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Regulation of sleep plasticity by a thermo-sensitive circuit in *Drosophila*
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Sleep is a highly conserved and essential behaviour in many species, including the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. In the wild, sensory signalling encoding environmental information must be integrated with sleep drive to ensure that sleep is not initiated during detrimental conditions. However, the molecular and circuit mechanisms by which sleep timing is modulated by the environment are unclear. Here we introduce a novel behavioural paradigm to study this issue. We show that in male fruit flies, onset of the daytime siesta is delayed by ambient temperatures above 29 °C. We term this effect Prolonged Morning Wakefulness (PMW). We show that signalling through the TrpA1 thermo-sensor is required for PMW, and that TrpA1 specifically impacts siesta onset, but not night sleep onset, in response to elevated temperatures. We identify two critical TrpA1-expressing circuits and show that both contact DN1p clock neurons, the output of which is also required for PMW. Finally, we identify the circadian blue-light photoreceptor CRYPTOCHROME as a molecular regulator of PMW, and propose a model in which the *Drosophila* nervous system integrates information encoding temperature, light, and time to dynamically control when sleep is initiated. Our results provide a platform to investigate how environmental inputs co-ordinately regulate sleep plasticity.

Sleep is controlled by circadian and homeostatic mechanisms1, and is observed in organisms as divergent as humans and insects2. Such deep conservation suggests a fundamental requirement for sleep in maintaining organismal fitness. Indeed, recent work has demonstrated a key role for sleep in regulating several aspects of nervous system function in vertebrates and invertebrates, including synaptic plasticity, neuronal development and metabolite clearance3–6.

The quiescent and high arousal-threshold state that is the primary characteristic of sleep has obvious fitness costs in an environment containing predators and a limited supply of food and mates. Thus, the timing of sleep must be tightly regulated by an array of sensory modalities to match sleep onset to current external conditions. In other words, sleep must be a plastic phenotype that is sensitive to environmental alterations. However, the mechanisms by which ethologically-relevant environmental cues modulate sleep timing are unclear. We have used the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, to address this issue.

*Drosophila* has emerged as an important model system for investigating genes and circuits that influence the levels, timing, and homeostatic regulation of sleep7–14, as well as its neurobiological functions3–5,15. *Drosophila* exhibits a daytime siesta and long bouts of consolidated sleep during the night. Components of this sleep pattern are sexually dimorphic, with female flies exhibiting reduced siesta sleep relative to males7. As poikilotherms, *Drosophila* physiology is temperature-sensitive, and fruit flies possess an array of thermo-sensory signalling pathways that facilitate adaptive behavioral responses to the surrounding ambient temperature, enabling *Drosophila* to sense attractive or noxious temperatures and initiate appropriate locomotor programs16,17. Recent work has also shown that increasing ambient temperature modifies sleep architecture18–20. However, the thermo-sensory molecules and circuits that transmit temperature information to sleep regulatory centers remain unclear.

We performed an in-depth analysis of how sleep in *Drosophila* is modified by changes in ambient temperature. Interestingly, we found that the male siesta exhibits a complex response to temperature increases, with the onset advanced during mild increases and delayed by further thermal increases (≥ 30 °C). We term this
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delay in siesta onset due to elevated temperature Prolonged Morning Wakefulness (PMW). Through mutational analysis and circuit mapping approaches, we identify the thermo-receptor, thermo-sensory circuits and downstream sleep-regulatory neurons involved in PMW. We show that temperature increases are encoded by two distinct sub-circuits expressing the TrpA1 thermo-sensor, both of which contact DN1p clock neurons. These, in turn, promote wakefulness during hot mornings. We also demonstrate that loss of the circadian photoreceptor CRYPTOCHROME suppresses PMW. Our work suggests that the Drosophila nervous system integrates light, temperature, and temporal information to drive changes in daytime sleep architecture.

