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In Brief
Corbett et al. report that, in mouse models of Alzheimer’s disease, spontaneous seizures induce expression of ΔFosB in the dentate gyrus, where it triggers histone modifications and suppresses gene expression important for memory. Due to its long half-life, ΔFosB may persistently affect gene expression and memory, even when seizures are infrequent.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by cognitive decline and 5- to 10-fold increased seizure incidence. How seizures contribute to cognitive decline in AD or other disorders is unclear. We show that spontaneous seizures increase expression of ΔFosB, a highly stable Fos-family transcription factor, in the hippocampus of an AD mouse model. ΔFosB suppressed expression of the immediate early gene c-Fos, which is critical for plasticity and cognition, by binding its promoter and triggering histone deacetylation. Acute histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibition or inhibition of ΔFosB activity restored c-Fos induction and improved cognition in AD mice. Administration of seizure-inducing agents to nontransgenic mice also resulted in ΔFosB-mediated suppression of c-Fos, suggesting that this mechanism is not confined to AD mice. These results explain observations that c-Fos expression increases after acute neuronal activity but decreases with chronic activity. Moreover, these results indicate a general mechanism by which seizures contribute to persistent cognitive deficits, even during seizure-free periods.

SUMMARY

It is becoming increasingly clear that network dysfunction, which can manifest as seizures and epileptiform activity, plays a contributing role in AD-related cognitive deficits. AD is associated with a 5- to 10-fold increased risk in seizures (Amatniek et al., 2006; Larner, 2010; Lozsadi and Larner, 2006). This rate may be underestimated due to the incidence of subclinical epileptiform activity and non-convulsive seizures, which in a majority of cases preceede or coincide with the diagnosis of amnestic mild cognitive impairment or AD (Vossel et al., 2013). A recent prospective study found that 42.4% of AD patients with no clinical history of seizures exhibited subclinical epileptiform activity, and the presence of such activity was associated with faster rates of cognitive decline (Vossel et al., 2016). Furthermore, multiple mouse models of AD exhibit epileptiform activity (Chin and Scharffman, 2013; Kam et al., 2016; Minkeviciene et al., 2009; Palop et al., 2007; Palop and Mucke, 2009). Because patients with intractable epilepsy and mouse models of epilepsy display hippocampal memory deficits (Hermann et al., 2006; Hoppe et al., 2007; Stafstrom et al., 1993), the epileptiform activity associated with AD may contribute to cognitive deficits as well. Indeed, antiepileptic drug treatment reduces network dysfunction and improves hippocampus-dependent cognition in prodromal AD patients and mouse models of AD (Bakker et al., 2012; Sanchez et al., 2012). However, the mechanisms by which seizures induce persistent cognitive deficits in AD and epilepsy are poorly understood, particularly because seizure frequency is often not high.

Neuronal activity alters expression of many genes in the hippocampus, with important consequences for learning and memory. One of the most widely studied is Fos, a gene whose protein product, c-Fos, is critical for synaptic plasticity and hippocampal memory (Fleischmann et al., 2003; He et al., 2002; Tischmeyer and Grimm, 1999). Recent studies demonstrated that neuronal activity induces formation of DNA double-strand breaks (Madabhushi et al., 2015), including within the promoters of early-response genes, including Fos, which facilitates their expression (Madabhushi et al., 2015). Therefore, it might be expected that c-Fos expression should be high in conditions of chronic network hyperactivity. However, hippocampal c-Fos expression is biphasic, increasing after acute neuronal activity but decreasing in chronically active neurons (Calais et al., 2006).
2013; Renthal et al., 2008; Sheng and Greenberg, 1990; Tsankova et al., 2004). The mechanisms governing such biphasic regulation of c-Fos in the hippocampus are not clear. Decreased hippocampal c-Fos expression in mouse models of AD and epilepsy has been regarded as a potential contributor to hippocampus-dependent cognitive deficits (Calais et al., 2013; Palop et al., 2003, 2005; Chin et al., 2005; España et al., 2010). Therefore, it is critical to define the molecular mechanisms responsible for reducing c-Fos expression.

ΔFosB is an activity-dependent transcription factor in the immediate early gene family and is an alternatively spliced product of the FosB gene. However, unlike the products of other immediate early genes, such as c-Fos, ΔFosB has an unusually long half-life that allows it to accumulate and remain in chronically active cells for weeks (McClung et al., 2004; Nestler et al., 2001). Studies of ΔFosB function in the nucleus accumbens after chronic exposure to drugs of abuse revealed that ΔFosB epigenetically regulates a number of gene targets critical in addiction, including c-Fos, via histone modifications (Renthal et al., 2008). The term “epigenetic” is used here to indicate histone modifications that alter gene expression.

