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Abstract
After each round of protein biosynthesis, the post-termination complex (PoTC) consisting of a ribosome, mRNA, and tRNA must be disassembled into its components for a new round of translation. Here, we show that a S. cerevisiae model PoTC was disassembled by ATP and eukaryotic elongation factor 3 (eEF3). GTP or ITP functioned with less efficiency and ADPNP did not function at all. The $k_{cat}$ of eEF3 was 1.12 min$^{-1}$, which is comparable to that of the in vitro initiation step. The disassembly reaction was inhibited by aminoglycosides and cycloheximide. The subunits formed from the yeast model PoTC remained separated under ionic conditions close to those existing in vivo, suggesting that they are ready to enter the initiation process. Based on our experimental techniques used in this paper, the release of mRNA and tRNA and ribosome dissociation took place simultaneously. No 40S•mRNA complex was observed, indicating that eEF3 action promotes ribosome recycling, not re-initiation.
Introduction

During the termination step in protein synthesis, the synthesized polypeptide is released from the ribosome by release factors, forming the post-termination complex (PoTC). The PoTC consisting of the ribosome, mRNA, and tRNA, must be disassembled for its components to participate in a new round of protein synthesis. In bacteria, EF-G•GTP and RRF were shown to release mRNA and tRNA from PoTC (1) and to split the 70S ribosome into its subunits (2-5). Recent structural studies suggest that RRF binds to the ribosomal A/P site (6-7), after which this RRF is moved through the 70S inter-subunit space, resulting in PoTC disassembly (8). Eukaryotes have a homolog of RRF, only in their organelles (9-10), and not in their cytoplasm. The mechanism of ribosome recycling during eukaryotic cytoplasmic protein synthesis has been a long-standing unsolved event. Recently, eIF3 was proposed as a major factor in ribosome recycling in the rabbit reticulocyte system (11), but whether the same mechanism operates in yeast cytoplasm, was not known.

In addition to the elongation factors, eEF1A and eEF2, yeast and other fungi have another essential ribosome-associated elongation factor, eEF3 (12), which is a ribosome-dependent ATPase (13). eEF3 stimulates eEF1A-dependent binding of a cognate aminoacyl-tRNA to the A site, and is involved in the release of deacylated tRNA from the ribosomal E site (14).

In this paper, we show that eEF3 and ATP are used to disassemble a yeast model PoTC. The kinetic parameters of mRNA release from the PoTC by eEF3 suggest that the reaction is sufficiently fast to account for in vitro polypeptide synthesis by yeast extracts. The 80S ribosomes are split into their subunits simultaneously with the release of ribosome-associated mRNA. In addition, deacylated tRNA is released from the PoTC at a time comparable with that of the release of mRNA. These observations suggest that the recycling of PoTC in yeast cytoplasm is catalyzed by eEF3/ATP.

Results

eEF3/ATP releases mRNA from a yeast model PoTC. The model PoTC examined was prepared using polysomes isolated from growing yeast cells (Figs. S1 to S2). Polysomes were washed with high-salt (0.5 M KCl and 25 mM MgCl₂), treated with eEF2 and GTP to translocate the ribosome-bound peptidyl-tRNA from the A site to the P site, followed by a second high-salt wash (Fig. S1, A to C). These procedures removed most (90%) of the eEF2 and eEF3 from the ribosomes (Fig. S2D and E). The washed polysomes, with nascent peptidyl-tRNAs, were then treated with 1 mM puromycin (PUR) to form model PoTC (Fig. S1, C to D). Similar PoTC model complexes isolated from E. coli were used to discover RRF (1). Throughout this paper, this substrate is designated - model PoTC - or, the PoTC.
In Fig. 1, we show that mRNA was released from the PoTC by the addition of eEF3 and ATP. Each polysome consists of multiple 80S ribosomes associated with an mRNA. Hence, the dissociation (release) of mRNA should result in the increase of 80S ribosomes or their subunits. In this experiment, the added ATP/eEF3/PUR caused 59% of 80S ribosomes to be released from the polysomes. As shown later, the 80S ribosomes were formed from the subunits due to 25 mM MgCl₂ addition to stop the reaction. Fig. 1C and D show that both ATP and eEF3 were necessary to release mRNA from the PoTC. Maximum eEF3-dependent mRNA release occurred with 3 mM MgCl₂ and 150 mM KCl (Fig. S3), which are close to the ionic concentrations (2.5~3 mM Mg²⁺ and 150~170 mM K⁺) required for in vitro yeast cell-free translation (15-16).

