

11-20-2013

Comparing the cumulative pain patients experience waiting for knee arthroplasty to their postoperative pain

Eric S. Schwenk
Thomas Jefferson University

Richard H. Epstein, MD, CPHIMS
Department of Anesthesiology, Thomas Jefferson University

Franklin Dexter
University of Iowa

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/anfp>

 Part of the [Anesthesiology Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Schwenk ES, Epstein RH, Dexter F (2013) Comparing the Cumulative Pain Patients Experience Waiting for Knee Arthroplasty to their Postoperative Pain. *J Anesth Clin Res* 4: 364.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Anesthesiology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

As submitted to:

Journal of Anesthesia and Clinical Research

And later published as:

**Comparing the Cumulative Pain Patients Experience
Waiting for Knee Arthroplasty to their Postoperative
Pain**

Published: November 20, 2013

doi: 10.4172/2155-6148.1000364

Corresponding Author:

Eric S. Schwenk, MD
Department of Anesthesiology
Jefferson Medical College
Suite 8490, Gibbon Building
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Phone: 215-955-6161
Email: Eric.Schwenk@jefferson.edu

Co-authors:

Franklin Dexter, MD PhD
Division of Management Consulting
Department of Anesthesia
University of Iowa
200 Hawkins Drive, 6JCP
Iowa City, IA 52242
Email: franklin-dexter@uiowa.edu

Richard H. Epstein, MD, CPHIMS
Department of Anesthesiology
Jefferson Medical College
Suite 6215F, Gibbon Building

Philadelphia, PA 19107
Email: Richard.Epstein@jefferson.edu

Funding: Departmental funds

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts to report

Approval: All authors approved the final manuscript

1 **Abstract**

2

3 **Introduction:** Reduction of pain is a major goal of anesthesiologists treating patients

4 undergoing knee arthroplasty. This has been achieved traditionally through the use of

5 regional analgesia. Although these techniques decrease postoperative pain, they

6 inherently do not affect the longstanding pain patients experience as they wait for

7 surgery. Our objectives were to quantify 1) the decrease in pain achieved by surgical

8 joint replacement and 2) the decrease in postoperative pain achievable through femoral

9 nerve blocks versus opioids. From a systems-based perspective, we wanted to determine

10 how much reduction in waiting time before surgery would be necessary to achieve an

11 equal cumulative pain decrease (i.e., pain x duration of pain) as that afforded by regional

12 techniques in the immediate postoperative period.

13

14 **Materials and Methods:** A systematic review using PubMed was performed to obtain:

15 1) articles reporting preoperative pain scores for patients awaiting joint arthroplasty; 2)

16 articles with knee arthroplasty patients who received femoral nerve blocks; and 3) articles

17 providing duration on joint arthroplasty waiting lists. Cumulative pain was assessed by

18 the area under the response curve of pain scores vs. time, a methodology that is simple

19 and valid. This was calculated by multiplying mean pain scores by the duration of pain.

20

21 **Results:** The decrease in knee pain subsequent to arthroplasty (6.4/10 vs. 2.9/10) is

22 similar to the decrease in pain afforded by femoral nerve blocks for knee arthroplasty

23 (4.7/10 vs. 2.0/10). Waiting times in many countries exceed 3 months. A decrease in

1 waiting time by about 2 days results in a decrease in the area under the curve of pain
2 comparable to that afforded by femoral nerve blocks.

3

4 **Conclusion:** Reducing waiting time for knee arthroplasty decreases total pain
5 experienced by patients and is one systems-based approach that anesthesiologists could
6 take to relieve pain. Further studies are needed to evaluate how best to accomplish this
7 goal.

