categorical predictor variables predicting union versus nonunion or

535 delayed union after elective ulnar shortening osteotomy.

536

Variable	Categorical Data		P-value
	Union in < 6 months (60 cases)	Delayed Union or Nonunion (12 cases)	
	<i>Count (% of cases)</i>	<i>Count (% of cases)</i>	
Current Smoker	7 (12%)	10 (83%)	0.00*
Type II Diabetic	5 (8%)	3 (25%)	0.09*
Dominant extremity	31 (52%)	9 (75%)	0.12
Thyroid disease	31 (52%)	9 (75%)	0.12
Drinks alcohol (min. one drink/week)	31 (52%)	4 (33%)	0.25
Male	23 (38%)	6 (50%)	0.45
Cardiovascular Disease	15 (25%)	4 (33%)	0.55
Workers' Compensation related	30 (50%)	5 (42%)	0.60

537

538 *-Denotes statistically associated variables used in multivariate analysis

539 **Appendix 1.** Patient details and treatment course of the four patients who developed nonunions requiring additional surgery.

Pt	Age	Sex	Occupation	Workers' Comp	Dominant Extremity	BMI	Current Smoker	Type II DM	EtOH	CV	Thyroid	Other Medical	Concom Surg	Short (mm)	Post-operative course	Revision	Index to Revise	Post-revision outcome	Notes
1	46	M	Warehouse worker	Yes	Yes	34	Yes, 1PPD	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	None	Wrist arthroscopy with synovectomy, TFCC repair, DRUJ reconstruction	3	Persistent pain; radiographs at 3 months from index surgery demonstrated hypertrophic callus without bridging bone at osteotomy site with signs of screw loosening; failed trial use of bone stimulator	Removal of screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of cancellous allograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	6 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 7 months	
2	53	F	On disability	No	Yes	29	No	No	No	No	No	Cerebral Palsy	Wrist arthroscopy with synovectomy, TFCC debridement	5	Persistent pain, radiographs at 5.5 months demonstrated minimal callous formation and loosening of distal two screws	Revision/exchange of distal three screws, exploration of nonunion site	6 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 5 months	Patient acknowledged using operative arm for ambulation almost immediately post-op
3	41	F	Licensed Practical Nurse	Yes	Yes	24	Yes, ½PPD	Yes	Yes, 1 drink/week	Yes	No	Anxiety and Depression	Wrist arthroscopy	2	Persistent pain; radiographs at 7 months with minimal bridging bone at osteotomy site with signs of screw loosening; failed trial use of bone stimulator, CT scan at 8.5 months confirmed no bony union	Removal of plate and screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of cancellous olecranon autograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	9 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 9.5 months; symptomatic hardware removal at 13.5 months post-revision	Patient was prescribed smoking cessation aid post-revision, but was unable to reduce her smoking
4	55	F	Bartender	Yes	Yes	26	Yes, ½PPD	No	Yes, 4 drinks/week	No	No	None	Wrist arthroscopy, subfasial ulnar nerve transposition	3	Persistent pain, radiographs at 4 months demonstrated lack of callous formation and loosening of distal two screws	Removal of plate and screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of DHBM allograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	4.5 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 9 months	

541 BMI = Body Mass Index; DM = Diabetes Mellitus; EtOH = Current alcohol drinker, CV = Cardiovascular disease; Short = length of shortening of the ulna in millimeters;
542 PPD = packs-per-day of cigarettes smoked; TFCC = Triangular Fibrocartilage Complex; DRUJ = Distal radio-ulnar joint; CT = computed tomography; DHBM =
543 demineralized human bone matrix

544