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Objectives

- Practice teaching skills related to interprofessional education (IPE) and geriatric competencies
- Formulate an Action Plan and prepare to introduce an Interprofessional Geriatric Clinical Skills Fair at their own institutions
- Recognize essential elements of a measurement tool that evaluates the effectiveness of an Interprofessional Geriatric Clinical Skills Fair
Background

- Aging population
  - 1998, 34 million adults ≥ 65 years → 2030, 71 million
  - By 2030, 25% of population ≥ 65 years old
  - Largest growing segment is over 85 age
  - Elderly use a disproportionate number of medical resources

- Institute of Medicine’s report in 2008 on “Retooling for An Aging America” calls to enhance the geriatric competence of the entire workforce

- Collaborative interprofessional practice is essential in the care of older adults
Background

- Partnership for Health in Aging - workgroup of healthcare professionals from 10 disciplines convened in 2008 to:
  - Advance recommendations of the IOM report
  - Advocate for ways to meet the healthcare needs of the nation’s rapidly growing older population

- Developed a set of “Multidisciplinary Competencies in the Care of Older Adults” in 2009
  - Core competencies in the care of older adults that are relevant to and can be endorsed by all health professional disciplines
    - Dentistry, Medicine, Nursing, Nutrition, Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Pharmacy, Physician Assistants, Psychology, Social Work
Background

• With the recent release of IPE core competencies, as well as the multidisciplinary geriatric competencies, we now have a roadmap for guiding innovation in both IPE and geriatric education.

• Now, it is time for educators to realign our current educational system to better prepare health professions graduates through IPE.

• Students must develop the knowledge base and skills needed to work as an effective member of a health care team, particularly in regards to caring for older adults.
Background

• Clinical skills fair offers a fun, interactive way for learners to gain practical, evidence-based skills and knowledge pertaining to the care of older adults

• Ideal setting for clinically relevant IPE

• Highly rated by learners at various levels of training
Background

- Health Mentors Program: Provides IPE for 1st and 2nd year students from medicine, nursing, pharmacy, PT, OT, family & couples therapy
  - Student requests for more clinically relevant content
  - Student requests to better understand what other health professionals do and how they contribute to care

- First pilot in April 2013
  - 21 student volunteers participated

- Second pilot in April 2014
  - 18 student volunteers participated
Overarching Program Objectives

- Distinguish among, refer to, and/or consult with any of the multiple healthcare professionals who work with older adults, to achieve positive outcomes.

- Communicate and collaborate with different healthcare professionals to incorporate discipline-specific information into overall team care planning and implementation.
IP Geri Skills Fair: Agenda

- Pre-test
- Case presentation
- 4 interactive skills stations
- Case study questions
- Case study discussion/wrap-up
- Post-test
IP Geri Skills Fair: Case Study

• Evelyn is an 89 year-old woman coming to see you and your team for a geriatric assessment, accompanied to the visit by her daughter

• Evelyn was hospitalized 1 month ago for a stroke that has left her with left-sided weakness

• Today, her daughter is concerned that her mom has lost at least 5 pounds since she was in the hospital, seems more forgetful and less interested in activities she was involved with previously
IP Geri Skills Fair: Stations

- Older patient/caregiver simulation
- Cognitive assessment
- Gait evaluation
- Medication assessment
Station #1: Older patient/caregiver simulation

• Participate in caregiver/patient simulation to:
  • Describe the impact of health conditions and impairments associated with aging on a person’s quality of life and function.
  • Describe challenges associated with being a caregiver as well as being a care recipient.
Station #1: Older patient/caregiver simulation

- Scenario #1
- Visual/Hearing/Sensory Impairment (impact on QOL, difficulty with IADLS)
  - Residents wear “drunk” goggles, shoes with rice inside and garden gloves (or gloves with cotton balls in tips) and are asked to walk over to a desk, open pill bottle, and fill weekly pillbox with correct dosage of medication (may be assisted by a resident “caregiver”)
Station #1: Older patient/caregiver simulation

- Scenario #2 - Physical Impairment (impact on QOL, difficulty with ADLS)
- Residents will have dominant arm bandaged in ace wrap and will be asked to put on a pair of pants, button shirt, comb hair and brush teeth (may be assisted by a resident “caregiver”)
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Debriefing “getting older” station

• What impairment was most challenging for you and why?
• How did these impairments affect your function, ADLs, IADLs? How might these impact your quality of life?
• How did it feel to receive assistance from a caregiver? How did it feel to be the caregiver?
• How can you apply this to your visits with geriatric patients?
• Based on the results of the case patient’s overall functional assessment, what resources or referrals might be appropriate for case patient?
Station #2: Cognitive assessment

- Describe the characteristics of and differentiate between depression, delirium, and dementia in the older adult

- Administer and interpret a validated and reliable tool/instrument appropriate for use with a given older adult to assess cognition and mood.
Station #2: Cognitive Assessment

- Differentiating characteristics of dementia, delirium and depression
  - Race between 2 teams to correctly fill in chart
  - Definition, onset, mood, course, self-awareness
Station #2: Cognitive Assessment

- Role play of scripted tools to learn about and practice administering:
  - GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale, 15 item questionnaire
  - Mini-cog: 3 item recall plus clock draw
  - CAM: Confusion Assessment Method

- 1 student played role of provider, 1 student played role of patient, and 1 student was responsible for “scoring” tool

- Reviewed results of cognitive screening tests for case patient
Station # 3: Gait Assessment

• List reasons why assistive devices are prescribed for older adults.

