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Inflammatory myopathies are the largest group of potentially treatable myopathies in children and adults. They constitute a heterogeneous group of disorders that are best classified, on the basis of distinct clinicopathologic features, in four subtypes: dermatomyositis, polymyositis, necrotizing autoimmune myositis, and inclusion-body myositis (throughout this review, I use this term to refer specifically to sporadic inclusion-body myositis). A fifth subtype, termed overlap myositis, is also beginning to be recognized. The identification of the correct subtype and the distinction of these conditions from other diseases that have characteristics that mimic these conditions is fundamental, because each subtype has a different prognosis and response to therapies. This review reflects the current knowledge of these conditions, highlights how best to avoid erroneous diagnoses, describes the main clinicopathologic and immunologic features, and provides practical guidelines regarding therapies.

**General Clinical Features**

Patients with inflammatory myopathies have increasing difficulty with tasks requiring the use of proximal muscles, such as getting up from a chair, climbing steps, or lifting objects. Tasks requiring distal muscles, such as buttoning or holding objects, are affected early in inclusion-body myositis but only in advanced cases of polymyositis, dermatomyositis, and necrotizing autoimmune myositis. The ocular muscles are spared in all subtypes, but facial muscles are commonly affected in inclusion-body myositis. In all disease subtypes, neck-extensor and pharyngeal muscles can be involved, which results in difficulty holding up the head (head drop) or in dysphagia. In advanced and rare acute cases, the respiratory muscles can be affected. Muscle atrophy is detected early in inclusion-body myositis, with selective atrophy of the quadriceps and forearm muscles, but it develops in all subtypes if the weakness is severe and chronic. Myalgia and muscle tenderness may occur, especially in patients with the antisynthetase syndrome (see the Glossary), but if pain is severe and the weakness follows a “breakaway” pattern, in which the patient has difficulty sustaining effort, fasciitis or fibromyalgia should be ruled out.

Extramuscular manifestations may occur in all inflammatory myopathies, although they occur in inclusion-body myositis only in rare cases; these manifestations include systemic symptoms, such as fever, arthralgia, and Raynaud’s phenomenon, as seen in the antisynthetase syndrome; cardiac arrhythmias or ventricular dysfunction, in relatively uncommon cases in which the affected cardiac muscle is clinically symptomatic; and pulmonary complications, due primarily to interstitial lung disease, which are reported in 10 to 40% of patients. The prevalence of interstitial lung disease, a condition that is best detected with high-resolution computed tomography, is as high as 70% among patients with anti–histidyl–transfer RNA (tRNA) synthetase (anti-Jo-1) or anti–melanoma differentiation—
associated protein (MDA–5) antibodies (see the Glossary).6–8

SPECIFIC CLINICAL FEATURES

DERMATOMYOSITIS

The specific clinical features of inflammatory myopathies are described in Table 1 and in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org. Dermatomyositis is seen in both children and adults, and the early symptoms include distinct skin manifestations accompanying or preceding muscle weakness; the skin manifestations include periorbital heliotrope (blue–purple) rash with edema; erythematous rash on the face, knees, elbows, malleoli, neck, anterior chest (in a V-sign), and back and shoulders (in a shawl sign); and a violaceous eruption (Gottron’s rash) on the knuckles, which may evolve into a scaling discoloration.1–7,9 The lesions are photosensitive and may be aggravated by ultraviolet radiation.6,7,9 Dilated capillary loops at the base of the fingernails, irregular and thickened cuticles, and cracked palmar fingertips (“mechanic’s hands”) are characteristic of dermatomyositis.1–3 Subcutaneous calcifications, sometimes extruding to the surface of the skin and causing ulcerations and infections, may occur and are especially common among children. If the patient’s strength appears to be normal, the dermatomyositis may be limited to the skin (amyopathic dermatomyositis), although subclinical muscle involvement is frequent.1–3 In children, an early symptom is “misery,” defined as irritability combined with a red flush on the face, fatigue, and a reluctance to socialize.2,3

