

# Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

2-1-2016

## Variables Prognostic for Delayed Union and Nonunion Following Ulnar Shortening Fixed With a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate.

Michael P. Gaspar Thomas Jefferson University; Philadelphia Hand Center

Patrick M. Kane Thomas Jefferson University; Philadelphia Hand Center

Ralph C Zohn Philadelphia Hand Center

Taylor Buckley Philadelphia Hand Center

Sidney M. Jacoby Thomas Jefferson University

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp

Carrantifiate Contradictional Cauthrons, and the Surgery Commons
<u>Let us know how access to this document benefits you</u>

## **Recommended Citation**

Gaspar, Michael P.; Kane, Patrick M.; Zohn, Ralph C; Buckley, Taylor; Jacoby, Sidney M.; and Shin, Eon K., "Variables Prognostic for Delayed Union and Nonunion Following Ulnar Shortening Fixed With a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate." (2016). *Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 89. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/orthofp/89

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

## Authors

Michael P. Gaspar, Patrick M. Kane, Ralph C Zohn, Taylor Buckley, Sidney M. Jacoby, and Eon K. Shin

1	Variables Prognostic	for Delayed	Union and	Nonunion	Following
---	----------------------	-------------	-----------	----------	-----------

## 2 Ulnar Shortening fixed with a Dedicated Osteotomy Plate

3

## 4 **ABSTRACT**

## 5 **PURPOSE**

To examine potential risk factors for development of delayed or nonunion following
elective ulnar shortening osteotomy using a dedicated osteotomy plating system.

8

## 9 **METHODS**

10 We performed a retrospective review of all patients who underwent elective ulnar

11 shortening using the TriMed single osteotomy dynamic compression plating system

12 by one of two fellowship-trained hand surgeons over a five-year period.

13 Demographic data and medical, surgical, and social histories were reviewed. Time to

14 bony union was determined radiographically by a blinded reviewer. Bivariate

15 statistical analysis was performed to examine the effect of explanatory variables on

- 16 the time to union and the incidence of delayed or nonunion. Those variables
- 17 associated with the development of delayed or nonunion were used in a

18 multivariate logistic regression model. Complications, including the need for

19 additional surgery, were also recorded.

20

### 21 **RESULTS**

22 Seventy-two ulnar shortening osteotomy procedures were performed in 69

patients. Delayed union, defined as  $\geq$  6 months to union, occurred in 8/72 cases

24	(11%). Four of 72 (6%) surgeries resulted in nonunions, all of which required
25	additional surgery. Hardware removal was performed in 13/72 (18%) of cases.
26	Time to union was significantly increased in smokers (6+/- 3 months) versus non-
27	smokers (3 +/- 1 months). On multivariate analysis, diabetics and active smokers
28	demonstrated a significantly higher risk of developing delayed union or nonunion.
29	Patient age, sex, body mass index, thyroid disease, workers compensation status,
30	alcohol use, and amount smoked daily did not have an effect on the time to union or
31	the incidence of delayed or nonunion.
32	
33	CONCLUSIONS
34	Despite the use of an osteotomy-specific plating system, smokers and diabetics were
35	at significantly higher risk for both delayed union and nonunion following elective

- 36 ulnar shortening osteotomy. Other known risk factors for suboptimal bony healing
- 37 were not found to have a deleterious effect.

38

## 39 LEVEL OF EVIDENCE

40 Prognostic Level III

#### 42 INTRODUCTION

43 Ulnar shortening osteotomy (USO) is a widely accepted surgical treatment option 44 for ulnar-sided wrist pain associated with multiple conditions, including triangular 45 fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) injuries, lunotriquetral (LT) ligament tears, and ulnar 46 impaction syndrome (UIS). [1-4] An USO can effectively treat pain associated with 47 TFCC injury, even in the absence of ulnar positive variance, and particularly when 48 prior TFCC debridement or repair has failed. [2] Ulnar impaction syndrome is the 49 direct result of positive static or dynamic ulnar variance, which causes the distal 50 ulna to abut against the ulnar carpus. This is manifested clinically by pain with 51 activities involving ulnar deviation and forearm rotation. [2, 4] By shortening and 52 leveling the ulna, USO offloads the ulnar carpus from the distal ulna, thereby 53 relieving pain. [1]

54

55 Reported outcomes following USO are generally favorable, although complications 56 including delayed or nonunion at the osteotomy site occur with variable incidence. 57 [5-9] As with any bone requiring fixation, the incidence of bony union after USO is 58 multifactorial, relying on a multitude of patient demographic, medical, and social 59 factors. Among the risk factors for development of nonunion or delayed union 60 following bony fixation, the most commonly studied are advancing age, [10-13] 61 malnutrition (including both a deficiency of nutrients or an excess, as in obesity), [13-17] diabetes, [18-23], thyroid disease, [17, 24] smoking, [25-35] and alcohol use. 62 63 [36-38]

