

Thomas Jefferson University [Jefferson Digital Commons](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/)

[Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/jimmfp)
Papers and Presentations

Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine

2-7-2015

The significance of macrophage polarization subtypes for animal models of tissue fibrosis and human fibrotic diseases.

Peter J. Wermuth Thomas Jefferson University, Peter.Wermuth@jefferson.edu

Sergio A. Jimenez Thomas Jefferson University, Sergio.Jimenez@jefferson.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://jdc.jefferson.edu/jimmfp](https://jdc.jefferson.edu/jimmfp?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fjimmfp%2F9&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/648?utm_source=jdc.jefferson.edu%2Fjimmfp%2F9&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Wermuth, Peter J. and Jimenez, Sergio A., "The significance of macrophage polarization subtypes for animal models of tissue fibrosis and human fibrotic diseases." (2015). Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine Papers and Presentations. Paper 9. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/jimmfp/9

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](http://www.jefferson.edu/university/teaching-learning.html/). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine Papers and Presentations by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

REVIEW CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTS

The significance of macrophage polarization subtypes for animal models of tissue fibrosis and human fibrotic diseases

Peter J Wermuth and Sergio A Jimenez[®]

Abstract

The systemic and organ-specific human fibrotic disorders collectively represent one of the most serious health problems world-wide causing a large proportion of the total world population mortality. The molecular pathways involved in their pathogenesis are complex and despite intensive investigations have not been fully elucidated. Whereas chronic inflammatory cell infiltration is universally present in fibrotic lesions, the central role of monocytes and macrophages as regulators of inflammation and fibrosis has only recently become apparent. However, the precise mechanisms involved in the contribution of monocytes/macrophages to the initiation, establishment, or progression of the fibrotic process remain largely unknown. Several monocyte and macrophage subpopulations have been identified, with certain phenotypes promoting inflammation whereas others display profibrotic effects. Given the unmet need for effective treatments for fibroproliferative diseases and the crucial regulatory role of monocyte/macrophage subpopulations in fibrogenesis, the development of therapeutic strategies that target specific monocyte/macrophage subpopulations has become increasingly attractive. We will provide here an overview of the current understanding of the role of monocyte/macrophage phenotype subpopulations in animal models of tissue fibrosis and in various systemic and organ-specific human fibrotic diseases. Furthermore, we will discuss recent approaches to the design of effective anti-fibrotic therapeutic interventions by targeting the phenotypic differences identified between the various monocyte and macrophage subpopulations.

Keywords: Monocytes; Macrophages; Macrophage polarization; Fibrosis; Chemokines; Cytokines; Fibrosing disorders

Introduction

Monocytes/macrophages are a heterogeneous cell population that plays a crucial role in various fundamental innate immunity processes displaying remarkable flexibility for adaptation to specific external and internal stimuli [\[1,2](#page-13-0)]. The monocyte/macrophage system consists of: 1) bone marrow-derived circulating monocytes recruited to sites of tissue injury; 2) infiltrating macrophages arising from in situ differentiation of recruited monocytes; 3) resident tissue macrophages derived from embryonic yolk-sac precursors such as hepatic Kupffer cells, pulmonary alveolar and interstitial macrophages, renal intraglomerular mesangial cells, and dermal Langerhans cells, and 4) monocyte-derived dendritic cells

and correspondence: sergio.jimenez@jefferson.edu
Jefferson Institute of Molecular Medicine, Thomas Jefferson University, **Jand Cardiac fibrosis.** Bluemle Life Science Building Suite 509, 233 South 10th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107-5541, USA

that present antigen in the context of MHC molecules, representing an important bridge between the innate and adaptive immune systems. Monocytes/macrophages play important roles in wound healing and in the response to tissue injury. Properly orchestrated wound repair accomplishes the elimination of injurious initiating factors and the restoration of the damaged tissue architecture and integrity. However, dysregulation of this process can result in pathologic fibrosis. Further, there is abundant experimental evidence that monocytes/macrophages and the molecular pathways activated during wound healing also play a role in the pathogenesis of systemic fibroproliferative diseases such as Systemic Sclerosis (SSc), and Nephrogenic Systemic Fibrosis (NSF), as well as organ-specific fibrotic disorders including Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) and liver, kidney,

© 2015 Jimenez and Wermuth; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Springer Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and
reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Origin and diversity of monocytic phagocytes

Interleukin-13; TGF-β, Transforming Growth Factor β.

Bone marrow-derived monocytes are recruited into tissues from the peripheral blood, differentiating in situ and joining the pool of resident tissue macrophages to mount a defense against pathogens and assist in wound healing. Monocyte/macrophage differentiation is regulated by a complex interplay of factors influenced by the local tissue environment and by features of the specific injury initiator as illustrated in Figure 1 [[3-6](#page-13-0)]. Mirroring the Th1/Th2 T-helper cell nomenclature, monocytes and macrophages have traditionally been divided into two major subpopulations based on their origin, location and their in vitro response to cytokines or microbial products as summarized in Table [1.](#page-3-0) In this scheme, monocytes/macrophages that mediate inflammation have been classified as M1 whereas monocytes/macrophages with tissue remodeling/profibrotic activity have been classified as M2. Although this classification scheme is now generally considered to be a gross oversimplification of

the true spectrum of in vivo monocyte/macrophage phenotypes, numerous studies still attribute an M1-like or M2-like phenotype to specific subpopulations of mouse or human monocytes/macrophages. For the purpose of this review, we will refer to these populations as either inflammatory or tissue remodeling/profibrotic, respectively, however, we will indicate whether the authors used the M1 or M2 classification in parentheses.

Functional monocyte/macrophage classification based on in vitro modes of activation is widely employed, however, currently there is no universally accepted nomenclature. Recently, two proposals have been made to revise the monocyte/macrophage system nomenclature to more accurately represent the expanded phenotype diversity within this system and to incorporate the rapidly expanding database of surface marker expression and transcriptomic analyses delineating steady-state monocyte/macrophage populations in humans and mice. One such proposal is based on macrophage origin,

chemokine ligand 1; CX₃CR1, C-X₃-C chemokine receptor 1; GC glucocorticoid; IFN-γ, Interferon-γ; IL-4, Interleukin-4; IL-10, Interleukin-10; IL-13,

Classification scheme for human and murine monocyte and macrophage population subsets displaying their response to differentiating agents, expression of cell surface markers and the production of major cytokines and chemokines.

differentiation activators, and macrophage activation marker expression [\[7\]](#page-13-0); and the other is based on their cellular ontogeny [\[8](#page-13-0)].

Circulating monocytes

Murine circulating monocytes have been classified into two populations based on the relative expression of the Ly6C surface molecule, Ly6Chi and Ly6C^{low}, whereas in humans three monocyte populations have been identified based on the relative expression of CD14 and CD16. These subpopulations are identified as CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻, CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺, and CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺ [\[9](#page-13-0)-[11\]](#page-13-0). Murine clas-sical (Ly6Chi) or "inflammatory monocytes" [\[11-13](#page-13-0)] respond to inflammatory signals, such as IFN-γ, TNF-α, or lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and leave the circulation by extravasation, whereas non-classical $(Ly6C^{low})$ "antiinflammatory" or "wound healing" monocytes influence neovascularization and monitor endothelial cell homeostasis by patrolling the luminal side of the vasculature [[14,15\]](#page-13-0). Recently, Ly6 C^{hi} monocytes that constitutively traffic into skin, lungs, and lymph nodes during homeostasis but do not differentiate into macrophages or

dendritic cells in the tissues have been identified. The transcriptome of these cells is similar to that of circulating monocytes except for a limited number of genes including MHCII and Cox-2. Although these cells can bind and shuttle antigen to the lymph nodes, they are incapable of blood recirculation [[16\]](#page-13-0). In the corresponding human subpopulations, the "classical" proinflammatory CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻ subset expresses CCR2, CD62L (L-Selectin) and FcγRI (CD64) whereas "nonclassical" anti-inflammatory CD14^{dim}CD16⁺⁺ monocytes lack CCR2 and display higher levels of FCγRII (CD32) and MHCII [[11,13](#page-13-0),[15](#page-13-0)].

An area of debate concerns whether the Ly6Chi and $Ly6C^{low}$ represent separate cell populations or whether $Ly6C^{low}$ monocytes arise only after differentiation from Ly6C^{hi} monocytes followed by return to the bone marrow from the circulation. Several recent studies indicate that only Ly6Chi monocytes leave the circulation by extravasation in response to inflammatory signals, whereas Ly6 C^{low} monocytes reside within the vasculature [\[14,15](#page-13-0)]. In most injured tissues, the first infiltrating cells are Ly6Chi monocytes followed by a decline in their

numbers with a concomitant increase of $Ly6C^{low}$ monocytes [[17](#page-13-0)-[24\]](#page-13-0). In mouse myocardium [\[17](#page-13-0)], kidney [\[18](#page-13-0)], muscle [\[21](#page-13-0)], and lung [\[23,24](#page-13-0)], the Ly6 C^{low} population arises from in situ differentiation of the recruited $Ly6C^{hi}$ population whereas, in wounded mouse skin, a sequential invasion of Ly6 C^{hi} monocytes followed by Ly6 C^{low} monocytes is observed [[20,22](#page-13-0)].

Infiltrating macrophages

Macrophage populations are generally classified based on the differential expression of cell surface markers, phenotypic differences in their response to stimuli, or their origin and location [[7](#page-13-0),[8,25-](#page-13-0)[34\]](#page-14-0). The complex process of monocyte recruitment and their subsequent differentiation into various macrophage subpopulations is depicted in Figure [1](#page-2-0). Exposure of peripheral $Ly6C^{hi}$ monocytes to GM-CSF polarizes them to differentiate into macrophages with inflammatory properties whereas CSF-1 exposure of peripheral $Ly6C^{hi}$ monocytes induces Ly6C downregulation followed by polarization to tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophages [\[9](#page-13-0)-[11,25\]](#page-13-0). M1 or "classically activated" macrophages are induced following recruitment by either IFN-γ alone or in conjunction with the microbial product LPS, or by cytokines such as TNF-α or GM-CSF. Classically activated inflammatory macrophages present antigen, express CD80, CD86, IL-1R, TLR2, TLR4 and iNOS, and possess a proinflammatory phenotype characterized by the production of the cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-23 and of the chemokines CCL2-CCL5, CCL8-CCL11. Alternatively activated tissue remodeling/profibrotic macrophages were initially defined as being activated by exposure to IL-4 or IL-13, however, other stimuli can induce polarization to alternatively activated macrophages, resulting in subdivision of tissue remodeling/profibrotic macrophages into three subtypes. One subtype is activated by exposure to IL-4 or IL-13; the second subtype is induced by IL-10, TGF-β, glucocorticoids or IFN-β; and the third subtype is induced by exposure to immune complexes. Caution must be used, however, in translating phenotypes of monocytes/macrophages differentiated in vitro to in vivo models since factors such as cell maturation, variations in extracellular matrix (ECM) composition within a specific tissue, and chemoattractants not precisely replicated in the in vitro differentiation protocols can produce alterations in the functional properties of these cells not observed in vitro. The recent characterization of mouse and human monocyte/macrophage subpopulation transcriptomes and gene networks should allow a more accurate translation of *in vitro* and in vivo animal model data to human diseases [[35](#page-14-0)-[41](#page-14-0)].

Recent studies have shown that besides changes in transcriptome expression modifications in non-coding RNA species and changes in epigenetic events may also

be involved. Indeed, a recent examination demonstrated a role of miRNA on the differentiation of recruited monocytes into macrophages by measuring the response of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) to various differentiation stimuli ex vivo [[42\]](#page-14-0). It was found that the monocyte miRNA profile varied in a stimulus and time-dependent manner. Exposure of PBMC to LPS, an inducer of inflammatory monocyte/macrophage (M1) differentiation caused increased miR-193b and miR222 and decreased miR27a, whereas exposure to IFN-γ, also considered an inflammatory monocyte/macrophage (M1) inducer, caused decreased miR-222, miR-125a-5p and miR-27a. IL-4, an inducer of tissue remodeling/profibrotic monocyte/macrophage (M2) differentiation increased miR-193b and miR-222 but decreased miR125-5p. The kinetics of the changes in the individual miRNA levels also varied. Of particular interest was that co-culture of PBMC with CD14⁻ non-monocytic cells from the same donor induced accumulation of miR-155 and miR193b and increased expression of NF-κB, STAT1 and of the interferon-responsive genes ITGB7 and ISG20. The NFκB repressor IκBα decreased in co-cultured monocytes, providing the mechanistic basis for NF-κB activation. These results collectively indicate that microenvironmental factors can uniquely modify the phenotype of differentiated recruited monocytes inducing marked changes in mRNA levels and also in miRNA expression and kinetics that may modulate the remarkable flexibility of monocyte responses to specific environmental cues.

Resident tissue macrophages

Resident tissue macrophages arising from embryonic yolk-sac precursors display tissue-specific phenotypes determined by microenvironmental factors and often cannot be classified into the inflammatory (M1) or tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) categories [\[43-47](#page-14-0)]. Although it is generally accepted that resident tissue macrophages have limited self-renewal capacity and are replenished from the pool of circulating monocytes, recent studies demonstrate that resident macrophages in certain tissues are replenished primarily through their own proliferation [[47](#page-14-0)-[49\]](#page-14-0), although circulating blood monocytes can repopulate cardiac resident macrophages [\[50\]](#page-14-0).

Since a specific macrophage phenotype is not irreversibly encoded in their DNA, monocytes and macrophages maintain the flexibility necessary to adapt to tissue changing conditions and this flexibility is an important component of their first-response role in tissue injury. Furthermore, the in vivo monocyte/macrophage phenotype differentiation process most likely represents a fluctuating spectrum of polarization states that is constantly and reversibly modified in response to changing microenvironmental conditions [[51,52\]](#page-14-0), and that the previously characterized monocyte/macrophage subsets do not describe fixed

subpopulations. More extensive analyses of monocyte/ macrophage transcriptomes, aimed at the identification of common and unique activation pathways, will likely reveal the relevance of these transcriptional changes to important physiological processes and to the pathogenesis of diseases in which monocytes/macrophages play a role.

