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Patients with Atrial Fibrillation Undergoing Total Joint Arthroplasty Increase Hospital Burden

Vinay K. Aggarwal, BA, Eric H. Tischler, BA, Zachary D. Post, MD, Ian Kane, BS, Fabio R. Orozco, MD, and Alvin Ong, MD

Investigation performed at The Rothman Institute of Orthopedics at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Background: More than 3 million people in the United States have atrial fibrillation, most of whom are being managed with anticoagulation therapy for life. The goal of the present study was to examine the effect of chronic anticoagulation therapy on patients with atrial fibrillation who undergo total joint arthroplasty.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed all patients undergoing aseptic primary or revision total joint arthroplasty at our facility from March 2007 to August 2011. One hundred and sixty-one patients with atrial fibrillation (Group A) were compared with 161 matched controls (Group B). A total of 112 hips and 210 knees underwent 239 primary arthroplasties and eighty-three revisions. The groups were compared with use of conditional logistic regression (with matching on the basis of the involved joint [hip or knee], type of procedure [revision or primary], age, and sex) with regard to the length of hospital stay, postoperative hemoglobin levels, transfusion requirements, and readmissions.

Results: The preoperative length of stay (1.7 versus 0.2 days; \( p < 0.0001 \)), postoperative length of stay (4.6 versus 3.2 days; \( p = 0.0002 \)), and total length of stay (6.3 versus 3.4 days; \( p < 0.0001 \)) were significantly longer for patients with atrial fibrillation (Group A). Hemoglobin levels were lower (but not significantly so) for Group A at baseline (13.1 versus 13.8 mg/dL), on Postoperative Day 2 (10.1 versus 10.6 mg/dL), on Postoperative Day 3 (9.8 versus 10.2 mg/dL), on Postoperative Day 4 (9.6 versus 10.1 mg/dL), on Postoperative Day 5 (9.7 versus 9.9 mg/dL), and at discharge (9.9 versus 10.3 mg/dL). Group A had a significantly higher prevalence of blood transfusion (15.5% versus 3.7%; \( p = 0.0005 \)) and periprosthetic joint infection (5.6% versus 0.62%; \( p = 0.0196 \)). A diagnosis of atrial fibrillation (odds ratio, 4.09; 95% confidence interval, 2.05 to 8.18; \( p < 0.0001 \)) significantly increased the odds of total joint arthroplasty complication and the need for hospital readmission.

Conclusions: Patients with preoperative atrial fibrillation undergoing total joint arthroplasty had an increased length of hospital stay, increased transfusion requirements, and an increased risk of periprosthetic joint infection and unplanned hospital readmission.

Level of Evidence: Prognostic Level II. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

Atrial fibrillation is the most common chronic cardiac arrhythmia in the United States, occurring in an estimated 3.2 million people in 2005\(^1\). It has been projected that by the year 2050, the number of Americans with atrial fibrillation will increase to almost 8 million\(^2\). The prevalence of this cardiac dysrhythmia increases dramatically in the elderly population, to 9.0% in persons eighty years of age or older\(^3\). At a time when health-care costs are increasingly scrutinized, the economic and health-care burdens of patients with atrial fibrillation are approximately five times those of patients without atrial fibrillation\(^4\). In 2005, an estimated $6.65 billion was spent on the treatment of patients who have this condition in the United States\(^5\).

Much of this health-care burden is due to the additional medical utilization associated with chronic anticoagulation therapy, which is lifelong for most individuals with atrial fibrillation\(^6\). The American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) recommends that patients with atrial fibrillation receive high-dose aspirin or
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Atrial fibrillation episode 4 0  
Gastrointestinal complication 4 0  
Cardiopulmonary event 7 1  
Mechanical joint failure 8 7  
Cardiopulmonary event 7 1  
Gastrointestinal complication 4 0  
Atrial fibrillation episode 4 0  
Other 2 0  
Total 41 10

*The values are given as the number of patients.
The mean age was 72.8 years for the atrial fibrillation group and 69.0 years for the control group. The mean BMI was 31.2 for the atrial fibrillation group and 30.4 for the control group. There were 123 primary procedures (76.4%) and thirty-eight revision procedures (23.6%) in the atrial fibrillation group, compared with 116 primary procedures (72.0%) and forty-five revision procedures (28.0%) in the control group. The baseline characteristics other than age were not significantly different between the two groups (Table I).

A thorough chart review utilizing electronic medical records was used to ascertain information regarding outcomes of interest. The primary outcome of our study analysis was hospital burden as measured on the basis of preoperative, postoperative, and total length of stay. The preoperative length of stay was defined as the interval between hospital admission and surgery start time, the postoperative length of stay was defined as the interval between surgery start time and hospital discharge time, and the total length of stay was defined as the interval between hospital admission and hospital discharge time. The presence of postoperative anemia was assessed through the measurement of hemoglobin (Hgb) levels at baseline (prior to surgery), on Postoperative Days 1 through 5, and on the day of discharge. Additionally, the INR at the time of discharge was recorded for the evaluation of anticoagulation therapy and bleeding risk in both groups. Blood transfusion requirements were recorded as binary variables based on the need for allogeneic postoperative red blood cell transfusion as compared with no need for transfusion. Finally, complications and readmissions following discharge from the hospital for reasons related to the surgical procedure or the diagnosis of atrial fibrillation were obtained from a review of medical records.