64	The detrimental effects of smoking on bony union in particular are well
65	documented. However, most of the clinical reports are focused on spinal or ankle
66	arthrodesis or on long-bone fractures treated with or without fixation. [25-35]
67	Similarly, though diabetes has also been shown to adversely affect bony healing,
68	most clinical reports pertain to fracture-fixation or arthrodesis of the foot and ankle.
69	[21-23] Furthermore, it is unclear to what degree this effect is directly related to
70	diabetes versus being related to an associated neuropathy. [39]
71	
72	In a study investigating the effect of smoking on bony union following USO, Chen et
73	al reported that smokers took significantly longer to achieve bony union in
74	comparison to non-smokers while also demonstrating a significantly higher risk of
75	developing nonunion. [40] However, it is unclear if any other risk factors for
76	adverse bony healing were studied, or if underlying co-morbidities played any role
77	in the authors' findings. Additionally, this study was performed nearly 20 years ago,
78	using the standard 3.5 mm dynamic compression plate with freehand osteotomy
79	cuts.
80	
81	As freehand osteotomy has been shown to be associated with a higher incidence of
82	nonunion, [9] it is unclear if smoking would have the same magnitude of effect on
83	bony union following USO when using newer techniques and procedure-specific
84	devices. The role that thyroid disease, alcohol use, obesity, and other variables may
85	play in the development of delayed union or nonunion remains unclear.

87 The purpose of this study was to examine the association of variables known to 88 adversely affect bone healing with time to bony union and rate of nonunion or 89 delayed union following elective ulnar shortening osteotomy using a dedicated 90 osteotomy plating system. Secondarily, we investigated whether any of these 91 predictor variables increase the likelihood of other complications or the need for 92 additional surgery following USO. Thus, our null hypothesis was that there would be 93 no difference in the time to bony union and incidence of nonunion or complications 94 based on the studied variables following USO with a dedicated osteotomy plating 95 system.

96

#### 97 **METHODS**

#### 98 Surgical technique and baseline data collection

99 We retrospectively reviewed the charts of all patients who underwent USO from 100 January 2010 through December 2014 at our institution by one of two fellowship-101 trained hand surgeons. All surgeries were performed with a single osteotomy 102 dynamic compression plating system (TriMed Ulnar Osteotomy Compression Plate, 103 *TriMed, Santa Clarita, CA*) using a similar technique to that previously described. 104 with the plate placed in the most anatomically accommodating position (volar 105 versus dorsal) as determined by the treating surgeon. [41] All patients were treated 106 identically with regards to post-operative splinting and immobilization for one 107 month, followed by mobilization exercises and formal supervised therapy. As per 108 our institution's standard, all patients were given a standardized questionnaire pre-109 operatively, which included questions regarding smoking and tobacco history.

Patients who had not disclosed their smoking history, whether positive or negative,were excluded from the study.

112

113 Demographic data, body mass index (BMI), workers compensation status, and 114 medical co-morbidities, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and 115 thyroid disease, were recorded for each patient. Social factors such as smoking and 116 alcohol use were also examined. Finally, the plate position at the time of surgery and 117 the degree to which the ulna was shortened, in millimeters, were also recorded. The 118 BMI, which was calculated using height and weight values obtained pre-operatively, 119 was unavailable for 4 patients. Those 4 patients were excluded from that particular 120 analysis. All other continuous variables and all categorical predictor variables were 121 obtained for every patient included in this study.

122

123 The primary outcome measured was time to bony union as measured 124 radiographically and confirmed by clinical examination. A fellowship-trained, 125 attending hand surgeon served as a blinded reviewer, assessing orthogonal 126 radiographs for cortical bridging across the osteotomy site beginning at 2 months 127 postoperatively and monthly thereafter until bony union was achieved, as has been 128 previously described. [31, 40] Physical examination data were correlated with 129 radiographic time to union by an additional study author to confirm that each 130 patient was pain-free at the osteotomy site at the time that radiologic union 131 determined by the blinded reviewer. For the purposes of this study, bony union was only considered to have occurred when both radiographic and clinical parametershad been met.

134

135 Based on the reviewer-determined time to union, all surgeries were initially 136 classified into one of three groups: union (above-mentioned criteria met within six 137 months from surgery), delayed union (criteria achieved after six months), or 138 *nonunion*. Nonunion has been previously described [30] and represents an 139 osteotomy site that either lacked congruence of at least three of four cortices at an 140 interval of six months or greater from the time of the USO or did not demonstrate 141 any radiographic change for three consecutive months and was associated with 142 clinical findings consistent with a nonunion (inability to bear weight through the 143 affected extremity, pain on palpation, or motion at the osteotomy site beginning three months following the index USO). Secondary outcomes included rate of 144 145 revision for nonunion and other complications requiring additional surgery.

146

#### 147 Sample size and statistical analysis

Using data from the study by Chen et al, [40] *a priori* power analysis was performed to determine the sample size needed to detect a difference in time to union between non-smokers and smokers in a 3:1 ratio using the Student t-test. Assuming a normal distribution and effect size of 1.0, it was determined that we would need to enroll a minimum of 11 smoking patients and 33 non-smoking patients in order to detect a significant difference (P < .05) of 3 months in time to bony union between groups as reported by Chen et al [40] with 80% power ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\beta = 0.2$ ). 156 Preliminary sub-analysis was performed to confirm no significant differences in patient demographics and union rates between self-reported non-smokers and 157 158 former smokers, allowing us to combine both subgroups into a single non-smoking 159 cohort for data analysis. Bivariate statistical analysis with independent t-test was 160 used for comparing time to union, measured in months for dichotomous 161 explanatory variables. Nonunions were excluded from this analysis in order to avoid 162 the potential for skewing union times by the endpoint of revision surgery. Pearson 163 correlation was used to examine the correlation of continuous variables with time to 164 union.