Dendritic cells

Dendritic cells (DCs) are motile cells with stellate morphology originated from bone marrow derived circulating monocyte precursors. Dendritic cells express high levels of MHC class II and the integrin CD11c, migrate from tissues to secondary lymphoid tissues, and can capture, process and present antigen [\[53,54\]](#page-14-0). Immature DCs possess high phagocytic activity that triggers a complex maturation process characterized by upregulation of various surface molecules including class II MHC, CD80, CD83, CD86 and CD40 that enable antigen presentation and interaction with T cells. Two major subpopulations of DCs have been described: plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and classical or myeloid DCs (cDCs) [\[55](#page-14-0)]. pDCs are a long-lived population present in the bone marrow and all peripheral organs characterized by massive production of type I interferons in response to viral infections, enabled by constitutive expression of IFN regulatory factor 7 (IRF7). pDC also have the ability to act as antigen-presenting cells capable of initiation and regulation of T cell responses [[56\]](#page-14-0). Human pDCs display a $CD4^+$ $CD303^+$ $CD68^+$ phenotype whereas murine pDCs express $CD11c^{low}$ B200⁺ Ly6C⁺ CD45RA⁺ phenotype as well as CD217 (BST-2) and SiglecH [[57,58\]](#page-14-0). pDCs express only TLR7 and TLR9, allowing viral single-stranded RNA and unmethylated DNA to activate TLR pathway proinflammatory signaling [\[59\]](#page-14-0).

cDCs refer to all DCs other than pDCs and comprise short-lived highly migratory cells that populate most lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues that migrate to the secondary lymphoid organs by afferent lymphatics following phagocytosis and regulate T cell activity by mediating the adaptive immune response to foreign antigen and by maintaining tolerance to endogenous antigen in both the steady state tissue and during infection [\[60,61](#page-14-0)]. The major cDC population displays a $CD11c^+$ phenotype in humans whereas in mice the major cDC population expresses a CD11c⁺ CD11b⁻ CD45RA⁻. Both cDC subpopulations express TLR4 and TLR9 [[60](#page-14-0)]. In both mice and humans, a minor cDC population displays a CD141⁺ CLEC9A+ phenotype and is a major producer of IFN-β. These cells are capable of presenting antigen to $C8⁺$ cytotoxic T cells [\[62,63\]](#page-14-0). TLR signaling in either pDCs or cDCs results in production and secretion of IL-12, IL-6, TNF-α, and the chemokines CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9 and CXCL10 besides type I IFNs [[64](#page-14-0)].

Role of Monocytes/macrophages in wound repair

The wound healing process is mediated by various intracellular, autocrine, and paracrine pathways initiated following pathogen infection or exposure to chemical toxins or other stimuli that induce tissue damage [[65-74](#page-14-0)]. This process requires a coordinated response from various cell types, including endothelial cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts and various immune cells. The molecular pathways triggered in these cells induce marked changes in gene expression causing modulation of their cellular phenotypes resulting in proliferation, differentiation or migration. The wound healing process restores tissue integrity and repairs the damage induced by the initial injury and by the associated inflammatory response. As illustrated in Figure [2](#page-6-0), this process consists of three major overlapping stages: 1) an inflammatory stage in which immune cell recruitment to the injury site induces production of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS) eliminating invading pathogens and removing necrotic tissue and cellular debris; 2) a proliferative stage in which proliferation and activation of fibroblasts, keratinocytes and endothelial cells mediates the production of a provisional ECM, re-epithelialization, and neoangiogenesis to restore normal blood supply to the injured area; and 3) a resolution stage characterized by suppression of inflammation and apoptosis of recruited cells followed by wound remodeling and provisional matrix replacement with a more durable ECM capable of providing tensile strength to the newly formed tissue.

The inflammatory stage

The inflammatory stage is triggered by an initiating pathogen or toxin that results in the release of pathogen- or damage-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or DAMPs, respectively) that ligate and activate pattern recognition receptors such as toll-like receptors (TLRs), NOD-like receptors (NLRs), C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) or other receptors on resident cells, including endothelial cells, mast cells, tissue macrophages and interstitial fibroblasts [\[75,76](#page-14-0)]. Receptor activation triggers the production and secretion of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that induce inflammation and the recruitment of inflammatory cells, primarily neutrophils and monocytes [[77](#page-14-0)]. The recruited monocytes are proinflammatory and will subsequently differentiate into inflammatory (M1) macrophages. The activated resident cells and the recruited inflammatory (M1) macrophages release toxic ROS that destroy invading pathogens [\[78,79](#page-14-0)] and induce the expression of genes encoding various cytokines, inflammatory molecules and multiple proteases including MMPs, serine and cysteine proteases, and elastases.

Removal of damaged cells and degradation of the ECM releases matrix-bound growth factors such as

Figure 2 Role of monocytes/macrophages in wound healing. Injury to epithelial and/or endothelial cells caused by various exogenous or endogenous factors resulting in tissue damage triggers complex interconnected wound-healing programs to restore tissue homeostasis. Release of DAMPs and PAMPS by the damaged tissue triggers an inflammatory response that activates resident tissue macrophages, stimulating their proliferation and initiating the recruitment of inflammatory Ly6Chi monocytes and neutrophils to the wound. Cytokines and chemokines produced and secreted by local epithelial, endothelial and innate immune cells subsequently influence the differentiation and polarization of the recruited monocytes into inflammatory classically activated M1 macrophages. The M1 macrophages also stimulate the transdifferentiation of resident quiescent fibroblasts into activated myofibroblasts that synthesize a provisional matrix as well as stimulate endothelial cell and fibroblast proliferation and orchestrate angiogenesis. Recruited monocytes respond to the different cytokine profiles, differentiating into M2 macrophages and initiating tissue repair with upregulated MMP secretion followed by suppression of any remaining inflammation and synthesis of a permanent extracellular matrix by activated myofibroblasts. DAMPs, Damage associated molecular patterns; EC, Endothelial cell; ECM, Extracellular matrix; IFN, Interferon; iNOS, Inducible nitric oxide synthase; IL, Interleukin; MMP, Matrix metalloproteinase; PAMPs, Pathogen associated molecular patterns; TGF-β, Transforming growth factor, beta.

TGF-β and cytokines that stimulate endothelial cell and fibroblast proliferation, preparing the tissue for neovascularization and tissue repair [[80,81](#page-14-0)]. ROS production, however, also damages endogenous cells and one of the

most important functions of the recruited monocytes besides removal of pathogens is the removal of necrotic resident cell debris. Macrophages also induce neutrophil apoptosis and phagocytose the remains [\[82-84](#page-14-0)]. This

represents an important trigger for inflammation resolution and the initiation of tissue repair [[85-](#page-14-0)[91](#page-15-0)]. Several mechanisms are involved in these effects including alterations of the balance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokine secretion [\[87](#page-14-0)-[91](#page-15-0)], downregulation of proinflammatory transcription factors important for neutrophil survival such as NF-κB and IRF1 [[92,93](#page-15-0)], and concomitant upregulation of the antiinflammatory transcription factor IRF-4 [[94,95](#page-15-0)]. These effects collectively promote inflammatory (M1) to wound healing/profibrotic (M2) macrophage phenotype transition and initiate the resolution of inflammation [[86-](#page-14-0)[91\]](#page-15-0).

Tissue repair/wound healing phase

The transition from an inflammatory phenotype (M1) to a wound healing/profibrotic (M2) phenotype induces the progression from the inflammation phase to the tissue repair phase (Figure [2](#page-6-0)). Monocytes/macrophages at the transition between the inflammatory and tissue repair/ wound healing stages produce copious amounts of cytokines and growth factors that promote the proliferation of multiple cell types involved in damaged tissue repair [[96-100\]](#page-15-0). Wound healing/profibrotic (M2) macrophages appear at this stage, either via differentiation of newly recruited infiltrating monocytes or by in situ transition of previously differentiated infiltrating inflammatory (M1) macrophages to a wound healing/profibrotic (M2) phenotype. STAT6 is activated during this transition and promotes IL-4/IL-13-mediated differentiation of wound healing/profibrotic (M2) macrophages by upregulating their expression of arginase (Arg1) and multiple other wound healing/profibrotic phenotype genes [\[101](#page-15-0)]. Wound healing/profibrotic macrophages possess an anti-inflammatory phenotype and stimulate and activate fibroblasts towards their increased ECM production and secretion [\[96-101\]](#page-15-0). The macrophage phenotype is also influenced by changes in the mechanical, cellular and metabolic characteristics of the target tissue [[102-104\]](#page-15-0).

The wound healing/profibrotic macrophages in the late tissue repair stage initiate the transition to the resolution/ tissue remodeling stage. They also support endothelial cell survival and release TGF-β that triggers transdifferentiation of fibroblasts to activated myofibroblasts [\[72,73](#page-14-0)], a unique population of mesenchymal cells that display increased production of fibrillar type I and type III collagens, expression of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and a reduction in the expression of ECM degradative enzymes such as the MMPs [\[105-114](#page-15-0)]. More recent studies have demonstrated that endothelial cells may also undergo a phenotypic transition into profibrotic mesenchymal cells, a process known as endothelial to mesenchymal transition (EndoMT), following stimulation by TGF-β produced by the macrophages present in the tissue [\[115-124\]](#page-15-0).

Resolution/remodeling stage

In the final phase, the wound scar tissue is remodeled by replacement of the provisional ECM with a stronger, durable ECM, characterized by extensive collagen crosslinking and the gradual replacement of type III collagen with type I collagen [[125](#page-15-0)-[127](#page-15-0)]. These changes are followed by senescence or apoptosis of activated myofibroblasts [[128,129\]](#page-15-0) and regression of the neovasculature [\[130-132](#page-15-0)]. The macrophage phenotype during this stage remains unclear with some studies in the liver suggesting the existence of a unique population of fibrinolytic scar-associated macrophages required for fibrosis resolution [\[86,](#page-14-0)[133-136](#page-15-0)], although others have reported these macrophages to be profibrotic [\[137,138\]](#page-15-0).

Monocytes/macrophages in tissue fibrosis

Dysregulation of normal wound healing results in pathologic fibrosis and the replacement of normal tissues with fibrotic tissue causing progressive loss of function in the affected organs [\[139](#page-16-0)-[142](#page-16-0)]. Chronic fibroproliferative diseases account for a large proportion of total worldwide mortality [\[143](#page-16-0)-[146](#page-16-0)] and the lack of effective fibrotic disorder treatment represents a substantial unmet need. Fibrosis can result from the activation of various common pathways as well as from activation of tissue-specific pathways with unique consequences for fibrosis progression in the particular organ. Various cells types including endothelial cells, epithelial cells, activated myofibroblasts and macrophages participate in one or more steps in the fibrotic process [[147-149\]](#page-16-0). Most fibrotic diseases also manifest substantial vascular remodeling with impaired angiogenesis followed by progressive obliteration of blood vessels that is often an early feature suggesting that endothelial injury may be a precipitating event in fibrosis pathogenesis [\[150-152](#page-16-0)].

It is important to note in any discussion of research on the role of monocytes and macrophages in the initiation and persistence of tissue fibrosis in human disease that the majority of investigations employ animal models, most commonly mice to identify the molecular pathways important in the regulation of this process. Studies of monocyte/macrophage involvement in patients with these diseases tend to be primarily descriptive, examining the macrophages present in samples from patients with the disease compared to normal controls. Each approach has its drawbacks and it is essential to consider these drawbacks when attempting to draw conclusions from comparisons of experimental results from one model system to the other. Studies conducted with human samples offer little opportunity for experimental manipulation of differentiation conditions and often are reflective of only a single timepoint during the course of a highly dynamic process. Also, because even small changes in tissue microenvironment can induce major changes in monocyte/

macrophage phenotypes, the relevance of results from the experimental manipulations performed in animal models to human disease may be limited. Therefore, the importance of characterizing the monocyte/macrophage populations involved employing multiple methodologies and functional characteristics cannot be underestimated, particularly for the indentification of specific populations to be targeted to modulate the fibrotic process. Recently, with the advent of systematic studies exploiting advances in transcriptome analysis and the identification of expression of multiple cell surface markers from large sample sets of human and murine immune cells from the Human Immunology Project Consortium and the Immunological Genome Project, respectively, a valuable and growing resource is being generated that should allow for more consistent and accurate translation of experimental results obtained from animal models of tissue fibrosis to their human disease counterparts [[35](#page-14-0)-[41](#page-14-0)]. Another valuable resource that may allow for better evaluation of the role of molecular pathways identified using animal models or in vitro analysis of human monocytes/macrophages is the generation of the MITRG and MISTRG mouse strains carrying human versions of cytokine encoding genes important in human innate immune cell development [[153](#page-16-0)]. Injection of human fetal liver or adult CD34⁺ progenitor cells into these mice results in the humanized development and function of monocytes, macrophages and NK cells, generating a model that may allow the evaluation of therapeutic candidates for monocyte/macrophage-mediated tissue fibrosis in an in vivo milieu more reflective of the human physiology.

Monocytes and macrophages play a pivotal role in coordinating the various stages of the wound healing process by their ability to produce and secrete numerous growth factors, cytokines and other mediators capable of exerting paracrine effects on other cells in affected tissues [[27,](#page-13-0)[59-72,](#page-14-0)[154-157\]](#page-16-0). Failure of the early inflammatory phase of the wound healing process to resolve, as indicated by the persistence of inflammatory macrophages, causes sustained and excessive synthesis and deposition of ECM components, leading to fibrotic tissue formation [\[158,159\]](#page-16-0), and their shift into the wound healing/profibrotic macrophage phenotype further increases tissue fibrosis, through their increased and persistent expression of TGF-β [\[160](#page-16-0)] and through their induction of a change in the balance of ECM metabolism toward ECM deposition and accumulation [\[161-168](#page-16-0)]. However, it should be emphasized that an anti-fibrotic function for wound healing/profibrotic macrophages has been demonstrated in both human and mouse models [\[169-172](#page-16-0)]. Furthermore, other investigations have indicated either a primary or secondary role for inflammatory (M1) macrophages with CCR8 [\[173\]](#page-16-0), CCR4 [\[174](#page-16-0)] and Annexin A1 [[175](#page-16-0)] implicated as important regulators of this process. However, it has been demonstrated that both inflammatory (M1) and wound healing/profibrotic (M2) populations participate in fibrosis and suggest a requirement for inflammation or defective inflammation resolution in fibrosis pathogenesis [[176](#page-16-0)-[179\]](#page-16-0).