8

1 **Introduction**

2 Patients experience significant pain while waiting for knee replacement. Although
3 multiple studies have assessed pain at one or more times for patients awaiting surgery,¹⁻³
4 less is known about the cumulative amount of pain they experience. Quantifying this
5 cumulative pain over a period of time can be accomplished by applying the concept of
6 area under the response curve (AUC).⁴ The AUC for pain scores may be described as the
7 product of the average pain score over a period of time and the duration of the pain. This
8 has been studied in parturients and found to be a reasonable estimation of the cumulative
9 labor pain.⁵ A patient’s single recall rating of his or her average pain over a period of
10 time has been shown to be just as sensitive to the effects of a pain treatment as a
11 composite score made up of multiple measurements.⁶ Therefore, AUC can accurately
12 describe pain while waiting for knee arthroplasty, despite the waxing and waning nature
13 of osteoarthritis pain.

14 Regional analgesia has proven benefit for knee arthroplasty patients. Femoral nerve
15 blocks provide superior analgesia compared to patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) for
16 knee arthroplasty.⁷ Given the importance of regional anesthesia and analgesia, expected
17 competencies for anesthesiology residents include technical skills in regional anesthesia.^a

18 In the U.S., milestones for anesthesiologists also include “[using] system resources
19 to facilitate and optimize cost-effective and safe longitudinal perioperative care” and
20 “[participating] in performance improvement efforts within health care systems to
21 improve patient outcomes.”^a It is within this context that improvement in patients’

^a Stony Brook Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology. Available at http://anesthesia.stonybrook.edu/anesfiles/AnesthesiologyMilestones_Version2012.11.11.pdf. Last accessed September 27, 2013.

1 cumulative pain waiting for surgery could potentially be accomplished. Regional
2 techniques, despite their proven benefit, are inherently limited to the intra- and
3 postoperative periods. As anesthesiologists continue to emphasize their role as
4 perioperative physicians, systems-based efforts that begin preoperatively are important.

5 The purposes of this study were: 1) to quantify the AUC for pain scores rated by all
6 patients on joint arthroplasty waiting lists; and 2) to determine how much of a decrease in
7 waiting time would be necessary to achieve the same decrease in AUC that femoral nerve
8 blocks provide after knee arthroplasty. To accomplish this, we first performed a review
9 that involved three separate search queries designed to identify all published studies that:
10 1) provided a preoperative visual analog scale (VAS) pain score for patients awaiting
11 joint arthroplasty; 2) provided postoperative VAS pain scores for patients given a femoral
12 nerve block and VAS pain scores for those given opioids alone; and 3) provided a mean
13 duration of waiting list time for patients scheduled for joint arthroplasty.

14

15 **Methods**

16 We performed three separate search queries (see Table 1) that were designed to
17 identify three distinct groups of articles. Query 1 was performed to identify articles that
18 included patients on a waiting list for joint replacement that provided a preoperative
19 mean VAS pain score (Table 1). Both hip and knee arthroplasty studies were included to
20 maximize the number of possible studies for analysis. Data extracted included: author,
21 number of patients, preoperative VAS pain score with standard deviation, and
22 postoperative VAS pain score with standard deviation. To be included, the manuscript
23 needed to report standard deviations and sample sizes. Although not an inclusion

1 criterion, postoperative mean VAS scores and standard deviations also were recorded, if
2 available.

3 Query 2 was designed to find studies that determined the analgesic benefit of the
4 femoral nerve block compared to opioids, which has been shown to improve analgesia
5 outcomes following knee arthroplasty⁷ (Table 1). Data extracted include: author, time of
6 assessment, number of patients in each group, VAS pain score in the opioid group with
7 standard deviation, and VAS pain score in the femoral nerve block group with standard
8 deviation. To be included, the study had to have a control group that was given opioids.
9 Mean VAS scores, standard deviations, and sample sizes had to be provided for both
10 groups.

11 Query 3 was designed with the goal of identifying studies that would allow
12 calculation of a mean waiting duration for arthroplasty (Table 1). Both knee and hip
13 arthroplasty were included to maximize search results. Data extracted included author,
14 country in which author conducted the study, joint (hip or knee) studied, number of
15 patients, and length of time on waiting list. To be included, the study had to provide the
16 mean duration of time on the waiting list along with the standard deviation and sample
17 size.

