

Department of Occupational Therapy Faculty Papers

Department of Occupational Therapy

5-1-2022

Evaluation of MealSense©: A Sensory Integration-Based Feeding Support Program for Parents.

Audrey Trewin Thomas Jefferson University

Zoe Mailloux Thomas Jefferson University

Roseann C Schaaf Thomas Jefferson University

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otfp Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Trewin, Audrey; Mailloux, Zoe; and Schaaf, Roseann C, "Evaluation of MealSense©: A Sensory Integration-Based Feeding Support Program for Parents." (2022). *Department of Occupational Therapy Faculty Papers.* Paper 86. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otfp/86

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Occupational Therapy Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Evaluation of MealSense©: A Sensory Integration Based

Feeding Support Program for Parents

Audrey Trewin, OTD, OTR/L

Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

audreytrewin@gmail.com

Zoe Mailloux, OTD, OTR/L, FAOTA,

Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Occupational Therapy,

College of Rehabilitation Sciences,

Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Roseann C. Schaaf, PhD., OTR/L, FAOTA

Director, Jefferson Autism Center of Excellence

Professor, Department of Occupational Therapy,

Faculty, Farber Institute for Neurosciences Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Abstract

1	Importance: Children with autism often experience feeding challenges related to difficulties in			
2	sensory integration.			
3	Objective: Evaluate the content, acceptability, and usefulness of MealSense©, an online parent			
4	education program for children with autism who have feeding challenges related to poor sensory			
5	integration.			
6	Design: A descriptive study in which experts reviewed and rated MealSense© content for			
7	consistency with Ayres Sensory Integration® principles and evidence-based practices in feeding.			
8	Participants: A convenience sample of expert reviewers $(n = 5)$ and parents of children with			
9	autism and feeding challenges $(n = 5)$.			
10	Results: Expert ratings $(n = 5)$ met criteria, showing that MealSense© is consistent with Ayres			
11	Sensory Integration [®] and evidence-based practices in feeding. Parent ratings $(n = 5)$ met criteria			
12	showing that MealSense [©] is acceptable and useful.			
13	Conclusion and Relevance: MealSense© shows acceptability and usefulness for parents of			
14	children with autism is consistent with Ayres Sensory Integration® and evidence-based practices			
15	in feeding.			
16	What this Article Adds: This article provides preliminary support for MealSense© as an			
17	evidence-based tool to supplement direct intervention for children with autism and feeding			
18	difficulties. Further research is needed to determine the efficacy of MealSense© for improving			
19	the transfer of feeding skills into the home environment.			
20				
21				
22				

23	Feeding is a fundamental occupation, needed for optimal health and an area frequently		
24	addressed by pediatric occupational therapists. Feeding difficulties, such as selective eating,		
25	negative mealtime behaviors, food refusal and reduced acceptance of textured foods (Cermak e		
26	al., 2010; Kral et al., 2013; Kuschner et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2014; Nadon et al., 2011;		
27	Provost et al., 2010; Zimmer et al., 2011), are prevalent in 48 to 89% of children with autism		
28	spectrum disorder (ASD), limiting successful participation in the essential daily occupation of		
29	eating and impacting quality of life for many children and families (Ledford & Gast, 2006).		
30	Parents of children with ASD report high stress levels and identify eating as one of the most		
31	frustrating occupations for their child (DeMyer, 1979; Hayes & Watson, 2013).		
32	Sensory integration is defined as "the neurological process that organizes sensations from		
33	one's body and from the environment and makes it possible to use the body effectively in the		
34	environment" (Ayres, 1989). Multiple studies have shown a correlation between feeding		
35	challenges and sensory integration difficulties for children with ASD (Ausdereau et al., 2018;		
36	Cermak et al., 2010; Nadon et al., 2011; Schreck et al., 2004; Suarez et al., 2012). For example,		
37	sensory sensitivity may be a factor in food selectivity for children with ASD, particularly tactile		
38	sensitivity (Cermak et al., 2010; Nadon et al., 2011; Schreck et al., 2004; Suarez et al., 2012).		
39	Thus, addressing the underlying sensory integration challenges related to feeding may lead to		
40	improved mealtime behaviors.		
41	Parent education, which refers to programs that are designed to teach parents skills or		

provide them with information (Schultz et al., 2011), is an evidence-based component of feeding
intervention for children with ASD (Adamson & Morawska, 2013; Howe & Wang, 2013). Parent
education can provide natural learning opportunities in the home that extend intervention beyond