Role of TLR-macrophage interactions in tissue fibrosis

The role of TLR signaling in fibrosis-associated inflammation and macrophage activation is an area of intense interest. TLR signaling mediates certain pathways in fibrotic diseases such as SSc and NSF [\[180](#page-16-0)-[186](#page-16-0)]. Expression of TLR4 and its coreceptors MD2 and CD14 is increased in human lesional SSc skin and this increase correlates with disease severity and progression [[187](#page-16-0)]. Chronic dermal LPS exposure in mice induces expression of proinflammatory chemokines and upregulation of various TGF-β regulated genes as well as recruitment and activation of both inflammatory (M1) and tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophages [[187\]](#page-16-0). TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 expression is increased in mice with renal fibrosis induced by unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) with concomitant increases in tissue remodeling/ profibrotic (M2) macrophage infiltration and collagen deposition [\[188](#page-16-0)]. Tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophage depletion but not T-cell depletion abrogated UUO-mediated fibrosis. Signaling through these receptors triggered a TH_2 immune response with increased expression of IL4 followed by IL-10 and TGF-β expression, increased macrophage infiltration and exaggerated collagen deposition. All these changes were abrogated in TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 knockout mice indicating the crucial role of TLR and MyD88 in their occurrence. In contrast to these results, Staphylococcus aureus biofilm infection of MyD88 knockout mice resulted in the increased recruitment of activated M2 macrophages and fibrosis development in a TLR-independent manner, possibly involving IL-1R, IL-18R or IL-33R activity [[189](#page-17-0)]. It has also been shown that during ischemia/reperfusion-induced kidney injury in mice, various DAMPs are generated including the calcium-binding protein S100A8/ A9 complex that activates TLR4 signaling. However, S100A8/A9 knockout mice display enhanced renal damage and fibrosis that correlates with increased expression of M2 macrophage markers raising some controversy about the role of S100A8/A9 in TLR mediated fibrosis [[190](#page-17-0)].

Monocyte/macrophage polarization phenotype in human fibrotic diseases and animal models of tissue fibrosis

There is extensive histopathological, and in vitro and in vivo experimental evidence with various animal models of tissue fibrosis as well as correlational and descriptive studies from human patients implicating tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2-like) monocytes or macrophages as the primary mediators of tissue fibrosis and numerous

studies have explored their role in various human fibrotic disorders.

Systemic Sclerosis and animal models of skin fibrosis

SSc is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by progressive fibrosis of skin and multiple internal organs and severe microvascular alterations [\[191](#page-17-0)]. SSc pathogenesis is complex and poorly understood [[147-149](#page-16-0)[,192,193\]](#page-17-0). The severe fibrotic process results from ECM overproduction by activated fibroblasts triggered by complex paracrine and autocrine interactions between various cells including fibroblasts, endothelial cells and macrophages. Multiple reports have described the macrophage subpopulations present in SSc patients and in the skin of murine models of SSc. Soluble levels of CD163, a protein exclusively expressed in monocytes and macrophages [\[194\]](#page-17-0) associated with M2 monocytes/macrophages, are elevated in SSc patient serum and these high CD163 levels correlate with SSc disease severity [[195-198\]](#page-17-0). A detailed analysis of monocyte/macrophage subsets in skin samples and PBMC isolated from SSc patients showed a marked increase in cells displaying the tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophage markers CD163 or CD204 [[199](#page-17-0)]. The elevated soluble CD163 present in SSc patient plasma may be explained by the higher levels of TNF-α converting enzyme that mediates shedding of the CD163 ectodomain [\[200\]](#page-17-0) in PBMC of patients with early SSc [[201\]](#page-17-0). Levels of CCR2, a chemokine receptor crucial for M2 macrophage activation were elevated in macrophages and various other cells types in SSc patients with early diffuse disease but not in patients with late or limited SSc [[202\]](#page-17-0). A recent analysis of global chemokine expression in SSc described high CCL19 and CXCL13 levels present in the skin of patients with diffuse SSc, whereas CCL18 levels were increased in the skin of patients with either limited or diffuse SSc [\[203\]](#page-17-0). Of interest were the observations that CCL19 expression was induced by TLR pathway activation *in vitro* and colocalized *in vivo* by im-munofluorescence with CD163⁺ macrophages [\[203](#page-17-0)]. Several reports described evidence that circulating monocytes and macrophages in patients with SSc-associated lung fibrosis displayed elevated levels of markers of the tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2) phenotype including CD163, CCL18 and IL10 [[204\]](#page-17-0) and a recent investigation described increased expression of multiple alternative macrophage activation markers that correlated with progression of SSc associated pulmonary fibrosis [\[205](#page-17-0)]. Although affected SSc tissues display perivascular accumulation of macrophages [[150\]](#page-16-0) the mechanisms responsible have not been elucidated, however, recent studies in two murine models of tissue fibrosis have implicated MMP12, a macrophage and endothelial cell secreted elastase [[206,207\]](#page-17-0).

Human and mouse skin also contain multiple and distinct subsets of DC [[208](#page-17-0)-[212](#page-17-0)] and in light of the important functions of DC in innate and adaptive inflammation, a

role for these cells in the development of SSc has been postulated. Indeed, two reports have described decreased numbers of dendritic cells in skin isolated from human SSc patients compared with skin from normal donors [[213,214\]](#page-17-0) although this decrease occurred early in the progression of the disease and was present even in patients with a disease duration of 1 year of less and were substantially less abundant in patients with only mild fibrosis. An analysis of the response of human monocyte-derived DC isolated from SSc patients found an altered response to TLR stimulation in DC from patients with either the limited or diffuse form of SSc compared to those of normal patients with increased expression of IL6 and IFN-α and decreased expression of IL-12 whereas IL-10 expression was markedly increased only in SSc patients with diffuse disease [[215](#page-17-0)]. SSc sera containing anti-topoisomerase autoantibodies was found to induce plasmacytoid DC differentiation in PBMC characterized by high type I IFN production [[216](#page-17-0)]. Lastly, in the murine bleomycin model of skin fibrosis, CD11 c^{bright} DC lose their even distribution in the skin and migrate to the dermis, in proximity to α-SMA⁺ myofibroblasts [[217](#page-17-0)].

Nephrogenic Systemic Fibrosis in mouse and human

NSF is a generalized fibrotic disorder occurring in some patients with chronic kidney disease exposed to Gd-based contrast agents (GdBCA) [\[218-220](#page-17-0)]. The clinical manifestations include severe and usually progressive skin induration, joint flexion contractures and fibrotic involvement of various internal organs. Recent studies have implicated remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophages in NSF pathogenesis. Human monocytes and tissue remodeling/profibrotic (M2-like) macrophages differentiated ex vivo by M-CSF and IL-10 increased the expression of the remodeling/profibrotic (M2)-associated cytokines IL-4, IL-13, VEGF, and numerous chemokines in an NF-κB-dependent manner following exposure to the GdBCA Omniscan. Furthermore, culture supernatants of the Gd-activated monocytes/remodeling/profibrotic (M2-like) macrophages induced α-SMA and types I and III collagen expression in cultured normal human dermal fibroblasts [[181,182\]](#page-16-0). A related study showed that ex vivo differentiated remodeling/profibrotic (M2-like) macrophages were more responsive to GdBCA agents than inflammatory (M1-like) macrophages and this increased response was triggered by NLRP3 inflammasome activation [[183](#page-16-0)].

Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis and pulmonary fibrosis in mouse animal models

IPF is a progressive interstitial lung disease of unknown etiology characterized by often progressive lung fibrosis causing irreversible loss of pulmonary function [[221,222\]](#page-17-0). Several studies have shown that $Ly6C^{hi}$ monocyte infiltration followed by differentiation into remodeling/

profibrotic (M2-like) macrophages correlates with fibrosis progression and severity in bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis [\[23](#page-13-0)[,223-225\]](#page-17-0). Caveolin-1 (CAV1), the major protein component of caveolae and an important regulator of TGF-β signaling, is downregulated in the skin and lungs of SSc patients [\[226-229\]](#page-17-0). The profibrotic effects of CAV1 deficiency are likely related to impaired TGF-β receptor degradation, however, CAV1 downregulation in SSc interstitial lung disease has also been reported to increase tissue fibrosis by influencing monocyte migration and recruitment. This effect was mediated through induction of monocyte and fibrocyte expression of the remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophage marker CXCR4 that regulates monocyte retention in the bone marrow [\[230\]](#page-17-0). Furthermore, CAV1 and several other fibrosis associated cytokines and proteins, including IL-33, IL-9 and MMP28 regulate macrophage differentiation in pulmonary fibrosis in mice [\[231-236\]](#page-18-0). Alveolar macrophages in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) in bleomycin-induced fibrosis expressed the remodeling/profibrotic (M2) factors IL-13 and TGF-β and had increased IL-33 expression whereas IL-33 knockout mice displayed impaired remodeling/profibrotic (M2) polarization and greatly diminished fibrosis [[231](#page-18-0)]. Several recent studies have examined the role of MMP28 in the development and progression of pulmonary fibrosis, effects that appear to be mediated through the regulation of pulmonary macrophage phenotype [[232,233](#page-18-0)]. Mice with a genetic deletion of MMP28 are protected from bleomycin-induced fibrosis and showed diminished remodeling/profibrotic (M2) responses [[234](#page-18-0)]. Wild type MMP28 expression in mice is specific for $Ly6C^{hi}$ monocytes that give rise to three subpopulations following M-CSF-mediated differentiation and activation by LPS or IL-4/IL-13. Inflammatory (M1-like) CD11b^{low} CD45^{high} cells lack MMP28 expression, whereas the remodeling/profibrotic (M2-like) CD11bhigh CD45high subpopulation and the CD11bint CD45int subpopulation display robust MMP28 expression [[234\]](#page-18-0). Numerous cytokines and other macromolecules have been shown to participate in the molecular events leading to pulmonary fibrosis [[235](#page-18-0)-[238\]](#page-18-0). For example, IL-9 knockout mice had impaired remodeling/ profibrotic (M2) macrophage polarization and were protected from silica-induced fibrosis [\[235](#page-18-0)], whereas, chronic IL-10 exposure in mice resulted in increased pulmonary fibrosis, increased remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophages in BALF and in the lungs as well as increased levels of CCR2 and CCL2 [\[236](#page-18-0)]. Conditional deletion of the tyrosine phosphatase Shp2 in mouse myeloid cells increased remodeling/profibrotic (M2) macrophage differentiation in response to IL-4 resulting in decreased susceptibility to pulmonary fibrosis [\[237](#page-18-0)]. Types I and III collagens caused increased CCL18 and IL-1ra production in human alveolar macrophages in vitro, as well as, increased expression of CCL2 and CD204, indicating that they had assumed a tissue remodeling/ fibrotic (M2-like) phenotype [[238\]](#page-18-0).

In an evalution of the role of DC in IPF, lung biopsies isolated from human IPF patients, DC-SIGN⁺ DC were more numerous than in biopsies of normal donors and demonstrated a more perivascular localization, in some cases forming a novel type of organized lymphoid region within the lung [[239,240](#page-18-0)]. In bleomycin induced pulmonary fibrosis in mice, mature Cd11c⁺ MHCII⁺ DC were found to accumulate in large numbers in proximity with T cells displaying an active or memory phenotype by day 7 following bleomycin exposure [\[241\]](#page-18-0). Treatment of mice with VAG539, an inhibitor of DC costimulatory molecules was shown to attenuate bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis.

Liver fibrosis in mice and humans

Liver fibrosis is one of the most frequent fibrotic disorders affecting humans and has multiple and diverse causes including hepatitis virus infections and excessive ethanol consumption, frequently resulting in liver failure and portal hypertension [[242](#page-18-0)]. Increased tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophage polarization has been described in hepatitis B virus and Schistosoma-induced hepatic fibrosis [\[243,244\]](#page-18-0). The number of monocytes in the liver is markedly increased following injury and liver monocyte subsets display distinct phenotypic characteristics [\[245,246\]](#page-18-0). The greatest proportion of monocytes in the normal and injured liver in humans belong to the $CD14^{++}CD16^+$ intermediate subset although this population dramatically increases following liver injury owing to increased transepithelial migration of this population relative to the classical CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻ and the CD14^{lo}CD16⁺ monocyte subsets as well as to their increased in situ differentiation. This intermediate population possesses high phagocytosis activity and secretes the inflammatory mediators TNF-α, IL-6, IL-8 and IL-1β as well as the tissue remodeling/fibrotic IL-13, CCL1, CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CSF1 and GMCSF molecules. A central regulator of monocyte recruitment in response to $CCL₄$ induced or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in mice is the CCL2/CCR2 signaling pathway. Upon injury, CCL2/CCR2 signaling induce infiltration of Ly6C+ inflammatory monocytes into the liver resulting in the promotion of liver fibrosis as well as angiogenesis [[247-250](#page-18-0)]. CCR2/CCR6 deficient mice fail to recruit $Ly6C^+$ monocytes and are thus protected from $CCL₄$ -induced hepatic fibrosis [[251,252\]](#page-18-0). Similarly, pharmacologic inhibition of CCL2 signaling diminishes macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis [\[253](#page-18-0)] and actually promotes fibrosis resolution [[254\]](#page-18-0). Significantly, mice lacking Tlr4, Tlr9 or MyD88, important upstream regulators of CCL2 expression, were similarly protected from steatohepatitis [\[251](#page-18-0)]. Conversely, the $CX₃CR1$ fractalkine receptor acts to limit liver fibrosis by controlling the differentiation of monocytes

and macrophages involved in fibrosis resolution [\[255](#page-18-0)]. In the absence of $CX₃CR1$, intrahepatic monocyte differentiation skews to favor inflammatory TNF-α- and NO-producing macrophages that result in inflammation persistence and enhanced liver fibrosis. Taken together, these observations render the CCL2/CCR2 and the $CX₃CR1$ pathways important targets for developing potential therapies for the treatment of liver fibrosis [[19](#page-13-0)[,256](#page-18-0)]. Several studies have described a unique population of macrophages with properties of both inflammatory (M1) and tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages, termed scar-associated macrophages, arising from recruited Ly6Chi monocytes expressing high levels of MMP13 that mediate regression of liver fibrosis in animal models [\[86,](#page-14-0)[131](#page-15-0)-[136](#page-15-0)].

