

Department of Physical Therapy Faculty Papers

Department of Physical Therapy

10-5-2023

Greater Number of Weekly Stairs Climbed is Associated With Lower Low Back Pain Prevalence Among Female but not Male Physical Therapists

Amy H. Amabile

Sharon L. Larson Thomas Jefferson University

Lisa T. Hoglund Thomas Jefferson University

John P. Guarnieri Thomas Jefferson University

Maureen McDonald Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/ptfp

Part of the Physical Therapy Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Amabile, Amy H.; Larson, Sharon L.; Hoglund, Lisa T.; Guarnieri, John P.; McDonald, Maureen; and Reich, Madeline R., "Greater Number of Weekly Stairs Climbed is Associated With Lower Low Back Pain Prevalence Among Female but not Male Physical Therapists" (2023). *Department of Physical Therapy Faculty Papers*. Paper 33. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/ptfp/33

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Physical Therapy Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri, Maureen McDonald, and Madeline R. Reich



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Amabile AH, Larson SL, Hoglund LT, Guarnieri JP, McDonald M, Reich MR (2023) Greater number of weekly stairs climbed is associated with lower low back pain prevalence among female but not male physical therapists. PLoS ONE 18(10): e0292489. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0292489

Editor: Mohamed El-Sayed Abdel-Wanis, Sohag University Faculty of Medicine, EGYPT

Received: March 21, 2023

Accepted: September 21, 2023

Published: October 5, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Amabile et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Greater number of weekly stairs climbed is associated with lower low back pain prevalence among female but not male physical therapists

Amy H. Amabile¹^{*}, Sharon L. Larson², Lisa T. Hoglund³, John P. Guarnieri^{4‡}, Maureen McDonald^{5†}, Madeline R. Reich^{3‡}

 Department of Biomedical Education and Data Science, Lewis Katz School of Medicine, Temple University, MERB 457, Philadelphia, PA, United States of America, 2 College of Population Health, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 3 Department of Physical Therapy, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 4 Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 5 Department of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work

* amy.amabile@temple.edu

Abstract

Introduction

Certain cardiovascular health benefits of stair climbing are now widely accepted, but no prior studies have as yet been found linking the quantity of stairs climbed to low back pain (LBP) morbidity. Low back pain is a common musculoskeletal impairment, and research has begun to show an association between LBP and gluteus maximus (GM) weakness. With stair climbing being the activity which most activates GM, the aim of the present research was to assess the relationship between stair ambulation and LBP prevalence. The hypothesis of this cross-sectional study was that individuals with LBP would report a significantly lower numbers of stair flights climbed compared with individuals without LBP.

Methods

A survey tool was developed and distributed via email to a convenience sample of orthopedic physical therapists. Survey items included information regarding medical history, physical activity, workplace, and LBP factors, using a one-year prevalence period.

Results

A total of 363 respondents took the survey and, after application of exclusion criteria, 248 records remained in our final sample. When analyzing all genders together, non LBP (NLBP) respondents reported a mean of 51.62 flights climbed per week; and LBP respondents reported 37.82 flights climbed per week, with P = 0.077. When males and females were analyzed separately, a statistically significant difference in mean number of flights of

stairs climbed was found among female respondents (61.51 flights climbed for NLBP and 35.61 flights climbed for LBP females; P = 0.031). When analyzed based on chronicity of LBP, an even stronger association between stairs climbed and LBP prevalence was found for female respondents with acute LBP (P = 0.009).

Conclusions

More weekly stairs climbed was associated with a lower LBP prevalence among females, especially with respect to acute LBP. Randomized, longitudinal research is, however, required to confirm a relationship between stair climbing and LBP.

Introduction

The potential health impact of stair climbing was first recognized in 1953 with the publication of the London Transport Worker's Study [1,2], which found a negative correlation between coronary artery disease incidence and multiple types of physical activity, including stairs climbed in the course of a workday. The relationship between stair climbing and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality has continued to be studied over subsequent decades [3–5]; however, no prior studies have as yet been found linking the quantity of stairs climbed to low back pain (LBP) morbidity.

LBP is a common musculoskeletal impairment, with a mean point prevalence among adults worldwide of 8.2% [6], and a 3-month prevalence of 28% among adults in the United States (US) [7]. Total LBP costs, including health care expenses and lost days of productivity, are estimated to range from \$46 to \$200 billion dollars annually in the US alone [8–10]. In spite of its complex, multifactorial etiology, there is now almost universal agreement among both researchers and clinicians that exercise is one of the most effective treatments for non-specific LBP [11–13]. A wide variety of recommended exercises are seen in the literature [14–16], but many patients do not respond to any intervention, and LBP recurrence rates remain high [17]. There is, therefore, an ongoing need for the development of new, conservative, low-cost, and effective exercise interventions for this problem.

Recent research has begun to show an association between LBP and gluteus maximus (GM) cross-sectional area (CSA) and strength [18–20], but the causal mechanism remains theoretical [21]. Stair climbing is the daily activity which most activates GM [22,23], and if GM size and strength are related to LBP prevalence, the number of stairs climbed should also be associated with LBP prevalence. The aim of the present research was, therefore, to assess the relationship between self-reported stair ambulation and LBP prevalence. Our hypothesis was that individuals with LBP would report a significantly lower number of stair flights climbed compared with individuals without LBP, over a one-year prevalence period.

Methods

This study was found to be exempt from Institutional Review Board Review (IRB Control #22E.260); however, separate consent forms for both the email survey and focus group, described below, were created and approved by the Thomas Jefferson University Office of Human Research prior to execution of study activities. Our survey was developed using a Qualtrics (Provo, UT) platform, and distributed via email to a convenience sample of physical therapists who were members of the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA)

Academy of Orthopedic Physical Therapy (AOPT). Physical therapists were chosen in order to increase the homogeneity in our sample and thereby strengthen the internal validity of our findings. They have a similar socioeconomic and educational level, which have both been shown to be correlated with LBP [24,25]. In addition, physical therapists possess a uniform knowledge base and have a common vocabulary related to patient medical history, LBP definitions, and physical activity-levels, which are all variables of interest in this research. This commonality is derived from a standardized curriculum in US physical therapy schools mandated by the Commission on Accreditation in Physical Therapy Education [26].