We therefore hypothesized that high levels of neuronal activity induced by seizures may similarly lead to accumulation of ΔFosB in the hippocampus, where it would alter the expression of target genes, such as c-Fos, causing long-term consequences for hippocampal function. Indeed, in the hippocampus, overexpression of ΔFosB can exert effects on learning and memory (Eagle et al., 2015). In the present study, we examined expression of ΔFosB in a transgenic mouse model of AD neuropathology that exhibits spontaneous seizures, and in a pharmacological model of epilepsy. We demonstrate that seizure-induced ΔFosB expression in the hippocampus is present and epigenetically suppresses c-Fos expression in both models. Moreover, blocking either histone deacetylation or ΔFosB activity ameliorated effects on both c-Fos expression and memory impairment. Our results reveal a molecular mechanism that explains why hippocampal c-Fos expression is chronically reduced in conditions associated with aberrant neuronal hyperactivity, and highlight potential therapeutic avenues to improve cognitive function in both AD and epilepsy.

RESULTS

Seizure-Induced ΔFosB Correlates with Impairments in Hippocampal c-Fos Expression and Cognition in APP Mice

Experimentally induced seizures can increase ΔFosB expression in the hippocampi of nontransgenic (NTG) wild-type mice (Chen et al., 1997; McClung et al., 2004), but the consequences of such increases on downstream gene expression are not clear. To investigate a link between seizures, ΔFosB, and c-Fos in AD mice, we first evaluated the relationship between seizures and hippocampal ΔFosB expression in a commonly used transgenic mouse model that expresses mutant human amyloid precursor protein (APP) (line J20; Mucke et al., 2000). We performed electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings in APP mice at 4–6 months of age and found that the higher the frequency of epileptiform activity or seizures in APP mice, the greater the increase in ΔFosB expression levels in the dentate gyrus (DG) (Figures 1A and 1B). Overall ΔFosB expression was higher in APP mice relative to NTG controls, both by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1C) and by western blot analysis (Figures S1A and S1B). To confirm that ΔFosB expression in APP mice is driven by spontaneous epileptiform activity, we treated NTG and APP mice with the antiepileptic drug levetiracetam (75 mg/kg) for 14 days. Levetiracetam reduced epileptiform activity (Figure S2) consistent with previous studies (Sanchez et al., 2012) and also reduced ΔFosB expression in APP mice to levels that were no longer significantly different from NTG mice (Figure 1D).

To determine whether such increased ΔFosB expression in the DG is related to c-Fos expression, we confirmed our previous findings of reduced c-Fos expression in the DG of APP mice (Chin et al., 2005; Palop et al., 2005; Figures 1E and 1F). In addition, we observed that APP mice with the highest ΔFosB expression had the lowest numbers of c-Fos-immunoreactive (IR) cells in the DG and that the magnitude of ΔFosB and c-Fos expression was inversely correlated in APP mice on both a mouse-by-mouse and a cell-by-cell basis (Figures 1G and 1H). Because c-Fos expression is tightly linked to spatial memory (Fleischmann et al., 2003; He et al., 2002; Tischmeyer and Grimm, 1999), we examined whether ΔFosB expression levels in APP mice were related to performance in the Morris water maze. Indeed, the magnitude of ΔFosB expression correlated with the distance to find the hidden platform in the water maze (Figure 1I). We also observed some variability in the water maze performance of NTG mice (Figure 1I), which can sometimes be randomly affected even in control conditions. There was no obvious systematic relationship with ΔFosB levels, which did not vary greatly in NTG mice.

The increase in DG ΔFosB expression is not restricted to the particular line of APP mice used in our studies (line J20), as Tg2576 and presenilin/amyloid precursor protein (PSAPP) mice also exhibited increased ΔFosB-IR in the DG (Figures 1J, 1K, S1E, and S1F). All of these lines of mice express human APP with mutations linked to autosomal dominant AD, produce high levels of Aβ (Chin, 2011), and exhibit epileptiform activity (Chin and Scharfman, 2013; Corbett et al., 2013; Kam et al., 2016; Minkeviciene et al., 2009; Palop et al., 2007). Notably, similarly aged I5A mice, which overexpress wild-type human APP and do not produce high levels of Aβ (Mucke et al., 2000), do not exhibit increased ΔFosB (Figures 1L and S1G).