**Results**

**Increased ambient temperature alters sleep architecture in Drosophila.** To study how sleep architecture dynamically adapts to environmental changes, we measured sleep levels and timing in adult male flies during two consecutive days. On Day 1 of the experimental paradigm, flies were housed at 22 °C, in 12 h light:12 h dark conditions (LD) with Zeitgeber Times (ZT) shown below. Black arrowhead indicates the delay of the sleep onset observed at 30 °C (PMW). Grey arrowheads indicate the delay of sleep offset induced at 27 °C or above. (d,e) Change in time taken to the first day sleep episode (d) or night sleep episode (e) (ΔLatency) between consecutive 24 h periods at 22 °C and 27–31 °C. (f,g) Difference in total sleep during the day (f) or night (g) between consecutive 24 h periods at 22 °C and 27–31 °C. n-values: 27 °C, n = 39; 29 °C, n = 32; 30 °C, n = 79; 31 °C, n = 18. In this and all subsequent figures, box plots show the 10th, 25th, median, 75th and 90th percentiles, and p-values are indicated as follows: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, ns – p > 0.05. Statistical comparisons: (d–g) Wilcoxon signed rank test compared to a theoretical median of zero and (e) Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test.

**Figure 1.** Warm temperatures prolong morning wakefulness in male Drosophila. (a–c) Average sleep patterns of adult male flies shifted from 22 °C to either 27 °C (n = 20) (a), 29 °C (n = 32) (b) or 30 °C (n = 69) (c). Sleep traces are presented as mean ± SEM for each time point in these and all subsequent figures. Temperature-shift paradigms are indicated above. Sleep was measured under 12 h light:12 h dark conditions (white/grey bars) with Zeitgeber Times (ZT) shown below. Black arrowhead indicates the delay of the sleep onset observed at 30 °C (PMW). Grey arrowheads indicate the delay of sleep offset induced at 27 °C or above. (d,e) Change in time taken to the first day sleep episode (d) or night sleep episode (e) (ΔLatency) between consecutive 24 h periods at 22 °C and 27–31 °C. (f,g) Difference in total sleep during the day (f) or night (g) between consecutive 24 h periods at 22 °C and 27–31 °C. n-values: 27 °C, n = 39; 29 °C, n = 32; 30 °C, n = 79; 31 °C, n = 18. In this and all subsequent figures, box plots show the 10th, 25th, median, 75th and 90th percentiles, and p-values are indicated as follows: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, ns – p > 0.05. Statistical comparisons: (d–g) Wilcoxon signed rank test compared to a theoretical median of zero and (e) Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test.
With respect to nighttime sleep, we found that heightened ambient temperatures induced a delay in night sleep onset (Fig. 1a–c). In addition, we observed a roughly linear decrease in night sleep levels in response to increasing temperature levels (Fig. 1g). Thus, temperature increases differentially affect the onset of day versus night sleep, with siesta sleep onset both advanced and delayed by temperature increases within a relatively narrow range, and night sleep onset consistently delayed. To our knowledge, the response of siesta onset to elevated temperatures has not previously been characterized, and for simplicity, we refer to the temperature-induced delay in siesta onset as PMW - Prolonged Morning Wakefulness.

We also tested for the presence of PMW in adult female Drosophila. At 22 °C, female Drosophila initiate the siesta later during the day compared to males (Fig. S1), and we did not observe PMW in females when shifted from 22 °C to 30 °C (Fig. S1). Thus, PMW is sexually dimorphic at 30 °C and correlates with a siesta onset occurring earlier in males than in females at 22 °C.

Does PMW simply represent an acute avoidance response to rapidly increased ambient temperature? To rule out this possibility, we shifted male flies from 22 °C to 30 °C at ZT12 rather than ZT0 and measured sleep the following morning. After 12h at elevated temperature (Fig. 2a). Indeed, under these conditions we still observed robust PMW, and the magnitude of PMW was equivalent to that caused by a shift from 22 °C to 30 °C at ZT0 (Fig. 2b,c). Thus, PMW is not solely a reaction to a rapid environmental change, but is a behavioral response linked to high temperatures during the morning.