The scope of our proposed survey was broad and included multiple topic areas involving medical history, physical activity, workplace factors, as well as LBP prevalence, symptoms, chronicity, and treatment. Realizing that there was no one existing survey tool that met this criteria motivated us to design our own tool. Our team included a trained survey and qualitative researcher (SL) as a co-investigator, and she supervised the design of the tool, and the planning and execution of the focus group of physical therapists who helped to validate it. The survey went through multiple iterations before piloting and validation with our focus group. Although this was an original tool, the inspfiration for our survey items came from multiple sources, including: the National Health Interview Survey [7] for health-related and demographic questions; the Harvard Alumni Study [3] for quantification of stair climbing; the International Physical Activity Questionnaire [27] for questions related to physical activity; McDonald et al's Physical Activity in Sonographers [28] for questions on work-related activity; the Brief Pain Inventory [29,30] and National Health Interview Survey [7] for pain-related questions; the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score [31,32] for questions related to functional and recreational activity levels; Skoogh et al's [33] single item tool for depression and anxiety questions; and Snyder et al's [34] single item tool for a question on sleep quality.

We chose a one-year prevalence period because it is commonly used in LBP studies [17,35,36], and would allow for us to capture more LBP episodes among our respondents. Definitions of LBP can vary widely and, for the present research, was defined as pain located between the 12th rib and the gluteal fold that may radiate into the proximal or distal lower extremity. This is consistent with Dionne et al's [37] Delphi Study on LBP definitions, and is a frequently used definition in LBP studies [36,38].

Stratification of LBP cases based on symptom duration varies considerably among researchers. Chronic LBP is almost universally defined as pain lasting more than three months [39]; however, time frames for acute and sub-acute LBP vary considerably [11,38,40–42]. We chose the stratification scheme proposed by Kovacs et al [43], because it was based on a rigorous, multi-center analysis of the appropriate cutoff between acute and subacute pain with respect to patient reported quality of life and disability measures. Final LBP chronicity stratification was as follows: acute pain defined as lasting from 0 through 14 days; subacute pain defined as lasting from 15 days through 12 weeks; and chronic LBP defined as lasting more than 12 weeks.

The survey tool was validated using a focus group of 8 licensed physical therapists working as outpatient therapists within our institution's health care system. Group participants were recruited by word of mouth through one of the project investigators, and all underwent a prior digital consent, and then a second, verbal consent process at the start of the session. Participants were emailed the link, and took the survey in advance, and the one-hour focus group was conducted via Zoom (Zoom Video Communications, Inc., San Jose CA). Focus group topics included usability, clarity, appropriateness of questions, missing topic areas, and the ability of the tool to reflect possible covariates of LBP. All focus group participants received a \$50 gift card to compensate them for participation. Multiple changes were then made to the survey tool based on focus group feedback, including wording changes to increase consistency of

response to physical activity and medical history questions, and the addition of items related to psychosocial covariates. A list of the final survey questions is available as an Appendix.

The survey was distributed via a one-time email to the membership list of the APTA AOPT and kept open from 9/7/22 to 10/3/22. Inclusion criteria were: being both a physical therapist and a member of AOPT. Exclusion criteria included: previous spinal, pelvic, or lower extremity fracture; previous major neurological diagnosis; osteoporosis diagnosis; any surgery in the previous 12 months; and > 10 face-to-face or telehealth medical provider visits in last 12 months. This was an anonymous survey, with all data collected being completely deidentified from the respondent. Survey respondents provided consent by clicking on the link in the recruitment email, and were again prompted to consent with the first question in order to continue the survey.

Statistical analysis

Our power analysis was based on Rey-Lopez's [3] stair climbing data from the Harvard Alumni Study, which was the best available model from the literature. Sample size calculation was performed using the University of British Columbia online sample size and power calculator [44], with a projected mean between-group difference of 25 flights of stairs climbed per week as a greater effect size; and a difference of 10 flights per week between groups as a lower effect size. This yielded a minimum required size for each sample ranging from 23 respondents to 142 respondents per group, respectively.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 28.0.1.0 (Armonk, NY) for Windows. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality was significant for most variables, indicating data were not normally distributed. Our sample size, however, met the accepted threshold which allowed the use of parametric tests for our data analysis [45]. Between group means were compared using a two-tailed Independent samples *t*-test for interval/ratio variables, and applying Levene's test to assess homogeneity of variance for each measured variable. One-way ANOVA tests with Bonferroni correction were used to compare means when LBP was stratified into 4 groups based on chronicity. Pearson's Chi-Square test for association was used to compare nominal variables, and Pearson's product-moment correlation tests were used for all variable correlations. Statistical significance was defined as $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

The survey was emailed to a total of 15,203 individuals, with 8,941 (58.8%) emails opened, and 363 respondents (4.1%) clicking on and starting to take the survey. Thirty-seven respondents did not complete the survey and their records were removed, leaving a sample size of 326. After application of exclusion criteria, 78 records were removed and 248 records remained in our final sample for analysis.

No significant differences in gender, age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), years as a physical therapist, and work setting were found between the no LBP (NLBP) and LBP groups (Table 1). A significant difference in full-time versus part-time work status was found between the two groups; however, a Pearson's product-moment correlation assessing the relationship between hours worked per week and LBP chronicity in our sample showed no correlation (r (246) = 0.126; P = 0.047), and therefore full-time work status was eliminated from consideration as a covariate.

Between group differences in stairs climbed

An independent samples *t*-test was performed to assess differences in mean number of flights of stairs climbed per week between LBP and NLBP respondents. Results of this means testing are summarized in Table 2 and in Fig 1. When analyzing male and female respondents

Variable	No Low Bacl	k Pain (n = 56)	Low Back P	P-value	
No Low Back Pain (n = 56) Mean Range Gender Male/Female, n 26/29 n/a Age, years 43.7 26.0-69.0 Height, inches 66.5 55.0-78.0 Weight, pounds 166.5 75.0-290.0 BMI 26.3 13.7-40.7 Years as a Physical Therapist 17.4 1.0-46.0 Work in Outpatient Setting 46 (82%) n/a		Mean	Range		
Gender Male/Female, n	26/29	n/a	71/119	n/a	0.186 ^a
Age, years	43.7	26.0-69.0	42.2	24.0-77.0	0.429 ^b
Height, inches	66.5	55.0-78.0	66.8	54.0-77.0	0.704 ^b
Weight, pounds	166.5	75.0-290.0	168.9	102.0-330.0	0.698 ^b
BMI	26.3	13.7-40.7	26.6	18.1-47.3	0.727 ^b
Years as a Physical Therapist	17.4	1.0-46.0	16.4	1.0-46.0	0.611 ^b
Work in Outpatient Setting	46 (82%)	n/a	171 (89%)	n/a	0.168 ^a
Work Full-time ^c	35 (62%)	n/a	152 (79%)	n/a	0.011 ^a

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants.