45 the clinic environment (Steiner et al., 2012). Parent implemented intervention is also cost-

46 effective and can increase the rate of progress in therapy (Steiner et al., 2012).

Recent interest in online strategies and telehealth intervention for individuals with ASD 47 has been successful in meeting specific educational concerns of parents (Kobak et al., 2011; 48 Vismara et al., 2013.) Web-based parent education programs may address the identified barriers 49 for parents to attend educational programs outside of the home, such as travel time, cost, and 50 childcare as well as the additional time needed to schedule in real-time and costly equipment 51 required (Heitzman-Powell et al., 2013; Vismara et al., 2013). Furthermore, parent education 52 53 delivered virtually may be useful for times when in-person intervention is not possible, as seen during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. 54

To address the need for an online program that educates parents of children with ASD about the impact of sensory integration challenges on feeding and that provides a means of carryover of feeding skills from the therapeutic environment into the home, we developed MealSense©, a web-based, parent education program.

59

MealSense© Program Development

MealSense© is a self-paced, online educational program for parents of children with 60 61 ASD who have feeding difficulties related to challenges in sensory integration. The MealSense© program was developed by the authors, utilizing current evidence in ASI and feeding, alongside 62 feedback from experts in sensory integration, feeding, knowledge translation, and online 63 instruction. While primarily a sensory-based feeding program, MealSense© acknowledges that 64 feeding is a multifaceted occupation that may be impacted by factors related to motor, behavior, 65 and social functioning. Designed as a supplement to direct intervention, MealSense© targets the 66 transfer of feeding skills from the clinic into the home environment through incorporation of 67

knowledge translation (KT) strategies and emphasizes education about sensory integration
factors that may contribute to feeding difficulties. A detailed outline of MealSense© content is
described in Table 1.

ASI is an evidence-based practice for children with autism that is frequently requested and utilized (Schoen et al., 2019). Given the correlation between feeding challenges and sensory integration difficulties principles from ASI (Ayres, 1979; Schaaf & Mailloux, 2015) were a key perspective included in the MealSense© program. MealSense© includes sensory-rich experiences, encouraging active engagement of the child, and offering activities at the just-right challenge (Parham, et al., 2011).

The Knowledge to Action (KTA) framework (Field et al., 2014) and knowledge 77 translation strategies were also included in the MealSense© program. KTA is a complex and 78 dynamic process of knowledge creation and application that facilitates knowledge use by guiding 79 80 the translation of knowledge into sustainable and evidence-based interventions (Field et al., 2014). KTA strategies utilized in MealSense© included adding parent reflections, tips for 81 completing the modules, and modification of parent worksheets to allow monitoring of progress. 82 The purpose of this project was to answer the following research questions: 1) Do expert 83 84 reviewers consider MealSense[©] consistent with principles of Ayres Sensory Integration[®] (ASI)? 2) Do expert reviewers view MealSense[©] as adhering to best practices in feeding? and 3) Do 85 parents of children with ASD and feeding difficulties rate MealSense[©] as acceptable and useful? 86 Methods 87 In this descriptive study, we distributed electronic surveys (Qualtrics) and a MealSense© 88

program link to expert reviewers and parents of children with ASD and feeding difficulties toobtain feedback about content, acceptability, and usefulness.