Epigenetic Mechanism of c-Fos Suppression in APP Mice

ΔFosB suppresses c-Fos expression in nucleus accumbens by directly binding to the c-Fos promoter and recruiting HDAC1, thereby promoting deacetylation of histone H4 (Renthal et al., 2008). We hypothesized that this mechanism could also be responsible for the decreased c-Fos expression observed in DG of APP mice. Indeed, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments demonstrated increased binding of ΔFosB to the c-Fos promoter in the hippocampus of APP mice (Figure 2A). Interactions between ΔFosB and HDAC1 have been characterized in nucleus accumbens (Renthal et al., 2008), and co-immunoprecipitation experiments showed ΔFosB also binds HDAC1 in the hippocampus (Figure 2B). Consistent with this,
a target of HDAC1, histone H4, was hypoacetylated at the c-Fos promoter in the hippocampus of APP mice (Figure 2C). No significant differences in histone H3 acetylation at the c-Fos promoter were observed between NTG and APP mice (Figures 2D and 2E), indicating relative specificity. Because histone H4 acetylation is important for gene transcription (Grunstein, 1997; Lee et al., 1993; Struhl, 1998; Tsankova et al., 2004), we measured c-Fos mRNA in the hippocampus of NTG and APP mice and confirmed that APP mice exhibited decreased levels of hippocampal c-Fos mRNA compared to NTG controls (Figure 2F). Together, these
data support the hypothesis that increased hippocampal ΔFosB suppresses c-Fos expression in APP mice.

Pharmacologically Induced Seizures Are Sufficient to Increase ΔFosB and Suppress c-Fos in NTG Mice within Days

We next investigated whether seizures alone, outside of the context of other AD-related factors, were sufficient to drive ΔFosB-mediated c-Fos suppression and hippocampal memory deficits in NTG mice. We assessed ΔFosB and c-Fos IR in the DG of NTG mice given a single convulsant injection of kainic acid (KA) or saline control and examined mice either 2 hr later as seizures were occurring or 3 days later when seizures were over. Mice injected with KA exhibited dose-dependent behavioral seizures that were observed in the first 2 hr post-injection (Figure S3A). For mice that were sacrificed 2 hr after KA injection, high doses (15 and 25 mg/kg) of KA caused severe behavioral seizures and increased levels of both ΔFosB and c-Fos compared to saline-treated mice (Figures 3A, 3B, S1A, and S1C), whereas no changes were observed in mice receiving a low dose (5 mg/kg) of KA that did not elicit behavioral seizures.

Three days after KA injection, ΔFosB expression remained increased in mice that received high doses of KA, consistent with its long half-life, and was unchanged in mice that received low doses of KA (Figures 3C, 3D, S1A, and S1D). ΔFosB expression persisted for at least 4 weeks after KA injection (Figure S3B). At 3 days post-injection, low doses of KA increased c-Fos expression, whereas high doses of KA decreased c-Fos expression (Figure 3D). The dose-dependent relationship between ΔFosB and c-Fos expression was positively correlated 2 hr after KA (Figure 3E) but inversely correlated 3 days after KA (Figure 3F). These results suggest that at 2 hr post-KA, ΔFosB had not yet suppressed c-Fos expression; however, by 3 days post-KA, it had. Therefore, induction of seizures in NTG mice appears to be sufficient, after 3 days, to simulate the increased ΔFosB/decreased c-Fos signature observed in the DG of APP mice. A summary of the cellular changes following different seizure severities is provided in Figure 3G.

To determine whether the decreased c-Fos levels observed in the DG of mice exposed to high doses of KA were also due to ΔFosB-mediated epigenetic suppression, we performed ChIP experiments. Three days after mice were administered 15 mg/kg KA, there was increased binding of ΔFosB to the Fos promoter compared to saline-treated mice (Figure 3H) and also decreased hippocampal Fos mRNA expression (Figure 3I).

We investigated whether the increased ΔFosB expression in KA-treated mice was also associated with hippocampal memory deficits. We used the object location memory task, a hippocampus-dependent spatial memory task (Faust et al., 2013; Scharfman and Binder, 2013). Mice received weekly injections of either saline or KA (15 mg/kg) for 3 weeks to ensure maximal ΔFosB expression and persistent decreases in c-Fos prior to behavioral testing. Mice that received saline injections displayed intact memory, but mice that received KA injections exhibited impaired memory (Figure 3J). Together, these data support the hypothesis that seizures are sufficient to drive ΔFosB-mediated suppression of c-Fos and contribute to hippocampal memory deficits.

Persistent ΔFosB Expression Leads to Desensitization of c-Fos Expression with Repetitive Seizures