^a Pearson Chi-Square

^b Independent Samples t-test

^c Full-time > 32 hours/week.

Statistical Significance ($P \le 0.05$).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292489.t001

together, NLBP respondents reported a mean of 51.62 (± 54.36; 95% CI, 37.06 to 66.17) flights climbed per week; and LBP respondents reported 37.82 (± 34.53; 95% CI, 32.91 to 42.74) flights climbed per week, (t(246) = 1.796; P = 0.077).

Between group means for LBP were also analyzed separately for male and female respondents (Table 2). Three respondents declined to specify their gender and were therefore removed from this gender subgroup analysis. There was no significant difference between the LBP and NLBP groups for male respondents; however, a statistically significant difference in mean number of flights of stairs climbed per week was found among female respondents. Male NLBP respondents reported a mean of 41.41 (± 47.80; 95% CI, 22.10 to 60.72) flights climbed per week; and male LBP respondents reported 38.47 (± 31.32; 95% CI, 31.06 to 45.89) flights climbed per week, (t(95) = 0.352; P = 0.726). Female NLBP respondents reported a mean of 61.51 (± 59.57; 95% CI, 38.85 to 84.17) flights climbed per week; and female LBP respondents reported 35.61 (± 32.68; 95% CI, 29.68 to 41.54) flights climbed per week, (t(146) = 2.260; P = 0.031).

A one-way ANOVA was performed to compare mean flights of stairs climbed based on chronicity of LBP, which showed that differences in stairs climbed between these LBP chronicity groups was not significant, F(3,244) = 1.906, P = 0.129. When male and female respondents were analyzed separately, however, the one-way ANOVA was found to be significant for female respondent LBP chronicity, with F(3,144) = 3.592, P = 0.015. A Bonferroni post-hoc test was then performed and confirmed that the difference in stair flights climbed between NLBP and acute LBP respondents was statistically significant (P = 0.009), but no other between group differences were significant (Table 3).

Table 2. Between group	differences in w	eekly stairs c	limbed
------------------------	------------------	----------------	--------

Variable			No Low Back Pain			P-value ^a			
	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	
Weekly stair flights climbed, males & females (n = 248)	56	51.62	<10 - >200 flights	37.06-66.17	192	37.82	<10 - >200 flights	32.90-42.74	0.077
Weekly stair flights climbed, males only (n = 97)	26	41.41	<10 - >200 flights	22.10-60.72	71	38.47	<10-150 flights	31.06-45.89	0.726
Weekly stair flights climbed, females only (n = 148)	29	61.51	<10 - >200 flights	38.85-84.17	119	35.61	<10 - >200 flights	29.68-41.54	0.031

^aIndependent samples t-test.

Statistical Significance ($P \le 0.05$).





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292489.g001

Table 3.	Between grou	p differences i	in weekly	stairs climbe	ed with s	stratification	for chr	onicity
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Variable	No LBP		Acu	te LBP	Sub-A	cute LBP	Chronic LBP		P-value
	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	
Weekly stair flights climbed, males & females (n = 248)	56	51.62	138	36.48	26	41.18	28	41.31	0.129 ^a
Weekly stair flights climbed, males only (n = 97)	26	41.41	54	39.63	8	30.22	9	38.89	0.900 ^a
Weekly stair flights climbed, females only (n = 148)	29	61.51	83	33.92	17	36.22	19	42.46	0.015 ^a
Weekly stair flights climbed, females only No LBP vs. ACUTE (n = 112)	29	61.51	83	33.92	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.009 ^b

^aOne-way ANOVA ^bBonferroni post-hoc test.

Statistical Significance (P \leq 0.05).

Variable			D Low Back F	ain	Low Back Pain				P-value ^a
	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	
Weekly miles walked, males & females (n = 247)	56	18.64	<1-42	15.74– 21.54	191	17.01	1 - >50	15.52– 18.49	0.307
Weekly miles jogged/run, males & females (n = 248)	56	5.98	<1 - >50	3.35-8.61	192	4.40	<1-30	3.53-5.27	0.258
Weekly hours spent standing, males & females (n = 247)	56	30.36	<1 - >50	26.01- 34.70	191	32.01	1 - >50	29.92- 34.10	0.470
Weekly hours spent sitting, males & females (n = 247)	56	36.09	<10 - >100	29.70- 42.48	191	35.49	<10 - >100	32.93- 38.05	0.862
Weekly hours spent on physically demanding patient care, males & females $(n = 248)$	56	2.88	0 - >40	1.15–4.61	192	3.57	0-25	2.87-4.27	0.394

Table 4. Between group differences in recall of distance and time spent on weekly exercise and physical activities.

^aIndependent samples t-test. Statistical Significance ($P \le 0.05$).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292489.t004

Between group differences for other physical activities, psychosocial variables, and gender

The relationship between other types of physical activity, both recreational and occupational, and LBP prevalence, was also analyzed (Tables 4 and 5). The only significant between-group difference other than stair climbing was found for weekly resistance training among males and females combined, and for males only. This was measured on a 5 point Likert scale, with 1 being the lowest intensity and 5 being the highest intensity. For both genders combined, self-reported resistance training intensity was 2.98 (\pm 1.37; 95% CI, 2.62 to 3.35) for NLBP respondents; and 2.53 (\pm 1.31; 95% CI, 2.34 to 2.72) for LBP respondents, (t(244) = 2.206; P = 0.028). For males only, resistance training intensity was 3.12 (\pm 1.37; 95% CI, 2.56 to 3.67) for NLBP respondents; and 2.47 (\pm 1.38; 95% CI, 2.14 to 2.80) for LBP respondents, (t(94) = 2.037; P = 0.044).