Means and frequencies were calculated for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The length of hospital stay was reported as the mean and the standard deviation (in days). Hemoglobin levels were reported as the mean (in mg/dL). The need for blood transfusion and the readmission rate were modeled with use of conditional logistic regression. Conditional logistic regression was used as all patients were matched for potentially confounding variables of joint (hip or knee), age, sex, and procedure (primary or revision).

Source of Funding
No external funding was received for this study.

Results
The preoperative, postoperative, and total lengths of stay were all significantly associated with the diagnosis of preexisting atrial fibrillation. The mean preoperative length of stay (and standard deviation) was 1.7 ± 0.7 days for patients with atrial fibrillation and 0.2 ± 0.2 day for patients without atrial fibrillation (p < 0.0001). The mean postoperative length of stay was 4.6 ± 4.6 days for patients with atrial fibrillation and 3.2 ± 1.1 days for patients without atrial fibrillation (p = 0.0002). The mean total length of stay was 6.3 ± 4.7 days for patients with atrial fibrillation and 3.4 ± 1.1 days for patients without atrial fibrillation (p < 0.0001).

![Fig. 1](http://jbjs.org/)

Line graph showing that the mean hemoglobin level for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) was slightly lower on all days of hospitalization except for Postoperative Day (POD) 1. No differences reached significance.
TABLE IV Complications Following Total Joint Arthroplasty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication Category</th>
<th>Atrial Fibrillation Group*</th>
<th>Control Group*</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Periprosthetic joint infection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding event</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical joint failure</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis/cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the number of patients.

The mean hemoglobin levels on the day of admission (baseline), on Postoperative Days 1 through 5, and on the day of discharge did not differ significantly between the groups (Fig. 1). At the time of discharge, the INR for patients with atrial fibrillation was nontherapeutic and was not significantly different from that for patients without atrial fibrillation (1.8 versus 1.7; \( p = 0.1176 \)).

The prevalence of blood transfusion was 15.5% (twenty-five of 161) for patients with atrial fibrillation and 3.7% (six of 161) for patients without atrial fibrillation (\( p = 0.0005 \)). Forty-one (25.5%) of the 161 patients with atrial fibrillation had an unplanned readmission related to surgery, whereas just ten (6.2%) of the 161 patients without atrial fibrillation were readmitted (\( p < 0.0001 \)) (Table II). A diagnosis of atrial fibrillation increased the odds of blood transfusion by 5.75 times (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.99 to 16.65) (\( p = 0.0012 \)) and increased the odds of unplanned readmission by 4.09 times (95% CI, 2.05 to 8.18) (\( p < 0.0001 \)) (Table III).

The overall rate of complications related to total joint arthroplasty surgery was significantly greater in the atrial fibrillation group (38.5% versus 11.2%; \( p < 0.0001 \)). Table IV shows all complications in both groups by category. Cardiovascular complications included hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia, congestive heart failure, arrhythmic episode, or myocardial infarction requiring some form of acute in-hospital treatment. Wound-related complications included hematoma formation, dehiscence, cellulitis, or superficial infection. Mechanical joint complications included arthrofibrosis, aseptic prosthetic loosening, joint instability, and knee extensor mechanism failure. Bleeding events included hematoma, gastrointestinal bleeding, and blood in the stool. Of the five patients in the atrial fibrillation group who experienced septic or cardiogenic shock, two died. The “other” category included painful paresthesias, urinary retention, urinary tract infection, postoperative pneumonia, and gastrointestinal upset.

**Discussion**

Atrial fibrillation is a common cardiac comorbidity that carries a one-in-four lifetime risk of development for both men and women forty years of age and older. This condition predominantly affects the elderly, with a mean age of 66.8 years in men and 74.6 years in women. Kurtz et al. reported that the demand for all arthroplasty procedures is projected to grow dramatically over the next several decades. Their analysis showed that elderly patients in the sixty-five to eighty-four-year-old age group are expected to have considerably higher rates of total joint arthroplasty procedures than younger patients are. We are aware of no studies regarding the additional costs associated with the management of patients with atrial fibrillation who undergo total joint arthroplasty. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate this increased health-care burden with use of a case-controlled matched study of patients in our institution.