18 All published articles in PubMed in all languages were included with no limitations
19 on date of publication, number of participants, or study type (prospective or
20 retrospective). Search protocols were last accessed September 25, 2013. Studies meeting
21 initial criteria and published in a language other than English were translated using
22 Google Translate. Abstracts of articles that were identified from the initial query were
23 manually checked for additional inclusion criteria, with translation into English, as

1 necessary. All articles using VAS scores measured pain at rest. Abstracts for articles
2 found after the initial PubMed query were screened for eligibility based on the criteria in
3 Table 2 and if eligibility was unclear after reading the abstract, the full article was read.
4 Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of the article selection process. The numbers of screened
5 articles and those excluded with each criterion are shown in Table 2 with corresponding
6 references.^{3,8-28} Biases of individual studies and as a whole were assessed by consensus
7 of the study authors.

8 Weighted means were calculated for the preoperative waiting list VAS scores,
9 postoperative waiting list VAS scores, and knee arthroplasty treatment and control group
10 VAS scores (Tables 3-5). Means were weighted using the inverse of the squared
11 standard errors of the mean pain score from each study. The AUC for pain scores was
12 calculated as the product of the mean preoperative VAS score and number of days spent
13 waiting for surgery.

14

15 **Results**

16 For Query 1, six articles^{3,8-12} were returned initially and, after application of inclusion
17 criteria, three articles^{3,8,9} were included in the analysis. Arthroplasty reduced the
18 weighted mean preoperative VAS pain score from 6.4 cm (on a 10-cm scale) for all
19 patients awaiting joint arthroplasty (both hips and knees) to 2.9 cm at 3 months after
20 surgery (Table 3).

21 For Query 2, nine articles¹³⁻²¹ were returned initially and, after application of
22 inclusion criteria, two articles^{13,20} were included in the analysis. Femoral nerve blocks

1 decreased the weighted mean knee arthroplasty pain from 4.7 (opioid group) to 2.0 cm
2 (femoral nerve group) in the recovery room and on postoperative day #1 (Tables 3 and 4).

3 For Query 3, eight articles^{8,22-28} were returned initially and, after application of
4 inclusion criteria, three articles^{8,22,26} were included in the analysis. Mean waiting times
5 ranged from 16.1 weeks in Nunez et al²⁶ to 43.4 weeks in Vuorenmaa et al.⁸

6 The AUC for the benefit of femoral nerve block after knee arthroplasty, using a
7 typical duration of femoral nerve catheters of 2 days, would be 5.4 cm•days. To
8 determine the decrease in waiting list time needed to provide the same AUC as femoral
9 nerve blocks provide, the AUC for knee arthroplasty (5.4 cm•days) was divided by the
10 mean pain reduction that surgery itself provided (3.5 cm). A decrease in waiting time of
11 1.5 days would achieve the same decrease in AUC as femoral nerve block in the
12 postoperative period for those same procedures.

13

14 *Assessment of Bias*

15 The postoperative VAS pain score for waiting list patients was based on Vuorenmaa
16 et al.⁸ If that study alone were used for preoperative pain, the pre- to postoperative
17 change in pain scores would decrease from 3.5 cm to 2.9 cm. The result would be that a
18 reduction in waiting time of 1.9 days, rather than 1.5 days, would provide equivalent
19 AUC as that for femoral nerve blocks after surgery. This bias does not substantively
20 influence results.

21 For McHugh et al³, the preoperative VAS score was from a single point in time, so
22 there is the possibility that this score did not represent the entire waiting period.
23 However, a separate analysis of participants performed within the McHugh study found

1 that VAS pain measurements were not significantly changed three months after being
2 placed on the list, so the VAS scores we used for analysis would not have changed or
3 influenced conclusions.

4 For Query 3, the study by Nunez et al²⁶ restricted participation to patients on the
5 waiting list for knee arthroplasty less than six months, so this study's mean duration of
6 waiting time may have been biased toward a shorter period of time than if all waiting list
7 patients had been eligible. This bias also does not change our conclusions.