The nucleotide specificity (Table 1) is consistent with the concept that the reaction is dependent on energy because ADPNP alone did not work. In contrast to the known eEF3 function, stimulation of the aminoacyl tRNA binding to the A site (17), UTP functioned reasonably well for the release of mRNA.

**Kinetic studies of eEF3/ATP-dependent mRNA release from the PoTC.** The data in Fig. 2A show the time course of mRNA release from the PoTC. Approximately 50% of the mRNA (corresponding to almost 100% of the PoTC, see below) was released within 2 min by added eEF3 (0.5 µM) and ATP (50 µM). It should be noted that the puromycin reaction is not the rate-determining step of the overall reaction (Fig. S4). In the inset figure, the time course of the disassembly was followed until disassembly was almost complete in the presence or absence of eEF3. We also measured the extent of mRNA release at 30 sec, with various amounts of eEF3 in the presence or absence of ATP (Fig. 2B). Taking into consideration the amount of endogenous eEF3 associated with the polysomes (Fig. S2E), we estimate that the Kᵦ value of eEF3 for the PoTC was 50.9 nM and the kᵦ was 1.12 molecules of mRNA released per min. This rate is similar to the rate of 43S complex formation in the yeast initiation pathway (1.17 per min (18)). The kᵦ/Kᵦ of eEF3 for the PoTC estimated from the mRNA release reaction (22.0 µM⁻¹min⁻¹) is comparable to that for the ribosome-dependent ATPase activity of eEF3 (6.9 µM⁻¹min⁻¹ (19)). In the experiment shown in Fig. 2C, the Kᵦ value for ATP was estimated to be 15 µM, which is similar to that of other ATP-dependent reactions, such as yeast valyl-tRNA synthetase (40 µM, (20)).

As shown in Fig. 2A, only about 50% of the total polysomes were disassembled by ATP/eEF3/PUR in 2 min, and the enzymatic reaction leveled off at that point. This is due to the fact that about 50% of the polysomes prepared were the pre-translocation complex with the peptidyl-tRNA at the A site as indicated in Fig. S1D, making them unreactive with puromycin (Fig. S2A). The data presented in Fig. S2A are consistent with the known fact that yeast ribosomes retain significant portions of the
bound peptidyl-tRNA at the A site even after the translocation process promoted by eEF2/GTP (21). In further support of this fact, the pre-treatment of polysomes with eEF2 (Fig. S1, B to C) moved all the translocatable peptidyl-tRNA from the A site to the P site (Fig. S2B and C).

**Inhibitors of eEF3/ATP-dependent mRNA release from the PoTC.** Various reagents were examined for their effects on the mRNA release reaction (Table 2). Paromomycin, an inhibitor of bacterial ribosome recycling (22) effectively prevented mRNA release. Other aminoglycosides, Neomycin (23) and Hygromycin (24) also inhibited the disassembly reaction. Two translocation inhibitors, sordarin and fusidic acid, which specifically bind to eEF2 (25-26), were less effective. This is consistent with our finding that eEF2/ATP did not disassemble the PoTC (see Discussion). On the other hand, cycloheximide, which also inhibits translocation, inhibited the reaction significantly. Since translocation may cause simultaneous release of E site bound tRNA (27), which requires the open form of L1 at the E site, involvement of L1 in both translocation and disassembly may be the reason for inhibition of these reactions by cycloheximide. This view is further supported by the finding that cycloheximide binds to the E site of the 60S subunit (28-29).