The symptoms of dermatomyositis may overlap with those of systemic sclerosis and mixed connective-tissue disease2–7; in such cases, the typical skin rash is transient or faint. Overlap myositis is now starting to be recognized as a distinct entity; it manifests without the rash that is typical of dermatomyositis, with prominent pathologic changes in the perifascicular, interfascicular, and perimysial regions, and is frequently associated with antisynthetase antibodies.10 In adults, the risk of cancer is increased during the first 3 to 5 years after the onset of dermatomyositis, with reported a frequency of 9 to 32%.11,12 The most common cancers are ovarian cancer, breast cancer, colon cancer, melanoma, nasopharyngeal cancer (in Asians), and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; the risk of these cancers necessitates a thorough annual workup in the first 3 years after disease onset.11,12

POLYMYOSITIS

Polymyositis is rare as a stand-alone entity and is often misdiagnosed; most patients whose condition has been diagnosed as polymyositis have inclusion-body myositis, necrotizing autoimmune myositis, or inflammatory dystrophy.1,13 Polymyositis remains a diagnosis of exclusion and is best defined as a subacute proximal myopathy in adults who do not have rash, a family history of neuromuscular disease, exposure to myotoxic drugs (e.g., statins, penicillamine, and zidovudine), involvement of facial and extraocular muscles, en-
Table 1. Criteria Supporting the Diagnosis of Inflammatory Myopathies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Dermatomyositis</th>
<th>Polymyositis</th>
<th>Necrotizing Autoimmune Myositis</th>
<th>Inclusion-Body Myositis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pattern of muscle weakness</td>
<td>Subacute onset of proximal symmetric weakness with characteristic skin rash in patients of any age</td>
<td>Subacute onset of proximal symmetric weakness in adults (diagnosis is made when other causes have been ruled out)*</td>
<td>Acute or subacute onset of proximal, often severe weakness in adults</td>
<td>Slow onset of proximal and distal weakness; atrophy of quadriceps and forearms; frequent falls; mild facial muscle weakness in people older than 50 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine kinase level</td>
<td>High, up to 50 times the upper limit of normal; can at times be normal</td>
<td>High, up to 50 times the upper limit of normal in early active disease; may linger at up to 10 times the upper limit of normal</td>
<td>Very high; more than 50 times the upper limit of normal in early active disease</td>
<td>Up to 10 times the upper limit of normal; can be normal or slightly elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromyography</td>
<td>Myopathic units (active and chronic)</td>
<td>Myopathic units (active and chronic)</td>
<td>Active myopathic units</td>
<td>Myopathic units (active and chronic) with some mixed large-size potentials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle biopsy</td>
<td>Perivascular, perimysial, and perifascicular inflammation; necrotic fibers in “wedge-like” infarcts; perifascicular atrophy; reduced capillaries†</td>
<td>CD8+ cells invading healthy fibers; widespread expression of MHC class I antigen; no vacuoles; ruling out of inflammatory dystrophies</td>
<td>Scattered necrotic fibers with macrophages; no CD8+ cells or vacuoles; deposits of complement on capillaries‡</td>
<td>CD8+ cells invading healthy fibers; widespread expression of MHC class I antigen; autophagic vacuoles,§ ragged-red or ragged-blue fibers; congophilic amyloid deposits¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoantibodies</td>
<td>Anti-MDA-5, anti-Mi-2; anti-TIF-1 and anti-NXP-2 (implicated in cancer-associated dermatomyositis)</td>
<td>Antisynthetase antibodies (often seen in overlap myositis) associated with interstitial lung disease, arthritis, fever, and “mechanic’s hands”</td>
<td>Anti-SRP and anti-HMGCR, specific for necrotizing autoimmune myositis</td>
<td>Anti-cN1A (of uncertain pathologic significance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
<td>May show active inflammation</td>
<td>May show active inflammation; could guide biopsy site</td>
<td>May show active inflammation; could guide biopsy site</td>
<td>Shows selective muscle involvement, but might be difficult to distinguish atrophy from chronic inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Drug-induced myopathies (e.g., penicillamine, statins, or antiretrovirals), inflammatory dystrophies (such as those due to mutations in the genes encoding dysferlin, calpain, or anoctamin; Becker’s muscular dystrophy; facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy; or myofibrillar myopathies), inclusion-body myositis, necrotizing autoimmune myositis, metabolic myopathies, and fasciitis or fibromyalgia need to be ruled out.