c-Fos mRNA induction in the cortex is attenuated after repeated neuronal stimulation by either brain injury or seizures (Calais et al., 2013; Ivkovic et al., 1994; Renthal et al., 2008; Winston et al., 1990). We hypothesized that, similar to the effect of chronic exposure to drugs of abuse (Renthal et al., 2008), repeated seizures may lead to desensitization of c-Fos expression due to the upregulation and persistent presence of ΔFosB in the hippocampus. We therefore examined whether increased ΔFosB expression coincided with desensitization of c-Fos mRNA induction in the hippocampus following multiple KA injections. We first verified the expression time course of c-Fos mRNA by injecting NTG mice with a single dose of 15 mg/kg of KA and then assessing levels of hippocampal c-Fos mRNA at various time points. As expected, c-Fos mRNA was robustly increased 1 hr post-KA and returned to baseline levels 4.5 hr post-KA (Figure 4A). We then injected NTG mice with KA (15 mg/kg) either one, two, three,
four, or five times, with injections spaced 4.5 hr apart for the first four injections and 12 hr apart for the fourth and fifth injections. Mice were sacrificed 1 hr following their final injection, and hippocampal ΔFosB protein and c-Fos mRNA expression was assessed. Increased expression of ΔFosB protein was first detected after the second injection, and remained high throughout the remainder of the protocol (Figure 4B), and coincided with desensitization of c-Fos mRNA expression (Figure 4C). These results support the hypothesis that persistent ΔFosB upregulation can desensitize c-Fos expression to repeated stimulation. The mean maximum seizure score in mice injected multiple times with KA was lower than in mice injected only once (Figure S4A), so other factors, such as modification of glutamate receptors (Borbély et al., 2009; Vilàgi et al., 2009), may also be involved in attenuation of c-Fos induction and seizures scores. The multiple KA injections did not result in any obvious cell death (Figure S4B).

HDAC1 Contributes to the Suppression of Physiological c-Fos Induction in the Hippocampus of APP Mice

Given that ΔFosB suppresses c-Fos expression during seizures, we hypothesized that prolonged levels of ΔFosB might serve as a persistent brake that limits c-Fos expression during physiological neuronal activity. Indeed, APP mice exhibit impaired induction of c-Fos expression after exploration of a novel environment (NE) (Palop et al., 2003), but the mechanisms were not clear. Because ΔFosB binds HDAC1 in the hippocampus, and suppression of c-Fos in the nucleus accumbens is dependent on ΔFosB-mediated recruitment of HDAC1 to the c-Fos promoter (Kennedy et al., 2013; Renthal et al., 2008), we predicted that acute inhibition of HDAC1 would improve physiological c-Fos induction.

To test this hypothesis, we treated mice with the class I histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA) 1 hr prior to exposure to NE. After exploring the NE for 2 hr, mice
were sacrificed and their brains processed to assess c-Fos-IR cells in the DG. Mice treated with saline and/or left in their home cages were used as controls. As expected, saline-treated NTG, but not APP, mice exhibited a greater number of total c-Fos-IR cells when exposed to the NE than when left in their home cages (Figure 5 A). Surprisingly, neither NTG nor APP mice treated with 4-PBA 1 hr prior to placement in the NE exhibited increased total numbers of c-Fos-IR cells compared to their home cage controls (Figure 5 B). We initially thought that the lack of effect might be due to non-specific HDAC blockade, because 4-PBA inhibits all class I HDACs (HDACs 1–3 and 8). To inhibit HDAC1 more specifically, we treated NTG and APP mice with MS-275, an inhibitor of HDAC1–3 that has a median inhibition concentration (IC50) that is 8- to 30-fold lower for HDAC1 than for HDACs 2 and 3 (Bahari-Javan et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2008). The brain penetration of MS-275 has also been characterized (Hooker et al., 2010; Simonini et al., 2006), allowing us to administer a dose predicted to be near the IC50 for HDAC1. However, similar to 4-PBA treatment, treatment with MS-275 2 hr before placement in the NE did not increase the total number of c-Fos-IR cells of NTG or APP mice compared to home cage controls (Figure 5 C).

Figure 4. Persistent ΔFosB Expression Leads to Desensitization of c-Fos Expression with Repetitive Seizures
(A) Hippocampal c-Fos mRNA expression in NTG mice is increased 1 or 2 hr after single administration of 15 mg/kg KA but returns to baseline at 4.5 hr (n = 3 or 4/group).
(B) DG ΔFosB IR was increased in mice that received multiple administrations of 15 mg/kg KA.
(C) The increase in c-Fos mRNA expression after each KA injection is attenuated with multiple injections, which is first evident when ΔFosB expression increases as shown in (B). Data were normalized to saline-treated control values (rel to Sal), *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Error bars indicate SEM. See also Figure S4 and Tables S3 and S4.

were sacrificed and their brains processed to assess c-Fos-IR cells in the DG. Mice treated with saline and/or left in their home cages were used as controls. As expected, saline-treated NTG, but not APP, mice exhibited a greater number of total c-Fos-IR cells when exposed to the NE than when left in their home cages (Figure 5 A). Surprisingly, neither NTG nor APP mice treated with 4-PBA 1 hr prior to placement in the NE exhibited increased total numbers of c-Fos-IR cells compared to their home cage controls (Figure 5 B). We initially thought that the lack of effect might be due to non-specific HDAC blockade, because 4-PBA inhibits all class I HDACs (HDACs 1–3 and 8). To inhibit HDAC1 more specifically, we treated NTG and APP mice with MS-275, an inhibitor of HDAC1–3 that has a median inhibition concentration (IC50) that is 8- to 30-fold lower for HDAC1 than for HDACs 2 and 3 (Bahari-Javan et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2008). The brain penetration of MS-275 has also been characterized (Hooker et al., 2010; Simonini et al., 2006), allowing us to administer a dose predicted to be near the IC50 for HDAC1. However, similar to 4-PBA treatment, treatment with MS-275 2 hr before placement in the NE did not increase the total number of c-Fos-IR cells of NTG or APP mice compared to home cage controls (Figure 5 C).
Acute HDAC1 Inhibition Improves Hippocampus-Dependent Memory Deficits in APP Mice