8

9 **Discussion**

10 Our principal finding was that decreasing time spent waiting for knee arthroplasty by
11 a relatively small amount (about 2 days) can decrease the cumulative pain experienced by
12 patients by an amount comparable to what a femoral nerve block can accomplish for
13 postoperative pain. This is because the decrease in pain achieved by arthroplasty itself is
14 comparable (i.e., within 20%) to the decrease in pain achieved by the use of femoral
15 nerve block after total knee arthroplasty (Tables 3 and 4). However, the duration of
16 waiting for surgery can be many months, while benefits of femoral nerve blocks typically
17 last for no more than 2 days (Table 5). Thus, the potential decrease in the AUC resulting
18 from the reduction of patient waiting times by as little as 2 days could equal the benefits
19 of the block. This does not imply that regional techniques are not effective or detract
20 from their proven benefit; rather, this study simply places the potential benefit of
21 reducing waiting times into perspective and suggests the possibility of expansion of the
22 anesthesiologist's role as a perioperative consultant in addressing preoperative pain in
23 addition to postoperative pain.

1 The benefits of improving access to knee arthroplasty are not just fewer days spent in
2 pain but reduced costs to society as a whole. Despite the costs associated with surgery,
3 the amount of money spent on nonsurgical treatments and the amount lost due to missed
4 work or disability payments appears to be greater.²⁹

5 Expediting patients to surgery could potentially be accomplished in several different
6 ways. Through actions such as improved operating room (OR) scheduling and
7 longitudinal monitoring of surgeons' schedules, waiting times can be reduced.³⁰⁻³⁴
8 Techniques exist to assess the efficacy of such management interventions.³⁵ Just as
9 regional analgesia has substantially improved postoperative pain control, so should
10 systems-based practice interventions be applied to reduce preoperative pain. Application
11 of mathematical models combined with the lowering of organizational institutional
12 barriers can improve OR efficiency.³¹ If there is a master surgical schedule of at least
13 one week, the maximum waiting time cannot be less than four weeks in order to
14 maximize OR efficiency.³⁰ As this relationship between the length of the master surgical
15 schedule and maximum waiting time depends only on physical principles of durations of
16 the workday and predictive variability in surgical durations, the relationship applies
17 across health systems.³⁰ The implication is that the potential impact of reducing
18 maximum waiting times to 4 weeks would be substantial, given the waiting list range of
19 16 to 43 weeks reported in several studies (Table 5).

20 Teaching the core competency of systems-based practice to anesthesiologists can be
21 effectively accomplished through a 3.5-day course.³⁶ By applying the knowledge gained
22 through such a course, anesthesiologists may be better equipped to assist with OR
23 scheduling and improve patient flow. Principally, this has to do with calculating the

1 hours into which cases are scheduled for each day of the week using appropriate
2 statistical techniques.³⁷⁻⁴⁰

3 Our study has several limitations. First, the preoperative pain scores and waiting
4 times we report represent a combination of both hip and knee arthroplasty patients, while
5 the postoperative pain scores are taken from a study by Vuorenmaa et al⁸ with knee
6 arthroplasty patients only. However, preoperative VAS scores are similar between
7 patients undergoing both hip and knee arthroplasty, so this would not likely change our
8 conclusions.^{3,41} Second, few studies provided mean pain scores in a format amenable to
9 our analysis. Several studies, for example, provided mean pain scores only in graphical
10 format, rather than a VAS number, which limited the number of studies for analysis.
11 Finally, when comparing preoperative pain on the waiting list to postoperative pain, we
12 are assuming that the nature and quality of the pain are similar. This may not always be
13 the case. However, for the purpose of analysis, the quality of the pain is impossible to
14 account for, and the similar changes in VAS scores imply similar perception of pain.