PMW is GLASS- and CRYPTOCHROME-dependent. Since PMW occurs shortly after lights-on, we tested whether PMW could be modified by mutations that impact light-sensing pathways, the circadian clock, or both. We examined PMW in three photoreceptor mutants where signaling through the compound eye is abolished. norpA<sup>PA41</sup> is a loss of function allele in the phospholipase C-<sup>β</sup> encoding gene norpA, a critical component in the canonical light transduction pathway<sup>24,25</sup>; GMR-hidden (hid) flies express the pro-apoptotic gene hid in all photoreceptor cells<sup>26</sup>; and g<sup>890</sup> mutants are developmentally blind due to loss of GLASS, a transcription factor required for photoreceptor cell development<sup>27</sup>. Surprisingly, PMW was still observed in norpA<sup>PA41</sup> and GMR-hid males, yet was suppressed in g<sup>890</sup> homozygotes (Fig. 2d). GLASS is also required for the development of a subset of clock neurons termed DN1p neurons<sup>26,28</sup>, providing a possible explanation for this discrepancy (see below). Interestingly, we also found that PMW was suppressed by loss of CRYPTOCHROME (CRY), a circadian blue-light photoreceptor<sup>28</sup> (Fig. 2d; see Discussion). Finally, to test for a direct role of the clock in gating PMW, we generated a new timeless<sup>TM</sup> null allele by replacing the tim coding sequence with a mini-white<sup>TM</sup> reporter gene using homologous recombination (tim<sup>TM</sup>; see Materials and Methods). TIM is an essential component of the negative arm of the circadian transcription-translation feedback loop<sup>29</sup>. We confirmed that clock-driven morning and evening anticipation are lost in tim<sup>TM</sup> homozygote males (Fig. S2), and that tim<sup>TM</sup> homozygotes are arrhythmic in constant-dark conditions (data not shown). PMW was reduced in tim<sup>TM</sup> homozygotes, but not fully suppressed (Fig. S2). These results suggest that light- and temperature information collectively drive PMW, with the light-sensing pathway involving the CRY photoreceptor. Furthermore, the circadian clock may play a modulatory role in this process.

PMW requires the TrpA1 thermo-receptor. What molecular pathways signal sleep-relevant temperature information to regulate siesta onset? The Drosophila genome encodes several thermo-sensory proteins<sup>31</sup>. Of these, TrpA1, a cation-conducting channel active at 30 °C, is required for temperature-induced changes in the phase of morning and evening anticipation<sup>3</sup>. We therefore tested whether TrpA1 also impacted PMW. Indeed, a loss of function mutation in TrpA1 (<em>TrpA1<sup>1</sup></em>) suppressed PMW, while PMW was still robustly observed in a paired genetic control (Fig. 3a–c). These results were confirmed using a previously validated <em>TrpA1-RNAi</em> transgene to knock down TrpA1 expression throughout the <em>Drosophila</em> nervous system using the pan-neuronal driver elav-GAL4. In the <em>TrpA1</em> knockdown background, PMW was suppressed (Fig. S3), similarly to <em>TrpA1<sup>1</sup></em> homozygotes (Fig. 3a–c). In contrast, null or strongly hypomorphic mutations affecting the <em>G28b</em> and <em>Pyrexia</em> thermo-receptors did not suppress PMW (Fig. S3). From the above data, we conclude that TrpA1 is the critical thermo-sensor that mediates PMW.

As shown previously<sup>25</sup>, loss of TrpA1 also suppressed temperature-induced changes in morning and evening anticipation (Fig. 3a,b), but had no effect on temperature-induced delay of sleep onset during the night (Fig. 3d). Furthermore, sleep loss at 30 °C was still strongly observed in the early-middle of the night in <em>TrpA1<sup>1</sup></em> mutants (Fig. 3b). Thus, temperature-dependent modulation of day sleep, but not night sleep, appears strongly dependent on TrpA1.

