

[Kimmel Cancer Center Papers, Presentations,](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/kimmelgrandrounds)

Kimmel Cancer Center

12-17-2019

Mutation signature analysis identifies increased mutation caused by tobacco smoke associated DNA adducts in larynx squamous cell carcinoma compared with oral cavity and oropharynx.

Andrew P. South Thomas Jefferson University

Nicoline Y den Breems Lincoln University

Tony Richa Thomas Jefferson University

Uche Nwagu Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/kimmelgrandrounds

Tingting Zhan <u>Thomas Jefferson University</u> Part of the [Oncology Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/694?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fkimmelgrandrounds%2F65&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Otolaryngology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/698?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fkimmelgrandrounds%2F65&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Let us a know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation
See next page for additional authors

South, Andrew P.; den Breems, Nicoline Y; Richa, Tony; Nwagu, Uche; Zhan, Tingting; Poojan, Shiv; Martinez-Outshoorn, Ubaldo E.; Johnson, Jennifer M.; Luginbuhl, Adam J.; and Curry, Joseph, "Mutation signature analysis identifies increased mutation caused by tobacco smoke associated DNA adducts in larynx squamous cell carcinoma compared with oral cavity and oropharynx." (2019). Kimmel Cancer Center Papers, Presentations, and Grand Rounds. Paper 65. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/kimmelgrandrounds/65

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](http://www.jefferson.edu/university/teaching-learning.html/). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Kimmel Cancer Center Papers, Presentations, and Grand Rounds by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Andrew P. South, Nicoline Y den Breems, Tony Richa, Uche Nwagu, Tingting Zhan, Shiv Poojan, Ubaldo E. Martinez-Outshoorn, Jennifer M. Johnson, Adam J. Luginbuhl, and Joseph Curry

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS natureresearch

OPEN

Mutation signature analysis identifes increased mutation caused by tobacco smoke associated DNA adducts in larynx squamous cell carcinoma compared with oral cavity and oropharynx

Andrew P. South1,2,3*, NicolineY. den Breems4, Tony Richa5, Uche Nwagu5, TingtingZhan3,6, Shiv Poojan1, Ubaldo Martinez-Outschoorn3,7, Jennifer M. Johnson3,7, Adam J. Luginbuhl3,5 & Joseph M. Curry3,5

Squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck (HNSCC) arise from mucosal keratinocytes of the upper aero-digestive tract. Despite a common cell of origin and similar driver-gene mutations which divert cell fate from diferentiation to proliferation, HNSCC are considered a heterogeneous group of tumors categorized by site of origin within the aero-digestive mucosa, and the presence or absence of HPV infection. Tobacco use is a major driver of carcinogenesis in HNSCC and is a poor prognosticator that has previously been associated with poor immune cell infltration and higher mutation numbers. Here, we study patterns of mutations in HNSCC that are derived from the specifc nucleotide changes and their surrounding nucleotide context (also known as mutation signatures). We identify that mutations linked to DNA adducts associated with tobacco smoke exposure are predominantly found in the larynx. Presence of this class of mutation, termed COSMIC signature 4, is responsible for the increased burden of mutation in this anatomical sub-site. In addition, we show that another mutation pattern, COSMIC signature 5, is positively associated with age in HNSCC from non-smokers and that larynx SCC from non-smokers have a greater number of signature 5 mutations compared with other HNSCC sub-sites. Immunohistochemistry demonstrates a signifcantly lower Ki-67 proliferation index in size matched larynx SCC compared with oral cavity SCC and oropharynx SCC. Collectively, these observations support a model where larynx SCC are characterized by slower growth and increased susceptibility to mutations from tobacco carcinogen DNA adducts.

Squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) arise in tissues that form a barrier between an organism and its environment such as the oral cavity, esophagus, upper airways of the lung, cervix, vulva, urethra and skin^{[1](#page-9-0)}. In 2017, excluding skin SCC for which fgures are not considered, 8.8% of all new cancer cases and 11% of all cancer deaths in the US can be attributed to SCC^{2,[3](#page-9-2)}. With the noted exceptions of HPV vaccination, immune checkpoint inhibition and EGFR inhibitors, there are very limited targeted prevention or treatment strategies beyond smoking or alcohol

¹Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Biology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA. 2 The Joan and Joel Rosenbloom Research Center for Fibrotic Diseases, Thomas Jeferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, PA, 19107, USA. ³Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA. ⁴Center for Advanced Computing (C-fACS), Lincoln University, Lincoln, 7647, New Zealand. ⁵Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA. ⁶Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA. 7 Department of Oncology, Thomas Jeferson University Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA. *email: [Andrew.](mailto:Andrew.south@Jefferson.edu) [south@Jeferson.edu](mailto:Andrew.south@Jefferson.edu)

use cessation. Therefore, a better understanding of SCC initiation and progression is needed in order to develop efective prevention, early detection, and treatment strategies.