Questions related to mental health and sleep factors were included in the survey, since these are known to be associated with LBP, and interactions as covariates were possible [46–48]. No significant differences between LBP and NLBP respondents were found for levels of depression, anxiety, or sleep quality for males and females combined. There was, however, a

Table 5. Between group differences in ranking of weekly exercise and physical activity intensity.

Variable			ow Back F	ain	Low Back Pain				P-value ^a
	n	Mean	Median	Mode	n	Mean	Median	Mode	
Weekly aerobic exercise intensity, males & females (n = 247) ^b	56	3.45	3.00	3.00	191	3.18	3.00	3.00	0.188
Weekly resistance exercise intensity, males & females $(n = 246)^{b}$	56	2.98	3.00	4.00	190	2.53	2.00	2.00	0.028
Weekly resistance exercise intensity, males only $(n = 96)^{b}$	26	3.12	3.00	3 & 4.00 ^c	70	2.47	2.00	1.00	0.044
Weekly resistance exercise intensity, females only $(n = 147)^{b}$	29	2.93	3.00	2 & 4.00 ^c	118	2.55	2.00	2.00	0.165
Weekly work-related physical activity intensity, males & females (n = 248) ^b	56	4.27	5.00	5.00	192	4.40	5.00	5.00	0.447
Weekly housework and caregiving-related physical activity intensity, males & females $(n = 247)^{b}$	55	3.02	3.00	2.00	192	3.17	4.00	4.00	0.430

^aIndependent samples t-test ^bRanked from 1 to 5, least intense to most intense ^cBi-modal frequency exists. Statistical Significance ($P \le 0.05$).

Variable		No L	ow Back P	ain		P-value ^a			
	n	Mean	Median	Mode	n	Mean	Median	Mode	
Percentage of time was depressed during past year, males & females (n = 248) ^b	56	1.75	1.00	1.00	192	1.83	1.00	1.00	0.694
Percentage of time was anxious during past year, males & females (n = 248) ^b	55	2.15	2.00	1.00	191	2.47	2.00	1.00	0.188
Sleep quality during past year, males & females (n = 247) ^c	56	6.88	7.00	7.00	191	6.42	7.00	7.00	0.083
Sleep quality during past year, females only (n = 147) ^c	29	7.38	8.00	7 & 8.00 ^d	118	6.31	7.00	7.00	0.004

^aIndependent samples t-test

 $^{\rm b} \rm Ranked$ from 1 to 7, from <10% to >50% of the time

^cRanked on 1 to 10 scale, from lowest to highest quality

^dBi-modal frequency exists.

Statistical Significance ($P \leq 0.05$).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292489.t006

significant difference found between female LBP and NLBP respondents for sleep quality. An ANCOVA was run to determine the possible effect of age as a covariate in this analysis of sleep quality among female respondents, and the significant between-group difference was upheld. Details of these test results are contained in Table 6.

Because the association of stair flights climbed and LBP varied when male and female responses were analyzed separately, a male to female comparison of means was performed for all activities, with results found in Table 7. No significant differences were, however, found between males and females in time spent on, distance, or intensity of any activity.

Discussion

In the present sample of US physical therapists, a statistically significant association was found showing fewer weekly stair flights climbed among female respondents with LBP. The one-year

Variable			Males			P-value ^a			
	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	n	Mean	Range	95% CI	
Veekly flights of stairs climbed (n = 245)		39.26	<10 - >200 flights	31.96- 46.56	148	40.69	<10 - >200 flights	34.11- 47.26	0.779
Weekly miles walked (n = 244)	96	17.77	1 - >50	15.57– 19.96	148	17.04	<1 - >50	15.40– 18.69	0.596
Weekly miles jogged/run(n = 245)	97	4.66	<1-32	3.45-5.87	148	4.81	<1 - >50	3.52-6.09	0.875
Weekly hours spent standing (n = 244)	97	32.68	3 - >50	29.57– 35.79	147	30.76	<1 - >50	28.36- 33.15	0.329
Weekly hours spent sitting(n = 244)	97	36.20	<10 - >100	32.11- 40.28	147	35.37	<10 - >100	32.26- 38.47	0.746
Weekly hours spent on physically demanding patient care (n = 245)	97	2.89	0-20	2.09-3.68	148	3.66	0 - >40	2.70-4.62	0.259
Weekly aerobic exercise intensity $(n = 244)^{b}$	96	3.30	n/a	n/a	148	3.22	n/a	n/a	0.648
Weekly resistance exercise intensity (n = 243) ^b	96	2.64	n/a	n/a	147	2.62	n/a	n/a	0.910
Weekly work-related physical activity intensity (n = 245) ^b	97	4.41	n/a	n/a	148	4.33	n/a	n/a	0.559
Weekly housework and caregiving-related physical activity intensity (n = 244) $^{\rm b}$	97	2.97	n/a	n/a	147	3.22	n/a	n/a	0.121

Table 7. Between group activity differences for males versus females.

^aIndependent samples t-test

^bRanked from 1 to 5, least intense to most intense.

Statistical Significance (P \leq 0.05).

prevalence of LBP was lower among female but not male respondents who climbed more stairs, and a further and stronger association was found for the condition of acute LBP and stair climbing in female respondents, but not for sub-acute or chronic LBP (Tables 2 and 3).

The potential cardiovascular health benefits of stair climbing are now widely accepted but, to our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the impact of stair climbing on LBP morbidity. The results of the London Transport Worker's study [2,49] showed significantly less cardiovascular mortality and morbidity among bus conductors compared to drivers; with even greater mean differences when double-decker bus conductors were compared to single story bus conductors. Since that time, these results have been confirmed showing an inverse relationship between stairs climbed and all-cause but not cardiovascular mortality in analyses based on the Harvard Alumni Health Study [3], and the UK Biobank Study [4]. Other studies have shown improved endothelial function in individuals with hypertension [5], and decreased peripheral vascular disease mortality [50], with an increase in stairs climbed.

This study of the potential impact of stair climbing on LBP builds on recent research showing an association between LBP and hip extensor CSA and weakness [18,20,51]. These studies were in turn inspired by extensive prior research showing decreased CSA in the multifidus and other trunk muscles of people with LBP [52–56]. Regional interdependency among the joints of the lower limb and the lumbar spine is now a major area of inquiry, with studies finding weakness and EMG changes in the hip musculature of individuals with both knee pain and LBP [57–60].

