

1-2020

Evaluating the Impact of KardiaMobile on Healthcare Expenditures

Christopher Gerace, MPH

Daniel Frisch, MD

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_dh_2022_phase1Part of the [Cardiology Commons](#), and the [Health Information Technology Commons](#)**[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)**

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Title: Evaluating the Impact of KardiaMobile on Healthcare Expenditures

Christopher Gerace MPH, Daniel Frisch MD

Background:

KardiaMobile is a mobile ECG device, with smartphone connectivity, that is able to detect atrial fibrillation (a-fib). The device captures a 30 second reading and an algorithm differentiates a-fib from sinus rhythm. The mobile application can alert the user to an a-fib reading and also send the ECG output to their personal physician. By monitoring a-fib without accessing traditional care, KardiaMobile has the potential to reduce healthcare costs for patients with a-fib. This study looked to evaluate the effect of KardiaMobile on healthcare utilization for patients with suspected or diagnosed a-fib and who see a clinical cardiac electrophysiologist.

Methods:

To measure utilization, we conducted a retrospective chart review. A database was created documenting the number of times patients went to office visits, the emergency department, were admitted to the hospital, had a cardiac procedure done, called/messaged the office, used cardiac monitors, and had cardiac imaging conducted. Each of these events were counted for one year prior to the first time the patient used KardiaMobile, and up to one year after they began using KardiaMobile.

Results:

Comparing the pre-Kardia and current-Kardia timeframes, we observed a minor overall difference in healthcare utilization. Looking at individual points of contact with the healthcare system, there was a reduction in emergency department visits.

Conclusion:

The use of KardiaMobile in patients with diagnosed or suspected a-fib was not associated with any difference in overall healthcare utilization. The data show that there was a significant reduction in higher acuity points of care--notably emergency department visits.