† Similar pathologic changes in the perifascicular, perimysial, and interfascicular areas (to a lesser degree of severity) can be seen in overlap myositis (without skin lesions) or the antisynthetase syndrome.

‡ Metabolic diseases presenting as myoglobinuria and toxic or drug-induced myopathies need to be ruled out.

§ In clinical inclusion-body myositis, when patients have the typical inclusion-body myositis phenotype, vacuoles are absent; such patients are erroneously thought to have polymyositis because of polymyositis-like inflammation; ragged-red fibers or cytochrome oxidase–negative fibers are frequently present and are helpful in diagnosis.

¶ TDP43 and p62 deposits, detected with the use of immunostaining, have been proposed as tissue biomarkers.
docrinopathy, or the clinical phenotype of inclusion-body myositis.\textsuperscript{13}

**Necrotizing Autoimmune Myositis**

Necrotizing autoimmune myositis is a distinct clinicopathologic entity that occurs more frequently than polymyositis, accounting for up to 19\% of all inflammatory myopathies.\textsuperscript{13} It can occur at any age but is seen primarily in adults; it starts either acutely, reaching its peak over a period of days or weeks, or subacutely, progressing steadily and causing severe weakness and very high creatine kinase levels.\textsuperscript{14,15} Necrotizing autoimmune myositis occurs alone or after viral infections, in association with cancer, in patients with connective-tissue disorders such as scleroderma, or in patients taking statins, in whom the myopathy continues to worsen after statin withdrawal (if the myopathy improves within 4 to 6 weeks after discontinuation of statins, it was probably caused by toxic effects of the drug rather than by immune myopathy).\textsuperscript{3,4,6,14-16} Most patients with necrotizing autoimmune myositis have antibodies against signal recognition particle (SRP) or against 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) (see the Glossary).\textsuperscript{14,16}

**Inclusion-Body Myositis**

Inclusion-body myositis is the most common and disabling inflammatory myopathy among persons 50 years of age or older.\textsuperscript{1,5,17-23} Its prevalence, which was initially estimated in the Netherlands as 4.9 cases per million population,\textsuperscript{19} is much higher when adjusted for age; in two later studies in Australia and the United States, the age-adjusted prevalence ranged from 51.3 to 70 cases per million.\textsuperscript{18} In a small chart-review study conducted in one U.S. county, the estimated incidence of inclusion-body myositis was 7.9 cases per million in the 1980s and 1990s.\textsuperscript{19} The disease starts insidiously and develops over a period of years, at times asymmetrically (i.e., it may start or be more severe in one extremity or on one side of the body), and progresses steadily, simulating a late-life muscular dystrophy or slowly progressive motor-neuron disease.\textsuperscript{1,5} Although inclusion-body myositis is commonly suspected when a patient’s presumed polymyositis does not respond to therapy,\textsuperscript{3} features that can lead to an early clinical diagnosis include the early involvement of distal muscles, especially foot extensors and finger flexors; atrophy of the forearms and quadriceps muscles; frequent falls due to quadriceps muscle weakness causing buckling of the knees; and mild facial-muscle weakness.\textsuperscript{15,20-23} The axial muscles may be affected, which results in camptocormia (bending forward of the spine) or head drop. Dysphagia occurs in more than 50\% of the patients.\textsuperscript{23}