Our study illustrates the considerable additional economic cost associated with caring for patients with preexisting atrial fibrillation who undergo total joint arthroplasty. The mean preoperative, postoperative, and total lengths of stay were significantly longer in this group. Other medical specialties have reported on hospital stay and associated costs for patients with atrial fibrillation. In a cohort of patients hospitalized with atrial fibrillation as a secondary discharge diagnosis, Song et al. showed a similar increase in the mean length of stay (1.84 days longer) and hospital cost ($3146 greater). In patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery, several studies have identified atrial fibrillation as a strong independent predictor of hospital stay prolongation after surgery. These findings are all in agreement with the analysis of our data. However, those studies highlight the complications that can occur as the result of an episode of atrial fibrillation in the hospital and do not focus on the surgical risks and considerations pertaining to patients with preexisting atrial fibrillation.

A major reason for the increased hospital burden associated with patients with atrial fibrillation who undergo total joint arthroplasty is the delicate balance of their anticoagulation therapy. Not only does it take almost four days for the antithrombotic effect of warfarin to recede, but three days are also typically required to reestablish therapeutic anticoagulation after resuming the medication. Although not universally practiced across the
country, at our institution we preadmit patients who are receiving anticoagulation therapy in order to ensure that a safe INR is obtained for surgery. The ACCP guidelines for patients with atrial fibrillation who require temporary interruption in warfarin therapy state that bridging anticoagulation with heparin is recommended for patients with high thromboembolic risk or for those undergoing high-risk procedures. Although many consider total joint arthroplasty to be a procedure that is associated with a high risk of thrombotic and bleeding events, Chana et al. and Rhodes et al. suggested that interruption of warfarin therapy is not required during joint arthroplasty and does not lead to a higher rate of complications. As these were both small retrospective studies with few patients (twenty-four and thirty-eight patients, respectively), we suggest that larger clinical trials in patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty will provide more definitive guidance for perioperative anticoagulation management of patients who have atrial fibrillation.

The management of patients who have atrial fibrillation and undergo total joint arthroplasty is burdensome not only because of the increased length of stay in the hospital associated with anticoagulation but also because of risks associated with greater postoperative bleeding. In the present study, increased bleeding was reflected by postoperative anemia and higher blood transfusion rates. Parvizi et al. reported that excessive postoperative bleeding complications, such as hematoma formation and wound drainage, were significant risk factors for the development of periprosthetic joint infection. In addition, Pulido et al. found that allogeneic blood transfusion after surgery was an independent predisposing risk factor for periprosthetic joint infection, with an odds ratio (OR) of 2.11 (p = 0.02). While it was beyond the scope of the present study to analyze the direct correlation between blood loss or transfusion and periprosthetic joint infection, we did find that the prevalence of periprosthetic joint infection was 5.6% (nine of 161) in the atrial fibrillation group, compared with 0.62% (one of 161) in the control group (p = 0.0196). To demonstrate the dangers of blood loss further, the use of allogeneic blood transfusion has been linked to a 3.5-times greater likelihood of admission to the intensive care unit after total joint arthroplasty.

We found that a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation significantly increased the odds of hospital readmission after discharge following total joint arthroplasty (OR, 4.09; 95% CI, 2.05 to 8.18). In the present health-care environment, reimbursements will soon be tied to high-quality, efficient care, otherwise known as pay-for-performance. Orthopaedic surgeons who perform total joint arthroplasty and whose practice includes patients with atrial fibrillation may be adversely affected by the increased rate of unplanned readmission that we found. Rather than deny joint reconstruction procedures to this patient population, surgeons must instead focus on the prevention of complications. A commentary by Bozic et al. suggested that with newer reimbursement policies in orthopaedic practices, medical treatment may suffer because of inevitable health-care provider gaming and patient deselection, placing the burden on lower-tier, lower-quality providers. Our goal in presenting this study is to highlight the issues that orthopaedic surgeons face when patients with atrial fibrillation are candidates for total joint arthroplasty.

The limitations of the present study are inherent to its retrospective design. Because the majority of our patients were receiving warfarin for the treatment of chronic atrial fibrillation, we were unable to evaluate the effect of newer medications such as dabigatran on the postoperative parameters studied. Nonetheless, our study is in accordance with previous literature on the challenges that patients with atrial fibrillation pose as the population continues to age. In addition, we utilized a matched case-controlled study design to ensure that we accounted for as many potentially confounding risk factors as possible. One additional limitation is that because all arthroplasties were limited to one institution and were performed by only two surgeons, it may be difficult to generalize the results from our analysis. However, our homogenous patient population and standardized surgical protocol ensured a reliable comparison between the two patient groups being examined. Finally, because of a lack of literature surrounding this topic, we were unable to make direct comparisons with other studies of patients with preexisting atrial fibrillation who underwent total joint arthroplasty.

In conclusion, patients with preoperative atrial fibrillation who underwent total joint arthroplasty at our institution had increased length of hospital stay, increased postoperative anemia and transfusion requirements, and an increased risk of complications and unplanned readmissions. As complications and readmissions can negatively affect hospital and physician reimbursement, we recommend increased surveillance of these patients with atrial fibrillation to appropriately manage expectations and to decrease complication rates.
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