91 **Participants**

92	Expert reviewers (n=5), recruited via convenience sampling by emailing local pediatric		
93	clinicians who had experience in both sensory integration and feeding, were pediatric		
94	occupational therapists who met the following inclusion criteria: 1) a minimum of 4 years of		
95	clinical experience in occupational therapy, 2) advanced training and education (certification		
96	sensory integration, 3) a minimum of three years of experience working with children who have		
97	feeding difficulties, and 4) a minimum of three pediatric feeding continuing education courses		
98	Parent participants (n=5) were a convenience sampling from the first author's place of		
99	employment, a large therapy clinic devoted to treatment of children with developmental and		
100	learning disorders. Eligibility criteria included: being fluent in English and having a child		
101	between two to eight years of age with a diagnosis of ASD (no other medical diagnosis) and w		
102	had at least one feeding goal related to sensory integration difficulties on a current treatment		
103	plan.		
104	Five parents of children with ASD reviewed the program. Mothers comprised 80% of the		
105	sample and fathers were 20%. They ranged in age from 35-39 years (80%) and over 45 years		

106 (20%), 40% were white/Caucasian, 40% Hispanic, and 20% Asian. Eighty percent of

107 participants reported having two dependents (80%) and 20% having more than 5 dependents in

- the home. Twenty percent completed high school, 60% college, and 20% graduate school.
- 109 Data Collection

This study was by the Thomas Jefferson Institutional Review Board and determined to beexempt from review.

112 Instruments

113	Program-specific surveys were developed and reviewed by a survey expert, for clarity and to		
114	ensure that the items were clear and relevant to the research area. Survey questions used Likert		
115	scoring, with 4=strongly agree, 3=agree, 2=disagree, and 1=strongly disagree and were		
116	distributed via Qualtrics. The expert survey was designed to evaluate program consistency with		
117	ASI (12 questions) and best practices in feeding (7 questions). The parent survey was designed to		
118	evaluate program acceptability, defined as adherence, reasonable time to complete, usability,		
119	clarity, and satisfaction and program usefulness (applicability and transferability) (Cooper et al.,		
120	2007; Kuschner et al., 2017; Burchett et al., 2013). We also emailed a program link to parents for		
121	access to MealSense© content and an anonymous survey link (13 questions) to evaluate		
122	acceptability and usefulness of MealSense©. A table of revisions was developed to summarize		
123	expert and parent feedback and identify revisions.		
124	Data Analysis		
125	Data were analyzed by determining the mean score for each question. Since responses of 3.0		
126	and 4.0 indicated agreement, a mean score of 3.0 or above was considered acceptable.		
127	Results		
128	Findings from expert review of content for consistency with ASI		
129	Results from expert reviewers (n=5) indicated a mean score of 3.0 or above on each of		
130	the 12 questions related to adherence to ASI principles, indicating that MealSense© content was		
131	consistent with the principles of ASI. As shown in Figure 1, experts indicated that MealSense©		
132	content addresses sensory exploration during mealtime (mean score 4.0), provides mealtime		
133	tasks at the "just-right" level for the child (mean score 4.0), factors the child's interests into		
134	mealtime-related experiences (mean 4.0), addresses mealtime factors related to posture (mean		
135	score 3.8), encourages parent-child collaboration during mealtime (mean score 3.8), and provides		

136 strategies that support the child's ability to be successful during mealtime (mean score 3.8).

- 137 Survey items with the lowest mean score (3.0), but which still met criteria were related to
- 138 MealSense® addressing ocular skills and bilateral motor control.

139 Findings from expert review of content for inclusion of best practices in feeding

Results from expert reviewers indicated a mean score of 3.0 or above on each of the 7 140 questions related to best practices in feeding. As shown in Figure 2, the highest ratings were as 141 follows: the modules teach feeding as a multifaceted occupation (mean score 4.0), parent 142 education is used to support the child's feeding (mean score 4.0), and environmental barriers to 143 144 mealtime participation are considered (mean score 3.8). Survey items with the lowest mean score, but which still met criteria included that MealSense© incorporates behavioral strategies as 145 appropriate (3.4), addresses acquisition of feeding in a sequential way (3.4), and directs parents 146 to consider environmental strengths (3.4). 147

