

7-17-2015

Arthroscopic transosseous rotator cuff repair: A prospective study on cost savings, surgical time, and outcomes

Luke S. Austin
Rothman Institute

Eric M. Black

Nicholas J. Lombardi
Rothman Institute

Matthew D. Pepe
Rothman Institute

Mark D. Lazarus
Rothman Institute

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman_institute



Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Austin, Luke S.; Black, Eric M.; Lombardi, Nicholas J.; Pepe, Matthew D.; and Lazarus, Mark D., "Arthroscopic transosseous rotator cuff repair: A prospective study on cost savings, surgical time, and outcomes" (2015). *Rothman Institute Faculty Papers*. Paper 75.
https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rothman_institute/75

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Rothman Institute Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Arthroscopic Transosseous Rotator Cuff Repair: A Prospective Study on Cost Savings, Surgical Time, and Outcomes

Luke Austin, MD¹, Eric M. Black, MD², Nick J. Lombardi³, Matthew D. Pepe, MD⁴, Mark Lazarus, MD⁵

¹Rothman Institute, Egg Harbor Twp, NJ, USA, ²Philadelphia, PA, USA, ³Rothman Institute, Egg Harbor Township, NJ, USA, ⁴Rothman Institute, Philadelphia, PA, USA, ⁵Thomas Jefferson University / Rothman Institute, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Objectives: Health expenditures in the United States are outpacing national income, and affordability has become a major policy issue. Over 500,000 rotator cuff repairs (RCR) are performed annually in the United States making RCR a potential source of cost savings. Arthroscopic trans-osseous equivalent (TOE) repair using a double row of anchors has shown superior biomechanical strength compared to other techniques, but at a higher cost. The arthroscopic transosseous (TO) repair is a novel technique allowing arthroscopic rotator cuff repair to be performed without suture anchors. Arthroscopic TO repair may be a means to provide similarly excellent patient outcomes while lowering the cost of care. The primary purpose is to compare the price differential and time of surgery for an arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using anchorless TO repair versus an anchor trans-osseous equivalent (TOE) repair. A secondary purpose of the study was to evaluate outcomes at 6 months postoperatively.

Methods: A prospective, case-controlled study evaluating arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using two techniques was performed. The study group consisting of 21 patients undergoing TO repair was compared to a control group consisting of 22 patients undergoing TOE repair. The groups were controlled for size of tear, biceps treatment, acromioplasty, distal clavicle excision, and labral pathology. The primary outcome measures were surgical time as well as total cost of implants and equipment for each surgery, determined by an independent third party, Atlanticare Hospital. Secondary outcomes were changes in the SST, VAS, and SANE scores.

Results: Mean total surgical implant/equipment cost per procedure for TOE repair was \$2348.03 (SD 490.30) and for TO repair was \$1204.97 (SD 330.69; $p < 0.0001$). Mean cut to close time for TOE repair was 85 minutes (95% CI is 77-90) versus 74 (95% CI = 71-98) for TO repair. A log rank test revealed no difference in time ($p = 0.95$). A linear regression model was developed to evaluate the change in SST, VAS, and SANE scores from pre-op to 6 months follow-up. Our study was underpowered but no difference in outcome was observed.

Conclusion: Arthroscopic TO rotator cuff repair is a cost savings and time neutral technique compared to TOE repair. A mean of \$1100 can be saved in surgical cost per case. In a country that performs over 500,000 RCRs annually, utilizing a TO repair technique can provide substantial cost savings to the healthcare system.

Size of Tear	Per Case	TO	TOE	TO-TOE
Small TO=2 TOE=2	Implant Cost	\$527.38	\$1,060.20	-\$532.83
	Total Cost	\$1,037.00	\$1,954.13	-\$917.14
	Case Length	65	57	8.0
Medium TO=12 TOE=13	Implant Cost	\$626.93	\$1,334.09	-\$707.16
	Total Cost	\$1,225.04	\$2,343.42	-\$1,118.38
	Case Length	80	88	-8.0
Large TO=3 TOE=3	Implant Cost	\$563.80	\$1,624.40	-\$1,060.60
	Total Cost	\$1,230.28	\$2,436.74	-\$1,206.46
	Case Length	71	98	-27.0
Massive TO=4 TOE=4	Implant Cost	\$534.35	\$1,530.29	-\$995.94
	Total Cost	\$1,209.77	\$2,260.25	-\$1,050.48
	Case Length	72	84	-12.0
Total TO=21 TOE=22	Implant Cost	\$590.80	\$1,384.45	-\$793.65
	Total Cost	\$1,204.97	\$2,305.63	-\$1,100.66
	Case Length	74	85	-11.0
Table 1: Rotator Cuff Repair cost broken down by size of tear and repair method. (Cost values reported are averages. Case Length values reported are median values)				

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 3(7)(suppl 2)
 DOI: 10.1177/2325967115S00156
 ©The Author(s) 2015