Physiological c-Fos induction is critical for hippocampal memory (Fleischmann et al., 2003; He et al., 2002). Therefore, we hypothesized that acute HDAC inhibition would also improve hippocampal memory in APP mice if administered prior to training. We assessed hippocampal memory using the object location memory task because it could be completed within the same 2-hr time frame as the NE paradigm. We treated mice with saline, 4-PBA, or MS-275 prior to training in the object location memory task. Saline-treated NTG mice, but not APP mice, spent an increased percentage of time interacting with the displaced object during testing compared to training. When treated with 4-PBA or MS-275, both NTG and APP mice spent an increased percentage of time interacting with the displaced object during the testing phase (Figures 6A and 6B). We hypothesized that ΔFosB recruits HDAC1 to deacetylate its gene targets, and thus, HDAC1 functions downstream of ΔFosB. Therefore, inhibition of HDACs with either 4-PBA or MS-275 should not affect ΔFosB levels, especially with the acute time frame of treatment, given that the half-life of ΔFosB is 8 days. Consistent with our hypothesis, APP mice displayed increased levels of ΔFosB in the DG compared to NTG controls, regardless of treatment (Figures 6C and 6D). Moreover, acute treatment with HDAC inhibitors did not non-specifically alter spiking frequency in APP mice (Figure S6J). These results suggest that HDAC1 actively and acutely suppresses the expression of genes important for hippocampal memory and contributes to cognitive deficits in APP mice.

Direct Inhibition of ΔFosB Improves c-Fos Induction and Hippocampus-Dependent Memory in APP Mice

Our results suggest that direct inhibition of ΔFosB should also improve DG c-Fos induction and spatial memory deficits in...
APP mice. To test whether this is true, we used ΔJunD, an N-terminally truncated mutant of JunD, the normal binding partner of ΔFosB. When expressed in neurons, ΔJunD serves as a dominant-negative inhibitor of ΔFosB (Berton et al., 2007; Vialou et al., 2010).

To achieve ΔJunD overexpression in the DG, we utilized an adeno-associated virus serotype 2 (AAV2) delivery system (Vialou et al., 2010). We confirmed ΔJunD overexpression in the DG by stereotaxically infusing AAV2 carrying GFP alone (AAV-GFP) into the left DG and AAV2 carrying both GFP and ΔJunD (AAV-GFP/ΔJunD) into the right DG of NTG mice and assessed ΔJunD expression at 28 days. Using an antibody that recognizes both ΔJunD and endogenous JunD, we verified that AAV-GFP/ΔJunD overexpressed ΔJunD by nearly 3-fold above endogenous JunD levels (Figures 7A and S7).

To test whether ΔJunD overexpression improves hippocampal memory and increases c-Fos expression in the DG of APP mice, we infused either AAV-GFP or AAV-GFP/ΔJunD bilaterally into the DG of NTG and APP mice and tested them in the object location memory task 28 days later. NTG mice spent more time interacting with the displaced object in the testing phase (Figure 7B), indicating that ΔJunD overexpression improves hippocampal memory in APP mice.

After behavioral testing, we verified that JunD-IR was increased in NTG and APP mice receiving AAV-GFP/ΔJunD infusion (Figure 7C) and that ΔFosB expression was increased in APP mice regardless of the type of virus infused (Figure 7D). We then quantified the total number of c-Fos-IR cells in the DG of NTG and APP mice receiving AAV infusions. ΔJunD overexpression did not affect c-Fos expression in the DG of NTG mice. APP mice that received AAV-GFP/ΔJunD exhibited increased numbers of c-Fos-IR cells in the DG compared to APP mice that received AAV-GFP (Figure 7E). This result indicates that ΔFosB directly mediates the suppression of c-Fos expression in the DG of APP mice.

DISCUSSION

A growing body of evidence suggests that network dysfunction in the form of epileptiform activity contributes to cognitive deficits in AD, even in early stages of the disease (Bakker et al., 2012; Vossel et al., 2013, 2016). Understanding how specific neuronal alterations contribute to cognitive deficits may therefore highlight additional therapeutic avenues to improve the quality of life for AD patients. Moreover, because long-term treatment with antiepileptic drugs is associated with many adverse effects, targeting more specific mechanisms may enable development of treatments with fewer side effects.