Association but not causation can be inferred by the present type of cross-sectional study. Although significant between-group differences were seen with subsets of our sample, we cannot determine if stair climbing acted as a kind of protective factor for our female respondents with regard to LBP; or whether, for example, this is a case of reverse causation whereby females with LBP in our sample chose to climb fewer stairs (e.g., take the elevator more), to avoid pain caused by this activity, or due to fear-avoidance behavior. Additionally, an unmeasured covariate may be affecting the LBP prevalence rate among part of our sample.

Even if one were to assume that stair climbing has a protective effect on LBP, it is puzzling that women would show a significantly stronger LBP response to this activity than men. Hypotheses for potential differences between men and women may be related to biomechanical differences in the way women climb stairs: for example, sex differences may exist in moments of trunk and hip flexion and extension during stair climbing. Known sexual dimorphism in lumbar lordosis angle [61,62] may be a factor if, for example, stair climbing accentuates lumbar extension. The fact that women continue to perform a greater percentage of household chores than male partners in most western cultures [63,64], may have contributed to a gender-based difference in response to stair climbing. A task such as doing laundry, which can involve carrying heavy baskets of clothes up and down stairs, would make this activity more physically demanding, activating GM and paraspinal muscles to a greater degree. Information regarding items carried during the performance of stair climbing was not gathered as a part of the present survey, but should be included in future study designs.

Similarly, there is no easy explanation for the stronger association between stairs climbed and acute versus subacute and chronic LBP in our female respondents. One interpretation would be that stair climbing would seem to have a preventative, but not a treatment, effect once LBP has reached the subacute phase in our female respondents. There are established gender differences in the experience of pain, but accurately measuring these differences is confounded by the inability of many studies to differentiate between true pain incidence and the willingness to report pain [65]. Manifestation of fear-avoidance behaviors, including hypervigilance in avoiding potential pain triggers, may vary by gender, but research to date is inconclusive [66]. A eustress response to LBP, which would entail greater resilience, a more positive attitude, and higher overall activity levels in the face of pain [67,68], may partially explain the higher number of stairs climbed with longer duration of symptoms among our female respondents. Buchman et al [69] identified an important eustress versus distress response to chronic LBP in some study participants, with eustress leading to better rehabilitation outcomes; however, they did not report on gender differences in their study results. Understanding the interaction of these types of pain responses with our cohort's reported pain levels was beyond the scope of the current research and would be best assessed through a longitudinal study design.

When other activities were analyzed, the only other significant between-group difference was found for male respondent LBP and weekly resistance exercises performed, with a lower prevalence of LBP associated with more intense resistance exercise. The mechanism of a possible greater protective effect of resistance training in men versus women is also unclear. Both upper and lower extremity resistance exercises are considered a form of lumbar stabilization exercise [70], and lumbar stabilization exercises have been shown to be an effective intervention for certain types of LBP [14,71,72]. Yet this effect should also, therefore, have been evident in female respondents.

One unusual finding from our research is that hours spent sitting per week was not correlated with LBP prevalence in our sample. Sitting is a known risk factor for certain types of LBP [73–75], in particular discogenic or posterior derangement back pain types [15,76]. The fact that our sample consisted entirely of physical therapists, most of whom work in an adult orthopedic outpatient setting, may explain this anomalous finding. Orthopedic physical therapists with LBP would be expected to try and eliminate lifestyle risk factors such as prolonged sitting, from their own daily activities, as this is part of regular patient education for LBP patients [77,78].

Any understanding of a possible causal mechanism connecting stair climbing and LBP is in the rudimentary stages. Prior research conducted by our team [21] explored possible etiologies supporting a relationship between GM strength and LBP, employing a strategy based on analysis of the anatomical and biomechanical relationships that exist in the gluteal and lumbar region. Multiple causal mechanisms were hypothesized including: strong GM muscles may compensate for weaker paraspinal muscles during lifting tasks; stair climbing and other GM exercises may elicit lumbar stabilization and/or increase the lumbar lordosis angle; GM attachments to the thoracolumbar fascia (TLF) could facilitate TLF axial compression and power of erector spinae muscles; and GM contraction may stimulate TLF sensory receptors that facilitate spinal stabilization and motor control. All of the above are mere hypotheses and suggest possible directions for future research into the connection between LBP, GM, and stair climbing.

Limitations

The one-year prevalence period used in the present study will inevitably have had some impact on the reliability of our data, due to recall bias [79,80]. Self-report of both medical history and activity levels is also, for any prevalence period, less reliable than quantitative measurement of these variables, and will have impacted the accuracy of the data we obtained from our survey respondents [81,82]. A larger sample size, especially for our NLBP control group, would possibly have unmasked further between-group differences. Our assumption that physical therapists have a similar socioeconomic status may not hold true when comparing regional salary and cost of living differences, or overall household income variations. Financial status can affect LBP prevalence [25], and our survey design did not capture financial information, so this may be an unmeasured covariate. Our results can also not be considered generalizable to non-physical therapists. Finally, the association between stair climbing and LBP in the female respondents in the present sample cannot be interpreted as causal, due to our cross-sectional study design.

Conclusions

A greater number of weekly stairs climbed was associated with a lower LBP prevalence among female physical therapists, with a stronger association seen when comparing acute LBP to no LBP. Prospective, randomized, longitudinal research assessing the impact of stair climbing on LBP is required to rule out the impact of unmeasured covariates, bias in self-reported activity and health variables, and the impact of possible reverse causation on these outcomes.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. STROBE statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies.

(PDF)

S1 Appendix. Low back pain & stair climbing survey questions. (DOCX)

S1 File. (XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, Maureen McDonald.

Data curation: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri, Maureen McDonald, Madeline R. Reich.

Formal analysis: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri.

- **Investigation:** Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri, Maureen McDonald, Madeline R. Reich.
- Methodology: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri, Maureen McDonald, Madeline R. Reich.

Project administration: Amy H. Amabile, Lisa T. Hoglund.

Resources: John P. Guarnieri.

Software: John P. Guarnieri, Madeline R. Reich.

Supervision: Amy H. Amabile.

Validation: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson.

Writing - original draft: Amy H. Amabile.

