

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Occupational Therapy Posters and Presentations

Department of Occupational Therapy

8-23-2022

Refinement & Preliminary Examination of a Fidelity Assessment for the Spinal Cord Injury – Movement Index (SCI-MI)

Nicole Gerhardt, OTD(C), OTR/L Thomas Jefferson University

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otpresentations

Part of the Occupational Therapy Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Gerhardt, OTD(C), OTR/L, Nicole, "Refinement & Preliminary Examination of a Fidelity Assessment for the Spinal Cord Injury -- Movement Index (SCI-MI)" (2022). *Department of Occupational Therapy Posters and Presentations*. Paper 83.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otpresentations/83

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Occupational Therapy Posters and Presentations by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Title of the Doctoral Presentation: Refinement & Preliminary Examination of a Fidelity Assessment for the Spinal Cord Injury Movement Index

Date of Doctoral Presentation: 8/23/2022

Authors: Nicole M. Gerhardt¹, OTD(C), MS, OTR/L, CBIS and MJ Mulcahey¹, PhD, OTR/L

Affiliation(s): ¹ Thomas Jefferson University, Jefferson College of Rehabilitation Sciences, Center for Outcomes and Measurement

Corresponding Author Name and Email: Nicole Gerhardt, nicole.gerhardt@jefferson.edu

Abstract:

Introduction: Assessment fidelity refers to the adherence to intended procedures and guidelines when administering an assessment (Mowbray et al., 2003; Walton et al., 2020). Clinical outcome assessments (COAs) play a crucial role in the assessment of treatment effects in clinical trials. However, there is a scarcity of fidelity assessments for COAs, which has the potential to impact the accurate evaluation of treatment effects (Richardson et al., 2016). The Spinal Cord Injury Movement Index (SCI-MI) is a performance-based COA being developed with the intent to be used in spinal cord injury (SCI) clinical trials. As the stakes in SCI clinical trials are high, the SCI-MI fidelity assessment was developed to facilitate the training and the evaluation of therapists when administering the SCI-MI. The purpose of this project was to describe the development and refinement of the SCI-MI fidelity assessment and evaluate its reliability and usability.

Objectives: The objectives of this project were to: 1) refine the SCI-MI fidelity assessment; 2) establish rater agreement and inter-rater reliability; 3) establish usability

Methods: A mixed methods approach was used for this study. To refine the SCI-MI fidelity assessment, the set-up and administration fidelity criteria were exposed to the modified Delphi process with a convenience sample (n=3) using a Qualtrics survey which was open for 1 week with 1 email reminder. Results were then analyzed and revisions to the fidelity assessment were made, followed by a subsequent survey round. This process continued iteratively until 100% agreement was achieved that every criteria on the fidelity assessment was relevant, clear, specific, and in alignment with the response scale; that the fidelity assessment as a whole was comprehensive; and the rating system and instructions were clear. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate percent agreement. To establish rater agreement, inter-rater reliability, and usability, a random sampling of 21 video-recorded sessions were reviewed by 3 trained fidelity raters. Raters were blinded to each other's assessments and data were entered by an independent research assistant. Coefficient of agreement (target of ≥ 80%) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC; target > 0.90) were calculated. Two fidelity raters

completed a 1 question usability question using a 6-point Likert scale. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the frequency distributions and percentages for each response option (target: \geq 80% agreement [strongly agree, agree]).

Results: Four rounds of the Delphi process were required to achieve 100% consensus. The total absolute agreement for the fidelity criteria was 78.93%, and 6 of the 13 individual criteria had agreement of >80%. The intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.617 (moderate) for the set-up subscale and 0.312 (poor) for the administration subscale. The fidelity raters agreed/strongly agreed the fidelity assessment was usable for 57.1% of sessions.

Conclusion: The quantitative and qualitative data from this study will be used to modify the SCI-MI set-up and administration guidelines and fidelity assessment.

References:

- Mowbray, C. T., Holter, M. C., Teague, G. B., & Bybee, D. (2003). Fidelity criteria: Development, measurement, and validation. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 24(3), 315-340.
- Richardson, J. D., Hudspeth Dalton, S. G., Shafer, J., & Patterson, J. (2016). Assessment fidelity in aphasia research. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 25,* S788-S797. DOI: 10.1044/2016 AJSLP-15-0146
- Walton, H., Spector, A., Williamson, M., Tombor, I., & Michie, S. (2020). Developing quality fidelity and engagement measures for complex health interventions. *British Journal of Health Psychology, 25*(1), 39–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12394

Synopsis: It is important that assessments tools are implemented as intended so that they can accurately measure the effects of treatments, including therapy interventions, and the results from assessments provide a true picture of the client. This is known as assessment fidelity. The Spinal Cord Injury Movement Index (SCI-MI) is an assessment being developed for which assessment fidelity needed to be studied. A multi-step process was used to accomplish this. This process helped to identify revisions to the SCI-MI fidelity assessment and ways the SCI-MI manual and administration guidelines needed to be revised.

Acknowledgments: Thank you to Dr. Rachel Kim, Maclain Capron, Dr. Namrata Grampurohit, and Dr. Daniel Graves for your contributions throughout this project. Thank you to the Craig H. Neilsen Foundation (597640) for the funding to complete this project, and the raters and study participants who completed the reliability testing sessions, as well as the capstone students and graduate research assistants who assisted with the testing sessions and video recordings.

Keywords: clinical outcome assessment, fidelity, spinal cord injury

Presentation completed in partial fulfillment of a Post Professional Occupational Therapy Doctorate degree at Thomas Jefferson University for Nicole Gerhardt.