Our studies reveal a molecular mechanism by which epileptiform activity might contribute to cognitive deficits in AD and epilepsy. This mechanism highlights seizure-induced ΔFosB as a transcription factor that critically regulates hippocampal memory, although other effects of seizures and/or APP/Aβ may also
play a role. Due to the unusually long half-life of ΔFosB, even
infrequent epileptiform activity would be sufficient to maintain
persistent high levels of ΔFosB. Therefore, ΔFosB is well poised
to modulate gene expression over extended periods of time,
which may be part of why cognitive deficits persist even during
seizure-free periods in AD and epilepsy patients, as well as in
relevant mouse models.

A critical implication of ΔFosB-mediated c-Fos suppression is
that it also explains the biphasic expression curve of c-Fos
expression after sustained high levels of neuronal activity. Hip-
pocampal c-Fos expression is increased by acute neuronal ac-
tivity but decreased by chronic activity (McClung et al., 2004;
Renthal et al., 2008; Sheng and Greenberg, 1990; Tsankova
et al., 2004). The gradual but persistent nature of activity-
induced ΔFosB expression directly accounts for the biphasic
expression profile of c-Fos. Acute neuronal activity induces c-
Fos expression prior to the accumulation of ΔFosB to high
enough levels in the nucleus to regulate gene expression.
Following chronic neuronal activity, persistent increases in
ΔFosB allow it to reach a threshold that enables regulation of
genes, such as c-Fos. Therefore, although c-Fos expression
is frequently used as a marker of neuronal activity, it may only
accurately reflect activity under acute conditions. However, the
simultaneous use of both ΔFosB and c-Fos as activity markers
provides a more accurate readout of neuronal activity.

We focused on ΔFosB-mediated suppression of hippocampal
c-Fos expression as a mechanism for hippocampal memory de-
ficits. However, the extent to which the normalization of other
ΔFosB-targeted genes contribute to cognitive improvement
was not investigated. We chose to investigate ΔFosB-mediated
c-Fos suppression because the role of c-Fos in synaptic plastic-
ity and hippocampal memory is well established (Fleischmann
et al., 2003; He et al., 2002; Tischmeyer and Grimm, 1999).
Furthermore, ΔFosB may not be the sole mechanism underlying
hippocampal c-Fos suppression in APP mice. Other factors,
such as altered regulation of CREB-regulated transcription co-
activator-1 (Espan˜a et al., 2010; Saura, 2012; Yiu et al., 2011)
and increased levels of miR-181 (Rodriguez-Ortiz et al., 2014),
have also been implicated in reduced c-Fos expression ex-
hibited by mouse models of AD.

We hypothesized that ΔFosB actively suppresses the expres-
sion of genes important for cognition in the hippocampus and
that even a temporary relief of this suppression would improve
hippocampal memory. Indeed, acute HDAC inhibition improved
hippocampal c-Fos induction and hippocampal memory in APP
mice. Although acute HDAC inhibition may have improved mem-
ory in APP mice by altering the expression of many genes impor-
tant for cognition in addition to c-Fos, the increase in c-Fos is
likely to have contributed strongly to the efficacy of acute
HDAC inhibition. Chronic treatment with class 1 HDAC inhibitors,
including 4-PBA, improved learning and memory in APP mice
(Kilgore et al., 2010; Ricobaraza et al., 2009), but our results
demonstrate that even a temporary relief of gene suppression
can improve cognition when administered prior to training in
a memory paradigm. For AD patients, acute treatment options
may minimize side effects by allowing for an infrequent but
well-timed schedule of administration (e.g., during family visita-
tion), thus improving overall quality of life.

Acute HDAC inhibition did not increase the total number of
c-Fos-IR cells in the DG of APP mice following exploration in
the NE paradigm; however, it did increase c-Fos expression in
a certain population of neurons. As such, it is possible that acute
HDAC inhibition is unable to affect more severe and/or chronic
epigenetic mechanisms that may have occurred, such as histone
or DNA methylation. Overexpression of ΔJunD, a more chronic
treatment that might alter multiple modes of epigenetic modifica-
tion, was able to improve the total number of c-Fos-IR neurons in
the DG of APP mice, lending support for this hypothesis.