More than 90% of mucosal tumors of the head and neck are SCC (HNSCC) and arise at distinct anatomical sites such as the oral cavity, oropharynx, larynx and hypopharynx. Half of all primary HNSCC tumors will recur and [5](#page-9-4) year survival is around 50–60% leading to substantive mortality figures worldwide^{4,5}. However, overall survival fgures difer by major anatomical sub-site and a number of studies demonstrate larynx SCC have overall improved 5 year survival compared with oral cavity tumors^{[6](#page-9-5),[7](#page-9-6)}, suggesting that SCC arising at different locations within the upper aero-digestive tract are distinct entities with respect to incidence and outcome.

HPV positive HNSCC are prevalent in the oropharynx, where a large proportion of tonsil and base of tongue SCC are virally driven. It is recognized that HPV infection represents a subset of HNSCC with distinct presenta-tion, etiology and outcome^{[5,](#page-9-4)[8](#page-9-7)}. Indeed, comparing all SCC from diverse anatomical sites identifies HPV positive tumors (principally from the head and neck and cervix) as a clear genetic subclass of SCC^{[1](#page-9-0)}. However, recent data does suggest that HPV infection in the larynx, hypopharynx, or oral cavity, does not change overall prognosis^{[9,](#page-9-8)10}, while in the oropharynx HPV has prognostic significance⁸, again supporting the idea that anatomical sub-site infuences outcomes. For HPV negative tumors further sub-classifcation can be based on copy number altera-tions (CNA) or presence of specific mutations and epigenetic variation^{11-[14](#page-9-11)}. Often these differences are not exclusive to anatomical location where, for example, identifcation of *NSD1* and *NSD2* mutations defne a sub-class of both oral cavity and larynx SCC^{[11](#page-9-10),[12](#page-9-12)}.

In addition to HPV, tobacco use is a primary risk factor for HNSCC and smoking status at diagnosis is associated with treatment response, risk of recurrence, and survival[7](#page-9-6),[15](#page-9-13),[16](#page-9-14). Smoking during treatment can also infuence respons[e17,](#page-9-15) and progression free survival decreases as a direct result of tobacco exposure at diagnosis and during therap[y18](#page-9-16). One prominent mechanism of carcinogenesis associated with tobacco exposure is the formation of DNA adducts which are compounds produced when chemicals react with DNA. Normal cellular repair processes remove adducts and DNA is faithfully replicated when a cell divides. However, if repair processes are overwhelmed or are deficient, the DNA adducts can persist and cause mutations during DNA replication¹⁹.

Signatures of mutation in cancer, based on collective analysis of large numbers of nucleotide changes and their context, can identify the underlying cause of a given cancer or group of cancers^{20[,21](#page-9-19)}. In lung carcinomas and HNSCC, tobacco smoke yields a distinct mutation signature dominated by $C > A$ transversion^{[22](#page-9-20)}. The 96 nucleotide context of tobacco smoke associated mutations, termed COSMIC signature 4, has been validated experimentally using murine *tp53* mutant fibroblasts exposed to benzo[*a*]pyrene, a prominent tobacco carcinogen^{21,22}. These data demonstrate COSMIC signature 4 is indicative of DNA adduct formation and subsequent mutation. Other signatures of mutation identifed in HNSCC include those associated with age and natural variation in the genom[e23](#page-9-21)[–25,](#page-10-0) COSMIC signatures 1 and 5, as well as endogenous deaminases of the AID (activation-induced cytidine deaminase) and APOBEC (apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide) famil[y20,](#page-9-18)[26,](#page-10-1)[27.](#page-10-2) Because of their association with age and somatic mutation during the lifespan of an individual, signatures 1 and 5 have been referred to as "clock-like" which could theoretically be used to predict the age of a tissue or tumor^{23–25}. Indeed, serial passaging of cells in culture readily induces mutations associated with signature 5^{28} . Here we use mutation signature analysis to highlight distinct diferences between major anatomical subsets of head and neck cancer and identify larynx SCC as a separate entity with regard to proliferation and mutation susceptibility.