DC cells have also been proposed as regulators of liver fibrosis. Increased numbers of a mixed population of Cd11c⁺ DC have been observed in the livers of mice with hepatic fibrosis induced by TAA and leptin [\[257\]](#page-18-0) with many of these cells also positive for MHCII and CD4. The isolated DC could stimulate NK and T cells both in vitro and *in vivo*. $Cd11c⁺$ cell depletion in these mice reduced the expression of TNF-α, IL-6 and other proinflammatory cytokines. Co-culture of $Cd11c⁺$ DC with hepatic stellate cells caused increased proinflammatory cytokine expression and increased stellate cell proliferation and it was shown that this effect was dependent on TNF- α [[258](#page-18-0)].

Renal and cardiac fibrosis in mice and humans

Numerous disorders cause kidney fibrosis including glomerulonephritis, diabetic nephropathy, SSc with kidney involvement and rare disorders such as immunoglobulin A nephropathy [\[158](#page-16-0)]. Although inflammation is an important component of renal fibrosis [[158](#page-16-0)] the role of macrophage phenotype changes in the pathogenesis of these diseases has only just begun to be investigated. Injured kidneys selectively recruit Ly6Chi monocytes and these recruited monocytes differentiate into functionally distinct macrophage populations [[18](#page-13-0)]. Analysis of human pediatric and adult immunoglobulin A nephropathy renal biopsies in another report found significant CD163+ or CD204+ tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2)-like macrophage infiltration in close proximity to activated myofibroblasts $[259]$ $[259]$ $[259]$, an intriguing observation since *ex vivo* differentiated macrophages have been shown to induce myofibroblast phenotypic changes and α-SMA expression in cultured fibroblasts [\[260\]](#page-18-0). Recently, an analysis of renal allograft biopsies from kidney transplant patients isolated one year post-transplantation found that the majority of infiltrating macrophages were CD68⁺ CD204⁺ tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages characterized by increased expression of IFN-γ-responsive genes and that macrophage infiltration strongly correlated with the subsequent development of renal fibrosis [\[261](#page-18-0)]. A role of DC in renal fibrosis has recently been examined. In a mouse model of UUOinduced renal fibrosis, the numbers of both $Cd11c^+$ F4/80⁺ and $Cd11c^+$ F4/80⁻ increased 24 hours after injury with both subpopulations displaying increased MCH2 and CD68 positivity, however Cd11c⁻ DTR-specific depletion either at 1 day pre-UUO or 5 days post-UUO had no effect on levels of type III collagen, α-SMA, TGF-β or TNF-α [\[262](#page-18-0)].

Cardiac fibrosis resulting from myocardial infarction or in association with other fibrotic disorders such as SSc or NSF involves accumulation of ECM proteins in the myocardium resulting in myocardial failure [[263](#page-18-0)]. There has been recent interest in the role of macrophage polarization in the pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. It has recently been shown that inhibition of TLR2 decreased angiotensin II-induced cardiac fibrosis through a potent reduction in the tissue infiltration by inflammatory cells, particularly macrophages [[264](#page-18-0)], and another study found that MMP28 regulated the fibrotic response to myocardial infarction in mice, with MMP28 deletion exacerbating cardiac fibrosis, an effect mediated by in-hibition of M2 macrophage activation [\[265](#page-18-0)]. The role of CAV1 in cardiac interstitial fibrosis was examined in experimentally induced myocardial infarction in mice and reported that deletion of CAV1 resulted in increased numbers of tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages in the infarct zone and that infusion of the CAV1 scaffolding domain peptide abrogated the increased tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophage accumulation and reduced cardiac fibrosis [[266\]](#page-18-0).

Skeletal muscle fibrosis in mice and humans

As in other tissues, fibrosis can occur following injury to the muscle, or as a consequence of the normal aging process, or in association with a pathologic condition such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy [[267](#page-18-0)]. Macrophage subpopulations perform opposing activities in muscle regeneration. Inflammatory (M1) macrophages secrete TNF-α and IL-1β mediating monocyte recruitment and removal of necrotic cells at early stages after the initiating event. Tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages secrete IL-10 and TGF-β and become more abundant at advanced stages of the process, facilitating tissue repair and healing and inactivating the initial inflammatory macrophages [[268](#page-18-0)]. It has recently been shown in mice that a mutation in the C/EBPβ promoter impairs differentiation of tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages resulting in the ability to remove necrotic tissue but with defects in myofiber regeneration, likely caused by changes in arginine metabolism [\[269\]](#page-18-0). Shifts in macrophage phenotypes and competition for arginine can affect the severity of the muscle pathology seen in the mdx mouse model of muscular dystrophy by promoting cardiac and muscle fibrosis [[270,271\]](#page-18-0). The expression of integrin-β3 in macrophages has been found to affect macrophage polarization and infiltration since mice lacking integrin-β3 show increased infiltration of tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages into injured muscle and that these infiltrating macrophages express higher levels of TGF-β1, increased TGF-β1 Smad signaling, impaired muscle regeneration and increased fibrosis [[272](#page-18-0)].

Interestingly, diaphragms from mdx mice display markedly increased expression of CCR2 and its chemokine ligands and increased recruitment of $Ly6C^{hi}$ mono-cytes that differentiate into CD11b^{hi} macrophages [\[273](#page-18-0)]. Knockout of CCR2 abrogates Ly6Chi monocyte recruitment and prevents skewing of macrophage differentiation to favor the inflammatory (M1) phenotype and decreases muscle fibrosis. The PDE5 inhibitor sildenafil significantly reduced muscle weakness after 14 weeks of treatment in mdx mice and slowed the establishment of mdx diaphragm fibrosis accompanied by a reduction in MMP13 expression and normalized expression levels of TNF- α [[274](#page-18-0)]. The proposed antifibrotic agent imatinib mesylate markedly reduced limb muscle necrosis, inflammation and fibrosis accompanied by inhibition of cable and PDGFR phosphorylation and the suppression of TNF- α and IL-1 β expression in mdx mice [[275,276\]](#page-19-0).

Opportunities for utilizing macrophage polarization as a clinical and therapeutic tool

Elucidation of the role of monocyte/macrophage differentiation and polarization in the context of fibrosis pathogenesis and resolution will provide novel opportunities for designing therapies to restore the balance between normal tissue repair and pathologic fibrosis [\[277](#page-19-0)]. Several new strategies are being explored as therapeutic modalities to modulate the crucial contribution of monocytes/macrophages to fibrotic disease pathogenesis. Monocyte recruitment is being targeted based on the differential expression of chemokine receptors by monocyte/macrophage subpopulations to encourage migration and tissue accumulation of beneficial macrophages or to prevent the recruitment of harmful profibrotic macrophages. Since CCR1 and CCR2 are more highly expressed on $Ly6C^{hi}$ inflammatory (M1)-like monocytes whereas CCR5 and CX3CR1 are more characteristic of the Ly6 C^{low} tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2)-like monocytes [\[11-13](#page-13-0)], targeting CCR2 and CCR6 signaling would be expected to interfere with $Ly 6C^{hi}$ monocyte recruitment [[278,279](#page-19-0)]. Although this approach is promising its success is uncertain since the $Ly6C^{hi}$ population can differentiate into the Ly6 C^{low} subpopulation in situ following migration into the affected tissues.

Autologous monocyte/macrophage transfer can also be employed to alter monocyte subpopulations. A recent analysis utilizing such an approach showed that mesenchymal stromal cell transfer following coronary artery occlusion-induced myocardial infarction increased the

proportion of tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages at the infarct site compared with monocyte transfer [[280](#page-19-0)] accompanied by increased macrophage cytokine secretion, and improved infarct healing and repair. The beneficial effects were mediated by macrophages as they were abrogated by transient macrophage depletion [[280](#page-19-0)]. This approach could introduce an enriched potentially therapeutic subpopulation of autologous cells or of cells genetically modified to target specific macrophage pathways to ameliorate fibrosis induction or to induce fibrosis resolution. However, one potential drawback of this approach is that the phenotype of the transferred monocytes/macrophages could be reprogrammed in situ by the microenvironment of the destination organ [\[281,282](#page-19-0)].

Antibody-conjugated microparticles can specifically target macrophage subpopulations based on differential properties of the nanoparticles used [\[283\]](#page-19-0) or by conjugation with subpopulation specific markers [[284,285](#page-19-0)]. Such an approach could be adapted to deliver phenotype modifying or cell lethal contents to specific macrophage populations. For example, administration of a peptide sequence unique to tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2) macrophages identified by subtractive phage biopanning and fused to the KLA proapoptotic peptide [[286](#page-19-0)] decreased mortality in CT-26 tumor-bearing mice by inducing a decreased number of tumor-associated macrophages [[287\]](#page-19-0). These approaches alone, or in combination, offer the potential to nullify the fibrotic potential or to enhance the fibrosis resolving properties of specific macrophage subpopulations.

Conclusions

Although monocyte/macrophage polarization in tissue fibrosis pathogenesis and resolution is an area of intense interest, the actual role of this phenomenon in vivo remains unclear. Extensive in vitro experimentation implicates M2 macrophages as the primary mediators of tissue fibrosis, however, the data from in vivo studies present a more complex picture. The M1 macrophage subpopulation is considered as the primary mediator of inflammation whereas the M2 macrophage subpopulation is considered to be the primary mediator of wound healing and pathologic fibrosis. The role of macrophage phenotypes in vivo, however, may be less definitive owing to the plasticity of monocyte/macrophage subpopulation phenotypes in response to local environmental factors. Numerous studies of organ-specific monocyte/macrophage subpopulations in specific organs often suggest that tissue remodeling/fibrotic (M2)-like monocytes and macrophages mediate fibrosis, however, other studies indicate a role for inflammatory (M1)-like macrophages or a requirement for both major subpopulations in the development of tissue fibrosis and in the pathogenesis of fibrotic disorders. Increasing knowledge about the differential properties of the

multiple monocyte and macrophage subpopulations will undoubtedly lead to novel therapeutic strategies for the selective targeting of specific subpopulations involved in the development of pathologic fibrotic conditions. Among these novel approaches several have already shown their feasibility including for example, modifying monocyte recruitment and macrophage differentiation, transfer of autologous monocyte/macrophage populations, and the use of nanoparticles and lysosomes designed to be selectively bound by specific subpopulations and to modify their functional capabilities or induce their selective elimination.

Abbreviations

AnxA1: Annexin A1; Arg1: Arginase 1; α-SMA: Alpha smooth muscle actin; CCL: C-C chemokine ligand; CCR: C-C chemokine receptor; CD: Cluster of Differentiation; Chi3l3: Chitinase 3-like 3; CLRs: C-type lectin receptors; CSF-1: Colony stimulating factor-1; CXCR: C-X-C chemokine receptor; CX3CR: C-X3-C chemokine receptor; DAMPs: Damage associated molecular patterns; ECM: Extracellular matrix; EndoMT: Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition; GM-CSF: Granulocyte-macrophage-colony stimulating factor; IFN: Interferon; IGF-1: Insulin-like growth factor 1; IκBα: Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells inhibitor, alpha; IL: Interleukin; iNOS: Inducible nitric oxide synthase; IPF: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; IRF: Interferon regulatory factor; ISG20: Interferon stimulated gene 20; LPS: Lipopolysaccharide; Ly6C: Lymphocyte antigen 6C; M-CSF: Macrophagecolony stimulating factor; MHCII: Major histocompatibility complex II; MMP: Matrix metalloproteinase; MyD88: Myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B; NLRs: NOD-like receptors; NLRP3: NLR family, pyrin domain-containing protein 3; NSF: Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis; PAMPs: Pathogen associated molecular patterns; PBMC: Peripheral blood mononuclear cell; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SSc: Systemic sclerosis/scleroderma; STAT: Signal transducer and activator of transcription; TGF-β: Transforming growth factor, beta; Th1: T-helper 1; Th2: T-helper 2; TIMPs: Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor alpha; TLR: Toll-like receptor; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors were responsible for organizing, writing and revising the material and preparing the illustrations presented in this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Partially supported by NIH grant 5R21AR061680 to SAJ. The expert assistance of Ruth Johnson in the preparation of the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

Received: 8 December 2014 Accepted: 20 January 2015 Published online: 07 February 2015