Spermine, at a concentration much higher than found in vivo (30), effectively inhibited the reaction. This is perhaps due to the fact that spermine action is similar to that of high Mg$^{2+}$ concentration (30). Other polyamines such as spermidine and putrescine at concentrations stimulatory for in vitro protein synthesis (31-32) did not inhibit mRNA release. Vanadate (33) and ADPNP also did not inhibit the release of mRNA. This does not mean that hydrolysis of ATP is not required because ADPNP alone did not function as shown earlier in Table 1. This may be related to the observation that, in the crystal structure of the eEF3/ADPNP complex, the bound nucleotide is 14 Å away from the putative ATP binding site (34).

eEF3/ATP splits the PoTC into subunits.** If the mRNA release reaction described above is a part of the recycling step, it should accompany the dissociation of ribosomes. In the mRNA release experiment described above, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 25 mM MgCl$_2$ which re-associates split subunits into 80S ribosomes. To observe subunits, this re-association has to be stopped by adding eIF6 (anti-association factor). As shown in Fig. 3A, the amount of both subunits observed upon the addition of eIF6 increased in an eEF3 dose-dependent manner. The dissociation reaction was clearly ATP-dependent. eIF6 alone had very little effect on the ribosomes in the presence of 25 mM MgCl$_2$ (Fig. S5).

Formaldehyde is known to freeze subunits as they are in solution (35). In confirmation of Fig. 3A, ribosome splitting was observed when the reaction was stopped by formaldehyde, and this was also
eEF3/ATP-dependent (Fig. 3, B to F). Furthermore, the enzymatic splitting reaction proceeded in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3G). The increase in appearance of both subunits was completed at about 2 min, which is comparable to the mRNA release time course, suggesting that both reactions may occur simultaneously. The eEF3-dependent splitting was further confirmed by the experiment shown in Fig. S6 where no stabilizer was added, but the reaction mixture was sedimented with low centrifugal force to preserve the integrity of residual polysomes (36). These data show that the eEF3-dependent disassembly of the PoTC produces subunits, not 80S ribosomes, as final products in the reaction mixture.

eEF3/ATP releases tRNA from the PoTC. PoTC harbors the tRNA corresponding to the C-terminal amino acid of the protein that the ribosome just synthesized. This tRNA should also be released from the PoTC by eEF3 if the eEF3 reaction is a part of the disassembly process. To examine this possibility, the reaction mixture described in Fig. 1 was filtered through a Millipore filter, and tRNA which had been released from the ribosomes was measured in the filtrate by charging with a mixture of $^{14}$C amino acids and aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. The data in Fig. 4 show that the release of tRNA indeed takes place from the PoTC by the action of eEF3. It is clear from Fig. 4A that the release of tRNA was dependent on both ATP (compare 1 and 2) and eEF3 (compare 1 and 3). In the absence of puromycin, nominal tRNA release was observed (compare 1 and 4), implying again that eEF3/ATP disassembles the PoTC. The relatively high background release (column 5) is due to the unstable nature of the PoTC. To measure the total bound tRNA, the polysomes were treated with puromycin in high-salt buffer, where all tRNA, including peptidyl-tRNA, is considered to be released from ribosomes as deacylated tRNA due to non-enzymatic translocation (37) followed by the peptidyl-puromycin reaction (38). The amount of released tRNA in the complete system (column 1, 588 ± 75 cpm) was 32% of the total tRNA bound in the polysomes (1829 ± 298 cpm). Assuming that approx. 50-60% of the polysomes consist of the PoTC containing one tRNA and the rest are pre-translocation complexes containing two tRNAs (see Fig. S2A and Fig. 2A), we estimate that 32% of the total bound tRNA represents the major portion of deacylated tRNA in the PoTC. Thus, eEF3/ATP releases most of the bound tRNA of the PoTC. Fig. 4B indicates that the enzymatic tRNA release reaction was completed at about 2 min, which is comparable to the mRNA release (Fig. 2A) and the ribosome splitting reaction (Fig. 3G), suggesting that these three reactions may occur simultaneously.