Two populations of TrpA1-expressing neurons are necessary for PMW. We next sought to identify subpopulations of TrpA1-expressing neurons that transduce thermo-sensory information to drive PMW. Recent work has shown that a group of <em>TrpA1-expressing neurons defined by the TrpA1[SH]-GAL4</em> driver modulates the phase of morning anticipation in response to temperature changes<sup>25</sup>. To test if these neurons also play a role in PMW, we used <em>TrpA1-RNAi</em> to knockdown <em>TrpA1</em> in <em>TrpA1[SH]-</em> neurons. Indeed, PMW was reduced when TrpA1 expression was inhibited in <em>TrpA1[SH]-</em> neurons (Fig. 4a,h,d). To test whether additional <em>TrpA1-expressing neurons also influenced PMW we screened several driver lines shown, or predicted, to label TrpA1-positive neurons. From this mini-screen, we found that expression of <em>TrpA1-RNAi</em> using <em>pickpocket-GAL4 (ppk-GAL4)</em>, also robustly suppressed PMW (Fig. 4a,c,d). Further experiments that acute inhibition of <em>TrpA1[SH]-</em> and <em>ppk-neuron output using temperature-sensitive dominant-negative <em>shibire</em> (UAS-<em>shibire</em>)<sup>30</sup>, which blocks endocytosis of synaptic vesicles at 30 °C but not 22 °C<sup>31</sup>, also suppressed PMW (Fig. 4e). However, neither <em>TrpA1 knockdown nor inhibition of synaptic transmission in <em>TrpA1[SH]-</em> and <em>ppk-neurons suppressed temperature-induced delays in nighttime sleep onset (Fig. S4). Thus, TrpA1 expression in, and neurotransmitter release from, <em>TrpA1[SH]-</em> and <em>ppk-neurons are required for PMW, and these circuits primarily impact daytime, as opposed to nighttime, sleep onset.</ref>
What are the neuro-anatomical correlates of PMW suppression through blocking TrpA1-signaling? *ppk-GAL4* is widely used for labeling TrpA1-expressing sensory class IV multi-dendritic (mdIV) neurons in the larval and adult body wall\(^37,38\), but is also expressed in additional neurons in the adult legs, wings and antennae (data not shown). Interestingly, comparison of the projection patterns of *TrpA1[SH]-* and *ppk-* neurons in the adult brain suggested a potential commonality: both drivers encompass neurons that project to the dorsal posterior protocerebrum (DPP: Fig. 4f, arrows). As part of our mini-screen we also tested eight promoter fragments of the *ppk*-promoter fused to GAL4 (see Materials and Methods). Of these, the *ppk[200871]-GAL4* driver labeled mdIV neurons on the adult body wall and exhibited a similar projection pattern to *ppk*-GAL4 in the suboesophageal ganglion (SOG) region of the brain (Fig. 4f and Fig. S4). However, projections to the DPP were absent in *ppk[200871]-*neurons, and both knockdown of TrpA1 and acute inhibition of synaptic output in *ppk[200871]-*neurons did not impact PMW (Fig. 4d,e). We note that while the *ppk[200871]+* flies exhibited a trend towards lower levels of PMW compared to other transgene or driver controls, they still showed significant PMW at 30 °C (Fig. 4d,e; \(p \leq 0.0008\), Wilcoxon signed rank test). Thus, while we are yet to identify the
precise cells within the TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neuron populations that mediate PMW, the above results suggest that TrpA1[SH]- and non-mdIV ppk-positive neurons that project to the DPP may be critical mediators of PMW.

Dorsal-projecting TrpA2[SH]- and ppk-neurons are distinct cell-types. Since both the TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-positive populations include neurons that send projections to the DPP and regulate PMW, we wondered whether the TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-GAL4 drivers label a common set of sensory neurons. TrpA1[SH]-GAL4 labels internal thermo-sensory AC neurons, whose axons project to the DPP from cell bodies located close to the antennal lobes39. In support of the above premise, we stochastically observed AC cell bodies when examining fluorescently labeled TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons (Fig. S5). Thus, we used an intersectional strategy to provide more definitive evidence for common, or distinct, circuits labeled by TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-GAL4. We drove expression of the GAL4-inhibitory protein GAL80 under control of the ppk-promoter (ppk-GAL8040). In the presence of ppk-GAL80, we observed a strongly penetrant loss of ppk-GAL4 expression using CD4::TdTom (CD4::TdTom) as a fluorescent reporter (Fig. 5a). We confirmed suppression of ppk-GAL4 by ppk-GAL80 at the behavioral level by driving UAS-shi in ppk-GAL4/ppk-GAL80 males. In this background we still observed robust PMW (Fig. 5b), in contrast to the effect of driving UAS-shi in the absence of ppk-GAL80 (Fig. 4e). Thus, ppk-GAL80 robustly suppresses ppk-GAL4 activity. Is the same true for TrpA1[SH]-GAL4? Unlike ppk-GAL4, TrpA1[SH]-GAL4-driven CD4::TdTom fluorescence was clearly observed in the presence of ppk-GAL80 (Fig. 5a), and expression of UAS-shi in a TrpA1[SH]-GAL4/ppk-GAL80 background still inhibited PMW at 30 °C (Fig. 5b). These data demonstrate that the critical TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-positive neurons required for PMW are distinct populations.