References

- 1. Stout RD, Suttles J. Functional plasticity of macrophages: reversible adaptation to changing microenvironments. J Leukoc Biol. 2004;76:509–13.
- 2. Zhou D, Huang C, Lin Z, Zhan S, Kong L, Fang C, et al. Macrophage polarization and function with emphasis on the evolving roles of coordinated regulation of cellular signaling pathways. Cell Signal. 2014;26:192–7.
- 3. Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008;8:958–69.
- 4. Mantovani A, Sica A, Locati M. Macrophage polarization comes of age. Immunity. 2005;4:344–6.
- 5. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. Trends Immunol. 2004;12:677–86.
- 6. Gordon S, Taylor PR. Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005;5:953–64.
- 7. Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. Immunity. 2014;41:14–20.
- 8. Guilliams M, Ginhoux F, Jakubzick C, Naik SH, Onai N, Schraml BU, et al. Dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages: a unified nomenclature based on ontogeny. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014;14:571–8.
- 9. Yona S, Jung S. Monocytes: subsets, origins, fates and functions. Curr Opin Hematol. 2010;17:53–9.
- 10. Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011;11:723–37.
- 11. Tacke F, Randolph GJ. Migratory fate and differentiation of blood monocyte subsets. Immunobiology. 2006;211:609–18.
- 12. Tacke F, Alvarez D, Kaplan TJ, Jakubzick C, Spanbroek R, Llodra J, et al. Monocyte subsets differentially employ CCR2, CCR5 and CX3CR1 to accumulate within atherosclerotic plaques. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:185–94.
- 13. Ingersoll MA, Spanbroek R, Lottaz C, Gautier EL, Frankenberger M, Hoffmann R, et al. Comparison of gene expression profiles between human and mouse monocyte subsets. Blood. 2010;115:e10–9.
- 14. Carlin LM, Stamatiades EG, Auffray C, Hanna RN, Glover L, Vizcay-Barrena G, et al. Nr4a1-dependent Ly6C(low) monocytes monitor endothelial cells and orchestrate their disposal. Cell. 2013;153:362–75.
- 15. Cros J, Cagnard N, Woollard K, Patey N, Zhang SY, Senechal B, et al. Human CD14dim monocytes patrol and sense nucleic acids and viruses via TLR7 and TLR8 receptors. Immunity. 2010;33:375–86.
- 16. Jakubzick C, Gautier EL, Gibbings SL, Sojka DK, Schlitzer A, Joynson TE, et al. Minimal differentiation of classical monocytes as they survey steady-state tissues and transport antigen to lymph nodes. Immunity. 2013;39:599–610.
- 17. Nahrendorf M, Swirski FK, Aikawa E, Stangenberg L, Wurdinger T, Figueiredo JL, et al. The healing myocardium sequentially mobilized two monocyte subsets with divergent and complementary functions. J Exp Med. 2007;204:3037–47.
- 18. Lin SL, Castaño AP, Nowlin BT, Lupher Jr ML, Duffield JS. Bone marrow Ly6Chigh monocytes are selectively recruited to injured kidney and differentiate into functionally distinct populations. J Immunol. 2009;183:6733–43.
- 19. Heymann F, Trautwein C, Tacke F. Monocytes and macrophages as cellular targets in liver fibrosis. Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets. 2009;8:307–18.
- 20. Mirza R, Koh TJ. Dysregulation of monocyte/macrophage phenotype in wounds of diabetic mice. Cytokine. 2011;56:256–64.
- 21. Perdiguero E, Sousa-Victor P, Ruiz-Bonilla V, Jardi M, Caelles C, Serrano AL, et al. p38/MKP-1-regulated AKT coordinates macrophage transitions and resolution of inflammation during tissue repair. J Cell Biol. 2011;195:307–22.
- 22. Willenborg S, Lucas T, van Loo G, Knipper JA, Krieg T, Haase I, et al. CCR2 recruits an inflammatory macrophage subpopulation critical for angiogenesis in tissue repair. Blood. 2012;120:613–25.
- 23. Osterholzer JJ, Olszewski MA, Murdock BJ, Chen GH, Erb-Downward JR, Subbotina N, et al. Implicating exudate macrophages and Ly-6C(high) monocytes in CCR2-dependent lung fibrosis following gene-targeted alveolar injury. J Immunol. 2013;190:3447–57.
- 24. Zaslona Z, Przybranowski S, Wilke C, van Rooijen N, Teitz-Tennenbaum S, Osterholzer JJ, et al. Resident alveolar macrophages suppress, whereas recruited monocytes promote, allergic lung inflammation in murine models of asthma. J Immunol. 2014;193:4245–53.
- 25. Lenzo JC, Turner AL, Cook AD, Vlahos R, Anderson GP, Reynolds EC, et al. Control of macrophage lineage populations by CSF-1 receptor and GM-CSF in homeostasis and inflammation. Immunol Cell Biol. 2012;90:429–40.
- 26. Italiani P, Boraschi D. From monocytes to M1/M2 macrophages: phenotypical vs. functional differentiation. Front Immunol. 2014;5:514.
- 27. Sindrilaru A, Sharffetter-Kochanek K. Disclosure of the culprits: Macrophages versatile regulators of wound healing. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle). 2013;2:357–68.
- 28. Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3:23–35.
- 29. Gordon S, Mantovani A. Diversity and plasticity of mononuclear phagocytes. Eur J Immunol. 2014;41:2470–2.
- 30. Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Obstacles and opportunities for understanding macrophage polarization. J Leukoc Biol. 2011;89:557–63.
- 31. Ferrante CJ, Liebovich SJ. Regulation of macrophage polarization and wound healing. Adv Wound Care. 2012;1:10–6.
- 32. Martinez FO, Sica A, Mantovani A, Locati M. Macrophage activation and polarization. Front Biosci. 2008;13:453–61.
- 33. Martinez FO, Helming L, Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages: an immunologic functional perspective. Annu Rev Immunol. 2009;27:451–83.
- 34. Vogel DY, Glim JE, Stavenuiter AW, Breur M, Heimjen P, Amor S, et al. Human macrophage polarization in vitro: maturation and activation methods compared. Immunobiology. 2014;219:695–703.
- 35. Chow A, Brown BD, Merad M. Studying the mononuclear phagocyte system in the molecular age. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011;11:788–98.
- 36. Xue J, Schmidt SV, Sander J, Draffehn A, Krebs W, Quester I, et al. Transcriptome-based network analysis reveals a spectrum model of human macrophage activation. Immunity. 2014;40:274–88.
- Beyer M, Mallmann MR, Xue K, Staratschek-Jox A, Vorholt D, Krebs W, et al. High-resolution transcriptome of human macrophages. PLoS One. 2012;7:e45466.
- 38. Gautier EL, Shay T, Miller J, Greter M, Jakubzick C, Ivanov S, et al. Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophage. Nat Immunol. 2012;13:1118–28.
- 39. Kim CC, Lanier LL. Beyond the transcriptome: completion of act one of the Immunological Genome Project. Curr Opin Immunol. 2013;25:593–7.
- 40. Miller JC, Brown BD, Shay T, Gautier EL, Jojic V, Cohain A, et al. Deciphering the transcriptional network of the dendritic cell lineage. Nat Immunol. 2012;13:888–99.
- 41. Poland GA, Quill H, Togias A. Understanding the human immune system in the 21st century: the Human Immunology Project Consortium. Vaccine. 2013;31:2911–2.
- 42. Eigsti RL. Sudan B, Wilson ME, Graff JW. Regulation of activation-associated microRNA accumulation rates during monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation. J Biol Chem. 2014; [Epub ahead of print]
- 43. Davies LC, Jenkins SJ, Allen JE, Taylor PR. Tissue-resident macrophages. Nat Immunol. 2013;14:986–95.
- 44. Epelman S, Lavine KJ, Randolph GJ. Origin and functions of tissue macrophages. Immunity. 2014;41:21–35.
- 45. Okabe Y, Medzhitov R. Tissue-specific signals control reversible program of localization and functional polarization of macrophages. Cell. 2014; 157:832–44.
- 46. Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, Szabo-Rogers H, Cagnard N, Kierdorf K, et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. Science. 2012;336:86–90.
- 47. Yona S, Kim KW, Wolf Y, Mildner A, Varol D, Breker M, et al. Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics of monocytes and tissue macrophages under homeostasis. Immunity. 2013;38:79–91.
- 48. Hashimoto D, Chow A, Noizat C, Teo P, Beasley MB, Leboeuf M, et al. Tissueresident macrophages self-maintain locally throughout adult life with minimal contribution from circulating monocytes. Immunity. 2013;38:792–804.
- 49. Ajami B, Bennett JL, Krieger C, McNagny KM, Rossi FM. Infiltrating monocytes trigger EAE progression, but do not contribute to the resident microglia pool. Nat Neurosci. 2011;14:1142–9.
- 50. Molawi K, Wolf Y, Kandalla PK, Favret J, Hagemeyer N, Frenzel K, et al. Progressive replacement of embryo-derived cardiac macrophages with age. J Exp Med. 2014; [Epub ahead of print]
- 51. Stout RD, Jiang C, Matta B, Tietzel I, Watkins SK, Suttles J. Macrophages sequentially change their functional phenotype in response to changes in microenvironmental influences. J Immunol. 2005;175:342–9.
- 52. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. J Clin Invest. 2012;122:787–95.
- 53. Banchereau J, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature. 1998;392:245–52.
- 54. Mellman I, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells: specialized and regulated antigen processing machines. Cell. 2001;106:255–8.
- 55. O'Doherty U, Peng M, Gezelter S, Swiggard WJ, Betjes M, Bhardwaj N, et al. Human blood contains two subsets of dendritic cells, on immunologically mature and the other immature. Immunology. 1994;82:487–93.
- 56. Colonna M, Trinchieri G, Liu YJ. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in immunity. Nat Immunol. 2004;5:1219–26.
- 57. Blasius AL, Giursato E, Cella M, Schreiber RD, Shaw AS, Colonna M. Bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 is a specific marker of type I IFN-producing cells in the naïve mouse, but a promiscuous cell surface antigen following IFN stimulation. J Immunol. 2006;177:3260–5.
- 58. Blasius AL, Colonna M. Sampling and signaling in plasmacytoid dendritic cells: the potential roles of Siglec-H. Trends Immunol. 2006;27:255–60.
- 59. Kadowaki N, Ho S, Antonenko S, Malefyt RW, Kastelein RA, Bazan F, et al. Subsets of human dendritic cell precursors express different toll-like receptors and respond to different microbial antigens. J Exp Med. 2001;194:863–9.
- 60. Dzionek A, Fuchs A, Schmidt P, Cremer S, Zysk M, Miltenyi S, et al. BDCA-2, BDCA-3 and BDCA-4: three markers for distinct subsets of dendritic cells in human peripheral blood. J Immunol. 2000;165:6037–46.
- 61. Huysamen C, Willment JA, Dennehy KM, Brown GD. CLEC9A is a novel activation C-type lectin-like receptor expressed on BDCA3+ dendritic cells and a subset of monocytes. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:16693–701.
- 62. Haniffa M, Shin A, Bigley V, McGovern N, Teo P, See P, et al. Human tissues contain CD141hi cross-presenting dendritic cells with functional homology to mouse CD103+ nonlymphoid dendritic cells. Immunity. 2012;37:60–73.
- 63. Jongbloed SL, Kassianos AJ, McDonald KJ, Clark GJ, Ju X, Angel CE, et al. Human CD141+ (BDCA-3)+ dendritic cells (DCs) represent a unique myeloid DC subset that cross-presents necrotic cell antigens. J Exp Med. 2010;207:1247–60.
- 64. McKenna K, Beignon AS, Bhardwaj N. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells: linking innate and adaptive immunity. J Virol. 2005;79:17–27.
- 65. Sica A, Invernizzi P, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization in liver homeostasis and pathology. Hepatology. 2014;59:2034–42.
- 66. Rigamonte E, Zordan P, Sciorati C, Rovere-Querini P, Brunelli S. Macrophage plasticity in skeletal muscle repair. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:560529.
- 67. Gurtner GC, Werner S, Barrandon Y, Ferguson MW. Endogenous inflammatory response to dermal wound healing in the fetal and adult mouse. Nature. 453:314-21.
- 68. Singer AJ, Clark RA. Cutaneous wound healing. N Engl J Med. 1999;341:738–46.
- 69. Koh TJ, DiPietro LA. Inflammation and wound healing: the role of the macrophage. Expert Rev Mod Med. 2011;13:e23.
- 70. Novak ML, Koh TJ. Macrophage phenotypes during tissue repair. J Leukoc Biol. 2013;93:875–81.
- 71. Novak ML, Koh TJ. Phenotypic transitions of macrophages orchestrate tissue repair. Am J Pathol. 2013;183:1352–563.
- 72. Lech M, Anders HJ. Macrophages and fibrosis: How resident and infiltrating mononuclear phagocytes orchestrate all phases of tissue injury and repair. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1832;2013:989–97.