Results

Tobacco mutation signatures associated with DNA adduct formation are significantly enhanced in laryngeal SCC compared with all other head and neck SCC. In our recent examination of mutation signatures in HNSCC arising in non-sun exposed sites, we observed striking sub-site specifcity to the presence of tobacco smoke-associated mutations (COSMIC signature 4, the signature associated with tobacco exposure²⁷, Fig. [1A](#page-4-0) and Supplementary Fig. S1A). Larynx SCC have a significantly greater proportion of COSMIC signatures 4 and 5 compared with oral cavity and oropharynx SCC, as well as a concomitant signifcant reduction in signature 1 compared with oral cavity and oropharynx SCC, and a signifcant reduction in COSMIC signature 2 compared with oropharynx (Fig. [1A\)](#page-4-0). No diference was seen in signature weight comparing oral cavity and oropharynx SCC. Overall, 53% of 278 TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) HNSCC samples^{[14](#page-9-11)} were positive for signature 4, compared with 81% of patients who reported smoking, 63% of whom were current or recently reformed smokers (within 15 years). When anatomical site is considered it can be seen that signature 4 mutations were greatly enriched in the larynx (Fig. [1B\)](#page-4-0) for which 82% of larynx SCC (59/72 tumors) were positive, while only 44% of oral cavity or oropharynx SCC were positive (90/204 tumors). The total number of mutations was also signifcantly greater in larynx compared with the major anatomical sub-site classifcation for oral cavity and oropharynx, however, this number was greatly infuenced by those larynx tumors which were positive for tobacco-associated mutations (signature 4, Fig. [1B,C](#page-4-0) and Supplementary Fig. S1B). When HPV status is considered there is a statistical diference between HPV positive and HPV negative HNSCC for total mutations, signature 4 and signature 5 mutations (Supplementary Fig. S2A), however this diference was dependent on larynx tumors where only 1 from 72 is HPV positive (Supplementary Fig. S2B,C). The number of tobacco-associated signature 4 mutations per tumor for those tumors that were signature 4 positive (>0 mutations attributed to signature 4) was significantly higher in larynx (mean 108 signature 4 mutations, $n = 59$) compared with other sub-sites (mean 15 signature 4 mutations, $n=90$; oral cavity mean of 15.5 signature 4 mutations, oropharynx mean of 13.1 signature 4 mutations), or HPV positive tumors (mean 9.4 signature 4 mutations, $n=12$) (Fig. [1B](#page-4-0) and Supplementary Fig. S2). Overall, mutation burden in larynx was greater than other sub-classes of HNSCC and this was dependent on those larynx samples with signature 4 mutations (Fig. [1](#page-4-0) and Supplementary Fig. S2). Complete signature assignation per sample is presented as both total numbers and percentage/weight in Supplementary Fig. S3.

Figure 1. COSMIC signature 4 contributes to signifcantly higher mutation burden in larynx compared with oral cavity and oropharynx SCC. (**A**) Pie charts show the proportion of all single nucleotide mutations attributed to each of the six COSMIC mutation signatures identifed in head and neck SCC for each of the three major sub-sites. $n=$ total number of individual tumors for each sub-site. Signatures 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, and 18, are derived from version 2 of COSMIC mutational signatures. Signatures 1 and 5 are of unknown etiology and associated with age, signature 2 and 13 are associated with APOBEC mutagenesis, signature 4 is associated with tobacco smoke exposure, and signature 18 is associated reactive oxygen species. Matrix to the right of pie charts shows statistical signifcance of individual COSMIC signature weight (normalized to mutation number) comparing larynx with oral cavity and oropharynx tumors. No signifcance was seen comparing signature weight between oral cavity and oropharynx tumors for any of the six signatures. n.s. = no significance. (**B**) Box and whisker graphs show total number of single nucleotide mutations as well as those attributed to signature 4 and signature 5, identifed in each of the major sub-sites of HNSCC. (**C**) Total mutations stratifed by the presence (positive) or absence (negative) of signature 4 mutations. $x =$ mean. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 .

Larynx SCC are dominated by smokers or recently reformed smokers compared with other sub-sites of head and neck SCC. We next compared the incidence of smoking within the TCGA HNSCC data set and between the three major sub-sites of HNSCC: larynx, oral cavity and oropharynx (only 2 hypopharynx samples are included and so were not analyzed). As might be expected, larynx SCC, where more signature 4 mutations are present, had a greater proportion of current smokers or recently reformed smokers compared with oral cavity and oropharynx ($p < 0.001$, Fig. [2A\)](#page-5-0). Over 80% of larynx samples were from current and recently reformed smokers while this fgure was 53% and 58% for oral cavity and oropharynx. Interestingly, while there were more mutations in current and recently reformed smokers across all HNSCC, the overall diference between mutation numbers was not as prominent when comparing sub-site mutation number diferences, where larynx stands out as having many more overall mutations (Fig. [2B](#page-5-0) *cf.* Fig. [1B](#page-4-0)). The increase in smokers in the larynx SCC cohort might explain the increase in number of signature 4 positive tumors in larynx SCC compared with all other sub-sites but does not account for the increased number of signature 4 mutations per tumor. Comparison of pack years smoked by current and recently reformed smokers showed more pack years in larynx (mean 62 *cf.* 51 in all other HNSCC) but this was not statistically signifcant.