**Discussion**

There are two possible pathways for yeast ribosomes to follow, after the termination step (39-40). The first pathway is re-initiation, i.e. the 40S subunit would remain on the same mRNA and
engage in the translation of the next open reading frame (ORF) on the same mRNA. It occurs efficiently after translation of short ORFs, under certain conditions such as amino acid starvation (41). Recently, in rabbit reticulocyte lysates, ABCE1 protein and nucleotide triphosphate have been shown to split PoTC into a 60S subunit and an mRNA/tRNA/40S subunit complex (42). Hence, ABCE1 must catalyze re-initiation where the 40S subunit remains on the mRNA. The second pathway is ribosome recycling, in which the ribosome is released from the mRNA. The released ribosome can then be channeled to the initiation site of the same mRNA (39).

In this paper, we show that eEF3 catalyzes the ribosome recycling step in yeast cytoplasmic extracts. This is based on the observation that mRNA and tRNA are released from the PoTC which is split into subunits. The kinetic studies on the disassembly reaction suggest that these three reactions occur simultaneously within the two-minute limit of our analytical method. Furthermore, the ribosomal subunits remain apart under buffer conditions that are close to those occurring under physiological conditions. These findings are in contrast to the disassembly of the bacterial PoTC, where the PoTC is disassembled into tRNA, mRNA, and ribosomal subunits in a stepwise manner (4-5, 8, 43). The fact that we did not observe intermediate polysomes termed “halfmers” that have extra 40S subunits in addition to multiple 80S ribosomes (44) suggests that the eEF3 reaction studied above represent recycling, not re-initiation.

We recently presented evidence that eEF2/ATP dissociates 80S ribosomes into subunits (45). However, the yeast model PoTC was not disassembled by eEF2/ATP (Fig. S7) under conditions where eEF3 induces dissociation. Therefore, splitting of 80S ribosomes and disassembly of PoTC may be performed differently. On the other hand, Pisarev et al. reported that eIF3 is mostly responsible for the recycling (11). However, under their ionic conditions, eEF3/ATP-dependent disassembly was not observed (Fig. S3C). Hence, we tested yeast eIF3 under the conditions where eEF3/ATP dissociated the PoTC, but it did not release mRNA from the PoTC (Fig. S8A). The eIF3 we used was active in protein synthesis initiation (Fig. S8B and C). Since the yeast homolog of eEF3 does not exist in higher eukaryotes (46), ribosome recycling in yeast must be different from that in higher eukaryotes.

According to cryo-EM data, eEF3 binds to the ribosome at a position suitable for disassembly, covering both subunits near the E site (Fig. 5A). This position is close to SX2 (probably corresponding to the N-terminal domain of S5), L11, and S18 (34). We propose that these components are influenced by the eEF3 conformational change, resulting in the disassembly. S5 (S7 in bacteria) is probably involved in the release of mRNA by eEF3 for the following reasons: (i) It is a part of the mRNA exit channel (47). This channel is closed in 80S ribosomes containing mRNA (48) but open in ribosomes without mRNA (49). (ii) The residues of the bacterial S7 interact with the upstream bases of the mRNA in elongating
70S ribosomes (50). (iii) S5 interacts with cricket paralysis virus (CrPV) mRNA (51). (iv) Yeast ribosomes with human S5 have lower affinity to eEF3 (52). Regarding the ribosome splitting by eEF3, involvement of L11 and S18 is likely, because they constitute a conserved bridge, B1b/c (48). On the other hand, L1 must be involved in the release of tRNA. Thus, the L1 stalk is an E site mobile domain of the 60S subunit. eEF3 stabilizes L1 in an open conformation, resulting in the release of tRNA from the ribosome during translocation by eEF2 (34). tRNA release during disassembly may occur similarly. PoTC assumes the ratcheted form (7) that normally keeps the position of L1 closed (53-54). We postulate that, similarly, eEF3 functions to open L1, resulting in the release of tRNA from PoTC. In further support of this model, the elbow of the tRNA in the hybrid P/E state has continuous contact with the head of L1 (53-54). In addition, L11 interacts with the elbow of the P site bound tRNA (48).