- 73. Leibovich SJ, Ross R. The role of the macrophage in wound repair. A study with hydrocortisone and antimacrophage serum. Am J Pathol. 1975;78:71–100.
- 74. Mantovani A, Biswas SK, Galdiero MR, Sica A, Locati. : Macrophage plasticity and polarization in tissue repair and remodeling. J Pathol. 2013;229:176–85.
- 75. Ospelt C, Gay S. TLRs and chronic inflammation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2010;42:495–505.
- 76. Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol. 2010;11:373–84.
- 77. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. Trends Immunol. 2004;12:677–86.
- 78. Bryan N, Ahswin H, Smart N, Bayon Y, Wohlert S, Hunt JA. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) – a family of fate deciding molecules pivotal in constructive inflammation and wound healing. Eur Cell Mater. 2012;24:349–265.
- 79. Reichner JS, Meszaros AJ, Louis CA, Henry Jr WL, Mastrofrancesco B, Martin BA, et al. Molecular and metabolic evidence for the restricted expression of nitric oxide synthase in healing wounds. Am J Pathol. 1999;154:1097–104.
- 80. Horiguchi M, Ota M, Rifkin. Matrix control of transforming growth factor-β function. J Biochem. 2012;152:321–9.
- 81. Doyle JJ, Gerber EE, Dietz HC. Matrix-dependent perturbation of TGF-β signaling and disease. FEBS Lett. 2012;586:2003–15.
- 82. Butterfield TA, Best TM, Merrick MA. The dual roles of neutrophils and macrophages in inflammation: a critical balance between tissue damage and repair. J Athl Train. 2006;41:457–65.
- 83. Meszaros AJ, Reichner JS, Albina JE. Macrophage-induced neutrophil apoptosis. J Immunol. 2000;165:435–41.
- 84. Meszaros AJ, Reichner JS, Albina JE. Macrophage phagocytosis of wound neutrophils. J Leukoc Biol. 1999;65:35–42.
- 85. El Kebir D, Filep JG. Targeting neutrophil apoptosis for enhancing the resolution of inflammation. Cells. 2013;2:330–48.
- 86. Duffield JS, Forbes SJ, Constandinou CM, Clay S, Partolina M, Vuthoori S, et al. Selective depletion of macrophages reveals distinct, opposing roles during liver injury and repair. J Clin Invest. 2005;115:56–65.
- 87. Fadok VA, Bratton DL, Konowal A, Freed PW, Westcott JY, Henson PM. Macrophages that have ingested apoptotic cells in vitro inhibit proinflammatory cytokine production through autocrine/paracrine mechanisms involving TGF-beta, PGE2 and PAF. J Clin Invest. 1998;101:890–8.
- 88. Huynh ML, Fadok VA, Henson PM. Phosphatidylserine-dependent ingestion of apoptotic cells promotes TGF-beta1 secretion and the resolution of inflammation. J Clin Invest. 2002;109:41–50.
- 89. Voll RE, Hermann M, Roth EA, Stach C, Kalden JR, Girkontaite I. Immunosuppressive effects of apoptotic cells. Nature. 390:350-51.
- 90. Savill J. Apoptosis in resolution of inflammation. J Leukoc Biol. 1997; 61:375–80.
- 91. Korns D, Frasch SC, Fernandez-Boyanapalli R, Henson PM, Bratton DL. Modulation of macrophage efferocytosis in inflammation. Front Immunol. 2010;2:57.
- 92. DiDonato JA, Mercurio F, Karin M. NF-κB and the link between inflammation and cancer. Immunol Rev. 2012;246:379–400.
- 93. Wang Y, John R, Chen J, Richardson JA, Shelton JM, Bennett M, et al. IRF-1 promotes inflammation early after ischemic acute kidney injury. Nephrol. 2009;20:1544–55.
- 94. Lassen S, Lech M, Römmele C, Mittruecker HW, Mak TW, Anders HJ. Ischemia reperfusion induces IFN regulatory factor 4 in renal dendritic cells, which suppresses postischemic inflammation and prevents acute renal failure. J Immunol. 2010;185:1976–83.
- 95. Tamura T, Tailor P, Yamaoka K, Kong HJ, Tsujimura H, O'Shea JJ, et al. IFN regulatory factor-4 and -8 govern dendritic cell subset development and their functional diversity. J Immunol. 2005;174:2573–81.
- 96. Brancato SK, Albina JE. Wound macrophages as key regulators of repair: origin, phenotype and function. Am J Pathol. 2011;178:19–25.
- 97. Song E, Ouyang N, Hörbelt M, Antus B, Wang M, Exton MS. Influence of alternatively and classically activated macrophages on fibrogenic activities of human fibroblasts. Cell Immunol. 2000;204:19–28.
- Mirza R, DiPietro LA, Koh TJ. Selective and specific macrophage ablation is detrimental to wound healing in mice. Am J Pathol. 2009;175:2454–62.
- 99. Khalil N, Bereznay O, Sporn M, Greenberg AH. Macrophage production of transforming growth factor beta and fibroblast collagen synthesis in chronic pulmonary inflammation. J Exp Med. 1989;170:727–37.
- 100. Vidal B, Serrano AL, Tjwa M, Suelves M, Ardite E, De Mori R, et al. Fibrinogen drives dystrophic muscle fibrosis via a TGFbeta/alternative macrophage activation pathway. Genes Dev. 2008;22:1747–52.
- 101. Pesce JT, Ramalingam TR, Mentink-Kane MM, Wilson MS, El Kasmi KC, et al. Arginase-1-expressing macrophages suppress Th2 cytokine-driven inflammation and fibrosis. PLoS Pathog. 2009;e1000371.
- 102. Wehner S, Buchholz BM, Schuchtrup S, Rocke A, Schaefer N, Lysson M, et al. Mechanical strain and TLR4 synergistically induce cell-specific inflammatory gene expression in intestinal smooth muscle cells and peritoneal macrophages. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2010;299:G1187–97.
- 103. Blakney AK, Swartzlander MD, Bryant SJ. The effects of substrate stiffness on the in vitro activation of macrophages and in vivo host response to poly (ethylene glycol)-based hydrogels. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2012;100:1375–86.
- 104. Galván-Peña S, O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophage polarization. Front Immunol. 2014;5:420.
- 105. Gilbane AJ, Denton CP, Holmes AM. Scleroderma pathogenesis: a pivotal role for fibroblasts as effector cells. Arthritis Res Ther. 2013;15:215.
- 106. Krieg T, Abraham D, Lafyatis R. Fibrosis in connective tissue disease: the role of the myofibroblast and fibroblast-epithelial cell interactions. Arthritis Res Ther. 2007;2:S4.
- 107. Abraham DJ, Eckes B, Rajkumar V, Krieg T. New developments in fibroblast and myofibroblast biology: implications for fibrosis and scleroderma. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2007;9:136–43.
- 108. Kirk TZ, Mark ME, Chua CC, Chua BH, Mayes MD. Myofibroblasts from scleroderma skin synthesize elevated levels of collagen and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP-1) with two forms of TIMP-1. J Biol Chem. 1995;270:3423–8.
- 109. Hinz B, Phan SH, Thannickal VJ, Galli A, Bochaton-Piallat ML, Gabbiani G. The myofibroblast: one function, multiple origins. Am J Pathol. 2007;170:1807–16.
- 110. Hinz B, Gabbiani G. Fibrosis: recent advances in myofibroblast biology and new therapeutic perspectives. F1000 Biol Rep. 2010;2:78.
- 111. Hu B, Phan SH. Myofibroblasts. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2012;25:71–7.
- 112. Hinz B, Phan SH, Thannickal VJ, Prunotto M, Desmoulière A, Varga J, et al. Recent developments in myofibroblast biology: paradigms for connective tissue remodeling. Am J Pathol. 2012;180:1340–55.
- 113. Beon M, Harley RA, Wessels A, Silver RM, Ludwicka-Bradley A. Myofibroblast induction and microvascular alteration in scleroderma lung fibrosis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2004;22:733–42.
- 114. Watsky MA, Weber KT, Sun Y, Postlethwaite A. New insights into the mechanism of fibroblast to myofibroblast transformation and associated pathologies. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2010;282:165–92.
- 115. Li J, Zu X, Bertram JF. Endothelial-myofibroblast transition contributes to the early development of diabetic renal interstitial fibrosis in streptozotocininduced diabetic mice. Am J Pathol. 2009;175:1380–8.
- 116. Rieder F, Kessler SP, West GA, Bhilocha S, de la Motte C, Sadler TM, et al. Inflammation-induced endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition: a novel mechanism of intestinal fibrosis. Am J Pathol. 2011;179:2660–73.
- 117. Goumans M, van Zonneveld AJ, ten Dijke P. Transforming growth factor β-induced endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition: A switch to cardiac fibrosis? Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2008;19:293–8.
- 118. Goumans MJ, Liu Z, ten Dijke P. TGF-beta signaling in vascular biology and dysfunction. Cell Res. 2009;19:116–27.
- 119. Van Meeteren LA, ten Dijke P. Regulation of endothelial cell plasticity by TGFβ. Cell Tissue Res. 2012;347:177–86.
- 120. Piera-Velazquez S, Li Z, Jimenez SA. Role of endothelial-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT) in the pathogenesis of fibrotic disorders. Am J Pathol. 2011;179:1074–80.
- 121. Piera-Velazquez S, Jimenez SA. Molecular mechanisms of endothelial to mesenchymal cell transition (EndoMT) in experimentally induced fibrotic diseases. Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair. 2012;5 Suppl 1:S7.
- 122. Jimenez SA. Role of endothelial to mesenchymal transition in the pathogenesis of the vascular alterations in systemic sclerosis. ISRN Rheumatol. 2013;2013:835948.
- 123. Zordan P, Rigamonte E, Freudenberg K, Conti V, Azzoni E, Rovere-Querini P, et al. Macrophages commit postnatal endothelium-derived progenitors to angiogenesis and restrict endothelial to mesenchymal transition during muscle regeneration. Cell Death Dis. 2014;5:e1031.
- 124. Chrobak I, Lenna S, Stawski L, Trojanowska M. Interferon-γ promotes vascular remodeling in human microvascular endothelial cells by upregulating endothelin (ET)-1 and transforming growth factor (TGF) β2. J Cell Physiol. 2013;228:1774–83.
- 125. Robins SP, Milne G, Duncan A, Davies C, Butt R, Greiling D, et al. Increased skin collagen extractability and proportions of collagen type III are not normalized after 6 months healing of human excisional wounds. J Invest Dermatol. 2003;121:267–72.
- 126. Lucas T, Waisman A, Ranjan R, Roes J, Krieg T, Műller W, et al. Differential roles of macrophages in diverse phases of skin repair. J Immunol. 2010;184:3964–77.
- 127. Rodero MP, Legrand JM, Bou-Gharios G, Khosrotehrani K. Wound-associated macrophages control collagen 1α2 transcription during the early stages of skin wound healing. Exp Dermatol. 2013;22:143–5.
- 128. Desmoulière A, Redard M, Darby I, Gabbiani G. Apoptosis mediates the decrease in cellularity during the transition between granulation tissue and scar. Am J Pathol. 1995;146:56–66.
- 129. Jun JI, Lau LF. Cellular senescence controls fibrosis in wound healing. Aging (Albany NY). 2010;2:627–31.
- 130. Fivenson DP, Faria DT, Nickoloff BJ, Polverini PJ, Kunkel SL, Burdick M, et al. Chemokine and inflammatory cytokine changes during chronic wound healing. Wound Repair Regen. 1997;5:310–22.
- 131. Belperio JA, Keane MP, Arenberg DA, Addison CL, Ehlert JE, Burdick MD, et al. CXC chemokines in angiogenesis. J Leukoc Biol. 2000;68:1–8.
- 132. Crowther M, Brown NJ, Bishop ET, Lewis CE. Microenvironmental influence on macrophage regulation of angiogenesis in wounds and malignant tumors. J Leukoc Biol. 2001;70:478–90.
- 133. Fallowfield JA, Mizuno M, Kendall TJ, Constandinou CM, Benvon RC, Duffield JS, et al. Scar-associated macrophages are a major source of hepatic matrix metalloproteinase-13 and facilitate the resolution of murine hepatic fibrosis. J Immunol. 2007;178:528–5295.
- 134. Ramachandran P, Pellicoro A, Vernon MA, Boulter L, Aucott RL, Ali A, et al. Differential Ly-6C expression identifies the recruited macrophage phenotype, which orchestrated the regression of murine liver fibrosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:E3186–95.
- 135. Yang L, Kwon J, Popov Y, Gajdos GB, Ordog T, Brekken RA, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor promotes fibrosis resolution and repair in mice. Gastroenterology. 2014;146:1339–50.
- 136. Fiorucci S, Antonelli E, Distrutti E, Severino B, Fiorentina R, Baldoni M, et al. PAR1 antagonism protects against experimental liver fibrosis. Role of proteinase receptors in stellate cell activation. Hepatology. 2004;39:365–75.
- 137. Rullier A, Gillibert-Duplantier J, Costet P, Cubel G, Haurie V, Petibois C, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor 1 knockout reduces experimentally induced liver fibrosis. Am J Physiol Gastointest Liver Physiol. 2008;294:G226–35.
- 138. Kallis YN, Scotton CJ, Mackinnon AC, Goldin RD, Wright NA, Iredale JP, et al. Proteinase activated receptor 1 mediated fibrosis in a mouse model of