Writing – review & editing: Amy H. Amabile, Sharon L. Larson, Lisa T. Hoglund, John P. Guarnieri, Maureen McDonald, Madeline R. Reich.

References

 Morris JN, Heady JA, Raffle PA, Roberts CG, Parks JW. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of work: Part I. *Lancet*. 1953; 262(6795):1053–1057.

- Morris JN, Heady JA, Raffle PA, Roberts CG, Parks JW. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of work: Part II. *Lancet*. 1953; 265(6796):1111–1120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(53)90665-5</u> PMID: 13110049
- Rey-Lopez JP, Stamatakis E, Mackey M, Sesso HD, Lee I-M. Associations of self-reported stair climbing with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: The Harvard Alumni Health Study. *Prev Med Rep.* 2019; 15:100938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100938 PMID: 31338282
- Sanchez-Lastra MA, Ding D, Dalene K-E, Del Pozo Cruz B, Ekelund U, Tarp J. Stair climbing and mortality: a prospective cohort study from the UK Biobank. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2021; 12 (2):298–307. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12679 PMID: 33543604
- Yamaji T, Harada T, Hashimoto Y, et al. Stair climbing activity and vascular function in patients with hypertension. *Hypertens Res.* 2021; 44(10):1274–1282. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-021-00697-z PMID: 34272476
- Wu A, March L, Zheng X, et al. Global low back pain prevalence and years lived with disability from 1990 to 2017: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Ann Transl Med.* 2020; 8 (6):299. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.02.175 PMID: 32355743
- 7. Centers for Disease Control. National Health Interview Survey. Age-Adjusted Percentages of Migraines and Pain in Neck, Lower Back, Face, or Jaw among Adults Aged 18 and over, by Selected Characteristics. Table A-5a. US Department of Health and Human Services; 2017.
- Ma VY, Chan L, Carruthers KJ. Incidence, prevalence, costs, and impact on disability of common conditions requiring rehabilitation in the United States: stroke, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain injury, multiple sclerosis, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, limb loss, and back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014; 95(5):986–995.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2013.10.032 PMID: 24462839
- Dagenais S, Caro J, Haldeman S. A systematic review of low back pain cost of illness studies in the United States and internationally. *Spine J.* 2008; 8(1):8–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10</u>. 005 PMID: 18164449
- Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. Lancet. 2018; 391(10137):2356–2367. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X</u> PMID: 29573870
- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forciea MA, Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 166(7):514–530. <u>https:// doi.org/10.7326/M16-2367 PMID: 28192789</u>
- Andronis L, Kinghorn P, Qiao S, Whitehurst DGT, Durrell S, McLeod H. Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive and non-pharmacological interventions for low back pain: a systematic literature review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2017; 15(2):173–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-016-0268-8 PMID: 27550240
- Fernández-Rodríguez R, Álvarez-Bueno C, Cavero-Redondo I, et al. Best exercise options for reducing pain and disability in adults with chronic low back pain: pilates, strength, core-cased, and mind-Body. A network meta-analysis. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2022; 52(8):505–521. <u>https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.</u> 2022.10671 PMID: 35722759
- Hides JA, Donelson R, Lee D, Prather H, Sahrmann SA, Hodges PW. Convergence and divergence of exercise-based approaches that incorporate motor control for the management of low back pain. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2019; 49(6):437–452. <u>https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2019.8451</u> PMID: 31092126
- Lam OT, Strenger DM, Chan-Fee M, Pham PT, Preuss RA, Robbins SM. Effectiveness of the McKenzie method of Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy for treating low back pain: literature review with metaanalysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2018; 48(6):476–490. <u>https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.7562</u> PMID: 29602304
- Jang J-H, Cho T-Y, Cho Y-H. The effects of T'ai chi on muscle activity, pain, and balance in females in their 20s with acute low back pain. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015; 27(3):725–727. https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts. 27.725 PMID: 25931717
- Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, Buchbinder R. The Epidemiology of low back pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2010; 24(6):769–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2010.10.002 PMID: 21665125
- Amabile AH, Bolte JH, Richter SD. Atrophy of gluteus maximus among women with a history of chronic low back pain. *PLoS ONE*. 2017; 12(7):e0177008. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177008 PMID: 28715424
- Skorupska E, Keczmer P, Łochowski RM, Tomal P, Rychlik M, Samborski W. Reliability of MR-based volumetric 3-D analysis of pelvic muscles among subjects with low back with leg pain and healthy volunteers. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(7):e0159587. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159587</u> PMID: 27459688

