

1-2020

Static vs. Expandable PEEK Interbody Cages: A Comparison of One-Year Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes for One-Level TLIF

Ryan Nachwalter

Thomas Jefferson University, ryan.nachwalter@jefferson.edu

Parthik Patel, MD

Thomas Jefferson University, parthik.patel@jefferson.edu

Alexander R. Vaccaro, MD, PhD

Thomas Jefferson University, Alex.Vaccaro@rothmaninstitute.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1



Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#), and the [Translational Medical Research Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Nachwalter, Ryan; Patel, MD, Parthik; and Vaccaro, MD, PhD, Alexander R., "Static vs. Expandable PEEK Interbody Cages: A Comparison of One-Year Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes for One-Level TLIF" (2020). *Phase 1*. Paper 30.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1/30

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Static vs. Expandable PEEK Interbody Cages: A Comparison of One-Year Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes for One-Level TLIF

Ryan Nachwalter¹, Parthik Patel MD¹, Alexander Vaccaro MD, PhD^{1*}

¹Rothman Institute, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA

Introduction

Degenerative spine disease is a disabling condition affecting many worldwide. Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) procedures help stabilize the spine, while improving back and/or leg pain. With the introduction of new implant designs and modifications, focus has shifted to optimizing spinopelvic alignment, fusion rates, and more. This study aims to explore the effect of static versus expandable polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages on patient-reported outcomes (PROMs) and radiographic outcomes (subsidence, disk height, and alignment parameters).

Materials/Methods

A retrospective cohort study was conducted using a database of patients in a single, high volume academic center. Patient outcomes were obtained from charts and radiographic outcomes were measured using standing, lateral radiographs. Data were analyzed using mean sample t-tests or categorical chi-squared tests, and multiple linear regression where appropriate.

Results

Our results showed improved Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores perioperatively in the expandable cage group compared to the static cage group at the three-month and one-year time periods. In addition, there were a significantly greater proportion of patients that reached minimal clinically important difference (MCID) in the expandable group compared to the static cage group. There were no significant changes in subsidence or alignment parameters between the two groups at the one-year time period.

Conclusion

Overall, our results show that TLIF patients treated with expandable PEEK cages had significantly greater improvement in one-year outcomes compared to patients with static cages. Expandable cages confer the advantage of more precise insertion into the intervertebral disk space, while providing a way to tailor the cage height for better distraction and spinal alignment. Further prospective studies are warranted to get a better idea of the impact of interbody design on clinical/radiographic outcomes.