These considerations and the data presented in this paper suggest that recycling of ribosomes in the yeast cytoplasm must result in simultaneous release of mRNA, tRNA, and ribosomal subunits by eEF3/ATP, as shown in Fig. 5B. Conversely, one must ask why eEF3 does not split ribosomes and release mRNA during the elongation cycle? We suggest two possible reasons: First, an elongating ribosome is much more stable because of bound peptidyl-tRNA, which is known to stabilize subunit association (55) and the ribosome-mRNA interaction (56). Second, PoTC has an empty A site while that of the elongating ribosome is occupied with either peptidyl-tRNA or eEF1A-aminoacyl-tRNA. In support of this hypothesis, the eEF3-eEF1A interaction appears to be important for eEF3 elongation activity (24).

Materials and Methods

Buffers. Buffer X/Y indicates that the buffer contains 20 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.6), X mM MgCl₂, Y mM KCl, and 2 mM DTT.

Preparation of the PoTC, eEF3, eEF2, and eIF6. The PoTC was prepared as described in Fig. S1. Details are provided in the supporting information. The histidine-tagged factors were prepared as described previously (34, 45).

Release of mRNA from the PoTC. The reaction mixture (150 µl) containing 0.75 A₂₆₀ units of polysomes (15 pmol of ribosomes) were pre-incubated in buffer 3/150 for 10 min at 30°C. The disassembly reaction was then started by the addition of 1 mM PUR, 50 µM ATP (potassium salt), and 0.5 µM eEF3, and incubated as indicated in legends. The reaction was stopped by the addition of MgCl₂ to 25 mM. The mixture was loaded onto 4.5 ml of 15-45% sucrose gradient prepared in buffer 25/150.
and sedimented for 75 min at 4°C (Beckman SW50.1 rotor, 150,000 × g). The sedimentation behavior of polysomes and 80S ribosomes was monitored using an ISCO UA-6 spectrophotometer at 254 nm. The polsosome area was measured using ImageJ 1.38x software and the background (without polysomes) was subtracted. Percentages of mRNA release (z) were calculated: \[ z = 100 \times (1 - \frac{y}{w}) \], where \( y \) is the polsosome area remaining after the reaction and \( w \) is that without any factors.

Splitting of the PoTC into ribosomal subunits. In Fig. 3A, the PoTC was disassembled as described above, except that the reaction mixture contained 5 µM eIF6. After the reaction, the mixture was loaded onto 4.5 ml of 5-30% sucrose gradient in buffer 25/150 and sedimented for 128 min at 4°C (SW50.1, 150,000 × g). In Fig. 3B-G, the conditions were identical to Fig. 3A except that the reaction was stopped by formaldehyde (4%, v/v), placed on ice for 5 min, and sedimented in buffer 3/150. The third method (Fig. S6) was not to use the subunit stabilization agents and the reaction was stopped by cooling to 0°C. Sedimentation of the subunits was with less gravity force as described in the supporting information.

Release of tRNA from the PoTC. The PoTC (1.5 A_{260} units) was disassembled in the reaction mixture (300 µl) as described above. The reaction was stopped by filtering through a Millipore filter (pore size 0.45 µm) pre-washed with 300 µl of buffer 3/150. The filter was washed with 400 µl of buffer 3/150 and tRNA in the filtrate was concentrated by ethanol precipitation with 20 µg/ml glycogen. The recovered tRNA was incubated for 30 min at 30°C in 20 µl of buffer 3/150 containing 1 mM ATP, 0.55 mg/ml aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases prepared as described in the supporting information, and 50 nCi of [^{14}C]amino acids (Moravek Biochemicals, > 500 mCi/mol). The cold TCA-insoluble radioactivity thus formed was regarded as a mixture of [^{14}C]aminoacyl-tRNA derived from the released tRNA. To obtain the value of total bound tRNA, the polysomes were incubated with 1 mM PUR in buffer 5/500 at 37°C for 10 min (38) and the released tRNA was measured as above.