165

166 Because delayed union and nonunion represent two mutually exclusive suboptimal 167 outcomes, the two categories were collapsed into a single category, denoted as 168 delayed or nonunion, to limit the potential for error from small cell-counts when 169 using contingency tables for bivariate analysis. Chi-square testing was used to 170 examine the association of *union* versus *delayed* or *nonunion*, with the previously 171 listed dichotomous variables. Variables determined to be statistically associated (P  $\leq 0.10$ ) with the occurrence of delayed or nonunion in bivariate testing were used in 172 173 a forward stepwise multivariate binary logistic regression analysis. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined for all significant predictors and 174 175 model fit was confirmed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test.

#### 177 **RESULTS**

- 178 Seventy-two USOs performed in 69 patients satisfied inclusion criteria for this
- 179 study. Forty-two patients were women and 27 were men. Forty surgeries (56%)
- 180 were performed on the dominant extremity, and 45 plates were placed dorsally,
- 181 with the remaining 27 placed volarly. Mean patient age at the time of surgery for the
- 182 entire cohort was 44.+/- 12 years, and mean time interval to union was 4 +/- 2
- 183 months for all patients. Delayed union occurred in eight cases (11%), and nonunion
- 184 occurred in four cases (6%).
- 185

#### 186 Bivariate Analysis

187 <u>Time to Union</u>

188 Smoking was the only variable found to have a statistically significant effect on time

to union (6 + - 3 months in smokers versus 3 + - 1 months in non-smokers; P =

190 0.001). The number of cigarette packs smoked daily did not correlate with time to

191 bony union among the cohort of smokers. Time to union in diabetics was 5 +/- 1

192 months versus 4 +/- 2 months in non-diabetics. This difference was not statistically

193 significant (*P* = 0.26).

194

#### 195 Incidence of Delayed Union and Nonunion

196 Smoking had a significant impact on the incidence of delayed union or nonunion,

- 197 which occurred in 10 of 17 (59%) smokers and two of 55 (4%) non-smokers (P <
- 198 0.001). (See Table 1 for a demographic comparison of the smoking and non-smoking
- 199 cohorts.) Incidence of nonunion or delayed union in diabetics (38%) versus non-

- 200 diabetics (14%) approached statistical significance (*P* = 0.094). No other predictor
- 201 variables were found to be statistically associated ( $P \le 0.10$ ) with the incidence of
- 202 delayed union or nonunion. (See Table 2)
- 203

## 204 Multivariate Analysis

- 205 The final logistic model was found to be significant (P = 0.000) and correctly
- 206 predicted 90% of outcomes (*delayed or nonunion* versus *union*). In the final logistic
- 207 regression model, history of diabetes (odds ratio: 12.7; 95% CI, 1.03-17.5; *P* = 0.045)
- and positive active smoking history (odds ratio: 65.0; 95% CI, 7.3-580; *P* = 0.000)
- 209 were associated with development of delayed or nonunion following USO.
- 210

#### 211 Revisions and Additional Surgeries

212 Of the four nonunions that occurred, one was in a non-smoking woman and was 213 associated with hardware failure. That patient had a history of cerebral palsy and 214 bore weight on her operative extremity for ambulation during the acute 215 postoperative phase. She complained of persistent pain at her osteotomy site and at 216 five-month follow-up was noted to have loosening of her distal two screws on radiographs. Her revision surgery involved exchange of her distal three screws and 217 218 exploration of her osteotomy site, which proved unremarkable. No bone grafting 219 was performed, and the patient subsequently healed without incident five months 220 later. The same patient had undergone USO on her contralateral forearm two years 221 prior, which had healed uneventfully. The remaining three nonunions occurred in 222 active smokers and necessitated hardware revision with bone grafting and

223 placement of an additional compression plate at a right-angle to the osteotomy

224 plate. Detailed overview of the demographics and treatment course for the four

225 patients who developed nonunions are delineated in Online Appendix 1.

226

227 Symptomatic hardware necessitated plate removal in 13/72 (18%) of cases, with no 228 significant difference between any variables, including smokers vs. non-smokers, 229 diabetics vs. non-diabetics, and volar vs. dorsal plate positioning. One non-smoking 230 patient developed complex regional pain syndrome type I postoperatively and 231 required multiple stellate ganglion blocks. Another non-smoking patient developed 232 a suture granuloma requiring excision, though this occurred at the incision site of 233 her concomitant TFCC repair and was not directly related to her USO. There were no 234 postoperative infections in any patients.

