

1-2020

## Meta-analysis of repair techniques for mixed nerve damage

Grant Jones

Thomas Jefferson University, grant.jones@jefferson.edu

Zachary J. Herman

Thomas Jefferson University, zachary.herman@jefferson.edu

Asif Ilyas

Rothman Institute

Follow this and additional works at: [https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si\\_ctr\\_2022\\_phase1](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1)



Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#), and the [Translational Medical Research Commons](#)

**[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)**

---

### Recommended Citation

Jones, Grant; Herman, Zachary J.; and Ilyas, Asif, "Meta-analysis of repair techniques for mixed nerve damage" (2020). *Phase 1*. Paper 51.

[https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si\\_ctr\\_2022\\_phase1/51](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1/51)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: [JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu](mailto:JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu).

## **Meta-analysis of repair techniques for mixed nerve damage**

Dr. Ilyas\*, Zach Herman, Grant Jones

**BACKGROUND:** Injuries to the upper extremity are common and can occur with trauma, surgery, or compression. Particularly, injuries that result in complete transection often require surgical intervention in attempt to restore function and quality of life. Classically, nerve autografts have been used as the gold standard to repair these peripheral lesions. An alternative to the autologous nerve graft is the use of the processed nerve allograft. limited research exists in comparing sensory and motor outcomes of allograft nerve repair in the upper extremity with data for autograft repair. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we set out to cumulate results of motor and sensory outcomes of allograft peripheral nerve lesion repair and compare those results with outcomes of autograft repair.

**METHODS:** Current literature on motor and sensory outcomes of autograft and allograft peripheral nerve repair were reviewed using British Medical Research Council (MRC) score of sensory recovery or British Medical Research Council (MRC) muscle strength grading system and complication rates as outcomes of interest. After inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied, 12 articles were reviewed and 826 nerve repairs were analyzed.

**RESULTS:** The mean gap length for the allograft group and autograft group was 28.6 mm and 24.7 mm, respectively. In terms of MRC sensory and motor outcomes, allograft repair was statistically superior to autograft repair. Complication data was insignificant.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Based on the current updated meta-analysis using recent data, we found that both autograft and allograft repair have reasonable outcomes. Yet, processed nerve allograft repair outperformed autograft repair.