148 Findings from parent review of acceptability and usefulness

Results from parent participants (n=5) indicated a mean score of 3.0 or above on each 149 question. As shown in Figure 3, the highest survey responses were that the modules could be 150 completed in a reasonable amount of time (mean score 4.0), information is presented in a logical 151 152 way (mean score 4.0) and modules were easy to understand (mean score 4.0). High survey responses were also obtained on the following questions: each module was easy to navigate 153 (mean score 3.8), entire website is easy to navigate (mean score 3.8), satisfaction with 154 155 information (mean score 3.8), I would recommend the program (mean score 3.8), and information applies to feeding needs (mean score 3.8). The survey item with the lowest mean 156 score (3.0), but which still met criteria included that MealSense© parent activities were 157 perceived to be completed in a reasonable amount of time. 158

159

Discussion

Findings from this study suggest that MealSense©, demonstrates consistency with ASI and best practices in feeding and that parents perceive it as acceptable and useful. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence-based, online parent feeding support program for children with ASD that emphasize education about the sensory integration factors that can contribute to feeding difficulties.

Feeding is an important occupation that contributes to a child's growth and development 165 (American Occupational Therapy Association [AOTA], 2017) and successful mealtime 166 167 participation can enhance a family's quality of life (Ausderau et al., 2019; Henton, 2018; Meral & Fidan, 2015). Family-centered feeding interventions that address feeding and mealtime 168 behaviors for children with ASD, such as for the MealSense[©] program presented here, are 169 170 needed (Henton, 2018) and findings from this study lend support for the MealSense[©] program. As a parent education program, Mealsense[©] is not intended to provide direct intervention 171 which adheres to principles of ASI as designated by ASI Fidelity Measure (Parham et al., 2007). 172 However, the findings of this study suggest that ASI principles were readily operationalized into 173 the MealSense[©] program, showing that these principles may be utilized outside of the traditional 174 175 direct intervention, in an online parent education program.

One important aspect of the MealSense© program is that it is an online parent education tool that supports the occupation of feeding in the home environment. Implementation of virtual interventions can become unexpectedly important, as was the case during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. Since families were not able to participate in face-to-face intervention during that time, the necessity for innovative program delivery models in a virtual environment were even more salient (Jang et al., 2012; Steiner et al., 2012).

Potential benefits of implementing a program such as MealSense© in this format is its cost-effectiveness and accessibility. Challenges may include the lack of ability to answer questions for parents in real time and parent completion of modules in a timely manner. Utilizing MealSense as a supplement to direct intervention may help address these potential downsides of the program.

187 Descriptive feedback and quantitative data from expert reviewers identified principles of 188 ASI that may benefit from further development, including addressing oral motor skills and 189 bilateral motor control. Similarly, best practices in feeding that may benefit from further 190 emphasis include addressing behavioral strategies, sequential development of feeding skills, and 191 considering environmental strengths. Future iterations of MealSense© may benefit from more 192 emphasis on these specific areas.

193 Limitations

Although the MealSense© program showed strong adherence to Ayres Sensory Integration® and best practices in feeding, more research is needed to evaluate the efficacy of MealSense©. Next steps will include pilot testing with parents to provide initial data about the efficacy of MealSense© related to changing feeding behaviors and participation in mealtime in the home setting.

Limitations of this study include that both participant groups were convenience samples,
which may limit our ability to generalize findings. In addition, the sample size was small (n=5)
for both experts and parents, and response bias may have influenced reviewer feedback.