235

236

#### 237 **DISCUSSION**

238 Numerous mechanisms for the detrimental effects of smoking on bone healing at the 239 cellular level have been proposed, including decreased tissue perfusion and 240 oxygenation, endothelial changes leading to a pro-thrombotic state, and altered 241 osteoclast and osteoblast activity. [33, 42-50] Of the offending substances found in cigarettes, nicotine, carbon monoxide and recently dioxin are the most commonly 242 243 studied. [33, 42, 43, 45, 48-50] Nicotine, in particular, is thought to play a key role in 244 this process, though the exact mechanism through which it acts remains somewhat 245 unclear. [42, 48, 49] Its inhibitory effects on bone healing are strongly supported by

both human and animal studies demonstrating that even short-term cessation of
nicotine prior to spinal arthrodesis resulted in improved incidence of union with the
optimal period of abstinence suggested to be roughly one month. [51-53]

249

250 Despite the known deleterious effects of nicotine and smoking on bone healing, 251 knowledge of a patient's smoking status is unlikely to change initial management in 252 most acute or emergent cases. For example, an open tibia fracture would still 253 necessitate emergent operative debridement and fixation regardless of a patient's 254 smoking history. However, in the elective setting, the decision to operate on an 255 active smoker is not clear-cut. In the aforementioned study by Chen et al, all USOs 256 were performed electively for UIS. Osteotomies were performed freehand, and 257 fixation was performed using a standard compression plate. [40] Our findings 258 demonstrate that, despite improved plate design and technique that allows for more 259 precise osteotomy cuts, smoking had a significant negative effect on bone healing 260 following USO.

261

Citing this risk, some surgeons routinely choose not to operate electively on active
smokers, given the potential for complications and prolonged post-operative course
associated with delayed union or nonunion. Unfortunately, basing this decision
solely on patient history may be misleading, as responses to self-report
questionnaires are inaccurate for some populations of smokers, particularly if some
aspect of secondary gain is involved. [54, 55] It is certainly plausible that activelysmoking patients indicated for USO surgery may feel the need to misrepresent their

smoking history if full-disclosure were to preclude them from receiving surgery.
Conversely, in a prospective study, Bender et al found that nearly 90 percent of
orthopedic inpatients with a long-bone nonunion provided reliable smoking
histories as confirmed by serum cotinine levels. [56]

273

274 Approaching patients directly regarding their smoking status can be a difficult or 275 even uncomfortable task for surgeons and their patients. In situations where the 276 surgeon may suspect active tobacco use despite a patient's negative self-reported 277 history, a useful screening tool is urine or serum testing of cotinine, a major nicotine 278 metabolite. [57] Lee and colleagues demonstrated that an "add-on" urinary cotinine 279 test significantly enhanced the sensitivity of screening smokers scheduled for major 280 elective surgery when compared to self-reported smoking status alone. [58] 281 However, when such a test is warranted, care must be taken to avoid an adversarial 282 implication.

283

A promising finding is that peri-operative smoking cessation has demonstrated improved bony union rates versus continued smoking in both animal and human studies, even for periods as short as one month pre-operatively. [51-53] Our study supports these data, as our sub-analysis found no difference between non-smokers and former smokers with regards to the incidence of union and the incidence of delayed or nonunion. This may be useful information for surgeons to cite when discussing the potential benefits of smoking cessation with patients.

292 Diabetic patients were also found to have an increased risk of delayed or nonunion 293 following USO in our multivariate analysis. This finding was consistent with the 294 known detrimental effects of diabetes on bony healing. [18-21] Though the overall 295 number of diabetics included in the study was small, our findings provide evidence 296 that diabetic patients are also subject to complications of bone healing following 297 USO, despite improved implant design and technique. Furthermore, our regression 298 model strongly suggested that diabetic smokers were at significant risk based on an 299 additive effect of the two individual risk factors. This is not unlike the findings of 300 Wukich and colleagues, who reported on complications following ankle fractures in 301 patients with *uncomplicated* versus *complicated* diabetes, where *complicated* was 302 defined as diabetes with concomitant end organ damage, such as peripheral 303 vascular disease. [59] They found that patients with complicated diabetes were over 304 three times more likely to develop nonunion and five times more likely to require 305 revision surgery than patients with uncomplicated diabetes. [59] 306

307 Schottel and colleagues reported a profound and somewhat concerning finding that 308 long-bone nonunions may have a vastly underappreciated toll on patients' health-309 related quality of life. [60] In a study of over 800 patients, patients' self-assessment 310 of their own quality of life was measured by utility scores (ranging from 0.0 to 1.0) 311 using a time trade-off model, which asks patients to quantify a proportion of 312 remaining lifespan that they would trade away in order to obtain perfect health. The 313 authors found that patients with forearm nonunions demonstrated the worst utility 314 score of all long-bone nonunions and were ahead of only heart transplant