- de Sousa CS, de Jesus FLA, Machado MB, et al. Lower limb muscle strength in patients with low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* 2019; 19(1):69–78. PMID: 30839305
- Amabile AH, Dannenberg JM, Griffith EL, Knigge KJ, Will JL. Theories of gluteus maximus involvement in low back pain causation. *Clin Anat.* Published online October 13, 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.</u> 23694
- Harper NG, Wilken JM, Neptune RR. Muscle function and coordination of stair ascent. J Biomech Eng. 2018; 140(1). https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4037791 PMID: 28857115
- Lyons K, Perry J, Gronley JK, Barnes L, Antonelli D. Timing and relative intensity of hip extensor and abductor muscle action during level and stair ambulation. An EMG study. *Phys Ther.* 1983; 63 (10):1597–1605. https://doi.org/10.1093/pti/63.10.1597 PMID: 6622534
- Dionne CE, Von Korff M, Koepsell TD, Deyo RA, Barlow WE, Checkoway H. Formal education and back pain: a review. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2001; 55(7):455–468. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.</u> 55.7.455 PMID: 11413174
- Jackson P, Goodin BR, Long DL, et al. The area deprivation index corresponds effectively with other measures of objective socioeconomic status in adults with chronic low back pain. *J Nurs Meas*. 2022; 30(3):433–448. https://doi.org/10.1891/JNM-D-20-00126 PMID: 34518395
- Comission on Accreditation in Physical Therapy Education. Accreditation Handbook | Commission on Accreditation in Physical Therapy Education. Accessed January 7, 2023. <u>https://www.capteonline.org/about-capte/policies-and-procedures/accreditation-handbook.</u>
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003; 35(8):1381–1395. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS. 0000078924.61453.FB PMID: 12900694
- McDonald M, Salisbury H. Physical activity, exercise, and musculoskeletal disorders in sonographers. J Diagn Med Sonogr. 2019; 35(4):305–315. https://doi.org/10.1177/8756479319843883
- Daut RL, Cleeland CS, Flanery RC. Development of the Wisconsin Brief Pain Questionnaire to assess pain in cancer and other diseases. *Pain*. 1983; 17(2):197–210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(83)</u> 90143-4 PMID: 6646795
- Stanhope J. Brief Pain Inventory review. Occup Med (Lond). 2016; 66(6):496–497. https://doi.org/10. 1093/occmed/kgw041 PMID: 27067913
- Collins NJ, Prinsen CAC, Christensen R, Bartels EM, Terwee CB, Roos EM. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): systematic review and meta-analysis of measurement properties. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2016; 24(8):1317–1329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2016.03.010 PMID: 27012756
- Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)—development of a self-administered outcome measure. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1998; 28(2):88–96. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.1998.28.2.88 PMID: 9699158
- Skoogh J, Ylitalo N, Larsson Omeróv P, et al. "A no means no"—measuring depression using a singleitem question versus Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D). Ann Oncol. 2010; 21(9):1905– 1909. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdq058 PMID: 20231301
- Snyder E, Cai B, DeMuro C, Morrison MF, Ball W. A new Single-Item Sleep Quality Scale: results of psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic primary insomnia and depression. J Clin Sleep Med. 2018; 14(11):1849–1857. https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.7478 PMID: 30373688
- Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Engberg M, Lauritzen T, Bruun NH, Manniche C. The course of low back pain in a general population. results from a 5-year prospective study. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2003; 26(4):213–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0161-4754(03)00006-x PMID: 12750654
- Walsh K, Cruddas M, Coggon D. Low back pain in eight areas of Britain. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1992; 46(3):227–230. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.46.3.227 PMID: 1386619
- Dionne CE, Dunn KM, Croft PR, et al. A consensus approach toward the standardization of back pain definitions for use in prevalence studies. *Spine*. 2008; 33(1):95–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS</u>. 0b013e31815e7f94 PMID: 18165754
- Krismer M, van Tulder M. Strategies for prevention and management of musculoskeletal conditions. Low back pain (non-specific). Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2007; 21(1):77–91. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.berh.2006.08.004 PMID: 17350545
- Deyo RA, Dworkin SF, Amtmann D, et al. Report of the NIH task force on research standards for chronic low back pain. Int J Ther Massage Bodywork. 2015; 8(3):16–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.3822/ijtmb.v8i3.295</u> PMID: 26388962
- Hartigan C, Miller L, Liewehr SC. Rehabilitation of acute and subacute low back and neck pain in the work-injured patient. Orthop Clin North Am. 1996; 27(4):841–860. PMID: 8823401

- Orenius T, Silén E, Nuortimo A, Ristolainen L. Psychological interventions in preventing chronicity of sub-acute back pain: a systematic review. *Scand J Pain.* 2022; 22(2):211–217. https://doi.org/10.1515/ sjpain-2021-0063 PMID: 35073473
- Knight KL. More precise classification of orthopaedic injury types and treatment will improve patient care. J Athl Train. 2008; 43(2):117–118. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-43.2.117 PMID: 18345334
- Kovacs FM, Abraira V, Zamora J, Fernández C. The transition from acute to subacute and chronic low back pain: a study based on determinants of quality of life and prediction of chronic disability. *Spine*. 2005; 30(15):1786–1792. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000172159.47152.dc PMID: 16094282
- 44. Brant R. Power/Sample Size Calculator. University of British Columbia Department of Statistics. Accessed August 24, 2022. https://www.stat.ubc.ca/~rollin/stats/ssize/n2.html.
- Fagerland MW. T-tests, non-parametric tests, and large studies—a paradox of statistical practice? BMC Med Res Methodol. 2012; 12:78. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-12-78 PMID: 22697476
- 46. Larson SL, Clark MR, Eaton WW. Depressive disorder as a long-term antecedent risk factor for incident back pain: a 13-year follow-up study from the Baltimore Epidemiological Catchment Area sample. *Psychol Med.* 2004; 34(2):211–219. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291703001041 PMID: 14982127
- Zarrabian M-M, Johnson M, Kriellaars D. Relationship between sleep, pain, and disability in patients with spinal pathology. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014; 95(8):1504–1509. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.</u> 2014.03.014 PMID: 24699238
- 48. Moix J, Kovacs FM, Martín A, Plana MN, Royuela A. Catastrophizing, state anxiety, anger, and depressive symptoms do not correlate with disability when variations of trait anxiety are taken into account. a study of chronic low back pain patients treated in Spanish pain units. *Pain Med.* 2011; 12(7):1008–1017. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01155.x PMID: 21668743
- Morris JN, Crawford MD. Coronary heart disease and physical activity of work; evidence of a national necropsy survey. *Br Med J.* 1958; 2(5111):1485–1496. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5111.1485</u> PMID: 13608027
- Jain A, Liu K, Ferrucci L, et al. The Walking Impairment Questionnaire stair-climbing score predicts mortality in men and women with peripheral arterial disease. J Vasc Surg. 2012; 55(6):1662–73.e2. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2011.12.010 PMID: 22608041
- Hicks GE, Pohlig RT, Coyle PC, et al. Classification of geriatric low back pain based on hip characteristics with a 12-month longitudinal exploration of clinical outcomes: Findings from Delaware spine studies. *Phys Ther.* 2021; 101(12). https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzab227 PMID: 34636887
- 52. Hides JA, Richardson CA, Jull GA. Multifidus muscle recovery is not automatic after resolution of acute, first-episode low back pain. *Spine*. 1996; 21(23):2763–2769. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-199612010-00011</u> PMID: 8979323
- Barker KL, Shamley DR, Jackson D. Changes in the cross-sectional area of multifidus and psoas in patients with unilateral back pain: the relationship to pain and disability. *Spine*. 2004; 29(22):E515–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000144405.11661.eb PMID: 15543053
- Ranger TA, Cicuttini FM, Jensen TS, et al. Are the size and composition of the paraspinal muscles associated with low back pain? A systematic review. Spine J. 2017; 17(11):1729–1748. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.spinee.2017.07.002 PMID: 28756299
- 55. Hides JA, Stanton WR, McMahon S, Sims K, Richardson CA. Effect of stabilization training on multifidus muscle cross-sectional area among young elite cricketers with low back pain. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2008; 38(3):101–108. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2008.2658 PMID: 18349481
- 56. Chan S-T, Fung P-K, Ng N-Y, et al. Dynamic changes of elasticity, cross-sectional area, and fat infiltration of multifidus at different postures in men with chronic low back pain. *Spine J.* 2012; 12(5):381–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2011.12.004 PMID: 22197782
- Hoglund LT, Burns RO, Stepney AL. Do males with patellofemoral pain have posterolateral hip muscle weakness? Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2018; 13(2):160–170. https://doi.org/10.26603/ijspt20180160 PMID: 30090674
- Pourahmadi M, Asadi M, Dommerholt J, Yeganeh A. Changes in the macroscopic morphology of hip muscles in low back pain. *J Anat*. Published online September 1, 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.</u> 13086 PMID: 31475359
- 59. Hoglund LT, Pontiggia L, Kelly JD. A 6-week hip muscle strengthening and lumbopelvic-hip core stabilization program to improve pain, function, and quality of life in persons with patellofemoral osteoarthritis: a feasibility pilot study. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 2018; 4:70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-018-0262-z</u> PMID: 29636983
- Barton CJ, Lack S, Malliaras P, Morrissey D. Gluteal muscle activity and patellofemoral pain syndrome: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013; 47(4):207–214. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-090953</u> PMID: 22945929