202 Implications for Occupational Therapy

203 The results of the study have the following implications for occupational therapy practice:

204	• KT strategies may facilitate the usefulness of parent education programs related to		
205	sensory integration and feeding.		
206	• MealSense© provides an example of an online educational tool for parents of		
207	children with ASD and may be especially useful for times when in-person visits are		
208	not possible.		
209	Conclusion		
210	There is a need for parent education feeding programs for children with ASD that address		
211	the sensory integration factors that can impact feeding behaviors and that facilitate the transfer of		
212	skills into the home. This study provides preliminary support for MealSense©, a web-based		
213	program designed to educate parents about their child's sensory integration related to addressing		
214	feeding needs for children with ASD and for supporting the carryover of skills into home.		
215	Acknowledgments		
216	We thank Dr. Isabelle Beaudry Bellefeuille for reviewing the first iteration of		
217	MealSense© and providing feedback related to feeding content. We would also like to thank Dr.		
218	Amy Carroll, for contributions in knowledge translation, and Dr. Mary Cohen, for feedback		
219	related to online instruction.		
220			
221			
222			
223			
224			
225			

226

227	References
228	Adamson, M., Morawska, A., & Sanders, M. R. (2013). Childhood feeding difficulties: A
229	randomized controlled trial of a group-based parenting intervention. Journal of
230	Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 34(5), 293-302.
231	https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e3182961a38
232	American Occupational Therapy Association. (2017). The practice of occupational therapy in
233	feeding, eating, and swallowing. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 71(Suppl.
234	2), 7112410015. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2017.716S04
235	Ausderau, K. K., St. John, B., Kwaterski, K. N., Nieuwenhuis, B., & Bradley, E. (2019). Parents'
236	strategies to support mealtime participation of their children with autism spectrum
237	disorder. American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 73(1), 1-9.
238	https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2019.024612
239	Ayres, A. J. (1979). Sensory Integration and the Child. Los Angeles: Western
240	Psychological Services.
241	Ayres, A. J. (1989). The sensory integration and praxis tests. Los Angeles: Western
242	Psychological Services.
243	Burchett, H. E. D., Dobrow, M. J., Lavis, J. N., & Mayhew, S. H. (2013). The applicability and
244	transferability of public health research from one setting to another: A survey of maternal
245	health researchers. Global Health Promotion, 20(1), 16-24.

- 246 https://doi.org/10.1177/1757975913476904
- 247 Cermak, S. A., Curtin, C., & Bandini, L. G. (2010). Food selectivity and sensory
- sensitivity in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of the American Dietetic*
- Association, 110, 238-246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2009.10.032
- 250 Cooper, M., Colwell, C., & Jelfs, A. (2007). Embedding accessibility and usability:
- Considerations for e-learning research and development projects. *Research in Learning Technology*, *15*(3), 231-245. https://doi.org/10.1080/09687760701673659
- 253 DeMyer, M. K. (1979). *Parents and children in autism*. Washington DC: V.H. Winston & Sons.
- Field, B., Booth, A., Ilott, I., & Gerrish, K. (2014). Using the Knowledge to Action framework in
- 255 practice: A citation analysis and systematic review. *Implementation Science*, *9*(172), 1-
- 256 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-014-0172-2
- Hayes, S. A. & Watson, S. L., (2013). The impact of parenting stress: A meta-analysis of studies
- comparing the experience of parenting stress in parents of children with and without
- autism spectrum disorder. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 43(3), 629-
- 260 642. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1604-y
- 261 Heitzman-Powell, L. S., Buzhardt, J., Rusinko, L. C., & Miller, T. M. (2013). Formative
- evaluation of an ABA outreach training program for parents of children with autism in
- remote areas. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 29(1), 23–38.
- 264 https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357613504992
- Henton, P. A., (2018). A call to reexamine quality of life through relationship-based
- 266 feeding. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 72(3), 1-7.

- 267 https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2018.025650
- Howe, T.-H. & Wang, T.-N. (2013). Systematic review of interventions used in or relevant to
- 269 occupational therapy for children with feeding difficulties ages birth–5 years. *American*
- *Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(4), 405–412.
- 271 http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2013.004564
- Jang, J., Dixon, D. R., Tarbox, J., Granpeesheh, D., Kornack, J., & de Nocker, Y. (2012).
- 273 Randomized trial of an eLearning program for training family members of children with
- autism in the principles and procedures of applied behavior analysis. *Research in Autism*

275 *Spectrum Disorders*, *6*, 852-856. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.11.004