1 In conclusion, we have shown that a reduction in knee arthroplasty waiting list time
2 by approximately 2 days could reduce patients' preoperative cumulative pain as
3 measured by AUC by an amount comparable to the decrease in postoperative pain
4 possible with femoral nerve blocks. Further studies are needed to determine feasibility
5 and how best to allocate resources to accomplish this goal of decreasing the wait for
6 surgery.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

1 References

1. Ackerman IN, Bennell KL, Osborne RH. Decline in Health-Related Quality of Life reported by more than half of those waiting for joint replacement surgery: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2011;12:108-117
2. Desmeules F, Dionne CE, Belzile EL, Bourbonnais R, Fremont P. The impacts of pre-surgery wait for total knee replacement on pain, function, and health-related quality of life six months after surgery. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2012;18:111-20
3. McHugh GA, Luker KA, Campbell M, Kay PR, Silman AJ. Pain, physical functioning and quality of life of individuals awaiting total joint replacement: a longitudinal study. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2008;14:19-26
4. Matthews JNS, Altman DG, Campbell MJ, Royston P. Analysis of serial measurements in medical research. *Br Med J* 1990;300:230-5
5. Ludington E, Dexter F. Statistical Analysis of Total Labor Pain Using the Visual Analog Scale and Application to Studies of Analgesic Effectiveness During Childbirth. *Anesth Analg* 1998;87:723-7
6. Jensen MP, Hu X, Potts SL, Gould EM. Single vs. composite measures of pain intensity: Relative sensitivity for detecting treatment effects. *Pain* 2013;154:534-8
7. Paul JE, Arya A, Hurlburt L, et al. Femoral Nerve Block Improves Analgesia Outcomes after Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology* 2010;113:1144-62
8. Vuorenmaa M, Ylinen J, Kiviranta I, et al. Changes in pain and physical function during waiting time and 3 months after knee joint arthroplasty. *J Rehabil Med* 2008;40:570-5

9. Casale R, Damiani C, Rosati V, et al. Efficacy of a comprehensive rehabilitation programme combined with pharmacological treatment in reducing pain in a group of OA patients on a waiting list for total joint replacement. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012;30:233-9
10. Allepuz A, Quintana JM, Espallargues M, et al. Relationship between total hip replacement appropriateness and surgical priority instruments. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2011;17:18-25
11. Tuominen U, Blom M, Hirvonen J, et al. The effect of co-morbidities on health-related quality of life in patients placed on the waiting list for total joint replacement. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2007;5:16
12. Health Quality Ontario. Intra-articular viscosupplementation with hylan g-f 20 to treat osteoarthritis of the knee: an evidence-based analysis. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser* 2005;5:1-66
13. Chan MH, Chen WH, Tung YW, et al. Single-injection femoral nerve block lacks preemptive effect on postoperative pain and morphine consumption in total knee arthroplasty. *Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan* 2012;50:54-8
14. Soto Mesa D, Del Valle Ruiz V, Fayad Fayad M, et al. Control of postoperative pain in knee arthroplasty: single dose femoral nerve block versus continuous femoral nerve block. *Rev Esp Anesthesiol Reanim* 2012;59:204-9
15. Lee AR, Choi DH, Ko JS, et al. Effect of combined single-injection femoral nerve block and patient-controlled epidural analgesia in patients undergoing total knee replacement. *Yonsei Med J* 2011;52:145-50

16. Ozen M, Inan N, Tumer F, Uyar A, Baltaci B. The effect of 3-in-1 femoral nerve block with ropivacaine 0.375% on postoperative morphine consumption in elderly patients after total knee replacement surgery. *Agri* 2006;18:44-50
17. Tugay N, Saricaoglu F, Satilmis T, et al. Single-injection femoral nerve block. Effects on the independence level in functional activities in the early postoperative period in patients with total knee arthroplasty. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2006;11:175-9
18. Niskanen RO, Strandberg N. Bedside femoral block performed on the first postoperative day after unilateral total knee arthroplasty: a randomized study of 49 patients. *J Knee Surg* 2005;18:192-6
19. YaDeau JT, Cahill JB, Zawadksy MW, et al. The effects of femoral nerve blockade in conjunction with epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. *Anesth Analg* 2005;101:891-5
20. Wang H, Boctor B, Verner J. The effect of single-injection femoral nerve block on rehabilitation and length of hospital stay after total knee replacement. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2002;27:139-44
21. Hirst GC, Lang SA, Dust WN, Cassidy JD, Yip RW. Femoral nerve block. Single injection versus continuous infusion for total knee arthroplasty. *Reg Anesth* 1996;21:292-7
22. Tuominen U, Sintonen H, Hirvonen J, et al. The effect of waiting time on health and quality of life outcomes and costs of medication in hip replacement patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2009;17:1144-50
23. Batra S, Batra M, McMurtrie A, Sinha AK. Rapidly destructive osteoarthritis of the hip joint: a case series. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2008;11:3