• Identify types of assistive devices and reasons for selecting one type over another for a given patient.

• Administer and interpret the TUG (Timed Up and Go) to assess gait with an older adult.
Station #3: Gait Assessment

- Cane quiz addressing common misuses of canes
- Match the correct assistive device to the case patient
- Timed Up and Go - review and demonstration
Station #3: Gait Assessment

- Apply lesson to case patient
  - Review results of her TUG
  - What assistive device might be a good fit for case patient?
Station # 4: Medication Assessment

- Recognize the principles and practices of safe, appropriate, and effective medication use in older adults.

- Identify commonly prescribed medications that are inappropriate for older adults and explain why such medications are inappropriate.
Station #4: Medication Assessment

- “Brown Bag” case scenario based on presented patient
- Team-based review of medications to identify:
  - Polypharmacy
  - Drug-drug interactions/duplications
  - Potentially inappropriate medication use
Station #4: Medication Assessment

- Based on medication review, what medications should be eliminated to reduce her risk of adverse events and risk of falling?
Student meet as a large group to review questions

- What diagnosis does the results of the cognitive testing point you toward?
- Based on her gait assessment and diagnosis today how might Evelyn benefit from continued PT?
- Based on her medication review, what medications should be eliminated to reduce her risk of falls/adverse events?
- Based on the results of her overall functional assessment, what resources or referrals might be appropriate for Evelyn?
Evaluation

• Purpose: to evaluate changes in knowledge of first and second year IP students
• Methods: Pre- and post-test design
  • 8 multiple-choice questions assessing knowledge related to the four stations
  • 4 questions assessing self-reported confidence (0-10) performing specific tasks taught in the fair
    • Completing a timed up and go test
    • Assessing cognition in an older adult
    • Assessing medication use in an older adult
    • Assessing sensory impairment in an older adult
Evaluation

• Post-test with 4 additional qualitative questions:

• Would you like to see an Interprofessional Clinical Skills Fair like this one incorporated as a required part of IPE training at Jefferson?

• Please list 1-2 things that you liked or learned from your participation in this Skills Fair

• Please list 1-2 things that would help to improve this Skills Fair for the future

• What other topics would you like to learn about in a Skills Fair format?
What clinical assessment tool is used to differentiate delirium from other cognitive disorders?

- MMSE (mini-mental status exam)
- CAM (confusion assessment method)
- Mini-cog
- GDS (geriatric depression scale)
- CDT (clock drawing test)
Survey Question - Sample

• Which of the following assistive devices is most appropriate for a patient with a history of stroke with unilateral weakness and a hemi-paretic gait?
  a. 4-wheeled walker
  b. Single point cane
  c. Standard walker
  d. Wide Based Quad Cane
  e. Wheelchair
Evaluation: Results of Pilot # 2

- 18 students completed pre-test, 17 completed post-test
- 7 medical, 3 nursing, 4 OT, 3 PT, and 1 pharmacy student/s
- Comparison of pre and post-test averages showed notable score improvement
- Numbers too small to compare disciplines

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Test</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Test</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation: Results of Pilot # 2, cont

• Confidence Ratings:
  • Complete a TUG: 16/16 (100%) reported increase in confidence
  • Assess cognition: 16/17 (94%) reported increase in confidence
  • Assess medication use: 15/17 (88%) reported increase in confidence
  • Assess sensory impairments: 13/17 (76%) reported increase in confidence
Qualitative Questions

• 88% of students responded “yes” to the question:
  Would like to see a “fair like this one” included as a mandatory part of IPE training?

• Please list 1-2 things that you liked or learned from your participation in this Skills Fair:
  • Several stated liking various aspects of content like learning about mobility devices, cognitive screening tests, medication use
  • “I liked this session because it provided concrete skills that are helpful for my profession, while also getting a good idea of other health fields and how we can all work together.”
Qualitative Questions

• Please list 1-2 things that would help to improve this Skills Fair for the future:
  • More time at stations
  • Actual patients
  • Make it a requirement for HMP!
  • More disciplines involved
• What other topics would you like to learn about in a Skills Fair format?
  • “Any hands on topic”
  • Rehab, peds, hospital cases, mental health
  • “Anything, please keep things like this coming!”
Lessons Learned

• Strengths:
  • Highly effective teaching model that is fun, easy to replicate
  • Addresses both Geri and IPE competencies
  • Ideal setting to introduce IPE, practice teamwork

• Challenges:
  • Time intensive for faculty
  • Faculty development in IPE
  • Busy curricular schedules
  • Scheduling logistics across 6+ disciplines
Next Steps...

- Expand fair
  - Increase # of students trained in HMP
  - Consider adding disciplines
  - Modify pilot for different level learners
- Evaluation changes
  - Evaluate pre/post assessment by discipline
  - Longitudinal assessment
  - Evaluate specific IPE competencies
Questions? Contact Us!

Lauren Collins, MD
Associate Director, Jefferson InterProfessional Education Center (JCIPE)
Director, Jefferson Health Mentor Program
Lauren.Collins@jefferson.edu

Brooke Salzman, MD
Associate Professor
Program Director, Geriatric Fellowship
Brooke.Salzman@jefferson.edu

Health Mentors/JCIPE website info
http://jeffline.jefferson.edu/jcipe