- 276 Kobak, K. A., Stone, W. L., Wallace, E., Warren, Z., Swanson, A., & Robson, K. (2011). A web-
- based tutorial for parents of young children with autism: Results from a pilot
- 278 study. Telemedicine Journal and E-Health: The Official Journal of the American
- 279 *Telemedicine Association*, *17*(10), 804-808. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2011.0060
- 280 Kral, T. V. E., Eriksen, W. T., Souders, M. C., & Pinto-Martin, J. A. (2013). Eating behaviors,
- diet quality, and gastrointestinal symptoms in children with autism spectrum disorders: A
- brief review. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 28(6), 548–556.
- 283 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2013.01.008
- Kuschner, E. S., Morton, H. E., Maddox, B. B., de Marchena, A., Anthony, L. G., & Reaven, J.

285	(2017). The BUFFET Program: Development of a cognitive behavioral treatment for		
286	selective eating in youth with autism spectrum disorder. Clinical Children Family		
287	Psychological Review, 20, 403-421. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-017-0236-3		
288	Ledford, J. R. & Gast, D. L. (2006). Feeding problems in children with autism spectrum		
289	disorders: A review. Focus on autism and other developmental disabilities, 21(3), 153-		
290	166. https://doi.org/10.1177/10883576060210030401		
291	Marshall, J., Hill, R. J., Ziviani, J., & Dodrill, P. (2014). Features of feeding difficulty in children		
292	with autism spectrum disorder. International Journal of Speech-Language		
293	Pathology, 16(2), 151–158. https://doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2013.808700		
294	Meral, B. F. & Fidan, A. (2015). Measuring the impact of feeding covariates on health-related		
295	quality of life in children with autism spectrum disorder. Research in Autism Spectrum		
296	Disrders, 10, 124-130. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2014.11.009		
297	Nadon, G., Feldman, D. E., Dunn, W., & Gisel, E. (2011). Mealtime problems in children with		
298	autism spectrum disorder and their typically developing siblings: A comparison study.		
299	Autism, 15(1), 98-113. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361309348943		
300	Parham, L. D., Cohn, E. S., Spitzer, S., Koomar, J. A., Miller, L. J., Burke,Summers,		
301	C. A., (2007). Fidelity in sensory integration intervention research. American Journal of		
302	Occupational Therapy, 61, 216-227. http://dx.doi.org/10.5014/ajot.61.2.216		

303	Parham, L. D., Roley, S. S., May-Benson, T. A., Koomar, J., Brett-Green, B., Burke, J. P.,		
304	Schaaf, R. C. (2011). Development of a fidelity measure for research on the effectiveness		
305	of the Ayres Sensory Integration® intervention. American Journal of Occupational		
306	Therapy, 65(2), 133-142. http://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2011.000745		
307	Provost, B., Crowe, T. K. Osbourn, P. L., McClain, C., & Skipper, B. J. (2010). Mealtime		
308	behaviors of preschool children: Comparison of children with autism spectrum disorder		
309	and children with typical development. Physical and Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics,		
310	30(3), 220-233. https://doi.org/10.3109/01942631003757669		
311	Schaaf, R. C. & Mailloux, Z. (2015). Clinician's guide for implementing Ayres Sensory		
312	Integration®: Promoting participation for children with autism. AOTA: Bethesda, MD.		
313	Schoen, S. A., Lane, S. J., Mailloux, Z., May-Benson, T., Parham, L. D., Smith Roley, S., &		
314	Schaaf, R. C. (2019). A systematic review of Ayres Sensory Integration intervention for		
315	children with autism. Autism research: Official Journal of the International Society for		
316	Autism Research, 12(1), 6-19. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2046		
317	Schultz, T. R., Schmidt, C. T., & Stichter, J. P. (2011). A review of parent education programs		
318	for parents of children with autism spectrum disorders. Focus on Autism and Other		
319	Developmental Disabilities, 26, 96-104. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357610397346		
320	Schreck, K. A., Williams, K., & Smith, A. F. (2004). A comparison of eating behaviors between		
321	children with and without autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders,		
322	34(4), 433-438. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JADD.0000037419.78531.86		