liver injury: a role for bone marrow derived macrophages. PLoS One. 2014;9:e86241.

- 139. Wynn TA. Common and unique mechanisms regulate fibrosis in various fibroproliferative diseases. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:524–9.
- 140. Ghosh AK, Quaggin SE, Vaughan DE. Molecular basis of organ fibrosis: potential therapeutic approaches. Exp Biol Med. 2013;238:461–81.
- 141. White ES, Mantovani AR. Inflammation, wound repair and fibrosis: reassessing the spectrum of tissue injury and resolution. J Pathol. 2013;229:141–4.
- 142. Pellicoro A, Ramachandran P, Iredale JP, Fallowfield JA. Liver fibrosis and repair: immune regulation of wound healing in a solid organ. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014;14:181–94.
- 143. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. New Engl J Med. 2004;351:1296–305.
- 144. Leon DA, McCambridge J. Liver cirrhosis mortality rates in Britain, 1950 to 2002. Lancet. 2006;367:52–6.
- 145. Lev B, Collar HR, King Jr TE. Clinical course and prediction of survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183:431–40.
- 146. Barnes J, Mayes MD. Epidemiology of systemic sclerosis: incidence, prevalence, survival, risk factors, malignancy, and environmental triggers. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2012;24:165–70.
- 147. Varga J, Abraham D. Systemic sclerosis: a prototypic multisystem fibrotic disorder. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:557–67.
- 148. Rosenbloom J, Castro SV, Jimenez SA. Narrative review: fibrotic diseases: cellular and molecular mechanisms and novel therapies. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152:159–66.
- 149. Bhattacharyya S, Wei J, Varga J. Understanding fibrosis in systemic sclerosis: shifting paradigms, emerging opportunities. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2011;8:42–54.
- 150. Fleming JN, Schwartz SM. The pathology of scleroderma vascular disease. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2008;34:41–55.
- 151. Farkas L, Kolb M. Pulmonary microcirculation in interstitial lung disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2011;8:516–21.
- 152. Matucci-Cerinic M, Kahaleh B, Wigley FM. Review: evidence that systemic sclerosis is a vascular disease. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65:1953–62.
- 153. Rongvaux A, Willinger T, Martinek J, Strowik T, Gearty SV, Teichmann LL, et al. Development and function of human innate immune cells in a humanized mouse model. Nat Biotechnol. 2014;32:364–72.
- 154. Wick G, Grundtman C, Mayerl C, Wimpissinger TF, Feichtinger J, Zelger B, et al. The immunology of fibrosis. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;131:977–93.
- 155. Wynn TA, Barron L. Macrophages: master regulators of inflammation and fibrosis. Sem Liver Dis. 2010;30:245–57.
- 156. Karlmark KR, Tacke F, Dunay IR. Monocytes in health and disease Minireview. Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp). 2012;2:97–102.
- 157. Rafii R, Juarez MM, Albertson TE, Chan AL. A review of current and novel therapies for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Thorac Dis. 2013;5:48–73.
- 158. Meng XM, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Lan HY. Inflammatory processes in renal fibrosis. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2014;10:493–503.
- 159. Stramer BM, Mori R, Martin P. The inflammation-fibrosis link? A Jekyll and Hyde role for blood cells during wound repair. J invest Dermatol. 2007;127:1009–17.
- 160. Shen B, Liu X, Fan Y, Qiu J. Macrophages regulate renal fibrosis through modulating TGFβ superfamily signaling. Inflammation. 2014;[Epub ahead of print].
- 161. Kossakowska AE, Edwards DR, Lee SS, Urbanski LS, Stabbler AL, Zhang CL, et al. Altered balance between matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in experimental biliary fibrosis. Am J Pathol. 1998;153:1895–902.
- 162. Manoury B, Nénan S, Guénon I, LAgente V, Boichot E. Influence of early neutrophil depletion on MMPs/TIMP-1 balance in bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. Int Immunopharmacol. 2007;7:900–11.
- 163. Sedrakyan S, Da Sacco S, Milanesi A, Petrosyan A, Varimezova R, Warburton D, et al. Injection of amniotic fluid stem cells delays progression of renal fibrosis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;23:661–73.
- 164. Swenson-Fields KI, Vivian CJ, Salah SM, Peda JD, Davis BM, van Rooijen N, et al. Macrophages promote polycystic kidney disease progression. Kidney Int. 2013;83:855-64
- 165. Belliere J, Casemayou A, Ducasse L, Zakaroff-Girard A, Martins F, Iacovoni JS, et al. Specific macrophage subtypes influence the progression of rhabdomyolysisinduced kidney injury. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014; [Epub ahead of print]
- 166. Sutton TA, Hato T, Mai E, Yoshimoto M, Kuehl S, Anderson M, et al. P53 is renoprotective after ischemic kidney injury by reducing inflammation. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24:113–24.
- 167. Madsen DH, Bugge TH. Imaging collagen degradation in vivo highlights a key role for M2-polarized macrophages in extracellular matrix degradation. Oncoimmunology. 2013;2:e27127.
- 168. Madsen DH, Leonard D, Masedunskas A, Moyer A, Jürgensen HJ, Peters DE, et al. M2-like macrophages are responsible for collagen degradation through a mannose receptor-mediated pathway. J Cell Biol. 2013;202:951–66.
- 169. Zheng D, Wang Y, Cao Q, Lee VW, Zheng G, Sun Y, et al. Transfused macrophages ameliorate pancreatic and renal injury in murine diabetes mellitus. Nephron Exp Nephrol. 2011;118:e87–99.
- 170. Wang Y, Wang YP, Zheng G, Lee VW, Ouyang L, Chang DH, et al. Ex vivo programmed macrophages ameliorate experimental chronic inflammatory renal disease. Kidney Int. 2007;72:290–9.
- 171. Kushiyama T, Oda T, Yamada M, Higashi K, Yamamoto K, Sakurai Y, et al. Alteration in the phenotype of macrophages in the repair of renal interstitial fibrosis in mice. Nephrology (Carlton). 2011;16:522–35.
- 172. Dreymueller D, Denecke B, Ludwig A, Jahnen-Dechent W. Embryonic stem cell-derived M2-like macrophages delay cutaneous wound healing. Wound Repair Regen. 2013;21:44–54.
- 173. Heymann F, Hammerich L, Storch D, Bartneck M, Huss S, Rűsseler V, et al. Hepatic macrophage migration and differentiation critical for liver fibrosis is mediated by the chemokine receptor C-C motif chemokine receptor 8 in mice. Hepatology. 2012;55:898-909
- 174. Trujillo G, O'Connor EC, Kunkel SL, Hogaboam CM. A novel mechanism for CCR4 in the regulation of macrophage activation in bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Pathol. 2008;172:1209–21.
- 175. Locatelli I, Sutti S, Jindal A, Vacchiano M, Bozzola C, Reutelingsperger C, et al. Endogenous annexin A1 is a novel protective determinant in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. Hepatology. 2014;60:531–44.
- 176. Misson P, van den Brűle S, Barbarin V, Lison D, Huaux F. Markers of macrophage differentiation in experimental silicosis. J Leukoc Biol. 2004;76:926–32.
- 177. Guilherme RF, Xisto DG, Kunkel SL, Freire-de-Lima CG, Rocco PR, Neves JS, et al. Pulmonary antifibrotic mechanisms aspirin-triggered lipoxin A (4) synthetic analog. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2013;49:1029–37.
- 178. Wijesundera KK, Izawa T, Tennakoon AH, Murakami H, Golbar HM, Katou-Ichikawa C, et al. M1- and M2-macrophage polarization in rat liver cirrhosis induced by thioacetamide (TAA), focusing on Iba1 and galectin-3. Exp Mol Pathol. 2014;96:382–92.
- 179. Wijesundera KK, Izawa T, Murakami H, Tennakoon AH, Golbar HM, Kato-Ichikawa C, et al. M1- and M2-macrophage polarization in thioacetamide (TAA)-induced rat liver lesions: a possible analysis for hepato-pathology. Histol Histopathol. 2014;29:497–511.
- 180. O'Reilly S. Innate immunity in systemic sclerosis pathogenesis. Clin Sci (Lond). 2014;126:329–37.
- 181. Wermuth PJ, Del Galdo F, Jimenez SA. Induction of profibrotic cytokines and growth factors in normal human peripheral blood monocytes by gadolinium contrast agents. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;60:1508–18.
- 182. Del Galdo F, Wermuth PJ, Addya S, Fortina P, Jimenez SA. NFκB activation and stimulation of chemokine production in normal human macrophages by gadolinium-based magnetic resonance contrast agent Omniscan: possible role in the pathogenesis of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69:2024–33.
- 183. Schmidt-Lauber C, Bossaller L, Abujudeh HH, Vladimer GI, Christ A, Fitzgerald KA, et al. Gadolinium-based compounds induce NLRP3-dependent IL-1B production and peritoneal inflammation. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014; [Epub ahead of print].
- 184. Farina GA, York MR, Di Marzio M, Collins CA, Meller S, Homey B, et al. Poly (I:C) drives type I IFN- and TGFβ-mediated inflammation and dermal fibrosis simulating altered gene expression in systemic sclerosis. J Invest Dermatol. 2010;130:2583–93.
- 185. Agarwal SK, Wu M, Livingston CK, Parks DH, Mayes MD, Arnett FC, et al. Toll-like receptor 3 upregulation by type I interferon in healthy and scleroderma dermal fibroblasts. Arthritis Res Ther. 2011;13:R3.
- 186. Farina A, Cirone M, York M, Lenna S, Padilla C, McLaughlin S, et al. Epstein-Barr virus infection induces aberrant TLR activation pathway and fibroblastmyofibroblast conversion in scleroderma. J Invest Dermatol. 2014;134:954–64.
- 187. Stifano G, Affandi AJ, Mathes AL, Rice LM, Nakerakanti S, Nazari B, et al. Chronic Toll-like receptor 4 stimulation in skin induces inflammation, macrophage activation, transforming growth factor beta signature gene expression, and fibrosis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2014;16:R136.
- 188. Braga TT, Correa-Costa-M, Guise YF, Castoldi A, de Oliveira CD, Hyane MI, et al. MyD88 signaling pathway is involved in renal fibrosis by favoring a

TH2 immune response and activating alternative M2 macrophages. Mol Med. 2012;18:1231-39

- 189. Hanke ML, Angle A, Kielian T. MyD88-dependent signaling influences fibrosis and alternative macrophage activation during Staphylococcus aureus biofilm infection. PLoS One. 2012;7:e42476.
- 190. Dessing MC, Tammaro A, Pulskens WP, Teske GJ, Butter LM, Claessen N, et al. The calcium-binding protein complex S100A8/A9 has a crucial role in controlling macrophage-mediated renal repair following ischemia/ reperfusion. Kidney Int. 2014; [Epub ahead of print].
- 191. Gabrielli A, Avvedimento EV, Krieg T. Scleroderma. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:1989–2003.
- 192. Jimenez SA, Derk CT. Following the molecular pathways toward an understanding of the pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis. Ann Intern Med. 2004;26:13–24.
- 193. Katsumoto TR, Whitfield ML, Connolly MK. The pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2011;28:509–37.
- 194. Ritter M, Buechler C, Langmann T, Schmitz G. Genomic organization and chromosomal localization of the human CD163 (M13) gene: a member of the scavenger receptor cysteine-rich superfamily. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;260:466–74.
- 195. Bielecki M, Kowal K, Lapinska A, Chyczewski L, Kowal-Bielecka O. Increased release of soluble CD163 by the peripheral blood mononuclear cells is associated with worse prognosis in patients with systemic sclerosis. Adv Med Sci. 2013;58:126–33.
- 196. Nakayama W, Jinnin M, Makino K, Kajhara I, Makino T, Fukushima S, et al. Serum levels of soluble CD163 in patients with systemic sclerosis. Rheumatol Int. 2012;32:403–7.
- 197. Shimizu K, Ogawa F, Yoshizaki A, Akiyama Y, Kuwatsuka Y, Okazaki S, et al. Increased serum levels of soluble CD163 in patients with scleroderma. Clin Rheumatol. 2012;31:1059–64.
- 198. Kowal-Bielecka O, Bielecki M, Guiducci S, Trzcinska-Butkiewicz B, Michalska-Jakubus M, Matucci-Cerinic M, et al. High serum sCD163/ sTWEAK ratio is associated with lower risk of digital ulcers but more severe skin disease in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2013;15:R69.
- 199. Higashi-Kuwata N, Jinnin M, Makino T, Fukushima S, Inoue Y, Muchemwa FC, et al. Characterization of monocyte/macrophage subsets in the skin and peripheral blood derived from patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2010;12:R128.
- 200. Etzerodt A, Maniecki MB, Møller K, Møller HJ, Moestrup SK. Tumor necrosis factor α-converting enzyme (TACE/ADAM17) mediates ectodomain shedding of the scavenger receptor CD163. J Leukoc Biol. 2010;88:1201–5.
- 201. Bohgaki T, Amasaki Y, Nishimura N, Bohgaki M, Yamashita Y, Nishio M, et al. Upregulated expression of tumour necrosis factor {alpha} converting enzyme in peripheral monocytes of patients with early systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2005;64:1165–73.
- 202. Carulli MT, Ong VH, Ponticos M, Shiwen X, Abraham DJ, Black CM, et al. Chemokine receptor CCR2 expression by systemic sclerosis fibroblasts: evidence for autocrine regulation of myofibroblast differentiation. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;52:3772–82.
- 203. Mathes AL, Christmann RB, Stifano G, Affandi AJ, Radstake TR, Farina GA, et al. Global chemokine expression in systemic sclerosis (SSc): CCL19 expression correlates with vascular inflammation in SSc skin. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73:1864–72.
- 204. Mathai SK, Gulati M, Peng X, Russell TR, Shaw AC, Rubinowitz AN, et al. Circulating monocytes from systemic sclerosis patients with interstitial lung disease show an enhanced profibrotic phenotype. Lab Invest. 2010; 90:812–23.
- 205. Christmann RB, Sampaio-Barros P, Stifano G, Borges CL, de Varvalho CR, Kairalla R, et al. Association of interferon- and transforming growth factor β-regulated genes and macrophage activation with systemic sclerosisrelated progressive lung fibrosis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014;66:714–25.
- 206. Leach HG, Chrobak I, Han R, Trojanowska M. Endothelial cells recruit macrophages and contribute to a fibrotic milieu in bleomycin lung injury. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2013;49:1093–101.
- 207. Stawski L, Haines P, Fine A, Rudnicka L, Trojanowska M. MMP-12 deficiency attenuates angiotensin II-induced vascular injury, M2 macrophage accumulation, and skin and heart fibrosis. PLoS One. 2014;9:e109763.
- 208. Nestle FO, Zheng XG, Thompson CB, Turka LA, Nickoloff BJ. Characterization of dermal dendritic cells obtained from normal human skin reveals phenotypic and functionally distinctive subsets. J Immunol. 1993;151:6535–45.
- 209. Nestle FO, Di Meglio P, Qin JZ, Nickoloff BJ. Skin immune sentinels in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9:679–91.
- 210. Nestle FO, Conrad C, Tun-Kyi A, Homey B, Gombert M, Boynam O, et al. Plasmcytoid predendritic cells initiate psoriasis through interferon-alpha production. J Exp Med. 2005;202:135–43.
- 211. Tamoutounour S, Guilliams M, Montanana Sanchis F, Liu H, Terhorst D, Malosse C, et al. Origins and functional specialization of macrophages and of conventional and monocyte-derived dendritic cells in mouse skin. Immunity. 2013;39:925–38.
- 212. Malissen B, Tamoutounour S, Henri S. The origins and functions of dendritic cells and macrophages in the skin. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014;14:417–28.
- 213. Aiba S, Tabata N, Ohtani H, Tagami H. CD34+ spindle-shaped cells selectively disappear from the skin lesion of scleroderma. Arch Dermatol. 130:593-97.
- 214. de-Sa-Earp AP, do Nascimento AP, Carniero SC, Porto LC, Monte-Alto-Costa A. Dermal dendritic cell population and blood vessels are diminished in the skin of systemic sclerosis patients: relationship with fibrosis degree and disease duration. Am J Dermatopathol. 2013;35:438-44.
- 215. van Bon L, Popa C, Huijbens R, Vonk M, York M, Simms R, et al. Distinct evolution of TLR-mediated dendritic cell cytokine secretion in patients with limited and diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69:1539–47.
- 216. Tan FK, Zhou X, Mayes MD, Gourh P, Guo X, Marcum C, et al. Signatures of differentially regulated interferon gene expression and vasculotrophism in the peripheral blood cells of systemic sclerosis patients. Rheumatology. 2006;45:694–702.
- 217. Lu TT. Dendritic cells: novel players in fibrosis and scleroderma. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2012;14:30–8.
- 218. Cowper SE, Robin HS, Steinberg SM, Su LD, Gupta S, LeBoit PE. Scleromyxoedema-like cutaneous diseases in renal-dialysis patients. Lancet. 2000;356:1000–1.
- 219. Jimenez SA, Artlett CM, Sandorfi N, Derk C, Latinis K, Sawaya H, et al. Dialysis-associated systemic fibrosis (nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy): study of inflammatory cells and transforming growth factor beta1 expression in affected skin. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50:2660–6.
- 220. Mendoza FA, Artlett CM, Sandorfi N, Latinis K, Piera-Velazquez S, Jimenez SA. Description of 12 cases of nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy and review of the literature. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2006;35:238–49.
- 221. Wolters PJ, Collard HR, Jones KD. Pathogenesis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Annu Rev Path. 2014;9:157–79.
- 222. Ryu JH, Moua T, Daniels CD, Hartman TE, Yi ES, Utz JP, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: evolving concepts. Mayo Clin Proc. 2014;89:1130–42.
- 223. Ji WJ, Ma YQ, Zhou X, Zhang YD, Lu RY, Sun HY, et al. Temporal and spatial characterization of mononuclear phagocytes in circulating, lung alveolar and interstitial compartments in a mouse model of bleomycin-induced pulmonary injury. J Immunol Methods. 2014;403:7–16.
- 224. Ji WJ, Ma YQ, Zhang YD, Lu RY, Guo ZZ, Hu DC, et al. Spironolactone attenuates bleomycin-induced pulmonary injury partially via modulating mononuclear phagocyte phenotype switching in circulating and alveolar compartments. PLoS One. 2013;8:e81090.
- 225. Gibbons MA, MacKinnon AC, Ramachandran P, Dhaliwal K, Duffin R, Phythian-Adams AT, et al. Ly6Chi monocytes direct alternatively activated profibrotic macrophage regulation of lung fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;184:569–81.
- 226. Del Galdo F, Sotgia F, de Almeida CJ, Jasmin JF, Musick M, Lisanti MP, et al. Decreased expression of caveolin 1 in patients with systemic sclerosis: crucial role in the pathogenesis of tissue fibrosis. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58:2854–65.
- 227. Del Galdo F, Lisanti MP, Jimenez SA. Caveolin-1, transforming growth factor-beta receptor internalization, and the pathogenesis of systemic sclerosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2008;20:713–9.
- 228. Tourkina E, Richard M, Gööz P, Bonner M, Pannu J, Harley R, et al. Antifibrotic properties of caveolin-1 scaffolding domain in vitro and in vivo. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2008;294:L843–61.
- 229. Reese C, Perry B, Heywood J, Bonner M, Visconti RP, Lee R, et al. Caveolin-1 deficiency may predispose African Americans to systemic sclerosis-related interstitial lung disease. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014;66:1909–19.
- 230. Tourkina E, Bonner M, Oates J, Hofbauer A, Richard M, Znoyko S, et al. Altered monocyte and fibrocyte phenotype and function in scleroderma interstitial lung disease: reversal by caveolin-1 scaffolding domain peptide. Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair. 2011;4:15.
- 232. Illman SA, Lohi J, Keski-Oja J. Epilysin (MMP28)—structure, expression and potential functions. Exp Dermatol. 2008;17:897–907.
- 233. Gan Y, Herzog EL. MMP28 and macrophage polarization: orchestrating the attack of the mac. J Leukoc Biol. 2014;95:1–3.
- 234. Gharib SA, Johnston LK, Huizar I, Birkland TP, Hanson J, Wang Y, et al. MMP28 promotes macrophage polarization toward M2 cells and augments pulmonary fibrosis. J Leukoc Biol. 2014;95:9–18.
- 235. Arras M, Huaux F, Vink A, Delos M, Coutelier JP, Many MC, et al. Interleukin-9 reduces lung fibrosis and type 2 immune polarization induced by silica particles in a murine model. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2001;24:368–75.
- 236. Sun L, Louie MC, Vannella KM, Wilke CA, LeVine AM, Moore BB, et al. New concepts of IL-10-induced lung fibrosis: fibrocyte recruitment and M2 activation in a CCL2/CCR2 axis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2011;300:L341–53.
- 237. Tao B, Jin W, Xu J, Liang Z, Yao J, Zhang Y, et al. Myeloid-specific disruption of tyrosine phosphatase Shp2 promotes alternative activation of macrophages and predisposes mice to pulmonary fibrosis. J Immunol. 2014;193:2801–11.
- 238. Stahl M, Schupp J, Jäger B, Schmid M, Zissel G, Müller-Zuernheim J, et al. Lung collagens perpetuate pulmonary fibrosis via CD204 and M2 macrophage activation. PLoS One. 2013;8:e81382.
- 239. Marchal-Somme J, Uzunhan Y, Marchand-Adam S, Kambouchner M, Valeyre D, Crestani B, et al. Dendritic cells accumulate in human fibrotic interstitial lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;176:1007–14.
- 240. Marchal-Somme J, Uzunhan Y, Marchand-Adam S, Valeyre D, Soumelis V, Crestani B, et al. Cutting edge: nonproliferating mature immune cells form a novel type of organized lymphoid structure in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Immunol. 2006;176:5735–9.
- 241. Bantsimba-Malanda C, Marchal-Somme J, Goven D, Freynet O, Michel L, Crestani B, et al. A role for dendritic cells in bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in mice? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;182:385–95.
- 242. Ballater R, Brenner DA. Liver fibrosis. J Clin Invest. 2005;115:209–18.
- 243. Bility MT, Cheng L, Zhang Z, Luan Y, Li F, Chi L, et al. Hepatitis B virus infection and immunopathogenesis in a humanized mouse model: induction of human-specific liver fibrosis and M2-like macrophages. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10:e1004032.
- 244. Zhu J, Xu Z, Chan S, Zhou S, Zhang W, Chi Y, et al. Parasitic antigens alter macrophage polarization during Schistosome japonicum infection in mice. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:122.
- 245. Zimmermann HW, Seidler S, Nattermann J, Gassler N, Hellerbrand C, Zernecke A, et al. Functional contribution of eleveated circulating and hepatic non-classical CD14CD16 monocytes to inflammation and human liver fibrosis. PLoS One. 2010;5:e11049.
- 246. Liaskou E, Zimmermann HW, Li KK, Oo YH, Suresh S, Stamataki Z, et al. Monocyte subsets in human liver disease show distinct phenotypic and functional characteristics. Hepatology. 2013;57:385–98.
- 247. Ansari AW, Schmidt RE, Shankare EM, Kamarulzaman A. Immuno-pathomechanism of liver fibrosis: targeting chemokine CCL2-mediated HIV:HCV nexus. J Trans Med. 2014;12:341.
- 248. Tacke F. Functional role of intrahepatic monocyte subsets for the progression of liver inflammation and liver fibrosis in vivo. Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair. 2012;6(Suppl1):S27.
- 249. Zimmermann HW, Trautwein C, Tacke F. Functional role of monocytes and macrophages for the inflammatory response in acute liver injury. Front Physiol. 2012;3:56.
- 250. Ehling J, Bartneck M, Wei X, Gremse F, Fech V, Mockel D, et al. CCL2 dependent infiltrating macrophages promote angiogenesis in progressive liver fibrosis. Gut. 2014;63:1960–71.
- 251. Miura K, Yang L, van Rooijen N, Ohnishi H, Seki E. Hepatic recruitment of macrophages promotes nonalcoholic steatohepatits through CCR2. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2012;302:G1310–21.
- 252. Karlmark KR, Weiskirchen R, Zimmermann HW, Gassler N, Ginhoux F, Weber C, et al. Hepatic recruitment of the inflammatory Gr1+ monocyte subset upon liver injury promotes hepatic fibrosis. Hepatology. 2009;50:261–74.
- 253. Seki E, de Minicis S, Inokuchi S, Taura K, Miyai K, van Rooijen N, et al. CCR2 promotes hepatic fibrosis in mice. Hepatology. 2009;50:185–97.
- 254. Baeck C, Wehr A, Karlmarck KR, Heymann F, Vucur M, Gassler N, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the chemokine CCL2 (MCP-1) diminishes liver

macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis in chronic hepatic injury. Gut. 2012;61:416–26.

- 255. Baeck C, Wei X, Bartneck M, Fech V, Heymann F, Gassler N, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the chemokine ligand 2 (monocyte chemoattractant protein 1) accelerates liver fibrosis regression by suppressing Ly-6C(+) macrophage infiltration in mice. Hepatology. 2014;59:1060–72.
- 256. Karlmark KR, Zimmermann HW, Roderburg C, Gassler N, Wasmuth HE, Luedde T, et al. The fractalkine receptor CX₃CR1 protects against liver fibrosis by controlling differentiation and survival of infiltrating hepatic monocytes. Hepatology. 2010;52:1769–82.
- 257. Zimmermann HW, Tacke F. Modification of chemokine pathways and immune cell infiltration as a novel therapeutic approach in liver inflammation and fibrosis. Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets. 2011;10:509–36.
- 258. Connolly MK, Bedrosian AS, Mallen-St Clair J, Mitchell AP, Ibrahim J, Stroud A, et al. In liver fibrosis, dendritic cells govern hepatic inflammation in mice via TNF-alpha. J Clin Invest. 2009;119:3213–25.
- 259. Ikezumi Y, Suzuki T, Karasawa T, Hasegawa H, Yamada T, Imai N, et al. Identification of alternatively activated macrophages in new-onset-paediatric and adult immunoglobulin A nephropathy: potential role in mesangial matrix expansion. Histopathology. 2011;58:198–210.
- 260. Glim JE, Niessen FB, Everts V, van Egmond M, Beelen RHJ. Platelet derived growth factor-CC secreted by M2 macrophages induces alpha-smooth muscle actin expression by dermal and gingival fibroblasts. Immunobiology. 2013;218:924–9.
- 261. Toki D, Zhang W, Hor KL, Liuwantara D, Alexander SI, Yi Z, et al. The role of macrophages in the development of human renal allograft fibrosis in the first year after transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2014;14:2126–36.
- 262. Dong X, Bachman LA, Miller MN, Nath KA, Griffin MD. Dendritic cells facilitate accumulation of IL-17 T cells in the kidney following acute renal obstruction. Kidney Int. 2008;74:1294–309.
- 263. Kong P, Christia P, Frangogiannis NG. The pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2014;71:549–74.
- 264. Wang L, Li YL, Zhang CC, Cui W, Wang X, Xia Y, et al. Inhibition of toll-like receptor 2 reduces cardiac fibrosis by attenuating macrophage-mediated inflammation. Cardiovasc Res. 2014;101:383–92.
- 265. Ma Y, Halade GV, Zhang J, Ramirez TA, Levin D, Voorhees A, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-28 deletion exacerbates cardiac dysfunction and rupture after myocardial infarction in mice by inhibiting M2 macrophage activation. Circ Res. 2013;112:675–88.
- 266. Shivshankar P, Halade GV, Calhoun C, Escobar GP, Mehr AJ, Jimenez F, et al. Caveolin-1 deletion exacerbates cardiac interstitial fibrosis by promoting M2 macrophage activation in mice after myocardial infarction. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2014;76C:84–93.
- 267. Serrano AL, Mann CJ, Vidal B, Ardite E, Perdiguero E, Munoz-Canoves P. Cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating fibrosis in skeletal muscle repair and disease. Curr Top Dev Biol. 2011;96:167–201.
- 268. Arnold L, Henry A, Poron F, Baba-Amer Y, van Rooijen N, Plonquet A, et al. Inflammatory monocytes recruited after skeletal muscle injury switch into anti-inflammatory macrophages to support myogenesis. J Exp Med. 2007;204:1057–69.
- 269. Ruffell D, Mourkioti F, Gambardella A, Kirstetter P, Lopez RG, Rosenthal N, et al. A CREB-C/EBPbeta cascade induces M2 macrophage-specific gene expression and promotes muscle injury repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106:17475–80.
- 270. Villalta SA, Nguyen HX, Deng B, Gotoh T, Tidball JG. Shifts in macrophage phenotypes and macrophage competition for arginine metabolism affect the severity of muscle pathology in muscular dystrophy. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18:482–96.
- 271. Wehling-Henricks M, Jordan MC, Gotoh T, Grody WW, Roos KP, Tidball JH. Arginine metabolism by macrophages promotes cardiac and muscle fibrosis in mdx muscular dystrophy. PLoS One. 2010;5:e10763.
- 272. Zhang L, Dong Y, Dong Y, Cheng J, Du J. Role of integrin-β3 protein in macrophage polarization and regeneration of injured muscle. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:6177–86.
- 273. Molumdar K, Liang F, Giordano C, Lemaire C, Okazaki T, Bourdon J, et al. Inflammatory monocytes promote progression of Duchenne muscular dystrophy and can be therapeutically targeted via CCR2. EMBO Mol Med. 2014;6:1476–92.
- 274. Percival JM, Whitehead NP, Adams ME, Adama CM, Neavo JA, Froehner SC. Sildenafil reduces respiratory muscle weakness and fibrosis in the mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. J Pathol. 2012;228:77–87.
- 275. Huang P, Zhao XS, Fields M, Ransohoff RM, Zhou L. Imatinib attenuates skeletal muscle dystrophy in mdx mice. FASEB J. 2009;23:2539–48.
- 276. Ito T, Ogawa R, Uezumi A, Ohtani T, Watanabe Y, Tsujikawa K, et al. Imatinib attennuates severe mouse dystrophy and inhibits proliferation and fibrosismarker expression in muscle mesenchymal progenitors. Neuromuscul Disord. 2013;23:346–56.
- 277. Mantovani A, Vecchi A, Allavena P. Pharmacological modulation of monocytes and macrophages. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2014;17C:38–44.
- 278. Tsou CL, Peters W, Si Y, Slaymaker S, Aslanian AM, Weisberg SP, et al. Critical roles for CCR2 and MCP-3 in monocyte mobilization from bone marrow and recruitment to inflammatory sites. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:902–9.
- 279. Venbervliet B, Homey B, Durand I, Massacrier C, Aїt-Yahia S, de Boutellier O, et al. Sequential involvement of CCR2 and CCR6 ligands for immature dendritic cell recruitment: possible role at inflamed epithelial sites. Eur J Immunol. 2002;32:231–42.
- 280. Ben-Mordechai T, Holbova R, Landa-Rouben N, Harel-Adar T, Feinberg MS, Abd Elrahman I, et al. Macrophage subpopulations are essential for infarct repair with and without stem cell therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:1890–901.
- 281. Avraham-Davidi I, Yona S, Grunewald M, Landsman L, Cochain C, Silvestre JS, et al. On-site education of VEGF-recruited monocytes improves their performance as angiogenic and arteriogenic accessory cells. J Exp Med. 2013;210:2611–25.
- 282. Cao Q, Wang Y, Zheng D, Sun Y, Wang C, Wang XM, et al. Failed renoprotection by alternatively activated bone marrow macrophages is due to a proliferationdependent phenotypic switch in vivo. Kidney Int. 2014;85:794–806.
- 283. Agrawal A, Manchester M. Differential uptake of chemically modified cowpea mosaic virus nanoparticles in macrophage subpopulations present in inflammatory and tumor microenvironments. Biomacromolecules. 2012;13:3320–6.
- 284. Al Faraj A, Shaik AS, Afzal S, Al Sayed B, Halwani R. MR imaging and targeting of a specific alveolar macrophage subpopulation in LPS-induced COPD animal model using antibody-conjugated magnetic nanoparticles. Int J Nanomedicine. 2014;9:1491–503.
- 285. Movahedi K, Schoonooghe S, Laoui D, Houbracken I, Waelput W, Breckpot K, et al. Nanobody-based targeting of the macrophage mannose receptor for effective in vivo imaging of tumor associated macrophages. Cancer Res. 2012;72:4165–77.
- 286. Ellerby HM, Arap W, Ellerby LM, Kain R, Andrusiak R, Rio GD, et al. Anti-cancer activity of targeted pro-apoptotic peptides. Nat Med. 1999;5:1032–8.
- 287. Cieslewicz M, Tang J, Yu JL, Cao H, Zavajevski M, Motoyama K, et al. Targeted delivery of proapoptotic peptides to tumor-associated macrophages improves survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:15919–24.

Submit your manuscript to a journal and benefit from:

- \blacktriangleright Convenient online submission
- \blacktriangleright Rigorous peer review
- **Figure 1** Immediate publication on acceptance
- \blacktriangleright Open access: articles freely available online
- \blacktriangleright High visibility within the field
- \blacktriangleright Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at 7 **springeropen.com**