**Diagnosis**

The diagnosis of the exact subtype of inflammatory myopathy is based on the combination of clinical history, tempo of disease progression, pattern of muscle involvement, muscle enzyme levels, electromyographic findings, muscle-biopsy analysis, and for some conditions, the presence of certain autoantibodies (Table 1). Typical skin changes, with or without muscle weakness, indicate dermatomyositis; a subacute onset of proximal myopathic weakness points to polymyositis or necrotizing autoimmune myositis; and slowly progressive proximal and distal weakness with selective atrophy points to inclusion-body myositis. Electromyography is diagnostically useful in all disease subtypes to rule out neurogenic conditions and assess disease activity. Serum creatine kinase is elevated in all subtypes, but very high levels from the outset point to necrotizing autoimmune myositis. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is helpful for diagnosis when muscle edema is present or myofasciitis is suspected, as well as for identification of the particular muscles affected by atrophy in inclusion-body myositis. Muscle biopsy is essential for the diagnosis of polymyositis, overlap myositis, necrotizing autoimmune myositis, and inclusion-body myositis, as well as for ruling out disease mimics such as dystrophies or metabolic or vacuolar myopathies. Assessment of autoantibodies is helpful for the diagnosis of necrotizing autoimmune myositis and for the classification of distinct subtypes and their associations with systemic organ involvement, such as interstitial lung disease.

Among muscle-derived enzymes in serum, the most sensitive indicator of inflammatory myopathy is creatine kinase, which is elevated in patients with active disease. The highest levels, up to more than 50 times the upper limit of normal, are seen in patients with necrotizing autoimmune myositis, and the lowest (less than 10 times the upper limit of normal) are seen in patients with inclusion-body myositis. Although serum levels
of creatine kinase usually parallel disease activity, they can be normal or only slightly elevated in patients with active dermatomyositis, overlap myositis, or active inclusion-body myositis. Along with creatine kinase, aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase levels are also elevated, a sign that is sometimes erroneously interpreted as indicating liver disease and that leads to an investigation with a liver biopsy instead of a muscle biopsy. Serum aldolase levels may be also elevated, especially if the fascia is involved.

Electromyography can show myopathic motor-unit potentials (short-duration, low-amplitude polyphasic units on voluntary activation) and increased spontaneous activity with fibrillations, complex repetitive discharges, and positive sharp waves. These findings are useful in determining whether the myopathy is active or chronic and in ruling out neurogenic disorders, but they cannot be used for differentiating inflammatory myopathies from toxic or dystrophic myopathies.1-5

Examination of muscle-biopsy samples reveals features distinct to each disease subtype, and although the results are not always typical or specific, it remains the most important diagnostic tool. Muscle biopsy is most useful when the biopsy site is properly chosen (i.e., in a muscle that does not have clinical signs of advanced or end-stage disease but is also not minimally affected), the specimen is processed at an experienced laboratory, and the findings are interpreted in the context of the clinical picture.1-3,24,25

In dermatomyositis, the inflammation is perivascular and is most prominently located in the interfascicular septae or the periphery of the fascicles. The muscle fibers undergo necrosis and phagocytosis — often in a portion of a muscle fasciculus or the periphery of the fascicle — owing to microinfarcts that lead to hypoperfusion and perifascicular atrophy.1-5 Perifascicular atrophy, which is characterized by layers of atrophic fibers at the periphery of the fascicles, often with perivascular and interfascicular infiltrates, is diagnostic of dermatomyositis (or of overlap myositis, when the skin changes are absent or transient).1-5,10,24,25 (Fig. 1A).

In polymyositis and inclusion-body myositis, the inflammation is perivascular and is most typically concentrated in multiple foci within the endomysium; it consists predominantly of CD8+ T cells invading healthy-appearing, nonnecrotic muscle fibers expressing major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I antigen (normal muscle fibers do not express this antigen) (Fig. 2A, 2C, and 2D). The finding of MHC expression and
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CD8+ T cells (termed the MHC–CD8 complex) is useful for confirming the diagnosis and for ruling out disorders with nonimmune inflammation, as seen in some muscular dystrophies.2,3,5,17,25

In necrotizing autoimmune myositis, there are abundant necrotic fibers invaded or surrounded by macrophages (Fig. 2E and 2F). Lymphocytic infiltrates are sparse, and MHC class I up-regu-
Necrotizing autoimmune myositis is often prominent beyond the necrotic fibers.\textsuperscript{3,4,6,14,25} Necrotizing autoimmune myositis is most often mediated by specific antibodies against SRP or HMGCR (see the Glossary), often with complement deposits on capillaries.\textsuperscript{15,16}