Signature 5 and signature 4 mutations correlate with smoking status. In line with previous anal-ysis^{[22](#page-9-20)}, only mutations associated with signature 4 (tobacco) and signature 5 (of unknown etiology and previously associated with age in a number of diferent cancers) showed a relationship with smoking history; current smokers and recently reformed smokers have greater numbers of signature 4 and signature 5 mutations (Fig. [2C](#page-5-0)). Signature 5 mutation numbers also showed a stepwise reduction from historically reformed smokers (>15 years) and life-long never smokers suggesting a more direct relationship between signature 5 and smoking in HNSCC compared with signature 4 (Fig. [2C](#page-5-0)).

Signature 5 correlates with age in HNSCC non-smokers. Previous analysis has demonstrated an association with age and the number of signature 1 mutations in all HNSC[C23](#page-9-21) (Supplementary Fig. S4). We recently

Figure 2. Larynx SCC patients are dominated by smokers or recently reformed smokers and SCC from smokers have signifcantly more signature 4 and signature 5 mutations compared with non-smokers. Percentage of patients stratifed by major anatomical sub-site who are either current smokers, recently reformed smokers (within 15 years), historically reformed smokers (for more than 15 years), or never smokers (**A**). Box and whisker plots show total mutations (**B**) and mutations attributed to individual signatures in HNSCC stratified by smoking status (**C**). Signatures 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, and 18, are derived from version 2 of COSMIC mutational signatures. Signatures 1 and 5 are of unknown etiology and associated with age, signature 2 and 13 are associated with APOBEC mutagenesis, signature 4 is associated with tobacco smoke exposure, and signature 18 is associated reactive oxygen species. $x=$ mean. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Figure 3. Signature 5 mutations shows positive correlation with age in non-smokers and are enriched in larynx SCC non-smoking patients who are older than non-smoking patients with oropharynx SCC. (**A**) Graphs shows the number of signature 5 mutations (y-axis) plotted against the age (x-axis) of all smokers (left graph, $n=170$) or non-smokers (right graph, n=101) with HNSCC. Pearson Correlation r and p values given. (**B**) Box and Whisker plots show the number of signature 5 mutations identifed in HNSCC of non-smokers stratifed by major anatomical sub-site. (**C**) Box and Whisker plots showing age of patients with HNSCC stratifed by major anatomical sub-site in smokers (lef graph) and non-smokers) right graph). Signature 5 is derived from version 2 of COSMIC mutational signatures, is of unknown etiology, and associated with age in certain cancers and somatic tissues. $x =$ mean *p < 0.05.

showed that tissue-damage associated SCC arising in the skin of patients with the rare blistering disease, recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB), show remarkable similarity to HNSCC at the level of mutation signa-ture and transcriptomic analysis, and also show a correlation with age and mutation signature 5 numbers^{[27](#page-10-2)}. Given the similarities between RDEB SCC and HNSCC as well as the direct relationship with smoking and signature 5 mutation numbers in HNSCC, we surmised that current or recently reformed smoking status obscures any relationship with age and signature 5 in HNSCC. Indeed, when only lifelong non-smokers or historically reformed smokers are analyzed it can be seen that a signifcant, positive correlation exists between signature 5 and age (Fig. [3A\)](#page-6-0).

Larynx SCC non-smokers have signifcantly greater signature 5 mutations and are generally older compared with other HNSCC non-smokers. Comparing the number of signature 5 mutations in non-smokers (defned as lifelong non-smokers or historically reformed, >15 years), larynx had signifcantly more signature 5 mutations than either oral cavity or oropharynx (Fig. [3B\)](#page-6-0). In contrast to smokers, non-smoking patients with larynx SCC $(n=11)$ were significantly older than non-smoking patients with oropharyngeal SCC $(n=14)$, while non-smoking patients with oral cavity SCC were approximately intermediate in age $(n = 76)$ (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)). No diference was seen with signature 1 mutations and major anatomical sub-site in non-smokers (data not shown).

Laryngeal SCC have signifcantly less Ki67 positive nuclei than oral cavity or oropharyngeal SCC. We next compared Ki-67 immuno-reactivity as a marker of tumor cell proliferation in similarly sized SCC excised from the larynx, oral cavity, and the oropharynx, from Thomas Jefferson University Hospital from 2015–2018. Comparison of tumors 2–4cm in size showed oral cavity and oropharyngeal tumors had signifcantly greater Ki67 cell positivity per tumor when compared with laryngeal tumors (Fig. [4](#page-7-0) and Supplementary Table S1).

