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Return to Sport and Athletic Function in an Active Population After Primary Arthroscopic Labral Reconstruction of the Hip

David R. Maldonado,* MD, Sarah L. Chen,† BA, Mitchell J. Yelton,* BS, Philip J. Rosinsky,* MD, Rafael Walker-Santiago,‡ MD, Jacob Shapira,* MD, Ajay C. Lall,*§ MD, MS, and Benjamin G. Domb,*§|| MD

Investigation performed at the American Hip Research Foundation, Des Plaines, Illinois, USA

Background: Labral reconstruction has been advocated as an alternative to debridement for the treatment of irreparable labral tears, showing favorable short-term results. However, literature is scarce regarding outcomes and return to sport in the nonelite athletic population.

Purpose: To report minimum 1-year clinical outcomes and the rate of return to sport in athletic patients who underwent primary hip arthroscopy with labral reconstruction in the setting of femoroacetabular impingement syndrome and irreparable labral tears.

Study Design: Case series; Level of evidence, 4.

Methods: Data were prospectively collected and retrospectively analyzed for patients who underwent an arthroscopic labral reconstruction between August 2012 and December 2017. Patients were included if they identified as an athlete (high school, college, recreational, or amateur); had follow-up on the following patient-reported outcomes (PROs): modified Harris Hip Score (mHHS), Nonarthritic Hip Score (NAHS), Hip Outcome Score–Sport Specific Subscale (HOS-SSS), and visual analog scale (VAS); and completed a return-to-sport survey at 1 year postoperatively. Patients were excluded if they underwent any previous ipsilateral hip surgery, had dysplasia, or had prior hip conditions. The proportions of patients who achieved the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) and patient acceptable symptomatic state (PASS) for mHHS and HOS-SSS were calculated. Statistical significance was set at $P = .05$.

Results: There were 32 (14 females) athletes who underwent primary arthroscopic labral reconstruction during the study period. The mean age and body mass index of the group were 40.3 years (range, 15.5–58.7 years) and 27.9 kg/m$^2$ (range, 19.6–40.1 kg/m$^2$), respectively. The mean follow-up was 26.4 months (range, 12–64.2 months). All patients demonstrated significant improvement in mHHS, NAHS, HOS-SSS, and VAS ($P < .001$) at latest follow-up. Additionally, 84.4% achieved MCID and 81.3% achieved PASS for mHHS, and 87.5% achieved MCID and 75% achieved PASS for HOS-SSS. VAS pain scores decreased from 4.4 to 1.8, and the satisfaction with surgery was 7.9 out of 10. The rate of return to sport was 78%.

Conclusion: At minimum 1-year follow-up, primary arthroscopic labral reconstruction, in the setting of femoroacetabular impingement syndrome and irreparable labral tears, was associated with significant improvement in PROs in athletic populations. Return to sport within 1 year of surgery was 78%.
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Labral restoration has become the gold standard treatment for labral tears and femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) syndrome in hip arthroscopy.28 Compared with debridement, labral refixation leads to superior short-term, midterm, and long-term outcomes.7,15-17,28 However, labral refixation or “repair” requires good tissue quality to restore the “suction-seal” effect.15,16 Historically, labral debridement has been the alternative treatment of choice when the condition of the labrum is not amenable to refixation.5,11 Recently, restoring the labral seal mechanism via labral reconstruction has emerged as a viable option as a result of new technology and innovative surgical techniques.8,35,38 Arthroscopic labral reconstruction is not only complex but also a relatively new procedure.15,16,29,37,41,44 Limited literature is available on short- and midterm outcomes after hip labral reconstruction.3,25,43 Particularly, outcomes and rates
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of return to sport in athletic patients who undergo labral reconstruction warrant further investigation. In their cohort of 21 male patients, Boykin et al.\(^2\) reported an 81% rate of return to sport after arthroscopic labral reconstruction with iliotibial band autograft. However, their cohort comprised only male elite athletes; thus, their results may not be generalizable to all athletes who undergo labral reconstruction.

