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An abundance of radiologic studies often accompany patients at a surgical consultation. These studies are time consuming for patients and costly for healthcare. Surgeons spend a great deal of time reviewing images in operative planning. Little is known about patients' attitudes toward viewing their own images and the implications of such a practice. This represents a gap in utilization of healthcare resources.

While the radiology community has published regarding the appropriateness and timing of a radiologist-driven reporting of imaging results, the focus is on the legality and moral incentive of communicating abnormal imaging findings [1]. There is also some radiology literature describing patients' attitudes toward receiving imaging results. Specifically, patients reported that they wanted direct, but fast communication of results even if it meant that the results would not be communicated by the physician ordering the study [2 – 4]. In a study designed to evaluate patients' preferred imaging results. Specifically, patients reported that they wanted communicating abnormal imaging findings [1]. There is also some
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