Discussion

Tobacco use is the principle risk factor for developing HNSCC and therefore our fndings that tobacco associated mutations are conspicuously and signifcantly reduced in oral cavity and oropharynx SCC compared with larynx SCC presents a number of intriguing possibilities with regards to the direct efects of tobacco smoke on somatic mutations in HNSCC. It is important to note that we are not the frst to identify a diference between

Figure 4. Ki-67 immuno-reactivity is increased in oral cavity SCC and oropharynx SCC compared with larynx SCC. (**A**) Representative larynx SCC section incubated with anti-Ki=67 antibodies and visualized using the Aperio platform. (**B**) Representative oral cavity SCC section incubated with anti-Ki=67 antibodies and visualized using the Aperio platform. (**C**) Representative oropharynx SCC section incubated with anti-Ki=67 antibodies and visualized using the Aperio platform. Bar=400μM. (**D**) Graph shows % Ki-67 positive tumor cells quantifed using Aperio ImageScope for 19 larynx SCC, 22 oral cavity SCC, and 18 oropharynx SCC. Bar shows mean +/− standard deviation with individual points shown as circles. Blue indicates P16 positive samples. P16 not assessed in 2 larynx SCC and 11 oral cavity SCC. $*$ p < 0.01, $*$ $*$ p < 0.001.

larynx and other sub-sites with regards to tobacco smoke mutation signatures^{29[,30](#page-10-5)}. However, these prior studies either used relative ratios of single base pair changes²⁹, or compared COSMIC signatures not previously extracted from HNSCC datasets ([https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/signatures_v2/matrix.png\)](https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/signatures_v2/matrix.png) with exclusion of signature 5[30.](#page-10-5) We show here that the increased mutation burden in larynx SCC noted by others is a direct result of tobacco smoke-associated signature 4 mutation load (Fig. [1,](#page-4-0) Supplementary Figs. S2 and S5).

The observation that larynx SCC have significantly more signature 4 mutations than other major sub-sites of HNSCC indicates that larynx SCC are more susceptible to miss-replication afer DNA adduct formation leading to mutation. We speculate that the reason for this could be due to a number of possible hypotheses or combinations thereof. The first hypothesis is simply anatomical location; the larynx could be exposed to more tobacco smoke saturated mucous than other HNSCC sub-sites due to its proximity to trachea and upper lung airways. The second hypothesis is that the larynx harbor mutations in DNA repair genes which sensitizes this anatomical location to mutations from tobacco smoke. However, mutation analysis has not identifed diferences in somatic mutation of repair genes^{[11–](#page-9-10)[14](#page-9-11)} and CNA differences could well result from replication pressures associated with increased mutation burden. The third hypothesis for DNA adduct mutation susceptibility could be inherent differences in keratinocytes which line the laryngeal cavity as this structure difers from others in the oral cavity with respect to developmental origin; the larynx emerges from the foregut endoderm while much of the oral

cavity emerges from the oral ectoderm^{31,32}. Endoderm-derived keratinocytes may have differential DNA repair capabilities compared with ectodermal derived keratinocytes, regardless of somatic driver-gene mutations. Tis may also infuence the number of signature 5 mutations which presumably arise through miss-replication of DNA during cell division. However, at the time of writing we were not aware of any literature addressing this possibility.

The last hypothesis is that steady state keratinocytes, regardless of anatomical location, do not differ in their ability to repair DNA adducts and the accumulation of signature 4 mutations is a factor of tumor growth over time. In this model, signature 4 mutations accumulate over time, and would predict that larynx SCC take longer to develop than those arising in the oral cavity or oropharynx.

Whilst the analysis presented in our study is descriptive in nature and we have not carried out experiments to test this model directly, there are aspects of our data and that of others which support our last hypothesis. Firstly, signature 5 mutations, associated with age in other SCC and also tobacco use, are increased in number in larynx SCC (Figs. [1](#page-4-0) and [3\)](#page-6-0) and whilst this could indicate a defciency in DNA repair (as signature 5 mutations are associated with replication errors) the data ft with the notion of older tumors in the larynx and is supported by the observation that signature 5 mutations increase with cellular proliferation²⁸. Furthermore, the proliferative index in larynx SCC was signifcantly less than size-matched oral cavity and oropharyngeal SCC (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0) which would support the idea that larynx SCC are slower growing and this would be a plausible explanation for increased exposure to mutagens and mutation burden. Our analysis of the age of non-smoking patients suggests that those with larynx SCC are older which could support this notion, however statistical signifcance was only seen compared with oropharynx SCC (Fig. [3C\)](#page-6-0) and is likely confounded by HPV infection where patients are generally younge[r33](#page-10-8). Unfortunately, the numbers of HPV positive tumors in the larynx and HPV negative tumors in oropharynx assessed in Fig. [4](#page-7-0) are too small to determine the infuence of HPV status on Ki67 proliferation index and we note conflicting results in the literature^{[34](#page-10-9),[35](#page-10-10)} which together with our data advocate further interrogation of this issue.