323	Shmaya, Y., Eilat-Adar, S., Leitner, Y., Reif, S., & Gabis, L. V. (2017). Meal time behavior		
324	difficulties but not nutritional deficiencies correlate with sensory processing in children		
325	with autism spectrum disorder. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 66, 27-33.		
326	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.05.004		
327	Steiner, A. M., Koegel, L. K., Koegel, R. L., & Ence, W. A. (2012). Issues and theoretical		
328	constructs regarding parent education for autism spectrum disorders. Journal of Autism		
329	and Developmental Disorders, 42(6), 1218-1227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-		
330	1194-0		
331	Suarez, M. A., Nelson, N. W., & Curtis, A. B. (2012). Associations of physiological factors, age,		
332	and sensory over-responsivity with food selectivity in children with autism spectrum		
333	disorder. Open Journal of Occupational Therapy, 1, 2.		
334	https://doi.org/10.15453/2168-6408.1004		
335	Thompson-Hodgetts, S. & Magill-Evans, J. (2018). Sensory based approaches in intervention for		
336	children with autism spectrum disorder: Influences on occupational therapists'		
337	recommendations and perceived benefits. American Journal of Occupational Therapy,		
338	72(3), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2018.024729		
339	Vismara, L. A., McCormick, C., Young, G. S., Nadhan, A., & Monlux, K. (2013). Preliminary		
340	findings of a telehealth approach to parent training in autism. Journal of Autism and		

341	Developmental Disorders, 43, 2953–2969. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1841-8
342	Zimmer, M. H., Hart, L. C., Manning-Courtney, P., Murray, D. S., Bing, N. M., & Summer, S.
343	(2012). Food variety as a predictor of nutritional status among children with
344	autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 42(4), 549–
345	556. Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1268-z
346	
347	
348	
349	
350	
351	
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	
357	
358	
359	
360	

- Figure 1 Expert data related to consistency with ASI. Likert scoring with strongly agree = 4,
- agree = 3, disagree = 2, and strongly disagree = 1.
- *Note*. *n=3.
- Figure 2 Expert data related to best practices in feeding. Likert scoring with strongly agree = 4,
- agree = 3, disagree = 2, and strongly disagree = 1.
- 366 Figure 3 Parent data related to acceptability and usefulness. Likert scoring with strongly agree =
- 367 4, agree = 3, disagree = 2, and strongly disagree = 1.

384 Table 1

Module Page	Content	Parent Activity
Welcome Page and	Program format	N/A
Video	PowerPoint video	
	Knowledge checks	
	Parent observation/activity	
	Guiding principles	
Introduction	ASD and feeding	MealSense© Initial
	Common ASD characteristics	Reflection
	Common health problems	MealSense© Goal List
What is Feeding	Defining feeding terms	MealSense© Observation
-	Complexity of feeding	Log
	Primary priorities of feeding	-
Sensory Systems and	What is ASI	MealSense© Sensory
Feeding	Sensory systems and impact on feeding	Systems Log
-	Tactile system	
	Proprioceptive system	
	Vestibular system	
	Visual system	
	Auditory system	
	Gustatory system	
	Olfactory system	
	Interoception	
The Mealtime	General mealtime strategies	MealSense©
Environment	Develop mealtime routines	Environment Action Plan
	Optimal mealtime seating	
	Creating a calm environment and	
	supporting attention	
Parent and Child	Setting realistic mealtime expectations	MealSense©
Mealtime Interaction	Building upon child's strengths and	Communication
	interests	Checklist
	Reading your child's cues	
	Making mealtime positive	
Play and the Just-Right	What is play	Play Exploration and the
Challenge with Food	Elements of play	Just-Right Challenge Log
Exploration	Play language	
	Play and feeding	
	The just-right challenge	
	Exploring foods	

Table 1 MealSense© Content Outline

385

386