The purpose of this study was to report minimum 1-year clinical outcomes and the return-to-sport rate in athletic patients who underwent primary hip arthroscopy with labral reconstruction in the setting of FAI and irreparable labral tears. The authors hypothesized that athletes who underwent primary hip arthroscopy with labral reconstruction would demonstrate favorable patient-reported outcomes (PROs) at minimum 1-year follow-up and exhibit a favorable rate of return to sport within 1 year of surgery.

**METHODS**

**Patient Selection Criteria**

Data were prospectively collected and retrospectively analyzed for patients who underwent hip arthroscopy by the senior surgeon (B.G.D.) between August 2012 and December 2017. Patients were deemed eligible if they had undergone primary labral reconstruction during their arthroscopy and if they indicated participation in sports at a nonelite (high school, college, recreational, or amateur) level within the year before surgery. To isolate the effect of labral reconstruction on the ability to return to sport, patients were excluded if, in the sports survey, they reported not attempting to return to sport after surgery due to loss of interest, lifestyle changes, or other reasons unrelated to the hip. Additionally, patients were excluded if they had undergone any previous surgery on the ipsilateral hip; had a prior hip condition including Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease; had any inflammatory, connective tissue (Ehlers-Danlos syndrome), or neoplastic (pigmented villonodular synovitis) condition; or had dysplasia (lateral center-edge angle <18\(^\circ\)).\(^2\)\(^6\) Patients were included if they had minimum 1-year follow-up on the following PROs—modified Harris Hip Score (mHHS),\(^1\) Nonarthritic Hip Score (NAHS),\(^12\) and Hip Outcome Score—Sport Specific Subscale (HOS-SSS)\(^27\)—and completed a return-to-sport survey at a minimum 1 year postoperatively. Additionally, for further analysis, the study cohort was split into 2 groups: those who returned to sport and those who did not return to sport after surgery. The patient selection process is depicted in Figure 1.

The return-to-sport survey identified an athlete’s sport, highest level of participation within 1 year before surgery, and current level of participation in the respective sport. Pain was measured on a visual analog scale (VAS) from 0 to 10, with 10 being the most pain;\(^5\) patient satisfaction with the surgery results was measured on a 0- to 10-point scale, with 10 indicating the most satisfaction with the surgical results. In addition, the proportions of patients who surpassed the literature values for the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) and patient acceptable symptomatic state (PASS) for mHHS and HOS-SSS were recorded.\(^23\)

All patients participated in the American Hip Institute Hip Preservation Registry. Although the present study represents a unique analysis, data on some patients in this study have been reported in other studies. All data collection received institutional review board approval.

**Imaging Protocol**

The patient population underwent a preoperative and postoperative radiographic evaluation that included upright and supine anteroposterior pelvic, modified Dunn, and false-profile views. Measurements were made using GE Healthcare’s Picture Archiving and Communication System, and the Tönnis grade for osteoarthritis was assessed via the method described by Domb et al.\(^14\) Using the supine anteroposterior pelvic view, evaluators measured the lateral center-edge angle per the method described by Wiberg\(^45\) and measured the Tönnis angle (acetabular inclination) using the method described by Jessel et al.\(^21\) Alpha angle was measured on the modified Dunn view according to the method described by Nötzi et al.\(^30\) and the anterior center-edge angle was measured on the false profile view as described by Lequesne and de Seze.\(^22\) Preoperatively, magnetic resonance imaging or magnetic resonance arthrogram was performed on all patients.
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Surgical Indications for Hip Arthroscopy

All patients in this study attempted nonoperative treatment including physical therapy, activity modification, and anti-inflammatory medications for at least 3 months before undergoing surgical management of their symptoms.

Surgical Technique

During the study period, all surgical procedures were performed by the senior surgeon. As preparation for the procedure, patients were placed in the modified supine position and were prepared and draped in a sterile fashion. The senior surgeon established 3 portals—midanterior, anterolateral, and distal lateral—and performed a capsulotomy to access the hip joint. Diagnostic arthroscopy was performed in all cases. Articular cartilage damage was assessed using the Outerbridge, Seldes, and acetabular labrum articular disruption (ALAD) classification systems. Ligamentum teres tears and other intra-articular abnormalities were evaluated and treated.