Understanding how network dysfunction induces neuronal
alterations that cause cognitive deficits emphasizes the impor-
tance of the role that seizures play in AD. Furthermore, many dis-
eases, including schizophrenia (Hyde and Weinberger, 1997),
autism (Viscidi et al., 2013), Down syndrome (Lott et al., 2012),
fragile X syndrome (Hecht, 1991), Rett syndrome (Jian et al.,
2006), and Angelman syndrome (Pelc et al., 2008), are all accom-
panied by both seizures and cognitive deficits. Therefore, it is
possible that seizures and network dysfunction may be common
denominators contributing to cognitive deficits in many brain dis-
orders. In addition, seizure-induced increases in ΔFosB may
contribute to deficits in cognition or other behaviors via alteration
of downstream gene targets that are already vulnerable due to
compromised genetic backgrounds.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

4- to 6-month-old heterozygous transgenic and NTG mice from lines hAPP-
J20, Tg2576, and PSAPP were used in these experiments. Male and female
mice were used unless otherwise specified, and sex was balanced within a
cohort. All mice referred to as APP mice were of the line hAPP-J20, which ex-
press a human APP (hAPP) gene carrying Swedish (K670N and M671L) and In-
diana (V717F) familial AD mutations under control of the platelet-derived
growth factor β-chain promoter, on a C57BL/6 background (Mucke et al.,
2000). Tg2576 mice express hAPP carrying the Swedish familial autosomal
dominant (FAD) mutation (Hsiao et al., 1996). PSAPP mice express hAPP car-
ying the Swedish mutation and presenilin-1 with FAD mutation (M146L; Hol-
comb et al., 1998). For brain harvesting, mice were flush-perfused transcard-
ally with diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated saline. Hemibrains were
frozen on dry ice for biochemistry or postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for
immunohistochemistry. All procedures were approved by the Thomas Jeffer-
son University and Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and
Use Committees.

Drug Treatment

Levetiracetam was dissolved in saline at 15 mg/mL and administered intraper-
itoneally (i.p.) at a dose of 75 mg/kg every 8 hr for 14 days. KA (Sigma) was dis-
solved in saline at 2 mg/mL and administered i.p. at doses described in Re-
results. 4-PBA (Aldrich) was dissolved with equimolar NaOH in ddH2O to
0.125 M and injected i.p. at a dose of 200 mg/kg 1 hr prior to NE or object
placement paradigms. A stock solution of 50 mg/mL MS-275 in DMSO was
dissolved into a working solution of 1.5 mg/mL MS-275 in saline containing
20% DMSO, which was administered at 8 mg/kg via subcutaneous injection
2 hr prior to NE or object placement paradigms.

KA Injections and Seizure Severity Scoring

NTG mice were injected with KA IP at specified doses and monitored for 2 hr.
Seizure severity and elapsed times since injection were recorded using a modi-
fied Racine scale (Racine, 1972; Roberson et al., 2007): 0, normal
behavior; 1, immobility; 2, generalized spasm, tremble, or twitch; 3, tail exten-
sion; 4, forelimb clonus; 5, generalized clonic activity with loss of posture; 6,
bouncing or running seizures; 7, full tonic extension; and 8, death. Acute KA
groups were sacrificed 2 hr following a single KA injection (15 mg/kg). Sub-chronic KA groups were sacrificed 3 days following a single KA injection (15 mg/kg). For mice receiving multiple KA injections, all injections were separated by 4.5 hr except for the fourth and fifth injections, which were separated by 12 hr. Unless otherwise specified, mice were sacrificed 0.5 hr following their final injection.

**Video-EEG Monitoring**

Electrodes were implanted as described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. EEG recordings were performed on at least 2 different days for a minimum of 4 hr each using a Stellate Harmonie acquisition system. EEG signal processing was performed using native Stellate software and Labchart Pro (AD Instruments). The frequency and total number of spikes for each mouse was analyzed.

**AAV-Mediated Gene Transfer**

AAV2 carrying CMV-JunD-ires2-eGFP (AAV-GFP/ΔJunD) or CMV-eGFP (AAV-GFP) was previously described (Berton et al., 2007; Vialou et al., 2010), demonstrating that these constructs are neurotropic and achieve stable neuronal gene expression within 18–22 days of infusion into the brain. One microliter of virus solution was stereotaxically infused unilaterally or bilaterally into the hippocampus at rostral (−1.7 mm anterior/posterior [A/P], 1.2 mm medial/lateral [M/L], and 2 mm dorsal/ventral [D/V] from bregma) and caudal (−2.7 mm A/P, 2 mm M/L, and 2 mm D/V from bregma) coordinates. Approximately 2 X 10^5 infectious particles were infused into each hippocampus. Mice were allowed to recover for 28 days post-surgery before behavior testing/sacrifice.

**Morris Water Maze**

Female NTG and APP mice (4–6 months old; 20–24 genotype) were tested as described in detail in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Mice were first tested in cued platform sessions, two trials per day for 2 days. Hidden platform training involved six trials/day over 7 days. After the last training trial, the platform was removed and mice were given a 60-s probe trial test. Performance was video tracked and analyzed using TopScan (CleverSys). The experimenter was blinded to genotypes during testing.