One potential confounder to these observations that must be acknowledged is the similarity between signature 4 and signature 5. Whilst signature 5 is relatively evenly distributed (afecting all nucleotide mutation combinations relatively equally) it is the only other mutation signature found in HNSCC that has a substantial contribution of $C > A$ nucleotide change (Supplementary Fig. S5B). Signature 4 is dominated by $C > A$ transversions but is also similar to signature 5 in that the rest of the nucleotide variations are also relatively evenly distributed, albeit at a lower proportion than $C > A$. Therefore the ability to distinguish between the two, especially in tumors with limited mutation numbers is challenging. Regardless of this, it is clear that those larynx SCC positive for signature 4 harbor the most mutations compared with all other HNSCC combinations and the observation that signature 4 mutation dominates larynx SCC is well supported (Fig. [1](#page-4-0), Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3A,B, S5).

The data presented here and our proposed model of larynx SCC developing over longer periods of time coupled with susceptibility to tobacco smoke mutations, are in keeping with a number of prior investigations and observations. Multi-region exome sequencing has shown that larynx SCC have greater heterogeneity compared with oral cavity SCC³⁶ and it is conceivable that heterogeneity develops over time with an older tumor accumu-lating multiple clonal mutations^{[37](#page-10-12)}. Epidemiological observations by Doll and colleagues demonstrate that risk of death in smokers who have given up at age 30 are similar to those individuals who have never smoked, and only every year after 30 that an individual smokes increases your risk^{[38](#page-10-13)}. These observations would also be congruent with mutations in either the upper aero-digestive tract or lungs occurring over time, as cells age and lose DNA repair efficiency.

Given that not all smokers develop cancer (only 24% of male smokers and 11% of female smokers die from lung cancer over their lifetime^{[39](#page-10-14)}), a greater understanding of the factors and mechanisms that identify those smokers who have higher cancer risk could lead to prevention or early detection approaches. Further work to test our model of DNA adduct mutation susceptibility in laryngeal keratinocytes may provide insight on this subject.

In summary, our data show that larynx SCC are more susceptible to mutations associated with tobacco product DNA-adduct formation and suggest that SCC in the larynx take longer to progress compared with other HNSCC.

Materials and Methods

Mutation signature analysis. Our original, published analysis, used methodology from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (WTSI) to extract signatures of mutation from exome sequencing of HNSCC samples²⁷. Briefy, mutational signatures were extracted using 96 nonnegative components (singlebase somatic substitutions and their immediate sequence context) and compared to the validated consensus mutational signatures in COSMIC, version 2 ([https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures_v2\)](https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures_v2) to identify the set of COSMIC mutational signatures in TCGA data sets⁴⁰. This analysis identified COSMIC signatures 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 13, and 18. Here we repeated signature assignation using the program deconstructSigs⁴¹ focusing on TCGA single nucleotide variation for the initial data freeze of HNSCC samples¹⁴ downloaded from the National Cancer Institute GDC Data Portal [\(https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/projects/TCGA-HNSC](https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/projects/TCGA-HNSC)). Initially we included all signatures identifed using the WTSI method and comparison of deconstructSigs (using normalization within the sample and a cutof of 0.01) with WTSI showed good concordance on results (Supplementary Fig. S6A,B) and analysis of anatomical sub-site and signature 4 and signature 5 presence were not diferent (Supplementary Fig. S6C,D c.f. Fig. [1B,C](#page-4-0)). A single sample from the lip showed a large contribution of signature 7 while other samples from a diverse range of non-sun exposed anatomical sub-sites showed much lower contribution of signature 7 (\lt 40 percent in a given sample) regardless of method used (Supplementary Fig. S6B, lower panel). Because signature 7 is associated with UV exposure and not expected to be active in non-sun exposed sites, we repeated deconstructSigs analysis with exclusion of the single lip sample and signature 7. Since this study, V3 of COSMIC signatures have now been established 42 .

Ki67 proliferation index. This retrospective study of archival, anonymized, diagnostic material was approved by the internal review board of Thomas Jefferson University and the need for written informed consent was waived by the same internal review board. All subsequent experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Specimens of laryngeal, oral cavity and oropharyngeal SCC were retrospectively searched for in the SCC Tumor Biorepository (Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA) from 2015 to 2018. As this analysis is comparative, we took care to select specimens that were uniform regarding tumor size as dictated by the fnal pathology report. We randomly selected formalin-fxed, paraffin embedded blocks of 60 cases from different patients, with enough material for new sections, compromising 19–22 specimens for each group. HPV positivity was previously determined on the basis of positive P16 immuno-histochemistry. Of the three larynx samples that were positive for P16, one was subjected to HPV *in situ* hybridization for HPV16 and HPV18 and tested positive for HPV18. Of the 16 oropharynx samples that were positive for P16, 11 were subjected to HPV *in situ* hybridization for HPV16 and HPV18, and one tested positive for HPV18, eight tested positive for HPV16, and 2 were negative. All of the selected cases were sectioned and stained for Ki67. One case was excluded from the oropharyngeal SCC group due to inappropriate immunohistochemical reaction for Ki67. At the end, the laryngeal SCC group consisted of 19 samples (n = 19), the oral cavity group consisted of 22 samples ($n=22$), and the oropharyngeal SCC group consisted of 18 ($n=18$). Three representative felds in each group were picked at 400x magnifcation (0.2 mm2 /feld) with the operator being blinded to tumor sites. All positive and negative nuclei of neoplastic cells were analyzed with the aid of Aperio ImageScope (Leica Biosystems Inc. Buffalo Grove, IL). The percentage of positive nuclei per total recorded nuclei was then noted. Supplementary Table S1 provides details of each of the samples included in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0)