The decision of whether to repair or reconstruct the labrum was made intraoperatively by the senior surgeon. Patients were considered for labral reconstruction if segmental labral defects and/or nonviable labral tissues were found during diagnostic arthroscopy. Labral reconstructions were performed with an autograft from the ipsilateral hamstring tendon from the knee or a hamstring allograft as described by Redmond et al.22 At the beginning of the study period, the senior surgeon used hamstring autografts; however, to decrease the risk of donor site morbidity, the protocol changed to hamstring allografts. The diseased part of the labrum was debrided with a 5-mm shaver, and acetabular rim trimming was then performed until the surface of the bone was revealed. The graft was prepared with FiberLoop stitches (Arthrex), which were passed 3 or 4 times at each end before being cut at approximately 2 mm past the defect on each side. The anterior end of the graft was secured by a 2.9-mm PushLock anchor (Arthrex), and the posterior end of the graft was secured with a SutureTak anchor (Arthrex). The middle graft section was anchored anteriorly to posterolaterally with Knotless SutureTak anchors (Arthrex).

Rehabilitation

All patients used crutches, were placed in a DonJoy hip brace (DJO Global), and were restricted to 20 lb (9 kg) weightbearing and 0° to 90° of motion for 6 weeks postoperatively. Patients also used a continuous passive motion machine and began a physical therapy regimen at 6 weeks postoperatively. Patients were prescribed 4 weeks of 32 mg naproxen twice daily.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Microsoft Excel and the Real Statistics Resource Pack add-in. Pre- and postoperative outcomes were compared by use of a paired t test, and the threshold of statistical significance was set at 0.05.
Normality was assessed via the Shapiro-Wilk test, and variance was assessed with the F test. Demographic differences between the groups who did and did not return to sport were assessed with the Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

Patient Demographics

Our patient population included 32 high school, college, recreational, and amateur athletes who underwent primary labral reconstruction. There were 2 baseball players, 4 cyclists, 3 dancers, 1 hockey player, 2 martial artists, 11 runners, 3 golfers, 1 soccer player, 1 tennis player, 1 equestrian, 1 skier, and 1 weightlifter. The group consisted of 18 males and 14 females, and the mean age and body mass index of the group were 40.3 years (range, 15.5-58.7 years) and 27.9 kg/m² (range, 19.6-40.1 kg/m²), respectively. The mean follow-up time was 26.4 months (range, 12-64.2 months). Additional patient demographics are shown in Table 1.

Intraoperative Findings and Surgical Procedures

Intraoperative findings and surgical procedures are delineated in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. No significant difference was noted in intraoperative findings or procedures performed in the patients who did and those who did not return to sport after surgery. In both groups, the most common intraoperative findings were Seldes tear types 1 and 2, ALAD grade 3, and femoral head Outerbridge grade 0. All patients in both groups underwent an acetabuloplasty and femoroplasty, with approximately 50% of both groups undergoing a capsular repair.

Patient-Reported Outcomes

On average, patients demonstrated statistically significant improvements in mHHS, NAHS, HOS-SSS, and VAS scores (P < .001) at latest follow-up (Table 4). No significant differences were found in preoperative and postoperative mHHS, NAHS, and HOS-SSS scores between the patients who did and those who did not return to sport after surgery.
For mHHS, 27 of 32 (84.4%) patients achieved MCID and 26 of 32 (81.3%) patients achieved PASS. For HOS-SSS, 28 of 32 (87.5%) patients achieved MCID and 24 of 32 (75%) patients achieved PASS. For the entire cohort, VAS pain scores decreased from 4.4 to 1.8, and the satisfaction with surgery was 7.9 out of 10.

Return to Sport

Of the 32 athletes who were analyzed, 25 (78%) returned to sport and 13 (40.6%) successfully returned to sport at the same or higher level within 1 year of their labral reconstruction (Table 5). We noted that 5 (15.6%) athletes were performing at a higher level at 1 year postoperatively compared with their respective preoperative level. We found that 7 (21.9%) athletes were not participating at all in their preoperative sport at 1 year postoperatively. All 7 patients who did not return to sport postoperatively cited hip symptoms as their primary reason for not returning to sport. The patients who did not return to sport still demonstrated significant improvement in all PROs ($P < .05$).