315 candidates with respect to medical conditions studied in historical controls. [60] 316 The applicability of these findings is somewhat uncertain with respect to our study. 317 as there was no mention of how many nonunions were not fracture-related, such as 318 following osteotomy, and the proportion of ulnar nonunions to those of the radius 319 was not reported. Nevertheless, the overlying implication is that nonunion involving 320 the forearm is a significantly devastating condition by patients' own assessment. 321 322 Those findings, in conjunction with results presented in our study, support our 323 general predilection against performing elective USO in patients confirmed or 324 highly-suspected to be actively smoking except in the rare case of severe, 325 uncontrolled pain. One author (EKS) has employed serum cotinine and nicotine 326 testing in his practice with noteworthy success in identifying patients who 327 misrepresented their recent smoking history. This is discussed far in advance with 328 the patient to ensure that compliance is seen as a joint-venture between the patient 329 and surgeon rather than a test of the patient's adherence. In addition, patients who 330 disclose a positive smoking history during initial consultation are referred to their 331 primary-care providers for methods of smoking cessation. This further 332 demonstrates the mutual goal for the best possible surgical outcome. 333 334 Finally, a commonly reported complication following USO is symptomatic hardware

requiring plate removal, with reported incidences from more recent studies ranging

335

from 24 to 55%. [7, 61-65] We found no correlation with smoking status or diabetes

history on the need for hardware removal, nor did we find a significant association

with plate placement, contrary to previous reports. [7] This reinforces the generallyaccepted fact that all patients should be counseled on the possibility of needing
additional surgery, even if bony union is achieved.

341

342 This study is not without limitations, including its retrospective nature. In addition, 343 all smoking histories were obtained from patient intake records, which were subject 344 to the previously mentioned bias of misrepresentation. Also, the majority of former 345 and current smokers included in this study only disclosed their current smoking 346 behavior without reporting a comprehensive smoking history including duration of 347 smoking cessation (in former smokers) and pack-year history. Although this limited 348 our ability to fully characterize patients' smoking history, previous clinical studies 349 have supported the concept that those two factors are less important in determining 350 incidence of union than active smoking status. [51, 52] Another study limitation 351 regards the design itself. Although the reviewer of radiographs was blinded and had 352 extensive experience reviewing x-rays, we recognize the imperfect nature of such 353 methodology, including potentially suboptimal imaging and the lack of 354 interobserver reliability testing. However, this is not unlike previous studies that 355 used radiographic interpretation to determine bony union. [31, 40] Another 356 limitation with this method is that patients were generally seen for follow-up at 357 monthly intervals, which increased the potential for overestimation of union times given the time interval between visits. 358

## **REFERENCES**

361	1.	McBeath R, Katolik LI, Shin EK. Ulnar shortening osteotomy for ulnar
362		impaction syndrome. J Hand Surg Am. 2013;38(2):379-381.
363	2.	Sachar K. Ulnar-sided wrist pain: evaluation and treatment of triangular
364		fibrocartilage complex tears, ulnocarpal impaction syndrome, and
365		lunotriquetral ligament tears. J Hand Surg Am. 2012;37(7):1489-1500.
366	3.	Sammer DM, Rizzo M. Ulnar impaction. Hand Clin. 2010;26(4):549-557.
367	4.	Iwatsuki K, Tatebe M, Yamamoto M, Shinohara T, Nakamura R, Hirata H.
368		Ulnar impaction syndrome: incidence of lunotriquetral ligament
369		degeneration and outcome of ulnar-shortening osteotomy. J Hand Surg Am.
370		2014 Jun;39(6):1108-13.
371	5.	Ahsan ZS, Song Y, Yao J. Outcomes of ulnar shortening osteotomy fixed with a
372		dynamic compression system. J Hand Surg Am. 2013;38(8):1520-1523.
373	6.	Clark SM, Geissler WB. Results of ulnar shortening osteotomy with a new
374		plate compression system. <i>Hand (N Y)</i> . 2012;7(3):281-285.
375	7.	Das De S, Johnsen PH, Wolfe SW. Soft Tissue Complications of Dorsal Versus
376		Volar Plating for Ulnar Shortening Osteotomy. J Hand Surg Am.
377		2015;40(5):928-933.
378	8.	Fulton C, Frcsc RG, Faber KJ, et al. Outcome analysis of ulnar shortening
379		osteotomy for ulnar impaction syndrome. Can J Plast Surg 2012;20(1):1-5.
380	9.	Sunil TM, Wolff TW, Scheker LR, McCabe SJ, Gupta A. A comparative study of
381		ulnar-shortening osteotomy by the freehand technique versus the Rayhack
382		technique. J Hand Surg Am. 2006;31:252-257.
383	10	. Gruber R, Koch H, Doll BA, et al. Fracture healing in the elderly patient. <i>Exp</i>
384		Gerontol 2006;41:1080-93.
385	11	. Robinson CM, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM, Wakefield AE. Estimating the
386		risk of nonunion following nonoperative treatment of a clavicular fracture. J
387		<i>Bone Joint Surg Am</i> 2004;86-A:1359-65.
388	12	. Parker MJ. Prediction of fracture union after internal fixation of intracapsular
389		femoral neck fractures. <i>Injury</i> 1994;25(Suppl 2):3-6.