24. Nunez M, Nunez E, Segur JM, et al. Health-related quality of life and costs in patients with osteoarthritis on waiting list for total knee replacement. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007;15:258-65
25. Fielden JM, Cumming JM, Horne JG, et al. Waiting for hip arthroplasty: economic costs and health outcomes. *J Arthroplasty* 2005;20:990-7
26. Nunez M, Nunez E, Segur JM, et al. The effect of an educational program to improve health-related quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis on waiting list for total knee replacement: a randomized study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2006;14:279-85
27. Birrell F, Afzal C, Nahit E, et al. Predictors of hip joint replacement in new attenders in primary care with hip pain. *Br J Gen Pract* 2003;53:26-30
28. Mangan JL, Walsh C, Kernohan WG, et al. Total joint replacement: implication of cancelled operations for hospital costs and waiting list management. *Qual Health Care* 1992;1:34-7
29. Ruiz D, Koenig L, Dall TM, et al. The Direct and Indirect Costs to Society of Treatment for End-Stage Knee Osteoarthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2013;95:1473-80
30. Dexter F, Macario A, Traub RD, Hopwood M, Lubarsky DA. An operating room scheduling strategy to maximize the use of operating room block time - Computer simulation of patient scheduling and survey of patients' preferences for surgical waiting time. *Anesth Analg* 1999;89:7-20
31. Van Houdenhoven M, van Oostrum JM, Hans EW, Wullink G, Kazemier G. Improving operating room efficiency by applying bin-packing and portfolio techniques to surgical case scheduling. *Anesth Analg* 2007;105:707-14

32. Fei H, Meskens N, Chu C. A planning and scheduling problem for an operating theatre using an open scheduling strategy. *Comput Ind Eng* 2010;58:221-30
33. Dexter F, Birchansky L, Bernstein JM, Wachtel RE. Case scheduling preferences of one surgeon's cataract surgery patients. *Anesth Analg* 2009;108:579-82
34. Dexter F, Masursky D, Ledolter J, Wachtel RE, Smallman B. Monitoring changes in individual surgeon's workloads using anesthesia data. *Can J Anesth* 2012;59:571-7
35. Ledolter J, Dexter F. Analysis of interventions influencing or reducing patient waiting while stratifying by surgical procedure. *Anesth Analg* 2011;112:950-7
36. Wachtel RE, Dexter F. Curriculum Providing Cognitive Knowledge and Problem-Solving Skills for Anesthesia Systems-Based Practice. *J Grad Med Educ* 2010;2:624-32
37. McIntosh C, Dexter F, Epstein RH. Impact of service-specific staffing, case scheduling, turnovers, and first-case starts on anesthesia group and operating room productivity: tutorial using data from an Australian hospital. *Anesth Analg* 2006;103:1499-1516
38. Wachtel RE, Dexter F. Review of behavioral operations experimental studies of newsvendor problems for operating room management. *Anesth Analg* 2010;110:1698-1710
39. Sulecki L, Dexter F, Zura A, Saager L, Epstein RH. Lack of value of scheduling processes to move cases from a heavily used main campus to other facilities within a healthcare system. *Anesth Analg* 2012;115:395-401
40. Dexter F, Shi P, Epstein RH. Descriptive study of case scheduling and cancellations within one week of the day of surgery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 115: 1188-1195, 2012

41. Ebrahimpour PB, Do HT, Bornstein LJ, Westrich GH. Relationship Between Demographic Variables and Preoperative Pain and Disability in 5945 Total Joint Arthroplasties at a Single Institution. *J Arthroplasty* 2011;26:133-7