Statistical analysis. For comparison of smoking status between larynx, oral cavity and oropharynx we applied the proportional adjacent-categories-ratio model using the R package VGAM⁴³. This model shows that compared to larynx, both oral cavity and oropharynx patient groups have lower probability of more severe smoking status (adjacent-categories-ratio of 0.505 and 0.491, respectively, both p<0.001), while there is no signifcant diference between the oral cavity and oropharynx group. Remaining statistical analysis was performed using Prism 8 (GraphPad Sofware, La Jolla, CA). For comparison of mutation numbers and mutations signatures the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Pearson correlation was used to analyze relationships between age and mutation signature numbers and unpaired t-test was used to analyze Ki-67 proliferation index between separate tissue group immuno-histochemistry.

Data availability

All data are available on request.

Received: 15 July 2019; Accepted: 19 November 2019; Published online: 17 December 2019

References

- 1. Campbell, J. D. *et al*. Genomic, Pathway Network, and Immunologic Features Distinguishing Squamous Carcinomas. *Cell Rep* **23**, 194–212 e196 (2018).
- 2. Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin* **67**, 7–30 (2017).
- 3. American Cancer Society (<https://www.cancer.org/cancer.html>accessed November 2018).
- 4. Bose, P., Brockton, N. T. & Dort, J. C. Head and neck cancer: from anatomy to biology. *Int J Cancer* **133**, 2013–2023 (2013).
- 5. Leemans, C. R., Snijders, P. J. F. & Brakenhof, R. H. Te molecular landscape of head and neck cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 269–282 (2018).
- 6. Abrahao, R. *et al.* The influence of smoking, age and stage at diagnosis on the survival after larynx, hypopharynx and oral cavity cancers in Europe: The ARCAGE study. *Int J Cancer* 143, 32-44 (2018).
- 7. Leoncini, E. *et al*. Clinical features and prognostic factors in patients with head and neck cancer: Results from a multicentric study. *Cancer Epidemiol* **39**, 367–374 (2015).
- 8. Ang, K. K. *et al*. Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. *N Engl J Med* **363**, 24–35 (2010).
- 9. Hughes, R. T. *et al*. Human papillomavirus-associated squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx or hypopharynx: Clinical outcomes
- and implications for laryngeal preservation. *Oral Oncol.* **98**, 20–27 (2019). 10. Hernandez, B. Y. *et al*. Human papillomavirus DNA detection, p16INK4a, and oral cavity cancer in a U.S. population. *Oral Oncol.* **91**, 92–96 (2019).
- 11. Peri, S. *et al*. NSD1- and NSD2-damaging mutations defne a subset of laryngeal tumors with favorable prognosis. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1772 (2017).
- 12. Ghasemi, F. *et al*. Mutational analysis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma stratifed by smoking status. *JCI Insight* **4** (2019).
- 13. Papillon-Cavanagh, S. *et al*. Impaired H3K36 methylation defnes a subset of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat Genet* **49**, 180–185 (2017).
- 14. Cancer Genome Atlas, N. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature* **517**, 576–582 (2015).
- 15. Dufy, S. A. *et al*. Pretreatment health behaviors predict survival among patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* **27**, 1969–1975 (2009).
- 16. Platek, A. J. *et al*. Smoking cessation is associated with improved survival in oropharynx cancer treated by chemoradiation. *Laryngoscope* **126**, 2733–2738 (2016).
- 17. Descamps, G. *et al*. Classical risk factors, but not HPV status, predict survival afer chemoradiotherapy in advanced head and neck cancer patients. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* **142**, 2185–2196 (2016).
- 18. Gillison, M. L. *et al*. Tobacco smoking and increased risk of death and progression for patients with p16-positive and p16-negative oropharyngeal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **30**, 2102–2111 (2012).
- 19. Ma, B., Stepanov, I. & Hecht, S. S. Recent Studies on DNA Adducts Resulting from Human Exposure to Tobacco Smoke. *Toxics* **7** (2019).
- 20. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al*. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature* **500**, 415–421 (2013).
- 21. Nik-Zainal, S. *et al*. Te genome as a record of environmental exposure. *Mutagenesis* **30**, 763–770 (2015).
- 22. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al*. Mutational signatures associated with tobacco smoking in human cancer. *Science* **354**, 618–622 (2016).