- Bailey JF, Sparrey CJ, Been E, Kramer PA. Morphological and postural sexual dimorphism of the lumbar spine facilitates greater lordosis in females. J Anat. 2016; 229(1):82–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/joa. 12451 PMID: 26916466
- Hay O, Dar G, Abbas J, et al. The lumbar lordosis in males and females, revisited. *PLoS ONE*. 2015; 10 (8):e0133685. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133685 PMID: 26301782
- Cerrato J, Cifre E. Gender inequality in household chores and work-family conflict. Front Psychol. 2018; 9:1330. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01330 PMID: 30123153
- Brenan M. Women still handle main household tasks in U.S. Gallup, Inc. January 29, 2020. Accessed January 15, 2023. https://news.gallup.com/poll/283979/women-handle-main-household-tasks.aspx.
- Mogil JS. Sex differences in pain and pain inhibition: multiple explanations of a controversial phenomenon. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012; 13(12):859–866. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3360 PMID: 23165262
- 66. Waardenburg S, Visseren L, van Daal E, et al. Do men and women have a different association between fear-avoidance and pain intensity in chronic pain? An experience sampling method cohortstudy. J Clin Med. 2022; 11(19). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11195515 PMID: 36233383
- Bienertova-Vasku J, Lenart P, Scheringer M. Eustress and distress: neither good nor bad, but rather the same? *Bioessays*. 2020; 42(7):e1900238. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201900238 PMID: 32302008
- Faizan R, Haque A ul. Working efficiency of contrasting genders under eustress, distress, hyper-stress, and hypo-stress. *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*. 2019; 12(11):32. https://doi.org/10. 17010/pijom/2019/v12i11/148411
- Buchmann J, Baumann N, Meng K, et al. Endurance and avoidance response patterns in pain patients: Application of action control theory in pain research. *PLoS ONE*. 2021; 16(3):e0248875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248875</u> PMID: 33765020
- Martuscello JM, Nuzzo JL, Ashley CD, Campbell BI, Orriola JJ, Mayer JM. Systematic review of core muscle activity during physical fitness exercises. J Strength Cond Res. 2013; 27(6):1684–1698. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e318291b8da</u> PMID: 23542879
- 71. van Dieën JH, Reeves NP, Kawchuk G, van Dillen LR, Hodges PW. Motor control changes in low back pain: divergence in presentations and mechanisms. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2019; 49(6):370–379. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2019.7917 PMID: 29895230
- Haladay DE, Miller SJ, Challis J, Denegar CR. Quality of systematic reviews on specific spinal stabilization exercise for chronic low back pain. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2013; 43(4):242–250. https://doi. org/10.2519/jospt.2013.4346 PMID: 23321935
- Andersson BJ, Ortengren R, Nachemson AL, Elfström G, Broman H. The sitting posture: an electromyographic and discometric study. Orthop Clin North Am. 1975; 6(1):105–120. PMID: 1113963
- Nachemson A. The load on lumbar disks in different positions of the body. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1966; 45:107–122. PMID: 5937361
- Lis AM, Black KM, Korn H, Nordin M. Association between sitting and occupational LBP. Eur Spine J. 2007; 16(2):283–298. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-006-0143-7 PMID: 16736200
- McKenzie R, May S. The Lumbar Spine: Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy. 2nd ed. Waikanae: Spinal Publication Ltd; 2003.
- Li LC, Bombardier C. Physical therapy management of low back pain: an exploratory survey of therapist approaches. *Phys Ther.* 2001; 81(4):1018–1028. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/81.4.1018 PMID: 11276184
- 78. Henchoz Y, de Goumoëns P, Norberg M, Paillex R, So AKL. Role of physical exercise in low back pain rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial of a three-month exercise program in patients who have completed multidisciplinary rehabilitation. *Spine*. 2010; 35(12):1192–1199. https://doi.org/10.1097/ BRS.0b013e3181bf1de9 PMID: 20098350
- 79. van Poppel MNM, Chinapaw MJM, Mokkink LB, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB. Physical activity questionnaires for adults: a systematic review of measurement properties. *Sports Med.* 2010; 40(7):565–600. https://doi.org/10.2165/11531930-00000000-00000 PMID: 20545381
- Shephard RJ. Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires. Br J Sports Med. 2003; 37(3):197–206; discussion 206. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.37.3.197 PMID: 12782543
- Ainsworth BE, Leon AS, Richardson MT, Jacobs DR, Paffenbarger RS. Accuracy of the college alumnus physical activity questionnaire. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1993; 46(12):1403–1411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(93)90140-v PMID: 8263567</u>
- Terwee CB, Mokkink LB, van Poppel MNM, Chinapaw MJM, van Mechelen W, de Vet HCW. Qualitative attributes and measurement properties of physical activity questionnaires: a checklist. *Sports Med.* 2010; 40(7):525–537. https://doi.org/10.2165/11531370-000000000-00000 PMID: 20545379