390	13. Green E, Lubahn JD, Evans J. Risk factors, treatment, and outcomes associated
391	with nonunion of the midshaft humerus fracture. J Surg Orthop Adv. 2005
392	Summer;14(2):64-72.
393	14. Cao JJ. Effects of obesity on bone metabolism. J Orthop Surg Res. 2011 Jun
394	15;6:30.
395	15. Hughes MS, Kazmier P, Burd TA, et al. Enhanced fracture and soft-tissue
396	healing by means of anabolic dietary supplementation. J Bone Joint Surg Am.
397	2006;88:2386–2394.
398	16. Day SM, DeHeer DH. Reversal of the detrimental effects of chronic protein
399	malnutrition on long bone fracture healing. <i>J Orthop Trauma</i> . 2001;15:47–53.
400	17. Brinker MR, O'Connor DP, Monla YT, Earthman TP. Metabolic and endocrine
401	abnormalities in patients with nonunions. J Orthop Trauma. 2007
402	Sep;21(8):557-70.
403	18. Jiao H, Xiao E, Graves DT. Diabetes and Its Effect on Bone and Fracture
404	Healing. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2015 Oct;13(5):327-35.
405	19. Cozen L. Does diabetes delay fracture healing? <i>Clin Orthop</i> 1972;82:134-140.
406	20. Loder RT. The influence of diabetes mellitus on the healing of closed
407	fractures. <i>Clin Orthop</i> 1988;232:210-216.
408	21. Jones KB, Maiers-Yelden KA, Marsh JL, Zimmerman MB, Estin M, Saltzman
409	CL. Ankle fractures in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Bone Joint Surg Br
410	87:489–495, 2005.
411	22. Perlman MH, Thordarson DB. Ankle fusion in a high risk population: an
412	assessment of nonunion risk factors. <i>Foot Ankle Int</i> . 1999 Aug;20(8):491-6.
413	23. Dodson NB, Ross AJ, Mendicino RW, Catanzariti AR. Factors affecting healing
414	of ankle fractures. <i>J Foot Ankle Surg</i> . 2013 Jan-Feb;52(1):2-5.
415	24. Urabe K, Hotokebuchi T, Oles KJ, et al. Inhibition of endochondral ossification
416	during fracture repair in experimental hypothyroid rats. J Orthop Res
417	1999;17:920-925.
418	25. Adams CI, Keating JF, Court-Brown CM. Cigarette smoking and open tibial
419	fractures. <i>Injury</i> . 2001 Jan;32(1):61-5.

420	26. Castillo RC, Bosse MJ, MacKenzie EJ, Patterson BM; LEAP Study Group. Impact
421	of smoking on fracture healing and risk of complications in limb-threatening
422	open tibia fractures. J Orthop Trauma. 2005 Mar;19(3):151-7.
423	27. Cobb TK, Gabrielsen TA, Campell DC, Wallrichs SL, Ilstrup DM. Cigarette
424	Smoking and Nonunion after Ankle Arthrodesis. Foot and Ankle. 1994:64-67.
425	28. Ding L, He Z, Xiao H, Chai L, Xue F. Factors affecting the incidence of aseptic
426	nonunion after surgical fixation of humeral diaphyseal fracture. J Orthop Sci.
427	2014;19(6600):973-977.
428	29. Harvey EJ, Agel J, Selznick HS, Chapman JR, Henley MB. Deleterious effect of
429	smoking on healing of open tibia-shaft fractures. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ).
430	2002 Sep;31(9):518-21.
431	30. Hernigou J, Schuind F. Smoking as a predictor of negative outcome in
432	diaphyseal fracture healing. Int Orthop. 2013;37:883-887.
433	31. Krannitz KW, Fong HW, Fallat LM, Kish J. The Effect of Cigarette Smoking on
434	Radiographic Bone Healing After Elective Foot Surgery. J Foot Ankle Surg.
435	2009;48(5):525-527.
436	32. Schmitz MA, Finnegan M, Natarajan R, Champine J. Effect of smoking on tibial
437	shaft fracture healing. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999 Aug;365:184-200.
438	33. Scolaro J, Schenker ML, Yannascoli S, Baldwin K, Mehta S, Ahn J. Cigarette
439	Smoking Increases Complications Following Fracture: A Systematic Review J
440	Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014;96:674-681.
441	34. Silcox DHI, Daftari T, Boden SD, Schimandle JH, Hutton WC, Whitesides TEJ.
442	The effect of nicotine on spinal fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1995;20:1549-
443	1553.
444	35. Taitsman LA, Lynch JR, Agel J, Barei DP, Nork SE. Risk factors for femoral
445	nonunion after femoral shaft fracture. <i>J Trauma</i> . 2009 Dec;67(6):1389-92.
446	36. Chakkalakal DA. Alcohol-induced bone loss and deficient bone repair. Alcohol
447	<i>Clin Exp Res</i> . 2005;29:2077–2090.
448	37. Nyquist F, Berglund M, Nilsson BE, Obrant KJ. Nature and healing of tibial
449	shaft fractures in alcohol abusers. <i>Alcohol Alcohol</i> . 1997;32(1):91–95.