DN1p clock neurons are required for PMW and contact thermo-sensory neurons. Loss of the circadian photoreceptor CRY suppresses PMW (Fig. 2d). Therefore, we wondered whether subsets of clock

Figure 3. The TrpA1 thermo-sensor is required for PMW. (a,b) Average sleep patterns of adult male control or TrpA11 homozygotes shifted from 22 °C to 30 °C at ZT0. Temperature-shift paradigms are indicated above. Black arrowheads: presence/absence of PMW. Grey arrowheads: presence/absence of enhanced wakefulness prior to lights-on during a warm night. (c,d) Comparison of change in latency to the first sleep episode between control and TrpA11 homozygote males during the day (c) and night (d) following a shift from 22 °C to 30 °C. Statistical comparison: Mann-Whitney U-test. Control: n = 43; TrpA11: n = 62.

neurons in the brain might regulate PMW. Since both TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons send projections to the DPP, we focused on clock neurons with cell bodies and/or projections in this region. Within the network of clock neurons, CRY-positive s-LNvs, LNds, and DN1p neurons send projections to the DPP\(^{41}\). DPP-projecting s-LNvs express the neuropeptide Pigment Dispersing Factor (PDF), which acts as a critical mediator of morning anticipation and rhythmicity in constant-dark conditions\(^{42}\), and both PDF-expressing s-LNvs, and DN1p neurons drive clock-dependent morning anticipation\(^{43,44}\). Interestingly, the output of DN1p neurons during the morning has also been shown to be temperature-dependent\(^{44}\), and the excitability of DN1p neurons peaks around dawn\(^{45}\), the time period in which PMW occurs (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, the development of DN1p neurons, but not s-LNvs, is GLASS-dependent\(^{26,28}\), and as shown above, loss of GLASS suppresses PMW (Fig. 2d). Therefore, we tested for a direct role for DN1p neurons by acutely inhibiting DN1p synaptic output at 30 °C, accomplished by driving UAS-\(shi^{ts}\) with the driver \(clk4.1M\)-GAL4 (4.1\(^{M}\)), which labels both CRY-positive and -negative DN1p neurons in the adult brain\(^{44}\). When shifted to 30 °C, inhibiting DN1p output suppressed PMW, whereas PMW was intact in control lines (Fig. 6a–c). In contrast, expression of complementary split-GFP fragments in either ppk- and DN1p-neurons (Fig. 6e) resulted in GRASP fluorescence. In contrast, we only observed minimal GRASP fluorescence between ppk- and PDF-neurons (Fig. S6). Collectively, these results suggest that DN1p-neurons receive dual input from two distinct populations of thermo-sensory neurons to drive temperature-induced increases in morning arousal.

**Discussion**

How plasticity of distinct sleep periods is regulated at the molecular and circuit levels is unclear. Here we show that the TrpA1 thermo-sensor imparts temperature-sensitivity to siesta sleep in *Drosophila*, but modulates night sleep to a more subtle degree. Furthermore, we define a novel circuit linking thermo-sensory cells to clock neurons that, in turn, delay sleep onset in response to elevated temperatures.
Modulation of *Drosophila* sleep by temperature has recently been examined, but only up to an ambient temperature of 29 °C\(^\text{19,20}\). At this temperature, siesta sleep was shown to increase relative to 25 °C in both male and female flies\(^\text{20}\), and our results are consistent with this finding (Fig. 1f and Fig. S1). However, we find that in male flies, this effect is specific to the 27–29 °C range. At higher temperatures that would nonetheless be common.