Inclusion-body myositis has all the inflammatory features of polymyositis, including the CD8–MHC complex, but in addition has chronic myopathic changes with increases in connective tissue and in the variability in fiber size, autophagic vacuoles that have walls lined internally with material that stains bluish-red with hematoxylin and eosin or modified Gomori trichrome (Fig. 2B), “ragged-red” or cytochrome oxidase–negative fibers representing abnormal mitochondria, and congophilic amyloid deposits next to the vacuoles, which are best visualized with crystal violet or fluorescent optics.\textsuperscript{3-5,20-23} Electron microscopy shows tubulofilaments 12 to 16 nm in diameter next to the vacuoles.\textsuperscript{26} In up to 30% of patients with the typical clinical inclusion-body myositis phenotype, vacuoles or amyloid deposits are not found in the muscle-biopsy sample and only inflammation is seen, which leads to an erroneous diagnosis of polymyositis.\textsuperscript{27} Such patients have “clinical inclusion-body myositis” diagnosed on the basis of clinicopathologic correlation.\textsuperscript{27,28} Data-driven criteria confirm that finger-flexor or quadriceps weakness, inflammation around nonnecrotic fibers with MHC class I expression, and cytochrome oxidase–negative fibers, even without vacuoles, are specific for the diagnosis of clinical inclusion-body myositis.\textsuperscript{27,28}

Autoantibodies directed against nuclear RNAs or cytoplasmic antigens are detected in up to 60% of patients with inflammatory myopathies,\textsuperscript{6,7,16,29} depending on the case series and the method of detection used. Although the pathogenic role of the antibodies is unclear, some appear to be specific for distinct clinical phenotypes and HLA-DR genotypes. These antibodies include those against aminocyl tRNA synthetases (ARSs), which are detected in 20 to 30% of patients.\textsuperscript{7,16} Among the eight different ARSs that have been identified, anti-Jo-1, the most widely commercially available antibody, accounts for 75% of all antisynthetases associated with the antisynthetase syndrome. This syndrome is characterized by myositis with prominent pathologic changes at the periphery of the fascicles and the perimysial connective tissue,\textsuperscript{10} interstitial lung disease, arthritis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, fever, and mechanic’s hands.\textsuperscript{7}

In one rare case, γδ T cells were found to recognize ARS, which provided the first pathogenic link between ARS and T-cell–mediated immunity.\textsuperscript{30}

Necrotizing autoimmune myositis–specific antibodies are directed against the translational transport protein SRP or against HMGCR, the pharmacologic target of statins,\textsuperscript{15,16} seen in 22% of persons with necrotizing autoimmune myositis, regardless of statin use, correlates
with creatine kinase levels and strength.\textsuperscript{31} Dermatomyositis-associated antibodies include anti-Mi-2, which is associated with the typical skin lesions; anti-MDA-5, which is associated primarily with amyopathic dermatomyositis or interstitial lung disease\textsuperscript{4,6,16}; and anti–transcriptional intermediary factor 1γ (anti-TIF-1γ) and anti–nuclear matrix protein 2 (anti-NXP-2), which are usually present in patients with cancer-associated adult dermatomyositis,\textsuperscript{29} although their presence is influenced by geographic, racial, and genetic factors. Anti–cytosolic 5′-nucleotidase 1A (anti-cN1A) is detected in 60 to 70% of patients with inclusion-body myositis,\textsuperscript{32,33} although the degree of sensi-
tivity and specificity varies according to the method of detection used, and indicates B-cell activation.

