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Treatment of Kawasaki Disease

TO THE EDITOR: In their trial of pulsed corticosteroid therapy for primary treatment of Kawasaki disease, Newburger et al. (Feb. 15 issue) report that, as compared with placebo, a single pulsed dose of corticosteroid resulted in a shorter initial period of hospitalization but that the total numbers of days of fever and hospitalization, the rates of retreatment, and the coronary-artery outcomes did not differ significantly between the two groups. The use of a single-dose regimen without tapering most likely contributed to their results. A single application of a corticosteroid, even at a high dose, may have a strong but only short-lived effect, which could therefore be associated with a secondary increase in inflammation.

On the basis of nearly 10 years of clinical experience, we designed a regimen involving a short intravenous course of prednisolone and subsequent oral administration of prednisolone followed by tapering. In a randomized trial performed to test the effectiveness of the regimen as an adjunct to intravenous immune globulin, the incidences of retreatment and coronary-artery abnormalities within 1 month after the start of treatment were less frequent in the corticosteroid group than in the group receiving immune globu-

The Authors Reply: With ongoing research in medicine, investigating the 10-year follow-up results of any medical treatment inevitably leads to somewhat outdated results at the time of presentation. This is especially the case in a field that is subject to continuous development, such as pulsed-dye–laser treatment of port-wine stains. As Nelson and Geronemus point out, the results with newer pulsed-dye lasers have been reported to be promising and superior to the results with the laser used in our study. However, to date no controlled comparative studies have shown improved clinical efficacy. Whether the new lasers have improved long-term efficacy remains to be reported; in light of our observation of the recurrence of port-wine stains, we certainly hope they do.

No differences or trends in responses to treatment related to the anatomical locations of the port-wine stains were observed in either the original study or the current follow-up study, possibly because of the relatively small number of patients. Furthermore, in the original study, age was shown to have no influence on the response to treatment. Therefore, we refrained from performing age-dependent analyses in the current long-term follow-up assessment.
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lin alone. Our regimen therefore appears to be more efficacious than the control regimen. Nevertheless, the optimal corticosteroid regimen remains an issue in the primary therapy of Kawasaki disease.
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TO THE EDITOR: Newburger et al. studied the effects of adding intravenous methylprednisolone to conventional therapy for Kawasaki disease. The authors found a significantly lower frequency of coronary-artery abnormalities in the intravenous-methylprednisolone group than in the placebo group within the subgroup of patients who required retreatment with intravenous immune globulin.

The identification of predictors of coronary abnormalities in Kawasaki disease is still problematic. Failure of initial treatment with intravenous immune globulin remains the most consistent risk factor for cardiac abnormalities. Administration of intravenous methylprednisolone after the failure of initial treatment with intravenous immune globulin does not seem to be effective in reducing the risk of coronary damage, although the current data suggest that this might not be the case for patients who do not have a response to intravenous immune globulin and who have previously received intravenous methylprednisolone.

Since intravenous methylprednisolone administered as a single dose appears to be safe, and given our inability to identify a priori the patients who will not have a response to intravenous immune globulin, it seems obvious that the concurrent use of a single dose of intravenous methylprednisolone and intravenous immune globulin may be our best choice at the moment. It is unrealistic to expect that trials powered to show the effectiveness of intravenous methylprednisolone could be accomplished anytime soon.
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TO THE EDITOR: Although the study by Newburger et al. involved assessment of coronary-artery outcomes with the use of transthoracic echocardiography, we were quite surprised by the inclusion of an example of a coronary aneurysm seen on multidetector computed tomography (CT) in the accompanying Perspective article by Burns.

We and others have shown the efficacy of noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the heart for both the identification and characterization of coronary artery disease in patients with Kawasaki disease. Patients with Kawasaki disease require frequent observation over many decades. Given the relatively high doses of ionizing radiation associated with multidetector computed tomography, we recommend that emphasis be placed on the use of MRI, as it is a noninvasive, low-cost, high-resolution imaging technique that can be used for both diagnosis and surveillance.

Figure 1. Three-Dimensional Steady-State Free-Precession MRI of the Whole Heart in an 8-Year-Old Boy with Kawasaki Disease and Serial Aneurysms (A) in the Right Coronary Artery.

No contrast material was administered.
analyses suggesting differential responses to treatment arising from our analyses. Our study was designed to test the hypothesis that the addition of intravenous methylprednisolone to conventional primary treatment of Kawasaki disease would improve coronary-artery outcomes; the study groups had similar overall coronary outcomes. A post hoc subgroup analysis suggested that primary corticosteroid therapy reduced the incidence of coronary-artery abnormalities in a high-risk subgroup of patients in our study who required retreatment with intravenous immune globulin because of persistent or recrudescent fever. However, such subgroup analyses must be interpreted with caution; the literature is replete with subgroup analyses suggesting differential responses to therapy, findings that have been shown to be erroneous in subsequent prospective trials. Children with Kawasaki disease can be characterized at the time of presentation with respect to their risk of resistance to intravenous immune globulin. Until further studies are conducted in high-risk patients, we do not believe that corticosteroid therapy should be used in the primary treatment of Kawasaki disease.

THE AUTHORS REPLY: Inoue and colleagues describe the results of their open trial using a prolonged course of corticosteroids, which we discuss in our article. We found that clinically significant coronary-artery abnormalities were infrequent in patients in both of our study groups. For this reason, although the optimal corticosteroid regimen may be unknown, we believe that corticosteroid regimens requiring a prolonged course of treatment would be difficult to rationalize for the primary treatment of all patients with Kawasaki disease.

Taddio and Rosé highlight an important question arising from our analyses. Our study was designed to test the hypothesis that the addition of intravenous methylprednisolone to conventional primary treatment of Kawasaki disease would improve coronary-artery outcomes; the study groups had similar overall coronary outcomes. A post hoc subgroup analysis suggested that primary corticosteroid therapy reduced the incidence of coronary-artery abnormalities in a high-risk subgroup of patients in our study who required retreatment with intravenous immune globulin because of persistent or recrudescent fever. However, such subgroup analyses must be interpreted with caution; the literature is replete with subgroup analyses suggesting differential responses to therapy, findings that have been shown to be erroneous in subsequent prospective trials. Children with Kawasaki disease can be characterized at the time of presentation with respect to their risk of resistance to intravenous immune globulin. Until further studies are conducted in high-risk patients, we do not believe that corticosteroid therapy should be used in the primary treatment of Kawasaki disease.
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DR. BURNS REPLIES: Imaging of the coronary arteries is important in the long-term management of aneurysms in patients with Kawasaki disease. Transthoracic echocardiography can be used only to image the proximal arteries, is dependent on a high level of technical skill, and cannot reliably detect stenosis. Advantages of multidetector CT are the assessment of calcification and soft plaque, rapid collection of data, and straightforward interpretation of images. With proper gating to the cardiac cycle and lowering of the heart rate with beta-adrenergic blockade, exposures of approximately 0.67 mSv have been documented for coronary-artery studies of children involving multidetector CT (Larkin G, GE Healthcare: personal communication) (for comparison, one chest radiograph results in exposure to 0.02 mSv). MRI is safe, but many centers cannot image the coronary arteries with sufficient precision. All these approaches require general anesthesia for young patients, and MRI requires a longer time to capture images than does multidetector CT and thus increases the time under anesthesia and associated risks. Clearly, this is an area of medicine that is in flux. We look forward to the time when safe, noninvasive imaging methods are widely available at all centers for these children.
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