**Figure 6.** **DN1p clock-neurons are necessary for PMW.** (a,b) Average sleep patterns of adult males with synaptic output of DN1p neurons inhibited using UAS-\(shi^\text{ts}\) (4.1\(M > shi^\text{ts}\), a), and + > \(shi^\text{ts}\) control (b). Temperature-shift paradigms are indicated above. Black arrowheads: presence/absence of PMW. (c) Comparison of PMW in 4.1\(M > shi^\text{ts}\) males and associated controls. \(n = 37\)–53, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc test. All controls \(p < 0.0001\); 4.1\(M > shi^\text{ts}\): \(p = 0.24\), using Wilcoxon signed rank test compared to a theoretical median of zero. (d) Co-localization of projections from ppm-neurons (magenta) and DN1p neurons (green) in the dorsal posterior protocerebrum of the adult *Drosophila* brain. BRP-positive neuropil is labeled with an anti-nc82 antibody. (e,f) GRASP between DN1p neurons and ppm-neurons (e) or TrpA1[SH]-neurons (f). Arrows indicate regions of punctate GRASP signal. Scale bars: 20\(\mu\)m.
during summer months (≥30 °C), siesta sleep is reduced. In particular, we observed a clear delay in siesta onset at ≥30 °C that we term PMW (Fig. 1c,d). The timing and magnitude of siesta sleep is sexually dimorphic, with male flies initiating sleep earlier in the morning at 22 °C when females are still active. While the causes of this sex-specific sleep pattern are still being elucidated, it is noticeable that females do not show PMW at 30 °C. We suggest that the relative hyperactivity of females in the morning masks the effect of temperature on arousal, and later in the afternoon, circadian and/or homeostatic mechanisms act to initiate sleep, whether at mild or higher ambient temperatures. These results imply that arousal during the early morning is particularly sensitive to temperature increases. The circuits we have identified suggest an explanatory basis for this effect.

We found that TrpA1 acts in two distinct thermo-sensory subpopulations defined by the TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-GAL4 drivers to drive PMW, and that both DPP-projecting TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons make physical contact with DN1p neurons that promote arousal in the early morning at 30 °C (Figs 4 and 6). When ectopically expressed, enhanced synaptic transmission induced by TrpA1 can be detected at 26 °C and is further increased at 29 °C. We hypothesize that DN1p neurons receive weak excitatory drive from DPP-projecting TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons, perhaps due to low TrpA1 expression or intrinsic excitability in each cell-type. In this model, excitatory drive scales with temperature, and simultaneous input from TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons, in combination with strong TrpA1-dependent activation of both circuits, is required to cause robust DN1p firing. This, in turn, prolongs arousal during morning periods.

Our model, combined with prior literature, suggests a mechanism for the relatively specific effect of TrpA1 signalling and DN1p activation on the onset of siesta, rather than night sleep. Under LD conditions, DN1p neurons promote morning anticipation, i.e increased locomotion before lights-on, and this output is reduced at low temperatures. Recent work has also shown that thermo-genetic activation of CRY-positive DN1p neurons promotes morning anticipation, i.e increased locomotion before lights-on, and this output is reduced at low temperatures. We hypothesize that DN1p neurons receive weak excitatory drive from DPP-projecting TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons, perhaps due to low TrpA1 expression or intrinsic excitability in each cell-type. In this model, excitatory drive scales with temperature, and simultaneous input from TrpA1[SH]- and ppk-neurons, in combination with strong TrpA1-dependent activation of both circuits, is required to cause robust DN1p firing. This, in turn, prolongs arousal during morning periods.

Materials and Methods

Fly Strains and Husbandry. Fly strains and crosses were reared on standard yeast-containing fly food at constant temperature 25 °C in 12:12 h Light-Dark cycles (LD). The ppk-Gal4 (BL32078), UAS-shi (BL44222), UAS-TrpA1 (BL26263), TrpA1 (BL26504), and gfp (BL509) were obtained from Bloomington stock center. The TrpA1[SH]-Gal4, ppk, cry, GMR-hid, norpA, pdf, Clik1.1M-LexA, UAS-spGFP1-10 and LexAop-spGFP11 fly lines were described previously. Apart from gfp, norpA, and pdf, all Drosophila lines used for sleep studies were outcrossed at least 5 times into an isogenic (iso31) background. This stock also served as a wild type control line. For the gfp and pdf stocks, chromosomes X and II were replaced with iso31 counterparts, while the original chromosome III (containing the glass or the pdf allele) was retained. The Vienna Tyle (VT) lines were obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Centre (VDRC). VDRC ID: 200748, 200871, 200905, 201215, 207296, 213670, 205646, 200782. VT insertions were also outcrossed 5 times into an iso31 background. 200871 and 207296 exhibit the most similar projection patterns in brain compared to ppk-Gal4 (see Fig. 4 and S4 for description of 200871). However, 207296 exhibits expression in Giant Commisural Interneurons in addition to the ppk-like SOG projections and mIDV neurons.