PATHOLOGIC MECHANISMS

IMMUNOPATHOLOGY

The causes of inflammatory myopathies are unknown, but an autoimmune pathogenesis is strongly implicated. In dermatomyositis, complement C5b-9 membranolytic attack complex is activated early (before the destruction of muscle fibers is evident) and deposited on the endothelial cells, leading to necrosis, reduction of the density of endomysial capillaries, ischemia, and muscle-fiber destruction resembling microinfarcts; the remaining capillaries have dilated lumens to compensate for the ischemia (Fig. 1A through 1D). The residual perifascicular atrophy reflects the endofascicular hypoperfusion, which is most prominent at the periphery of the fascicles, and is characteristic of polymyositis and inclusion-body myositis.37

Degenerative Component of Inclusion-Body Myositis

Inclusion-body myositis is a complex disorder because, in addition to the autoimmunity compo-
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Figure 3. Proposed Mechanisms in Inclusion-Body Myositis.

Shown is a hypothetical schematic diagram of the pathogenesis of inclusion-body myositis, highlighting the interaction between the long-standing chronic inflammatory process and degeneration, which leads to cell stress and deposits of β-amyloid precursor protein, amyloid-β42, and misfolded proteins similar to the ones seen in neuroinflammatory disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease. Therefore, inclusion-body myositis can be considered to be a peripheral model of neuroinflammation. The factors that trigger the disease are unclear, but viruses, muscle aging, protein misregulation (such as abnormal proteostasis), impaired autophagy, and HLA genotypes may play a role, either alone or in combination. Whether the primary event is inflammatory or degenerative is highly debated and remains unclear.

Intracellular toxicity, but it remains unclear how these proteinaceous aggregates, which are also seen in other vacuolar myopathies, induce an inflammatory and degenerative myopathy and what triggers disease, inflammation, or protein aggregation. In vitro evidence suggests that amyloid-β42 and its oligomers are involved in the pathway of intracellular toxicity, but it remains unclear how these proteinaceous aggregates, which are also seen in other vacuolar myopathies, induce an inflammatory and degenerative myopathy and what triggers disease, inflammation, or protein aggregation. Laser microdissection of T-cell–invaded fibers in comparison with noninvaded or vacuolated fibers has revealed differential up-regulation
of inflammatory signaling, such as interferon-γ–receptor signaling. Compelling evidence suggests that aging, abnormal proteostasis (the network controlling proteins), impaired autophagy, cell stress induced by MHC class I or nitric oxide, long-standing inflammation, and proinflammatory cytokines such as interferon-γ and interleukin-1β may cumulatively trigger or enhance degeneration, leading to further accumulation of stressor molecules and misfolded proteins (Fig. 3).

### Table 2. Treatment of Inflammatory Myopathies: A Step-by-Step Approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Treatment for Dermatomyositis, Polymyositis, and Necrotizing Autoimmune Myositis</th>
<th>Treatment for Inclusion-Body Myositis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiation of therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New-onset disease</td>
<td>Prednisone (1 mg per kilogram, up to 100 mg per day) for 4–6 weeks; taper to alternate days</td>
<td>Physical therapy; participation in research trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When weakness at onset is severe or rapidly worsening</td>
<td>Intravenous glucocorticoids (1000 mg per day) for 3 to 5 days, then switch to oral regimen</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For glucocorticoid sparing, if the patient’s condition responds to glucocorticoids</td>
<td>Azathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate, cyclosporine*</td>
<td>Not applicable†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If response to glucocorticoids is insufficient</td>
<td>Intravenous immune globulin (2 g per kilogram in divided doses over a period of 2 to 5 consecutive days)</td>
<td>Not applicable‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If response to glucocorticoids and intravenous immune globulin is insufficient</td>
<td>Reevaluate and reconsider diagnosis; initiate treatment with rituximab§ if diagnosis is reconfirmed, recommend participation in a research trial¶ if disease does not respond to rituximab</td>
<td>Participation in research trial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The use of these agents is based on experience but not on controlled studies. Azathioprine can be given at a dose of up to 3 mg per kilogram, methotrexate at a dose of up to 20 mg per week, mycophenolate at a dose of 2000 to 3000 mg per day, and cyclosporine at a dose of up to 300 mg daily. Intravenous cyclophosphamide (0.8 to 1 g per square meter of body surface area) and oral tacrolimus (4–8 mg per day) may help patients with interstitial lung disease.
† All glucocorticoid-sparing agents are ineffective, either alone or in combination.
‡ In some patients, the dysphagia responds to intravenous immune globulin.
§ Efficacy has not been established with a controlled study, but the evidence of efficacy is compelling.
¶ Candidate agents include eculizumab, alemtuzumab, tocilizumab (anti–interleukin-6), anti–interleukin-17, and anti–interleukin-1β.