**Object Location Memory Task**

The object location memory task was based on previously described protocols (Scharfman and Binder, 2013) and is described in detail in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Briefly, mice were trained in three trials to recognize set locations of two identical objects in an empty mouse cage, and the time spent exploring each object during each of the three trials was recorded. After a 2-hr delay, the mouse was placed back in the test cage, in which one of the objects had been displaced. The time spent exploring the displaced versus the non-displaced object was recorded. For HDAC inhibitor treatments, training began 1 hr following i.p. injection with saline or 4-PBA or 2 hr following subcutaneous injection with 20% DMSO or saline. For KA experiments, mice were injected with saline or 15 mg/kg KA once a week for 3 weeks and trained/tested 3 days following the third injection.

**Novel Environment**

Female NTG and APP mice were placed in a NE either without treatment, 1 hr following 4-PBA treatment, or 2 hr following MS-275 treatment. Home cage control mice for each group received the same treatment in close temporal proximity. The home cages of mice contained only bedding, a water bottle, and a food hopper. The NE cage included a novel bedding material, a banana slice, the grid of a pipette tip rack, a 50-mL conical vial cap, and a repeat pipette tip wrapped in red tape. The top of the cage lid was removed for observation. Mice explored the NE for 2 hr while being video monitored and were then sacrificed. The number of object interactions was quantified for each mouse in 10-min bins at 1, 50, and 110 min into the recording.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue preparation and immunohistochemistry were performed as described (Chin et al., 2005; Corbett et al., 2013). Brains were sectioned at 30 μm. Antibodies are listed in Table S1. For staining with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB), further amplification was accomplished using Avidin-Biotin Complex (Vectastain). DAB (Sigma) was used as a chromagen. ΔFosB-IR quantification was performed by assessing the mean pixel intensity using ImageJ software. Quantification of c-Fos was performed by total cell count or by using the threshold function provided by Metamorph. A pixel intensity threshold was set such that ~40–50 (~30% of total) cells were above threshold in the DG of NTG mice from the home cage group. This threshold was used for all mice, and the number of c-Fos-IR cells above threshold was recorded. For immunofluorescence, Metamorph software was used to quantify optical density throughout the rostral-caudal extent of the DG.

**Western Blot**

Western blot analysis was performed as described (Corbett et al., 2013). The hippocampus from each hemibrain of each mouse was isolated and homogenized with a Polytron tissue homogenizer in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer. Equal amounts of protein were resolved by SDS-PAGE on 4%–12% gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with primary antibodies listed in Table S1. IR-dye-conjugated secondary antibodies were used for detection and quantification using a Li-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system.

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

Hippocampi were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer (320 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 10 mM EDTA, and 1% sodium deoxycholate) and diluted 1:5 in binding buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 0.5 mM EDTA, and 0.5% Triton-X). 4 μg of rabbit anti-HDAC1 (Abcam) was added to immunoprecipitate HDAC1 prior to western blot analysis. HDAC1 and FosB were immunoblotted.

**RNA Extraction**

RNA extraction was adapted from instructions from the QIAGEN RNeasy kit. Briefly, hippocampi were homogenized in RLT/β-mercaptoethanol buffer by passing the lysate through an 18G needle followed by a 25G needle. After centrifugation, RNA was extracted and eluted with nuclease-free water. Final RNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer.

**qRT-PCR**

Reverse transcription was performed using the Taqman Gold RT-PCR kit (ABI). qPCR was performed with an ABI 7500 PCR machine using SYBR Green as a fluorophore. Primers used to amplify cDNA are listed in Table S2.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

ChIP was performed similarly to described methods (Renthal et al., 2008; Tsankova et al., 2004). Hippocampi were subdissected and fixed in 1% formaldehyde. Samples were sonicated to generate genomic fragments 200–1,000 bp in length and pre-cleared with Protein A beads (Millipore) prior to incubation with antibodies listed in Table S1 at 4°C overnight. The antibody–chromatin complex was immunoprecipitated with Protein A beads, washed with a series of buffers (Millipore), and then chromatin was eluted and reverse cross-linking performed with Proteinase K. DNA was purified via phenol-chloroform extraction. Final DNA concentration was measured using the NanoDrop 2000. qPCR was performed with an ABI 7500 PCR machine using SYBR Green as a fluorophore. Primers used to amplify the c-fos promoter and β-actin promoter are listed in Table S2.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5. Raw values for data presented as “relative to NTG controls” are listed in Table S3. Differences between means were assessed using a two-tailed, unequal Student’s t test unless otherwise indicated. Differences among three or more means were assessed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests. Two-way ANOVA using Bonferroni or Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc tests were used to assess differences in analyses with two variables. Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc tests were used for analyzing object location memory data. Regression analysis was used to detect correlations. To assess the relationship between c-Fos-expressing cells and ΔFosB expression, c-Fos cell counts were log transformed in order to perform a linear regression and
test for statistical significance. Detailed results of all statistical analyses are listed in Table S4.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and four tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.06.040.
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