- 23. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al*. Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1402–1407 (2015).
- 24. Ju, Y. S. *et al*. Somatic mutations reveal asymmetric cellular dynamics in the early human embryo. *Nature* **543**, 714–718 (2017).
- 25. Blokzijl, F. *et al*. Tissue-specifc mutation accumulation in human adult stem cells during life. *Nature* **538**, 260–264 (2016).
- 26. Faden, D. L. *et al*. Multi-modality analysis supports APOBEC as a major source of mutations in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* **74**, 8–14 (2017).
- 27. Cho, R. J. *et al*. APOBEC mutation drives early-onset squamous cell carcinomas in recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *Sci Transl Med* **10** (2018).
- 28. Petljak, M. *et al*. Characterizing Mutational Signatures in Human Cancer Cell Lines Reveals Episodic APOBEC Mutagenesis. *Cell.* **176**, 1282–1294 (2019).
- 29. Pickering, C. R. *et al*. Squamous cell carcinoma of the oral tongue in young non-smokers is genomically similar to tumors in older smokers. *Clin Cancer Res.* **20**, 3842–8 (2014).
- 30. Vossen, D. M. *et al*. Comparative genomic analysis of oral versus laryngeal and pharyngeal cancer. *Oral Oncol.* **81**, 35–44 (2018).
- 31. Chen, J., Jacox, L. A., Saldanha, F. & Sive, H. Mouth development. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol* **6** (2017).
- 32. Lungova, V., Verheyden, J. M., Herriges, J., Sun, X. & Tibeault, S. L. Ontogeny of the mouse vocal fold epithelium. *Dev Biol* **399**, 263–282 (2015).
- 33. Bhatia, A. & Burtness, B. Human Papillomavirus-Associated Oropharyngeal Cancer: Defning Risk Groups and Clinical Trials. *J Clin Oncol* **33**, 3243–3250 (2015).
- 34. Liu, J. *et al*. Ki67 Expression has Prognostic Signifcance in Relation to Human Papillomavirus Status in Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* **22**, 1893–1900 (2015).
- 35. Ritta, M. *et al*. Cell cycle and viral and immunologic profles of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma as predictable variables of tumor progression. *Head Neck.* **31**, 318–327 (2009).
- 36. Ledgerwood, L. G. *et al*. The degree of intratumor mutational heterogeneity varies by primary tumor sub-site. *Oncotarget* **7**, 27185–27198 (2016).
- 37. McGranahan, N. & Swanton, C. Biological and therapeutic impact of intratumor heterogeneity in cancer evolution. *Cancer Cell* **27**, 15–26 (2015).
- 38. Doll, R., Peto, R., Boreham, J. & Sutherland, I. Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *BMJ* 328, 1519 (2004)
- 39. Tun, M. J., Henley, S. J. & Calle, E. E. Tobacco use and cancer: an epidemiologic perspective for geneticists. *Oncogene* **21**, 7307–7325 (2002).
- 40. Alexandrov, L. B., Nik-Zainal, S., Wedge, D. C., Campbell, P. J. & Stratton, M. R. Deciphering signatures of mutational processes operative in human cancer. *Cell Rep.* **3**, 246–259 (2013).
- 41. Rosenthal, R., McGranahan, N., Herrero, J., Taylor, B. S. & Swanton, C. deconstructSigs: delineating mutational processes in single tumors distinguishes DNA repair defciencies and patterns of carcinoma evolution. *Genome Biol* **17**, 31 (2016).
- 42. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al.* The Repertoire of Mutational Signatures in Human Cancer BioRxiv,<https://doi.org/10.1101/322859>(2019).
- 43. Yee, T. W. Vector Generalized Linear and Additive Models, in *Springer Series in Statistics*, Editors Diggle, P., Gather, U. & Zeger, S. ISSN: 0172–7397 (2015).

Acknowledgements

Tis work was in part supported by a Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center Goal Line Gold Award to A.P.S and J.M.C. We acknowledge the Translational/Research Pathology shared resource at the Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center and the Cancer Center Support Grant 5P30CA056036-17.

Author contributions

A.P.S. and N.Y.d.B. designed the study. A.P.S., N.Y.d.B., T.R. and U.N. performed the research. A.P.S., N.Y.d.B., T.R., U.N., T.Z., S.P., U.M.-O., J.M.J., A.J.L. and J.M.C. analyzed data. A.P.S., N.Y.d.B., T.R., U.N., T.Z., S.P., U.M.-O., J.M.J., A.J.L. and J.M.C. wrote the paper.

Competing interests

A.P.S. is an Editorial Board Member of Scientific Reports. The authors declare no other relevant competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55352-y>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.P.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

 \odot

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$