Generation of the timeless knockout allele. The timeless knock-out fly line was generated using homologous recombination. Homologous regions flanking the target gene locus were amplified via PCR,
using w^{118} genomic DNA as a template and primers containing appropriate restriction sites for cloning into the pGX-attP vector^{64}. Primer sequences were as follows: (5′ homologous region (4124 bp): 5′-ATAGGG GCCGGGAAGATTGTATACCTAAG-3′/5′-CGATGGTACATACCTAATCGAAGTGGTT-3′, NotI/KpnI; 3′ homologous region (3666 bp): 5′-ACGTACCTAGAATCCTGGAGATATAGCGAAC-3′/5′-ATCGCTCGAGGTCAGATCTATGGGAGTT-3′, SpeI/Xhol). pGX-attP was incorporated into the genome of w^{118} flies via P-element insertion (BestGene Inc., and mapped to the second chromosome (BestGene Inc., California, USA). Ends-out targeting was performed as described previously^{63–66}. Successful targeting events generated a deletion of ~17 kb, including putative 5′ promoter and 3′ UTR sequences. Fly lines required to initiate homologous recombination were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BL#25679, BL#26258, BL#1092). After screening for potential targeting events (using a mini-white reporter as a visual marker), knock-out was verified via genomic, proteomic and behavioural investigations (data not shown and Fig. S2).

**Behavioral assays.** Individual 2–4 day old males were loaded into glass tubes (Trikinetics) containing 2% agar and 4% sucrose. For all experiments shown in this manuscript, Trikinetics monitors were housed in temperature- and light-controlled incubators (LMS, UK). Light intensity was measured to be between 700–1000 Lux using an environmental monitor (Trikinetics). Locomotor activity was recorded in 1 min bins using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring (DAM) system (Trikinetics). During temperature-shift experiments, flies were left for 22 °C prior to recording for 24 h. Activity counts were subsequently measured for 24 h at 22 °C, then for 24 h at elevated temperature (27 °C, 29–31 °C). The time taken for ambient temperature to increase from 22 °C to 30 °C was approximately 25 min. Sleep was defined as a period of inactivity of at least 5 min^{67}. A modified version of a previously described Microsoft Excel script^{68} was used to measure all sleep parameters detailed in this article. Siesta sleep onsets were defined as the latency of the first sleep bout and siesta offset as the end of the last sleep episode. We note that, using incubators from LMS (UK) in the lab of J.E.C.J., consistent and significant delays in siesta onset of iso31 flies were observed using both incubator models. Sleep graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 6.

**Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy.** Adult male Drosophila brains were immuno-stained as described previously^{10}. Briefly, brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at RT, and blocked in 5% goat serum for 1 h at RT. Primary antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-DsRed (Clontech) – 1:2000; mouse anti-PDF (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB) – 1:2000; chicken anti-GFP (Invitrogen) – 1:1000. Alexa-fluor secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit 555, goat anti-mouse 647 where a dilution of 1:500 was used. Confocal images were taken using an inverted Zeiss LSM 710.

**Statistical analysis.** Since many of the datasets derived from sleep experiments exhibited a non-normal distribution, the following statistical tests were used. Firstly, for binary analysis of whether temperature increases did or did not alter a given sleep parameter, Wilcoxon signed rank test was used, with experimental medians compared to a theoretical median of zero. When simultaneously comparing multiple genotypes, Kruskal-Wallis test was used or did not alter a given sleep parameter, Wilcoxon signed rank test was used, with experimental medians compared to a theoretical median of zero. When simultaneously comparing multiple genotypes, Kruskal-Wallis test was used.
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