450	38. Garcia-Sanchez A, Gonzalez-Calvin JL, Diez-Ruiz A, Casals JL, Gallego-Rojo F,
451	Salvatierra D. Effect of acute alcohol ingestion on mineral metabolism and
452	osteoblastic function. Alcohol Alcohol. 1995;30(4):449–453.
453	39. Shibuya N, Humphers JM, Fluhman BL, Jupiter DC. Factors associated with
454	nonunion, delayed union, and malunion in foot and ankle surgery in diabetic
455	patients. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2013 Mar-Apr;52(2):207-11.
456	40. Chen F, Osterman AL, Mahony K. Smoking and bony union after ulna-
457	shortening osteotomy. Am J Orthop. 2001;30(6):486-489.
458	41. Pouliot M, Yao J. Ulnar Shortening Osteotomy Utilizing a TriMed Ulnar
459	Osteotomy System. Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg. 2014;18(2):72-76.
460	42. Gullihorn L, Karpman R, Lippiello L. Differential effects of nicotine and smoke
461	condensate on bone cell metabolic activity. <i>J Orthop Trauma</i> . 2005 Jan;19(1):
462	17-22.
463	43. Hsu EL, Sonn K, Kannan A, Bellary S, Yun C, Hashmi S, Nelson J, Mendoza M,
464	Nickoli M, Ghodasra J, Park C, Mitchell S, Ashtekar A, Ghosh A, Jain A, Stock SR,
465	Hsu WK. Dioxin Exposure Impairs BMP-2-Mediated Spinal Fusion in a Rat
466	Arthrodesis Model. <i>J Bone Joint Surg Am</i> . 2015 Jun 17;97(12):1003-10.
467	44. Jensen JA, Goodson WH, Hopf HW, Hunt TK. Cigarette smoking decreases
468	tissue oxygen. Arch Surg. 1991 Sep;126(9):1131-4.
469	45. Korkalainen M, Kallio E, Olkku A, Nelo K, Ilvesaro J, Tuukkanen J, Mahonen A,
470	Viluksela M. Dioxins interfere with differentiation of osteoblasts and
471	osteoclasts. <i>Bone</i> . 2009 Jun;44(6):1134-42.
472	46. Patel R, Wilson R. The effect of smoking on bone healing A systematic review.
473	Bone Jt Res. 2013;2(6):102-111.
474	47. Porter SE, Hanley EN. The musculoskeletal effects of smoking. J Am Acad
475	Orthop Surg. 2001;9(1):9-17.
476	48. Raikin SM, Landsman JC, Alexander VA, Froimson MI, Plaxton NA. Effect of
477	nicotine on the rate and strength of long bone fracture healing. <i>Clin Orthop</i>
478	Relat Res 1998. 353:231–237

479	49. Rothem DE, Rothem L, Soudry M, Dahan A, Eliakim R. Nicotine modulates
480	bone metabolism-associated gene expression in osteoblast cells. J Bone Miner
481	Metab. 2009;27(5):555-61.
482	50. Yan C, Avadhani NG, Iqbal J. The effects of smoke carcinogens on bone. <i>Curr</i>
483	Osteoporos Rep. 2011 Dec;9(4):202-9.
484	51. Glassman SD, Anagnost SC, Parker A, Burke D, Johnson JR, Dimar JR. The
485	effect of cigarette smoking and smoking cessation on spinal fusion. Spine.
486	2000; 25(20): 2608–2615
487	52. Truntzer J, Vopat B, Feldstein M, Matityahu A. Smoking cessation and bone
488	healing: optimal cessation timing. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2015;25:211-
489	215.
490	53. Wing KJ, Fisher CG, O'Connell JX, Wing PC. Stopping nicotine exposure before
491	surgery. The effect on spinal fusion in a rabbit model. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).
492	2000;25(1):30-34.
493	54. Coon D, Tuffaha S, Christensen J, Bonawitz SC. Plastic Surgery and Smoking.
494	Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013;131:385-391.
495	55. Curry LE, Richardson a., Xiao H, Niaura RS. Nondisclosure of Smoking Status
496	to Health Care Providers Among Current and Former Smokers in the United
497	States. <i>Heal Educ Behav</i> . 2012.
498	56. Bender D, Haubruck P, Boxriker S, Korff S, Schmidmaier G, Moghaddam A.
499	Validity of subjective smoking status in orthopedic patients. Ther Clin Risk
500	Manag. 2015 Aug 27;11:1297-303.
501	57. Cropsey KL, Trent LR, Clark CB, Stevens EN, Lahti AC, Hendricks PS. How low
502	should you go? Determining the optimal cutoff for exhaled carbon monoxide
503	to confirm smoking abstinence when using cotinine as reference. <i>Nicotine</i>
504	Tob Res. 2014 Oct;16(10):1348-55.
505	58. Lee A, Gin T, Chui PT, Tan PE, Chiu CH, Tam TP, Samy W. The accuracy of
506	urinary cotinine immunoassay test strip as an add-on test to self-reported
507	smoking before major elective surgery. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013
508	Oct;15(10):1690-5.