Acknowledgments The authors like to thank Drs. Roland Beckmann and Thomas Becker for supplying the PDB file for the eEF3/ribosome complex and the strain WCGα harboring the plasmid used for over-expression of His-tagged eEF3, Dr. Terri G. Kinzy for supplying the strain TKY675 for eEF2 production and antibody against yeast eEF2, and Dr. Takeshi Yokoyama for constructing Fig. 5A. We also thank Drs. Charles Yanofsky and Eric Wickstrom for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Alfred Benzon Foundation (KHN), NIH grant GM60429 (AK), the Nippon Paint Research Fund (HK), and the Creative Biomedical Research Institute (HK).
References


eEF2: dissociation of the 80S ribosome into subunits with ATP but not with GTP. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**:4597-4607.


Figure Legends

**Fig. 1.** eEF3/ATP releases mRNA from the PoTC. The purified polysomes (Fig. S1C) (15 pmol) were incubated for 3 min at 30°C in buffer 3/150 with additions, as shown below each sedimentation profile. MgCl₂ was added to 25 mM to stop the reaction. The percentage of polysome bound mRNA that was released is indicated above the polysome region. The complete reaction mixture contained 50 µM ATP, 0.5 µM eEF3, and 1 mM PUR in buffer 3/150.

**Fig. 2.** Kinetic analysis of eEF3/ATP-dependent release of mRNA from the PoTC. (A) Time course of mRNA release by added eEF3/ATP, as in Fig. 1. The percentage of mRNA released from the PoTC without eEF3 was subtracted to show the time course of enzymatic release. In the inset, the actual values for mRNA release with or without eEF3 are shown. (B) eEF3 dose-response curve as in (A) except that incubation was for 30 sec. (C) ATP dose-response curve as in (B).

**Fig. 3.** eEF3/ATP splits the PoTC into subunits. (A) eEF3 dose-response curve. Experiments were performed as in Fig. 1 except that the reaction mixtures contained 5 µM eIF6 and the incubation was for 1 min. The amounts of 60S and 40S subunits are expressed as arbitrary units. (B-F) As in (A) except that the reaction mixture did not contain eIF6 but the reaction was stopped by formaldehyde addition at 3 min. Sedimentation profiles of the split subunits with the reaction components described below each profile are shown. (G) Time course of the splitting of the PoTC. The reaction was stopped at various time points by formaldehyde addition. The background value without eEF3 was subtracted.

**Fig. 4.** eEF3/ATP releases tRNA from the PoTC. (A) [⁴⁺C]aminoacyl-tRNA formed from the tRNA released under various conditions are shown. The background value (tRNA released without factors and incubation, 272 ± 68 cpm) was subtracted. The error bars represent SD. (B) Time course of tRNA release by eEF3/ATP. The value corresponding to tRNA released without eEF3 was subtracted.

**Fig. 5.** Model of eEF3 action. (A) eEF3 binds to the ribosomal site suitable for its action --- the cryo-EM map of single particle reconstruction (34). Atomic models of S5 (orange), S18 (red), and L11 (blue) shown as ribbon representations are docked to the cryo-EM density of 40S subunit (shown in light yellow), 60S subunit (light blue), eEF3 (light green), P site bound tRNA (purple), and unassigned SX2 (gold). The atomic structure of mRNA (purple ribbon) was superimposed onto a cryo-EM map by using UCSF Chimera 1.4 (http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/). Landmark of 40S subunit, Hd (head); Landmarks of 60S subunit, CP (central protuberance), L1 (L1 stalk). Cryo-EM map and coordinate of
mRNA were obtained from EMD-1233 and PDB-2HGP respectively. (B) eEF3/ATP disassembles PoTC into its components simultaneously and the components remain apart until the initiation process begins.

**Table Legends**

**Table 1.** Nucleotide specificity and energy-dependence of mRNA release by eEF3
Disassembly reactions were carried out for 30 sec with 50 µM of each nucleotide, as in Fig. 1. The release of mRNA is expressed as the percentage of the release with ATP (30% of polysomes were disassembled).

**Table 2.** Effect of various compounds on mRNA release promoted by eEF3 + ATP
The release of mRNA from the PoTC was determined as in Fig. 1 except for the incubation time, which was 1 min. The percentage inhibition was calculated from the extent of the release of mRNA by each compound, in comparison with the control with no inhibitor added (42% of polysomes were disassembled).