6-18-2014

Neonatal abstinence syndrome in methadone exposed infants: Role of genetic variability

Andrea L. Fielder

Nursing & Midwifery, Sansom Institute, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

J. K. Collier

University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

M. R. Hutchinson

University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Ross R. Haslam

Women's & Children's Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Nona Lu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/petposters>
Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States

Part of the [Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

See next page for additional authors

Recommended Citation

Fielder, Andrea L.; Collier, J. K.; Hutchinson, M. R.; Haslam, Ross R.; Lu, Nona; Adeniyi-Jones, Susan C.; Ehrlich, Michelle; and Kraft, Walter K., "Neonatal abstinence syndrome in methadone exposed infants: Role of genetic variability" (2014). *Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics Posters*. 3.

<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/petposters/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics Posters by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Authors

Andrea L. Fielder, J. K. Collier, M. R. Hutchinson, Ross R. Haslam, Nona Lu, Susan C. Adeniyi-Jones, Michelle Ehrlich, and Walter K. Kraft

Neonatal abstinence syndrome (NAS) in methadone exposed infants: role of genetic variability

A. L. Fielder¹, J. K. Collier², M. R. Hutchinson², R. R. Haslam³, N. Lu⁴, S. Adeniyi-Jones⁴, M. Ehrlich⁵, W. K. Kraft⁴

¹Nursing & Midwifery, Sansom Institute, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia.

²School of Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia. ³Women's & Children's Hospital, Adelaide, Australia.

⁴Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, United States ⁵Mt Sinai School of Medicine, New York, United States.

Opioid dependence in pregnancy

- Methadone (MD):
 - Currently the only FDA/TGA “approved” opioid substitution therapy during pregnancy.
 - Advantages: ↓ obstetric complications, ↑ prenatal care, ↑ maternal nutrition, ↓ drug seeking environment.
 - Disadvantages: Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS).

Understanding opioid dependence

- ↓ understanding of opioid dependence in adults.
- ↑ inter-individual variability in response to MD:
 - Impact of genetic variability.
- Drug targets/receptors (*OPRM1*) ?
- Drug transporters (*ABCB1*) ✓
- Metabolising enzymes (*CYP2D6*) ?
- Immune response (*IL-1B*) ✓
 - Glial activation → release of immune mediators incl. proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β), creates proinflammatory environment → neuronal excitability to ↑ opioid reward and dependence.

Genetic variability and NAS

- Despite ↑ knowledge on the impact of genetic variability on MD response, the underlying mechanisms explaining NAS largely undefined:
 - ? genetic variability.
- By assessing genetic variability in mothers and infants, the association between genetic variability and NAS could be used as a predictive tool:
 - = ↑ management of the infant by potentially ↓ morphine administered to control NAS.

Aim

- Investigate the impact of *IL-1B* -31 and *OPRM1* A118G genetic variability on NAS incidence (treatment required) & severity (dose of morphine).

Nature vs Nurture

Dependence = genetics + environment
!!!!!! Uniqueness of current study

Genotyping for *OPRM1* and *IL-1B*

- Infant buccal swab: DNA isolated from cheek cells, genotyped
- Genetic markers:
 - *OPRM1* A118G: Wild-type (WT) associated with ↑ response to opioids
 - Immune response, *IL-1B* -31 promoter mutation: Wild-type (WT) associated with ↑ IL-1β expression

Infant characteristics

Maternal methadone dose at delivery: mg (median (range))	120 (20-220)
Gestational age: weeks (median (range))	39 (35-41)
• Premature (n (%))	2 (8)
Gender male (n (%))	14 (54)
Birth weight: g (median (range))	2963 (2157-3883)
• Low birth weight (n (%))	5 (19)
Exposure to (n (%)):	
• Benzodiazepines	11 (42)
• Nicotine	15 (83)
Adjunctive phenobarb (n (%))	6 (23)

- 71 methadone exposed infants; 46 with NAS; 26 with genetic & morphine treatment data.
- No difference in *IL-1B* or *OPRM1* genotypes between infants with & without NAS, OR (p):
IL-1B: 1.9 (0.21)
OPRM1: 0.23 (0.24)

Morphine Treatment

<i>IL-1B</i> -31 Infant genotype (morphine (mg), median (range))					
WT (n=21)	> 1 Var (n=5)	WT (n=21)	> 1 Var (n=5)	WT (n=20)	> 1 Var (n=5)
0.15 (0.1-0.4)	0.2 (0.14-0.3)	0.3 (0.17-0.66)	0.28 (0.23-0.68)	33.8 (14.3-79.3)	34.8 (26.7-91.8)
Initial morphine (p=0.06)		Max morphine (p=0.94)		Total morphine (p=0.67)	

<i>OPRM1</i> A118G Infant genotype (morphine (mg), median (range))					
WT (n=24)	> 1 Var (n=2)	WT (n=24)	> 1 Var (n=2)	WT (n=23)	> 1 Var (n=2)
0.17 (0.1-0.4)	0.22 (0.13-0.3)	0.29 (0.17-0.68)	0.24 (0.18-0.3)	34.8 (14.3-91.8)	25.4 (19.4-31.5)
Initial morphine (p=0.73)		Max morphine (p=0.39)		Total morphine (p=0.38)	

Conclusion

- Despite impact of *IL-1B* and *OPRM1* genetic variability on opioid response in adults, this was not observed in infants.
- Limitation: small sample size to date.
- This study of possible impact of *IL-1B* and *OPRM1* genetic variability on NAS is ongoing, and could lead to tools to predict NAS incidence & severity.

References

Backstad, B., et al. Eur Addict Res, 2009. 15(3): p. 128. Bell, G L. and K. Lau. Ped Clin North Am, 1995. 42(2): p. 261. Blinick, G., E. Jerez, & R.C. Wallach. JAMA, 1973. 225(5): p. 477. Blinick, G., et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1976. 125(2): p. 135. Brown, E.R. & B. Zuckerman. Ped Annal, 1991. 20(10): p. 555. 561. Collier, J.K., et al. Int J Clin Pharm Therap, 2007. 45(7): p. 410. Collier, J.K., et al. Clin Pharm Therap, 2006. 80(6): p. 682. Collier, J.K., et al. Pharmacogenom Personal Med, 2009. 2: p. 9. Connaughton, J.F., et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1977. 129(6): p. 679. Doberczak, T.M., et al. Obstet Gynecol, 1993. 81(6): p. 936. Finnegan, L.P. and S.R. Kandall, in Substance Abuse, J.H. Lowinson, et al., Editors. 1997, Williams and Wilkins: Baltimore. p. 513. Grimmer, I., et al. Euro J Pediatr, 1999. 158(8): p. 653. Hutchinson, M.R., et al. Scientific World J, 2007. 7: p. 98-111. Johnson, K., A. Greenough, & C. Gerada. Addiction, 2003. 98(6): p. 785. Kaltenbach, K., V. et al. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am, 1998. 25(1): p. 139. Kandall, S.R., et al. Early Hum Dev, 1977. 1(2): p. 159. Lim, S., et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2009. 200(1): p. 70 e1-5. Liu, L., et al. Pharmacogenetics Genomics, 2009. 19: p. 869-876. Silver, H., et al. J Perinatol, 1987. 7(3): p. 178. Somogyi, A.A., D.T. Barratt, and J.K. Collier. Clin Pharm Therap, 2007. 81(3): p. 429. Strauss, M.E., et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1974 120(7): p. 895. Winklbaur, B., et al. Addiction, 2008. 103(9): p. 1429.