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrated that primary arthroscopic labral reconstruction in the context of irreparable tears or nonviable labral tissue and FAI led to significant improvement in multiple PROs at a minimum 1-year follow-up (mHHS, NAHS, HOS-SSS, and VAS). To provide clinical context, 84% and 81% of patients achieved MCID and PASS, respectively, for mHHS, and 88% and 75% achieved MCID and PASS, respectively, for HOS-SSS. In addition, the rate of return to sport was 78%, with 40.6% of patients returning to the same or higher level within 1 year of surgery.

Boykin et al reported favorable outcomes and a satisfactory rate of return to sport after arthroscopic labral reconstruction. In a cohort of 21 athletes, the authors found a significant improvement in HHS (from 67 to 84; $P = .026$) and HOS-SSS (from 56 to 77; $P = .009$). However, their cohort was entirely composed of male “elite” athletes, which makes their results difficult to generalize to all athletic patients. The current study included both female and male patients with varying athletic levels; thus, our results can
be extended to a broad athletic population that may undergo labral reconstruction.

In addition, Boykin et al. reported a significant, high rate of return to sport (85.7%), with 81% (17/21) of their patients returning to a similar postoperative level at short-term follow-up. The definitions of successful return to sport and postoperative level of performance were not entirely delineated for their patient population, and current literature advocates a strict definition of return to prevent overestimating return to sport. The rate of return to sport in the present study (78%) was lower, yet still favorable. An athlete's decision-making process for returning to sport after surgery is often multifaceted. In addition to hip symptoms, dedication to sport and economic factors can influence return to sport in elite athletes.

We believe that labral repair is the gold standard for labral tear treatment, as studies have shown excellent outcomes and high rates of return to sport after labral repair. However, in cases of irreparable, nonviable, or calcified labra, labral reconstruction has recently emerged as a viable procedure. Literature shows that in these cases, labral reconstruction can restore labral function and is preferred over debridement or excision. An athlete's decision-making process for returning to sport in the present study (78%) was lower, yet still favorable. Although both labral repair and labral reconstruction lead to favorable PROs, long-term data on outcomes and return to sport are overwhelmingly more available for patients who undergo labral repair. Literature shows favorable VAS, patient satisfaction, and return to sport after labral repair. Furthermore, labral reconstruction is among the most challenging procedures in hip arthroscopy, as evidenced by the handful of surgeons currently performing labral reconstructions.

This study has several strengths. Currently, literature is lacking on clinical outcomes and rate of return to sports after primary hip arthroscopy with labral reconstruction in the setting of FAI and irreparable labra. To our knowledge, this study is one of the first to report athletic function and return to sport after arthroscopic primary labral reconstruction. The use of multiple validated functional hip outcome scores specific to nonarthritic hips increases the validity and generalizability of our results. Additionally, the return-to-sport analysis in the current study is very detailed, as we reported postoperative athletic level compared with preoperative athletic level and the reasons patients engaged in a lower athletic level postoperatively. Moreover, we addressed the understanding that statistical significance does not necessarily equal clinical significance by analyzing the proportion of patients who achieved PASS and MCID for mHHS and HOS-SSS.

Limitations

The findings of the current study highlight some limitations that must be acknowledged. First, this was a nonrandomized study with no control group. As such, confounding variables may have influenced our results. Second, the retrospective nature introduces some bias. Third, this study included a single high-volume hip preservation surgeon, which may limit the generalizability of the results, especially since hip arthroscopy and particularly labral reconstruction have been recognized as procedures with steep learning curves. Fourth, the labral treatment decision algorithm is based on the senior author's expertise, which may introduce bias. Fifth, some patients who had surgery during this study period did not allow their surgical data to be used in research and statistical analysis, and this omission could have influenced the results. Sixth, our analysis included only patients who indicated participation in sports 1 year prior to surgery. Some athletes who were unable to participate in sports before surgery due to hip pain may have been excluded from analysis, which could have influenced our results and conclusion. Seventh, as this study analyzes short-term follow-up, long-term studies are needed to assess the durability of the results.

CONCLUSION

At minimum 1-year follow-up, primary arthroscopic labral reconstruction, in the setting of FAI and irreparable labral tears, was associated with significant improvement in PROs in an athletic population. The rate of return to sport within 1 year of surgery was 78%.
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