Strategies for the treatment of the inflammatory myopathies are described in Table 2. Oral prednisone administered once daily after breakfast at a dose of 1 mg per kilogram of body weight, up to 100 mg per day, is the first-line drug for the treatment of dermatomyositis, polymyositis, and necrotizing autoimmune myositis; this choice of drug is based on experience but not on controlled trials. Some clinicians prefer to add an immunosuppressant agent from the outset. In patients with rapidly worsening disease, it is preferable to administer intravenous methylprednisolone at a dose of 1000 mg per day for 3 to 5 days before starting treatment with oral glucocorticoids. After 3 to 4 weeks, prednisone is tapered, as dictated by the response of the disease to therapy, preferably by a switch from a daily dose to doses on alternate days; however, if the objective signs of increased strength and ability to perform activities in daily living are absent at that time, tapering is accelerated so that treatment with a next agent can be started. A tactical error is the practice of “chasing” the creatine kinase level as a sign of response, especially in patients who report a sense of feeling better but not necessarily of feeling stronger. When the strength improves, the serum creatine kinase level drops, but a decrease in creatine kinase alone is not a sign of improvement.
In patients with dermatomyositis, topical glucocorticoids or calcineurin inhibitors and sunlight avoidance are recommended. When glucocorticoids fail to induce remission or in severe and rapidly progressive cases, intravenous immune globulin therapy (2 g per kilogram in divided doses over a period of 2 to 5 consecutive days) is appropriate. In a double-blind study, intravenous immune globulin was found to be effective in the treatment of refractory dermatomyositis; monthly infusions may be required to maintain remission. Subcutaneous immune globulin has appeared to be effective in the treatment of polymyositis and necrotizing autoimmune myositis. In patients with dermatomyositis, polymyositis, or necrotizing autoimmune myositis, cyclophosphamide or tacrolimus may be helpful. Glucocorticoids and intravenous immune globulin, the best option. For life-threatening dysphagia that is not responding to intravenous immune globulin, cricopharyngeal dilation or myotomy may be considered. As with all inflammatory myopathies, nonfatiguing resistance exercises and occupational and rehabilitation therapies are useful to improve ambulation, prevent falling, avoid disuse atrophy, and prevent joint contractures.

*Because of T-cell–mediated cytotoxic effects and the enhancement of amyloid-related protein aggregates by proinflammatory cytokines in patients with inclusion-body myositis, immunosuppressive agents have been tried as treatment for this disease subtype, but all have failed, probably because the disease starts long before patients seek medical advice, when the degenerative cascade is already advanced. Glucocorticoids, methotrexate, cyclosporine, azathioprine, and mycophenolate are ineffective, and although some patients may initially have mild subjective improvements when treated with one of these agents, no long-term benefit is achieved. Intravenous immune globulin has been found to be ineffective in controlled trials but may transiently help some patients, especially those with dysphagia. Alemtuzumab may provide short-term stabilization, but a controlled study is needed. Treatment with anakinra has also not been successful. Trials targeting muscle-inhibiting TGF-β molecules or muscle growth factors are in progress. Bimagrumab, an antibody that inhibits the signaling of a TGF-β superfamily receptor, was shown in a small-scale study to increase muscle volume after 8 weeks, which has prompted an ongoing controlled study (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01925209). A small, controlled, proof-of-concept study of arimoclomol (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00769860), an agent that up-regulates heat shock protein response and attenuates cell stress, has been completed; the drug had an acceptable adverse-event profile, but whether there were clinically meaningful benefits is still unclear.*
Although the life expectancy of patients with inclusion-body myositis is normal, most patients with end-stage disease require assistive devices such as a cane, walker, or wheelchair.23
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