509	59. Wukich DK, Joseph A, Ryan M, Ramirez C, Irrgang JJ. Outcomes of ankle
510	fractures in patients with uncomplicated versus complicated diabetes. Foot
511	Ankle Int. 2011 Feb;32(2):120-30.
512	60. Schottel PC, O'Connor DP, Brinker MR. Time Trade-Off as a Measure of
513	Health-Related Quality of Life: Long Bone Nonunions Have a Devastating
514	Impact. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015 Sep 2;97(17):1406-10.
515	61. Chen NC, Wolfe SW. Ulna shortening osteotomy using a compression device. J
516	Hand Surg Am. 2003;28:88-93.
517	62. Iwasaki N, Ishikawa J, Kato H, Minami M, Minami A. Factors affecting results
518	of ulnar shortening for ulnar impaction syndrome. Clin Orthop Relat Res.
519	2007;465(465):215-219.
520	63. Lauder AJ, Luria S, Trumble TE. Oblique ulnar shortening osteotomy with a
521	new plate and compression system. <i>Tech Hand Up Extrem Surg</i> .
522	2007;11(1):66 -73.
523	64. Luria S, Lauder AJ, Trumble TE. Comparison of Ulnar-Shortening Osteotomy
524	With a New Trimed Dynamic Compression System Versus the Synthes
525	Dynamic Compression System: Clinical Study. J Hand Surg Am. 2008;33:1493-
526	1497.
527	65. Pomerance J. Plate removal after ulnar-shortening osteotomy. J Hand Surg
528	<i>Am</i> . 2005;30:949-953.

**Table 1.** Demographic comparison of the smoking and non-smoking cohorts.

Data								
Variable	Non-smoker (N = 55)	Active Smoker (N = 17)	P-value					
Age (in years)	44 +/- 13	45 +/- 11	0.95					
Female	33 (60%)	10 (59%)	0.93					
Diabetic	6 (11%)	2 (12%)	0.92					
Drinks alcohol (min. one drink/week)	26 (47%)	4 (53%)	0.68					
Workers' Compensation related	30 (50%)	5 (42%)	0.60					

**Table 2.** Categorical predictor variables predicting union versus nonunion or

535 delayed union after elective ulnar shortening osteotomy.

	Categorical Data		
Variable	Union in < 6 months (60 cases)	Delayed Union or Nonunion (12 cases)	P-value
	Count (% of cases)	Count (% of cases)	
Current Smoker	7 (12%)	10 (83%)	0.00*
Type II Diabetic	5 (8%)	3 (25%)	0.09*
Dominant extremity	31 (52%)	9 (75%)	0.12
Thyroid disease	31 (52%)	9 (75%)	0.12
Drinks alcohol (min. one drink/week)	31 (52%)	4 (33%)	0.25
Male	23 (38%)	6 (50%)	0.45
Cardiovascular Disease	15 (25%)	4 (33%)	0.55
Workers' Compensation related	30 (50%)	5 (42%)	0.60

538 \*-Denotes statistically associated variables used in multivariate analysis

Р	t Age	Sex	Occupation	Workers' Comp	Dominant Extremity	BMI	Current Smoker	Type II DM	EtOH	CV	Thyroid	Other Medical	Concom Surg	Short (mm)	Post-operative course	Revision	Index to Revise	Post-revision outcome	Notes
1	46	М	Warehouse worker	Yes	Yes	34	Yes, 1PPD	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	None	Wrist arthroscopy with synovectomy, TFCC repair, DRUJ reconstruction	3	Persistent pain; radiographs at 3 months from index surgery demonstrated hypertrophic callus without bridging bone at osteotomy site with signs of screw loosening; failed trial use of bone stimulator	Removal of screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of cancellous allograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	6 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 7 months	
2	53	F	On disability	No	Yes	29	No	No	No	No	No	Cerebral Palsy	Wrist arthroscopy with synovectomy, TFCC debridement	5	Persistent pain, radiographs at 5.5 months demonstrated minimal callous formation and loosening of distal two screws	Revision/exchange of distal three screws, exploration of nonunion site	6 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 5 months	Patient acknowledged using operative arm for ambulation almost immediately post-op
3	41	F	Licensed Practical Nurse	Yes	Yes	24	Yes, ½PPD	Yes	Yes, 1 drink/ week	Yes	No	Anxiety and Depression	Wrist arthroscopy	2	Persistent pain; radiographs at 7 months with minimal bridging bone at osteotomy site with signs of screw loosening; failed trial use of bone stimulator, CT scan at 8.5 months confirmed no bony union	Removal of plate and screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of cancellous olecranon autograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	9 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 9.5 months; symptomatic hardware removal at 13.5 months post- revision	Patient was prescribed smoking cessation aid post-revision, but was unable to reduce her smoking
4	55	F	Bartender	Yes	Yes	26	Yes, ½PPD	No	Yes, 4 drinks/ week	No	No	None	Wrist arthroscopy, subfascial ulnar nerve transposition	3	Persistent pain, radiographs at 4 months demonstrated lack of callous formation and loosening of distal two screws	Removal of plate and screws, takedown of nonunion, revision plating with placement of DHBM allograft and additional compression plate at a right angle to osteotomy plate	4.5 months	Radiographic and clinical union at 9 months	

**Appendix 1.** Patient details and treatment course of the four patients who developed nonunions requiring additional surgery.

- BMI = Body Mass Index; DM = Diabetes Mellitus; EtOH = Current alcohol drinker, CV = Cardiovascular disease; Short = length of shortening of the ulna in millimeters;
- 541 542 543 PPD = packs-per-day of cigarettes smoked; TFCC = Triangular Fibrocartilage Complex; DRUJ = Distal radio-ulnar joint; CT = computed tomography; DHBM =
- demineralized human bone matrix