

1-2020

Outcomes in Knot vs Knotless Surgery in Labrum Tears

Zachary Howell

Thomas Jefferson University, zachary.howell@jefferson.edu

Benjamin Hendy, MD

*Thomas Jefferson University, Benjamin.Hendy@jefferson.edu*Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#), [Surgery Commons](#), and the [Translational Medical Research Commons](#)[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Howell, Zachary and Hendy, MD, Benjamin, "Outcomes in Knot vs Knotless Surgery in Labrum Tears" (2020). *Phase 1*. Paper 53.https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1/53

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Outcomes in Knot vs Knotless Surgery in Labrum Tears

Zachary Howell, Benjamin Hendy, MD*

A Bankart lesion is a tear of the anterior and inferior glenoid labrum. The primary method of repairing this lesion is to sew the detached part back to the joint, however there is a relatively newer method of doing so that does not involve tying a knot in the joint which may cause less joint irritation. The question our research project attempted to answer was: How do the long-term outcomes associated with knotless Bankart labrum repairs compare with the knot repairs? A retrospective cohort study was done with Rothman Institute Bankart lesion patients from 2010-2016. Data was gathered over phone calls and responses to certain categorical questions like motion, function, stability and return to OR were measured on a scale 0-3. The mean score of these categories was calculated and many of them were close to identical. One category where there was some degree of difference was stability (0=no subluxation, 3=recurrent dislocation). Those who had the knot surgery reported a mean of 1.53 level of instability and those who had the knotless surgery reported a mean of 1.13. It was previously hypothesized that the knotless surgery would have fewer detrimental effects and although this is possible with a lower instability rating, the results were not statistically significant since the p-value was 0.43. However, it is possible that this result can be clinically significant for surgeons when deciding which surgical technique to employ.